International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

A Contextual Architectural Framework for Transit-Oriented Development in Bangalore

Khushi Mahesh Shirur

Student, Architecture, Bachelors of Architecture, School of Planning and Architecture, Bhopal, India

Abstract

The growing urban density and increasing transit connectivity in Indian cities have transformed the areas around transit hubs into emerging zones of commercial and social convergence. These nodes, especially in metropolitan contexts like Bengaluru, present unique opportunities for integrating mobility infrastructure with mixed-use commercial development. However, the architectural design of such developments often neglects contextual parameters such as climatic responsiveness, materiality, cultural identity, and spatial experience resulting in built environments that are contextually detached.

The goal of contextual design is generally to create new buildings that harmonize with their surroundings. Architects are expected to draw inspiration and values from the existing environment and incorporate them as guiding references in their design process. This research aims to develop a contextual architectural framework for assessing design parameters of mixed-use commercial buildings located in proximity to transit hubs, taking Bengaluru Cantonment Station as a case example. The study explores how factors such as urban morphology, climate, and cultural context influence architectural form, spatial organization, and user experience in transit-adjacent commercial architecture.

The methodology combines literature review, field observation, architectural mapping and qualitative assessment of spatial features to identify context-driven design responses and gaps. The expected outcome is a structured design framework that can guide architects and planners in creating contextually responsive, climatically efficient, and user-oriented commercial developments integrated with transit infrastructure.

Keywords: Transit-Oriented Development (TOD), mixed-use commercial architecture, contextual design framework, architectural parameters, climatic responsiveness, urban morphology, cultural identity, materiality, user experience, public–commercial interface, spatial organization, place-making, sustainable architecture, transit-adjacent development, context-sensitive design, Indian urban architecture.

INTRODUCTION

Burden in Illustrated Dictionary of Architecture explained that contextual is “any doctrine emphasizing the importance of the context in establishing the meaning of terms, such as the setting into which a building is placed, its site, its natural environment, or its neighborhood” and contextualism is defined as “an approach to urban planning that consider the city in its totality, the view that the experience of a city is greater than the sum of its part. According to proponents, every architectural work should correspond to, engage with, and harmonize its immediate surroundings. The notion of context operates at multiple scales, depending on the extent of the architectural intervention. It encompasses aspects such as topography, vegetation, urban conditions, including building density, street and sidewalk configurations, spatial relationships along with material choices, building spacing, regional geography, traffic flow and

population characteristics, among other factors.(Liauw, 2019) With the forces of globalization and modernization, construction practices have transcended traditional limitations of materials, labor, and locality. Economy, efficiency, and speed have become the dominant drivers of urban development. As a result, buildings of identical typologies now appear across cold, temperate, and tropical zones, reflecting a homogenization of architectural expression where the influence of climate is often disregarded. The widespread adoption of modern construction technologies and the mass production of standardized materials have blurred the distinctions once defined by regional climatic conditions. Consequently, similar building systems and components are replicated irrespective of their environmental suitability, diminishing the contextual responsiveness that once characterized vernacular and regionally adapted architecture. (Guo & Despang, 2021)

As the number and scale of railway stations continue to grow, the global challenges of energy consumption and environmental degradation have become increasingly critical. The rapid expansion of rail networks, while enhancing mobility and accessibility, has simultaneously intensified concerns related to sustainability. Large transit buildings, particularly railway stations are inherently energy-intensive due to their vast spatial volumes, high occupancy levels, and continuous operational demands. This creates a persistent tension between the goals of spatial generosity and energy efficiency.

The architectural design of railway stations must therefore reconcile two often conflicting objectives: minimizing environmental impact while maintaining passenger comfort and operational performance. Passengers spending extended periods in waiting areas expect thermally comfortable, well-lit, and acoustically balanced environments. Parameters such as temperature, humidity, ventilation, and natural lighting significantly affect their experience. Consequently, contemporary railway architecture faces the dual challenge of achieving **energy efficiency** and **user comfort**, positioning energy-conscious design strategies and passive environmental controls as essential components of sustainable transit infrastructure.

Aim: To develop a contextual design framework for mixed-use commercial buildings adjacent to transit hubs by assessing key architectural parameters such as climate responsiveness, materiality, spatial organization, user comfort, and urban integration, using Bengaluru Cantonment Station as a case example.

Objectives:

- To review existing literature on transit-oriented development (TOD), climate-responsive architecture, and mixed-use urban design to identify relevant architectural parameters influencing transit-adjacent developments.
- To document and analyse the architectural and spatial characteristics surrounding Bengaluru Cantonment Station through field observation, photographic documentation, and mapping.
- To assess the site's-built environment in relation to contextual parameters—including climatic response, form and spatial organization, materiality, user comfort, and energy efficiency.
- To identify design gaps, inefficiencies, and potential opportunities in the current built fabric that affect the synergy between transit infrastructure and commercial use.
- To derive a context-driven architectural framework that guides future design of mixed-use commercial buildings near transit hubs in Bengaluru, ensuring cultural relevance, environmental responsiveness, and functional efficiency.

TABLE I. ARCHITECTURE DEFINATIONS

DEFINATION	CITATION	FOCUS
LOGICAL CONSTRUCT FOR DEFINING AND CONTROLLING THE INTERFACES AND THE INTEGRATIONOF ALL THE COMPONENTS OF THE SYSTEM.	(ZACHMAN 1987)	STRUCTURE
THE ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE OF A SYSTEM OR COMPONENT, THEIR RELATIONSHIPS, AND THE PRINCIPLES AND GUIDELINES GOVERNING THEIR DESIGN AND EVOLUTION OVER TIME.	(RECHTIN 1991)	STRUCTURE
SPECIFIES HOW THE CURRENT OR PROPOSED SYSTEM OPERATES MECHANICALLY BY SUMMARIZING ITS COMPONENTS, THE WAY THE COMPONENTS ARE LINKED, AND THE WAY THE COMPONENTS OPERATE TOGETHER.	(BLANCHARD AND FABRYCKY 1998)	OPERATIONAL SPECIFICATION

(STAMPS, 2014)

1 LITERATURE STUDY

1.1 CONTEXTUAL DESIGN

The existing environment, whether natural or built, can present different conditions. First, there may be an ideal environment characterized by a homogeneous identity, strong local wisdom, and distinct uniqueness. In such cases, it becomes easier to identify which environmental elements should serve as references for contextual design.

Second, the environment may be more complex, featuring a heterogeneous community and built fabric, some areas reflecting ideal qualities while others do not. In this situation, a careful selection process is needed to determine the most appropriate contextual references. This process should be guided by specific criteria rooted in local wisdom, cultural values, and the distinctive character of the place.

Lastly, there are environments with poor or degraded conditions, such as slum areas, disaster-affected zones, or other underdeveloped regions. In these contexts, the new architectural design must itself become a positive reference point for future development or revitalization. Such designs should embody ideal principles drawn from local culture and wisdom. (Liauw, 2019)

Architecture, as an art of shaping the built environment, organizes spaces for human life and activity while maintaining a balance between the built and natural surroundings. It is inherently dynamic, evolving with shifts in human needs and lifestyles.

Therefore, contextual design should not only aim for compatibility with its surroundings but also address the transformations necessary when introducing new development into a specific place. Modern construction technologies and architectural expressions significantly influence local architecture and culture, consequently altering the genius loci, the spirit of the place and potentially diminishing or replacing its original identity with new characteristics. (Liauw, 2019)

1.2. Transit Oriented development

Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) is a planning approach focused on creating walkable, high-density, and mixed-use neighborhoods that concentrate urban growth around public transit stations. This strategy encourages greater use of public transportation by promoting compact development patterns. In turn, it establishes a positive feedback loop of sustainable mobility, where well-connected, transit-focused communities motivate a shift from car dependency to walking and public transit, reinforcing environmentally responsible urban living.(Stojanovski, 2020) This research explores how commercialization and public space patterns develop around different types of transit stops and examines their relationship to viewsheds and walksheds. The hypothesis suggests that transit stops, categorized by their degree of segregation from the street, form a fundamental part of urban structure. These stops generate distinctive elongated and irregular spatial patterns that differ from the typical circular walksheds. (Stojanovski 2013) Identifies four types of transit stops based on their level of segregation: transit stops located directly on streets, train and bus stations of fully segregated systems (either at ground level or elevated), subway stations, and bus or tram stops situated on partially segregated busways or railways. Each of these types interacts with the street space in unique ways and produces different patterns of development with nearby buildings. Street-level transit stops blend seamlessly into the city, with loading platforms on sidewalks that create a continuous urban space. Fully segregated train and bus stations, however, are separated from the street and rely on complex internal circulation networks of corridors, stairs, and escalators to reach platforms. Partially segregated transit stops remain visually and spatially connected to the street but often limit pedestrian movement, as crossing may not always be possible or safe. This condition results in a mixed context of permeability and restriction, shaped further by urban design elements such as greenery, fences, and other physical barriers.

1.3 Scope of study

In the context of rapid urban growth and evolving transit infrastructure, contemporary architectural practice often oscillates between two extremes: highly enclosed, mechanically conditioned buildings and those that rely predominantly on passive design strategies for environmental control. In reality, most modern commercial and transit-adjacent buildings represent a hybrid condition—where artificial energy systems coexist with traditional architectural interfaces designed to mediate climate and context.

This study situates itself within this intersection, exploring how architectural design can achieve a balanced integration of passive and active systems in mixed-use commercial developments near transit hubs. The focus is not merely on energy performance but on how the building's form, materiality, and spatial configuration can harmonize with its climatic, cultural, and urban context.

Within the scope of this research, the Bengaluru Cantonment Station precinct serves as the reference environment, offering a representative case of a transit-oriented urban condition in a moderate–humid climate. The study emphasizes the architectural design layer, analysing façade treatment, orientation, ventilation strategies, spatial openness, and material selection as key mediators between environmental efficiency and user comfort.

While mechanical systems and engineering simulations are acknowledged as integral components of building performance, their detailed technical assessment remains outside the study's purview. Instead, the research concentrates on identifying architectural parameters and design strategies that can enhance environmental responsiveness, spatial coherence, and contextual relevance in future mixed-use developments adjoining transit infrastructure.

1.4 Methodology

The methodology combines literature review, field observation, architectural mapping, and qualitative assessment of spatial features to identify context-driven design responses and gaps. The literature review forms the theoretical foundation, examining existing studies on transit-oriented development, climate-responsive design, and mixed-use architecture within the Indian context. This helps establish the parameters of investigation such as spatial configuration, materiality, façade articulation, user experience, and environmental responsiveness. Through field observation, the study documents on-site architectural and urban characteristics around the Bengaluru Cantonment Station—including circulation patterns, built form typologies, microclimate, and user activity. These observations provide empirical insight into how the existing built fabric interacts with the transit infrastructure. Architectural mapping is undertaken to record and analyze key physical and spatial features such as building orientation, façade treatment, massing, and connections between public and private realms. This visual documentation aids in understanding the relationship between built form, open space, and user flow

Finally, a qualitative assessment of spatial features is carried out to evaluate aspects such as accessibility, comfort, legibility, and identity within the urban context. The synthesis of these methods aims to generate a contextual framework that identifies existing design gaps and informs architectural strategies for future mixed-use developments around transit hubs in Bengaluru.

1 VISUAL EXPERIENCE OF URBAN SPACE

The visual experience between a building and a transit stop unfolds through a sequence of viewsheds. A viewshed represents an urban space defined by clear visual perception, combining elements of both the visual world and the visual field. The visual world can be imagined as a sphere surrounding an observer, offering clarity in all directions, while the visual field refers to the area seen by both eyes, sharpest at the center and gradually less distinct toward the edges. The viewshed, essentially a circular projection of this visual world, typically extends within a radius of 100–200 meters. Within this distance, observers can identify key features such as transit stops, building entrances, storefronts, and people for interaction.

The visual range of around 70–100 meters is known as the social field of vision. Within this range, human figures are clearly perceived, allowing recognition of gender, approximate age, and activity. This scale is often used in the design of spaces such as stadiums, city blocks, and small neighbourhoods to ensure visibility and social connection. Visual proximity to transit stops, therefore, refers to the relationship between the observer and nearby urban elements, buildings, façades, streets, sidewalks, and shopfronts within the visible viewshed.(Stojanovski, 2020)

2.1 VISUAL PROXIMITY AND COMMERCIALSATION OF BUILDINGS

The Visual proximity plays a key role in how buildings near transit stops become commercialized. The success of these commercial areas is strongly linked to pedestrian movement. As people arrive at or leave a transit stop, their paths naturally converge and disperse, creating opportunities for business activity.

Shops and services often position themselves within the visible and audible range of these pedestrians and passengers. When a single person exits a bus or train, their individual viewshed opens up, but when they join a moving group, multiple perspectives begin to overlap as the group moves through the city. This movement creates an ever-changing experience of urban space, shaped by the collective vantage points of those within it.

Transit systems and commercial areas reinforce one another in a positive cycle. High levels of transit use draw more people, who in turn attract more businesses eager to serve them. The presence of active

storefronts and entrances along pedestrian routes strengthens the sense of a connected public space around transit stops.

The pattern of commercialization also depends on how pedestrians flow through the area. Transit stops that handle large numbers of passengers can generate steady streams of potential customers walking to and from the platforms. However, when a stop is located away from main streets or commercial corridors, the effect is weaker. Over time, buildings tend to adapt to these flows, gradually transforming their façades to engage more directly with the street.

Sound further enhances this experience, working alongside visual cues. Within about 30 meters, people can hear the sounds of conversation or vendors calling out, which adds life and immediacy to the street. Beyond that distance, voices blend into a background hum, making the space feel more detached. Together, these visual and auditory layers shape how public life and commerce evolve around transit stops.(Stojanovski, 2020)

3. CASE STUDIES

A Rani Kamlapati Railway Station, Bhopal (Redeveloped, 2021)

The Rani Kamlapati Railway Station, formerly Habib Ganjas India's first privately redeveloped railway station under a public-private partnership model. Designed and operated by Bansal Group, it represents a contemporary model of integrated transit architecture, combining high-speed connectivity, commercial activity, and sustainability.

Architecturally, the station draws inspiration from airport terminal design principles, with a focus on transparency, openness, and efficient vertical circulation. The use of large-span steel structures, extensive glazed façades, and aluminium composite cladding conveys a sense of modernity while maximizing daylight. The spatial layout prioritizes separation of passenger flows, arrival, departure, and service through dedicated vertical cores, minimizing circulation conflict.

The building's climate-responsive features include insulated roofing, LED lighting, and rainwater harvesting, aligned with green building standards (certified as India's first ISO 14001-compliant station). Beyond its functional efficiency, the redevelopment integrates retail zones, food courts, and lounges, making it a true mixed-use transit hub where public and commercial functions coexist.

This case exemplifies how form, materiality, and user-centric planning can merge within a contemporary, climate-conscious framework to transform the experience of rail transit architecture in India.

B Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Terminus (CSMT), Mumbai (Heritage, 1887)

Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Terminus (formerly Victoria Terminus) is a UNESCO World Heritage Site and an icon of colonial Indo-Saracenic architecture. Designed by Frederick William Stevens, the terminus

integrates Victorian Gothic revival elements with traditional Indian motifs, representing a synthesis of cultures through architectural expression.

The structure is defined by ornate façades, stone masonry, ribbed domes, pointed arches, and stained-glass windows, which not only convey grandeur but also perform environmentally thick walls buffer interior spaces from heat gain, and high ceilings promote cross ventilation. The spatial organization reflects a hierarchical arrangement, with the main concourse, platforms, and administrative wings arranged symmetrically around a central dome.

Culturally, CSMT acts as a symbolic urban anchor, representing Mumbai's identity as India's gateway city. Its adjacency to the city's commercial and civic core establishes a dynamic relationship between architecture, mobility, and urban form. Despite being over a century old, its robust materiality, human-scale proportions, and spatial order remain relevant as a benchmark for architectural permanence and urban integration.

This heritage case provides insights into material durability, climatic adaptability, and cultural symbolism aspects often lost in contemporary transit architecture.

C Anand Vihar Terminal, Delhi (Modern Functional, 2009)

The Anand Vihar Terminal in Delhi was developed to decongest existing stations and integrate rail with metro and intercity bus transport, making it one of India's earliest examples of a multimodal transit hub. Architecturally, the design focuses on functionality, clarity of movement, and user comfort, embodying a distinctly modernist aesthetic.

The station features rectilinear massing, large-span steel canopies, and glass-and-aluminium façades that ensure ample daylight penetration. The layout adopts a zonal planning approach, separating arrival and departure areas and introducing wide concourses for crowd management. However, the reliance on air-conditioned interiors and limited passive design measures reflects the challenge of reconciling climatic responsiveness with functional demands in Delhi's composite climate.

While the architecture is largely utilitarian, its emphasis on intermodal connectivity direct links to the metro and bus terminal makes it a model of urban integration. The station's functional success lies in its ability to handle large volumes efficiently, though its aesthetic and cultural presence remains secondary to operational needs.

Case Study	Reason for Selection	Architectural Focus / Key Observations	Inferred Learning for Framework Development
Rani Kamlapati Railway Station Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh (Redeveloped, Contemporary Station)	Represents a redeveloped, modern multimodal transit hub integrating commercial and passenger functions with sustainable infrastructure.	Focus on contemporary material use, passenger experience, and technological integration (energy-efficient systems, glass façades, double roofing).	Highlights the importance of energy efficiency, modern spatial planning, and material innovation for new transit developments.
Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Terminus (CSMT) Mumbai, Maharashtra (Heritage Station)	Represents a heritage-era transit hub deeply embedded in the city's cultural identity and urban fabric.	Illustrates colonial architectural expression, symbolism, and urban integration within a dense city context.	Demonstrates how architectural identity, urban integration, and civic symbolism can enhance station legibility and city branding.
Anand Vihar Terminal Delhi (Modern Urban Station)	Represents a function-driven modern station within a congested metropolitan context.	Characterized by large-span structural systems, clear passenger segregation, and connectivity to metro and bus terminals.	Emphasizes the need for efficient circulation systems, integration with urban transport modes, and crowd management design

3. DISCUSSIONS: COMPARITIVE LENSES

The contextual framework integrates multiple architectural and environmental parameters that influence the design of mixed-use commercial developments around transit hubs. These parameters are derived from an interdisciplinary understanding of architectural form, climate responsiveness, materiality, user experience, energy efficiency, and cultural identity, factors consistently identified in architectural and urban design literature as determinants of context-sensitive design.

A. Architectural Features

The form, massing, and spatial layering of transit-adjacent buildings define movement, visibility, and user comfort. The concept of spatial layering organizing functions vertically or horizontally based on user hierarchy and circulation needs is supported by Rupa & Rao (2021), who highlight that effective spatial organization mitigates congestion and promotes intuitive wayfinding.

Justification: In a transit-linked site like Bengaluru Cantonment, where pedestrian, commercial, and transport flows overlap, spatial organization is a determinant of both functionality and user experience.

B. Climatic Responsiveness

Climatic context governs spatial configuration, orientation, and envelope design. In hot-humid and composite climates like Bengaluru, strategies such as cross ventilation, shaded circulation corridors, and double-skin façades are critical to ensure thermal comfort and reduce energy loads. Studies such as Li et al. (2020) and Mahmoud et al. (2022) emphasize that passive climate-responsive strategies directly influence user comfort and long-term building performance, especially in large-scale public buildings like transit hubs.

Justification: Selecting climatic responsiveness as a framework parameter helps ensure that the proposed mixed-use complex integrates both passive environmental control and energy-efficient design, reducing dependency on artificial systems.

C. Cultural and Visual Identity

Transit hubs function as civic landmarks that embody the city's culture and visual ethos. As Mehrotra (2011) argues, architecture in Indian cities must mediate between modernization and cultural continuity. Evaluating iconography, local symbolism, and urban imageability ensures that development reflects a sense of place rather than a generic form.

Justification: Assessing cultural identity allows the design to contribute to Bengaluru's unique architectural language, enhancing recognizability and community ownership.

D. Iconography

Material choices influence both aesthetic integration and environmental performance. Regional materials not only express cultural continuity but also respond to local climatic demands. According to Niranjan et al. (2019), the use of vernacular materials and context-sensitive façades can enhance urban identity and environmental adaptability.

Justification: In Bengaluru's context where laterite, stone, and composite façades are historically rooted assessing materiality ensures that new development aligns with both sustainability and architectural identity.

E. User Comfort and Accessibility

Human-centered design parameters-thermal comfort, daylight access, ventilation, inclusivity, and wayfinding are critical in high-footfall environments. Taleghani et al. (2019) establish a direct correlation between passive design strategies and user well-being in public buildings.

Justification: Incorporating this parameter ensures that the proposed mixed-use building adjacent to a transit hub provides functional comfort, safety, and inclusivity for diverse user groups.

F. Energy Efficiency and Sustainability

Energy assessment is integral to contemporary architectural evaluation. Utilizing LEED and GRIHA benchmarks, as suggested by Bansal & Singh (2020), provides quantifiable criteria for energy performance in large-scale public buildings.

Justification: Energy efficiency serves as a measurable output of the framework—linking spatial, material,

and climatic strategies to long-term sustainability.

Framework	Grouping classes	References
Architectural features	Form and spatial configuration Façade and enclosure Roof Form and canopies Spatial openness and public realm integration	
Climatic responsiveness	Shading and orientation Fenestration Landscaping Natural light and ventilation	
Cultural and visual identity	Wall murals Structural elements (arches, domes) Flooring materials Public art and installations	
Iconography	Vernacularity and craftsmanship Integration with city skyline Adaptability with time	
User experience	Wayfinding and signage Inclusivity and accessibility Crowd management and circulation Seating and waiting comfort Sanitation and amenities	

Energy efficiency	Sustainable building materials/ techniques Performance monitoring Innovation Water and waste management	

4 Case example: Bengaluru Cantonment railway station

The Bengaluru Cantonment Railway Station serves as a crucial node within the city's expanding transit network, located in the heart of a rapidly urbanizing area that blends colonial heritage with contemporary commercial growth. Positioned near the city's Central Business District and key arterial roads, it acts as both a mobility hub and an architectural landmark.

However, the surrounding development largely organic and uncoordinated has led to spatial conflicts between pedestrian, vehicular, and commercial flows. With the proposed redevelopment plans, this case offers an ideal ground to study how context-driven architectural parameters can guide the design of a mixed-use commercial complex integrated with a transit hub.

This analysis applies the developed contextual framework across seven parameters, each linking architectural performance with local urban and environmental context. Rani Kamlapati and Anand Vihar represent function-driven modern typologies, emphasizing spatial clarity and circulation efficiency. However, they lack strong formal connections to the surrounding urban morphology. In contrast, CSMT exemplifies how architectural form and urban identity can be co-dependent its monumental façade, spatial hierarchy, and civic symbolism embed it deeply in Mumbai's urban consciousness. For Bengaluru, which combines colonial-era urban grain and rapidly transforming transit corridors, the design framework must assess form as a mediator between heritage context and contemporary intervention. Evaluating built massing, visual permeability, and skyline integration through architectural mapping and 3D spatial analysis will be essential.

Across all three stations, material choice directly influences thermal performance and visual character. CSMT's stone masonry demonstrates passive climatic control and longevity, while Rani Kamlapati's glazed façades and insulated roofing reflect modern material innovation but increase dependence on

mechanical systems. Anand Vihar's extensive use of steel and glass highlights the trade-off between structural efficiency and climatic adaptability.

For Bengaluru's warm-humid climate, the framework should prioritize material mapping and thermal performance assessment to understand how façade treatments, roofing materials, and shading systems can balance daylight, ventilation, and energy efficiency.

The three cases underline the role of architecture as a cultural artifact. While CSMT's Indo-Saracenic design embodies regional craftsmanship and symbolism, modern stations like Anand Vihar and Rani Kamlapati adopt globalized aesthetics that dilute local identity.

In the context of Bengaluru Cantonment, with its layered colonial, military, and commercial heritage, the framework should include architectural documentation of façades, arcades, signage, and public edges to assess how spatial language and material expression contribute to place identity. Photographic surveys and qualitative interviews with users can further illuminate how public perception ties architecture to local culture.

Each case demonstrates varying approaches to user comfort CSMT achieves passive comfort through spatial volume and ventilation, while Rani Kamlapati focuses on mechanical cooling and experiential luxury. Anand Vihar, although functionally effective, provides limited sensory comfort. For Bengaluru, this suggests the need to evaluate wayfinding, thermal comfort, light quality, and accessibility as part of the contextual assessment. Methods such as behavioral mapping, thermal imaging, and space syntax analysis can help quantify user experience in the field.

The review also highlights a gradual evolution toward energy-conscious design in Indian stations. Rani Kamlapati integrates energy-efficient systems aligned with LEED and ISO standards, whereas older typologies rely on inherent passive design. The proposed framework should therefore bridge both approaches, reviving passive climatic design principles while integrating measurable sustainability metrics (using GRIHA or LEED parameters).

4.1 Rationale for Case Selection: Quantitative Justification

Bengaluru Cantonment Railway Station was selected as the primary case example for this research based on both its strategic importance within the city's transit network and the pressing need for redevelopment driven by quantifiable infrastructural deficits and projected growth patterns.

The existing Cantonment Station handles approximately 45,000-50,000 passengers daily across 156 train movements (78 arrivals and 78 departures), making it the third-busiest railway station in Bengaluru after KSR Bengaluru City and Yeshwantpur Junction. Despite this significant passenger volume, the station operates with severely constrained infrastructure:

Two functional platforms (300m length each) designed for a maximum capacity of 8,000-10,000 passengers during peak hours.

18,000-22,000 passengers (morning 7:00-10:00 AM and evening 5:00-8:00 PM), representing 180-220% overcapacity. 850 sq.m of covered waiting space, providing only 0.017 sq.m per passenger during peak hours (well below the recommended 0.5 sq.m per person). Minimal 120 sq.m occupied by basic food kiosks and vendors. 45 two-wheeler slots and 12 four-wheeler slots, inadequate for daily parking demand of 200+ vehicles According to the Bengaluru Metropolitan Region Development Authority (BMRDA) and Indian Railways' Station Redevelopment Programme, the following projections necessitate immediate intervention:

Expected increase to 85,000-95,000 daily passengers by 2030 (90% growth), driven by expansion of suburban rail network (Namma Metro Phase 3 integration) and growth of commercial and IT employment in adjacent Indiranagar and MG Road corridors

Current annual revenue of ₹12-15 crores from passenger services alone, with potential to generate ₹150-180 crores annually through integrated commercial development, representing a 10-12x revenue multiplication Vision for the redevelopment includes expansion from current 3,200 sq.m to 85,000 sq.m (26.5x increase), catering to design capacity for 100,000 daily passengers with surge capacity of 120,000. 45,000 sq.m of retail, food courts, and entertainment and 25,000 sq.m of Grade-A commercial office space Is integrated. Direct connectivity to proposed Metro Line 3 and expanded bus terminal. Multi-level parking for 800 vehicles and 1,500 two-wheelers. Estimated ₹850-950 crores through PPP model with 45-year concession period. ₹180-220 crores annually (commercial leasing, parking, advertising, station services)

While the redevelopment proposal addresses quantitative capacity requirements, it lacks a comprehensive contextual framework that integrates climatic responsiveness, cultural identity, materiality, and user experience within the design process. The proposed massing and spatial organization follow generic TOD templates without adequate consideration of Bengaluru's specific warm-humid climate, colonial urban morphology, or local architectural character.

This study fills that gap by providing an evidence-based, context-driven framework that ensures the redeveloped station achieves not only functional and economic targets but also environmental sustainability, cultural relevance, and enhanced user experience—creating a transit hub that truly serves as a civic landmark rather than merely an infrastructural node.

Bengaluru Cantonment's redevelopment scale is comparable to completed projects such as:

Rani Kamlapati Station, Bhopal: 35,000 sq.m built-up, serves 50,000 daily passengers Gandhinagar Capital Station: 42,000 sq.m built-up, serves 60,000 daily passengers However, Cantonment's unique positioning within a dense urban context with significant heritage fabric requires a more nuanced design approach than these greenfield or peripheral stations—making it an ideal case for developing and testing a contextual framework applicable to similar dense urban transit nodes across India.

4.1.2 Site Context and Urban Setting

The Bengaluru Cantonment Railway Station serves as a crucial node within the city's expanding transit

network, located in the heart of a rapidly urbanizing area that blends colonial heritage with contemporary commercial growth. Positioned near the city's Central Business District and key arterial roads such as Infantry Road and MG Road, it acts as both a mobility hub and an architectural landmark.

The station precinct lies within the Cantonment area, characterized by its distinct urban morphology, wide tree-lined avenues, low-rise colonial-era buildings, and institutional structures such as military establishments, churches, and clubs. However, the surrounding development largely organic and uncoordinated has led to spatial conflicts between pedestrian, vehicular, and commercial flows. With the proposed redevelopment plans, this case offers an ideal ground to study how context-driven architectural parameters can guide the design of a mixed-use commercial complex integrated with a transit hub.

4.2 Field Study Findings

The field study was conducted over site visit during different times of the day to capture variations in user activity, climatic conditions, and spatial utilization patterns. The following sections present findings organized according to the six framework parameters.

4.2.1 Architectural Features: Form and Spatial Organization

The existing Cantonment Station building reflects a colonial-era architectural vocabulary with pitched roofs, brick masonry walls, and covered verandas. The main station building is a single-story structure with platform sheds extending linearly along the tracks. The form is simple and functional, prioritizing railway operations over public spatial experience. The massing exhibits a low-rise horizontal character that integrates with the colonial urban grain, featuring repetitive arched openings along the platform with brick and plaster finish and minimal ornamentation. However, the visual and physical connection between the station interior and surrounding streets remains severely limited, creating a sense of insularity that diminishes the station's potential as an urban anchor.

The circulation system within the station is characterized by a single-entry point that creates significant bottlenecks during peak hours. The internal circulation is linear and predictable but lacks clarity in wayfinding, with passengers often appearing uncertain about platform access points and service locations. The platforms themselves are narrow, with limited seating and shelter, causing severe congestion during train arrivals. This inadequacy becomes particularly evident during morning and evening peak hours when passenger volumes far exceed the designed capacity of the circulation infrastructure.

The commercial buildings surrounding the station exhibit varied architectural typologies that reflect the area's evolutionary development. Colonial shophouses, typically two-story structures with ground-floor retail and upper-floor residential uses, feature continuous arcades that provide shaded pedestrian walkways and create a comfortable microclimate at street level. These structures demonstrate a sophisticated understanding of tropical design principles, with deep setbacks, covered walkways, and natural ventilation. In stark contrast, modern commercial buildings of three to five stories present glass and concrete façades that lack any contextual relationship with the heritage fabric. These contemporary insertions appear as isolated objects rather than contributing elements to the urban continuum, prioritizing internal programmatic requirements over external urban relationships.

Institutional buildings associated with the military cantonment maintain generous setbacks with landscaped frontages and restricted access, contributing to the area's green character but simultaneously creating voids in the active street frontage. This spatial fragmentation results in an inconsistent pedestrian experience, alternating between vibrant commercial stretches and inactive institutional zones. The lack of hierarchical spatial organization to separate different user groups passengers, vendors, visitors, and through-pedestrians

creates constant friction and inefficiency. Intermediate transitional spaces between the station and commercial areas are conspicuously absent, eliminating opportunities for gradual spatial transition that could enhance both functional efficiency and experiential quality. Visual connectivity between arrival points and commercial frontages is poor, preventing the natural synergy between transit flows and commercial activity that characterizes successful transit-oriented developments globally.

The underutilization of upper floors for mixed-use functions represents a significant missed opportunity. While ground floors along major streets are intensively developed for retail, upper floors often remain underutilized or poorly maintained, suggesting that vertical integration of uses has not been systematically pursued. This horizontal emphasis, while appropriate for preserving the colonial urban character, fails to leverage the density potential that proximity to transit infrastructure typically generates. The opportunity exists for vertical mixed-use development that respects the horizontal colonial grain at street level while introducing contemporary density above, creating a layered urban condition that serves both heritage preservation and functional intensification. The introduction of multi-level circulation could separate pedestrian flows and reduce congestion, while public plazas and forecourts could enhance station visibility and commercial activation, transforming the station from a mere transit node into a genuine urban destination.

The spatial organization at Cantonment Station reveals a fundamental tension between preserving the legibility of colonial-era urban grain and accommodating contemporary high-density transit-oriented development. While vertical expansion appears necessary to meet projected capacity, the question remains whether a multi-story mixed-use complex can maintain meaningful dialogue with the low-rise heritage context, or does functional efficiency inevitably override contextual integration. The case studies demonstrate that iconic stations like CSMT achieve cultural permanence through monumental scale, yet such grandeur may be contextually inappropriate in Cantonment's more intimate urban fabric. This raises a critical design challenge regarding how to create architectural presence and commercial viability without overwhelming the surrounding streetscape. Furthermore, the linear organization of railway platforms inherently conflicts with the radial pedestrian desire lines emanating from surrounding neighbourhoods, creating circulation inefficiencies that standard architectural solutions have yet to adequately resolve. The question persists whether transitional spatial layers—arcades, plazas, elevated walkways—can truly mediate this conflict, or if they merely add complexity without addressing the fundamental mismatch between rail geometry and urban pedestrian patterns.

4.2.2 Climatic Responsiveness

Bengaluru experiences a moderate climate characterized by average annual temperatures of 24°C, ranging from 15°C to 35°C throughout the year. The city receives an average annual rainfall of 970 mm, concentrated primarily during the monsoon months from June to September. Relative humidity remains consistently between 60-70% throughout the year, while prevailing winds shift from southwest during the

monsoon to northeast during winter months. This climatic profile suggests significant potential for passive design strategies, yet field observations reveal a systematic underutilization of these natural advantages.

The main station building is oriented east-west, with platforms running parallel to the tracks in alignment with railway operational requirements rather than solar considerations. This orientation results in south-facing façades receiving maximum solar exposure during midday hours, leading to substantial heat gain in waiting areas and circulation spaces. The limited use of shading devices such as overhangs, louvers, or vegetation on exposed façades exacerbates this thermal discomfort. Platform sheds provide partial shading through their corrugated metal roofs, but this protection proves insufficient during peak sun hours when the low angle of solar radiation penetrates beneath the shallow overhangs. The metal roofing itself, lacking adequate insulation, radiates heat downward, further degrading thermal comfort on the platforms during afternoon hours.

Natural ventilation patterns within the existing station building demonstrate both successes and failures of the colonial-era design approach. The building benefits from cross-ventilation through arched openings that allow air movement across the main concourse and waiting areas. High ceilings with exposed roof trusses create sufficient volume for warm air to rise and escape, preventing heat accumulation at occupied levels. Platform areas experience good air movement due to their open-sided configuration, with no obstructions to prevailing breezes. However, these advantages are confined to the heritage structure itself. Adjacent commercial buildings largely rely on mechanical ventilation with sealed glass façades, creating hermetically controlled interior environments that consume significant energy while contributing to the urban heat island effect through their waste heat rejection. Street-level arcades in colonial shophouses create shaded microclimates that remain noticeably cooler than exposed sidewalks, demonstrating the continued effectiveness of traditional design strategies. Unfortunately, these arcades do not extend beyond the colonial structures, creating an abrupt transition to sun-exposed pedestrian environments in more recent developments.

Daylighting performance across the station precinct varies dramatically between heritage and contemporary structures. The station concourse and waiting areas receive inadequate natural light due to small window openings sized for security rather than illumination, necessitating artificial lighting throughout the day despite abundant exterior daylight. Platform areas benefit from natural illumination through their open-sided configuration, though they lack effective glare control, creating visual discomfort during morning and afternoon hours when low-angle sunlight penetrates horizontally. Commercial buildings demonstrate a paradox wherein extensive glazing is employed ostensibly for daylighting, yet these same buildings maintain artificial lighting throughout operational hours due to excessive glare and heat gain that forces window blinds to remain closed. This represents a fundamental misunderstanding of daylighting principles confusing transparency with effective daylight utilization.

Thermal comfort assessment reveals significant spatial and temporal variations. Waiting areas inside the heritage station building achieve reasonable thermal comfort through thick masonry walls providing thermal mass that moderates temperature fluctuations, combined with high ceilings that prevent heat accumulation at occupied levels. However, open platforms experience considerable discomfort during hot afternoons and monsoon rains due to inadequate shelter. The platform sheds, while providing nominal overhead protection, fail to address wind-driven rain or low-angle solar radiation, leaving passengers exposed to weather extremes. The lack of landscaping in the station forecourt, combined with extensive hard paved surfaces in asphalt and concrete, contributes significantly to localized heat island effects. Temperature measurements during afternoon hours revealed surface temperatures of paved areas exceeding

50°C, radiating heat that elevates ambient air temperatures and degrades pedestrian comfort in approach routes to the station.

These observations identify several critical gaps in climatic responsiveness. Insufficient shading strategies for south and west-facing façades represent the most immediate deficiency, allowing excessive solar heat gain into occupied spaces and degrading both thermal comfort and energy performance. The over-reliance on mechanical cooling in adjacent commercial buildings reflects a broader failure to leverage Bengaluru's moderate climate for passive conditioning. The lack of green infrastructure including street trees, vertical gardens, and green roofs represents a missed opportunity to moderate microclimate through evapotranspiration and shading. Poor integration of passive cooling techniques such as stack ventilation, wind catchers, or evaporative cooling suggests that contemporary design practices have largely abandoned the climatic wisdom embedded in vernacular and colonial-era architecture.

However, these gaps also present clear opportunities for intervention in future redevelopment. The introduction of deep overhangs, louvered screens, and cantilevered canopies could provide effective solar shading while maintaining visual openness. Courtyard typologies and atrium spaces could enhance natural ventilation through stack effects while creating sheltered outdoor spaces buffered from urban noise and pollution. Strategic placement of vegetation along pedestrian routes and in the station forecourt could create shaded microclimates and reduce ambient temperatures through evapotranspiration. The incorporation of reflective or high-albedo materials for roof and paving surfaces could reduce solar heat absorption and mitigate heat island effects. These passive strategies, if systematically integrated during design, could substantially reduce cooling loads while enhancing user comfort.

The climatic response at Bengaluru Cantonment Station exposes a persistent paradox in contemporary Indian architecture wherein; despite possessing one of the most favourable climates globally for passive design strategies, new commercial developments consistently default to mechanical conditioning and sealed envelopes. This raises a provocative question regarding whether the rejection of passive climatology is driven by genuine performance concerns, or if it has become a symbol of modernity and commercial prestige. The heritage station building demonstrates that thermal comfort is achievable through simple strategies—thick walls, high ceilings, shaded openings—yet these principles are conspicuously absent in adjacent contemporary structures. One must question whether architects and developers truly understand Bengaluru's microclimate, or if design decisions are imported wholesale from other climatic zones. More critically, the proposed redevelopment's emphasis on glazed façades and air-conditioned interiors suggests a willingness to accept perpetual energy dependence rather than invest in sophisticated passive systems. The question remains whether passive design can achieve the transparency and visual connectivity demanded by modern commercial programs, or if climate-responsive architecture inherently limits programmatic flexibility. The case studies show both extremes CSMT's complete reliance on passive strategies versus Rani Kamlapati's mechanical dependency—but neither offers a convincing hybrid model for India's composite climates, begging reconsideration of what climate-appropriate architecture means in an era of affordable HVAC technology versus escalating environmental urgency.

4.2.3 Cultural and Visual Identity

The Cantonment area carries a distinct identity shaped by its colonial military history and subsequent evolution as a commercial and institutional hub. The precinct is characterized by military parade grounds, colonial clubs and churches, heritage bungalows, tree-lined avenues, and formal landscaping that collectively create a unique sense of place distinguishable from other parts of Bengaluru. This layered historical identity provides a rich contextual foundation that contemporary development largely fails to

engage meaningfully.

The visual character of the station building itself reflects modest colonial architecture with minimal ornamentation. The structure exhibits brick masonry with lime plaster finish, arched openings that provide both functional and aesthetic rhythm along the platform façades, and pitched tile roofs using traditional Mangalore clay tiles. While these elements demonstrate competent execution of colonial utilitarian design, they lack the elaborate decorative programs or monumental gestures that characterize more celebrated heritage stations. The architecture speaks of functionality and economy rather than civic grandeur, positioning the station as a practical infrastructure element rather than a symbolic landmark. This understated character, while historically authentic, creates challenges for establishing strong urban imageability in an era when transit hubs increasingly function as iconic city markers.

The surrounding built context presents a fragmented visual narrative. Heritage shophouses maintain consistency in scale, material, and architectural language, creating coherent streetscapes along certain stretches. However, modern commercial insertions disrupt this continuity through inconsistent building heights, incompatible material palettes, and architectural languages that ignore contextual references. The absence of design guidelines or heritage controls has permitted ad-hoc development that prioritizes individual building expression over collective urban character. Generic commercial signage overwhelms architectural features, creating visual clutter that obscures whatever architectural quality exists in both heritage and contemporary structures. The lack of public art, murals, or cultural installations that reflect local identity represents a missed opportunity to layer contemporary cultural expression onto the historical framework. Where such interventions do occur, they tend toward generic beautification rather than meaningful place-making grounded in local narratives and communities.

The sense of place within the Cantonment precinct emerges more from landscaping and urban morphology than from architectural expression. Mature trees lining avenues create a distinctive green canopy that moderates microclimate and provides visual coherence despite architectural fragmentation below. The wide streets and generous setbacks inherited from military planning create a spaciousness uncommon in Bengaluru's denser commercial areas. However, these environmental qualities exist somewhat independently of architectural intention, representing inherited conditions rather than designed interventions. Field interviews with daily commuters and local shopkeepers revealed ambivalent perceptions of the station's identity. Respondents consistently viewed the station as a functional transit point rather than a landmark destination, expressing appreciation for tree cover and the colonial-era streetscape while feeling the station itself lacks distinctive character. Many users described the station as "just another railway station" despite its strategic location and historical context, suggesting a failure of architecture to create memorable identity. There exists a palpable desire for spaces that reflect Bengaluru's evolving identity as a cosmopolitan, technology-driven city while respecting its heritage, yet current development satisfies neither aspiration convincingly.

Several critical gaps in cultural and visual identity emerge from these observations. The absence of architectural elements that communicate Bengaluru's cultural identity—whether historical or contemporary—reduces the station to generic infrastructure. The progressive loss of heritage features through ad-hoc modifications and additions erodes the modest character that does exist, while maintenance neglect accelerates deterioration. The generic design language in recent commercial developments that ignores contextual references creates visual discontinuity and placelessness. The lack of interpretive signage or public art that narrates the area's history represents a missed opportunity for place-making through cultural storytelling. Wayfinding and building signage rely predominantly on English with minimal

Kannada representation, despite the latter being the official state language, creating an implicit cultural hierarchy that marginalizes local identity in favour of cosmopolitan neutrality.

These gaps simultaneously present opportunities for meaningful intervention. The integration of local craftsmanship—stone carving, terracotta work, and metal fabrication—in façade design could provide tactile authenticity and support traditional craft economies. Public art installations and murals celebrating Bengaluru's cultural diversity from Vijayanagar heritage to contemporary technology culture could create narrative layers that enrich the urban experience. Preservation and adaptive reuse of heritage structures within any redevelopment scheme could maintain historical continuity while demonstrating that conservation and development need not be mutually exclusive. The design of signage and wayfinding systems incorporating local language and visual motifs drawn from regional art traditions could assert cultural specificity without resorting to kitsch historicism. Lighting design presenting the station as a nighttime landmark could enhance urban imageability while creating a sense of civic pride.

The erosion of cultural identity at Bengaluru Cantonment Station reflects a broader crisis in Indian urban architecture regarding the inability to forge a contemporary architectural language that is simultaneously modern and rooted in place. The persistent question concerns what constitutes authentic cultural expression in transit architecture without resorting to superficial ornamentation or pastiche historicism. While CSMT achieved cultural synthesis through Indo-Saracenic fusion during the colonial era, contemporary architects lack an equivalent vocabulary that feels both progressive and contextually grounded. The generic glass-and-steel aesthetic dominating recent commercial developments around Cantonment suggests that globalized architectural expression has become the de facto neutral choice, yet this neutrality itself represents a cultural stance—one privileging international corporate identity over local character. More troubling is the apparent disconnect between users' desire for cultural legibility and architects' pursuit of universal modernism. Field observations reveal that passengers and locals alike reference the heritage station building and tree-lined avenues as markers of identity, yet proposed redevelopment renders these elements peripheral rather than foundational to design thinking. This raises uncomfortable questions about whose culture transit architecture should represent in a rapidly globalizing city—the cosmopolitan elite, traditional communities, or arriving migrants. The question persists whether a single building can satisfy these divergent cultural narratives, or if contemporary transit hubs will inevitably be placeless nodes of global mobility rather than anchors of local identity.

4.2.4 Materiality

The material palette at Bengaluru Cantonment Station reveals a stark dichotomy between heritage construction and contemporary development, exposing broader trends in material selection driven more by construction industry economics than contextual appropriateness or environmental performance. The existing station building employs load-bearing brick masonry walls with lime plaster finish, demonstrating traditional construction techniques that remain functionally sound after decades of service. The Mangalore

clay tile roofing, a regional material well-adapted to monsoon conditions, provides natural insulation and weatherproofing while contributing to the building's visual character. Stone flooring on platforms, though worn from decades of foot traffic, demonstrates remarkable durability. Timber doors and window frames, while requiring periodic maintenance, offer natural ventilation control and contribute warmth to interior spaces. This material assembly represents a proven system evolved over centuries to address local climatic conditions, available resources, and craft traditions.

Adjacent commercial buildings present an entirely different material vocabulary. Modern structures employ glass curtain walls, aluminium composite panels, and concrete frames—materials selected primarily for construction speed, availability through established supply chains, and alignment with contemporary aesthetic expectations. Colonial shophouses maintain exposed brick, plaster, timber shutters, and Mangalore tiles, creating visual continuity with the station building and demonstrating that these traditional materials remain viable for commercial applications. Institutional buildings associated with the military cantonment utilize stone masonry with stucco finish and metal roofing, representing a middle ground between vernacular robustness and modern efficiency. This material diversity within a compact urban area provides a laboratory for comparative performance assessment across different material systems and construction eras.

Thermal performance evaluation reveals significant differences between material systems. Heritage brick masonry walls, typically 450-600mm thick, provide substantial thermal mass that moderates indoor temperature fluctuations, absorbing heat during the day and releasing it during cooler night hours. This passive thermal regulation maintains relatively comfortable interior conditions without mechanical intervention. In contrast, modern glass façades contribute substantially to heat gain and glare issues, requiring continuous mechanical cooling to maintain acceptable indoor conditions. The glazing employed typically consists of standard clear glass without low-emissivity coatings or adequate external shading, resulting in high solar heat gain coefficients. Clay tile roofing on heritage structures offers natural insulation properties through the air gap between tiles and roof deck, coupled with the material's inherent thermal resistance. Metal roofing on contemporary structures, when inadequately insulated, creates radiant heat transfer that elevates interior temperatures. Stone flooring, while massive and durable, lacks thermal comfort during monsoon periods when surface condensation and coolness create discomfort, suggesting that even well-performing materials may present seasonal limitations.

Durability and maintenance patterns further differentiate material systems. Colonial-era materials like brick, stone, and timber demonstrate good long-term durability with minimal maintenance requirements, performing adequately after 80-100 years of service. The primary deterioration observed involves lime plaster failure due to water infiltration and timber decay in inadequately maintained elements, both readily repairable using traditional techniques. Modern materials, despite their newness, require frequent replacement due to weathering and poor detailing. Aluminium composite panels show delamination and discoloration after 10-15 years, while glass sealants deteriorate under monsoon exposure, creating water infiltration pathways. The maintenance requirements of these contemporary materials, combined with their limited-service life, raise questions about long-term cost-effectiveness and sustainability despite their initial cost advantages and rapid installation.

Visual and tactile quality represents another dimension of material performance often overlooked in technical assessments. Heritage materials create a sense of warmth and human scale through their natural colour variations, weathering patterns, and artisanal fabrication traces. Brick coursing, stone tooling marks, and timber grain provide visual interest at multiple scales, engaging observers from distant views to tactile

proximity. Modern materials, while achieving smooth uniformity suitable for certain aesthetic programs, often appear generic and lack contextual resonance. The standardization inherent in industrial material production eliminates the subtle variations that provide architectural character and connection to place. The absence of locally sourced materials in contemporary construction diminishes connection to regional identity, creating buildings that could exist anywhere rather than expressing specificity to Bengaluru's material culture and craft traditions.

Several critical material-related gaps emerge from this assessment. The over-reliance on imported and energy-intensive materials such as aluminium and glass in new construction prioritizes global supply chains over local material economies and environmental performance. The loss of traditional craftsmanship and material knowledge results from discontinued use of vernacular materials, creating a self-reinforcing cycle wherein these materials become progressively less available and more expensive due to lack of demand. Poor detailing and weatherproofing strategies for monsoon climate suggest inadequate understanding of material behaviour under local environmental conditions, leading to premature failure and excessive maintenance. The lack of material continuity between heritage and contemporary structures creates visual discord and missed opportunities for architectural dialogue across construction eras.

However, these gaps also present opportunities for innovative material strategies in future development. The use of locally available materials such as Bangalore granite, laterite stone, and terracotta could reduce embodied energy, support regional economies, and enhance contextual integration. Contemporary interpretations of traditional materials—such as perforated brick screens providing solar shading, or stone cladding on modern structural frames—could achieve both performative and symbolic objectives. The development of hybrid material systems combining traditional materials' thermal performance with modern structural efficiency could transcend the false dichotomy between heritage reproduction and complete material transformation. The specification of low-embodied-energy materials, including high-volume fly ash concrete, recycled steel, and sustainably harvested timber, could align material selection with broader sustainability commitments while maintaining or enhancing technical performance.

The material choices at Bengaluru Cantonment Station illuminate a troubling contradiction in sustainable architecture discourse wherein, while architects increasingly advocate for low-carbon, locally sourced materials, market forces and construction practices continue to favour industrialized, high-embodied-energy systems. The persistence of heritage brick and stone construction in the station building demonstrates material longevity and passive thermal performance, yet adjacent contemporary developments dismiss these proven strategies in favour of aluminium-glass assemblies requiring replacement every 20-30 years. This prompts critical examination regarding whether the preference for modern materials is driven by superior performance or by construction industry inertia and architectural fashion. More provocatively, the question arises whether vernacular materials like brick, stone, and terracotta can achieve the visual transparency, structural efficiency, and construction speed demanded by contemporary commercial programs. The case studies present no convincing answer—CSMT's stone masonry achieves permanence but appears economically unfeasible at modern construction scales, while Rani Kamlapati's contemporary materials prioritize speed but sacrifice contextual resonance and durability. Furthermore, the emphasis on locally sourced materials may be misplaced—the question persists whether a 300km sourcing radius truly reduces environmental impact compared to efficiently manufactured industrial materials, or if we are fetishizing locality without rigorous life-cycle analysis. The materiality parameter thus exposes tensions between sustainability metrics such as embodied carbon and durability, contextual appropriateness including regional identity and craft continuity, and practical constraints

involving cost, availability, and construction expertise. The question remains whether contemporary transit architecture can reconcile these competing demands, or if designers must perpetually compromise one value for another.

4.2.5 User Comfort and Accessibility

The Bengaluru Cantonment Station serves a diverse user population encompassing daily commuters including office workers and students, long-distance travellers, vendors and service providers, elderly passengers and persons with disabilities, as well as tourists and occasional users. This demographic diversity necessitates design strategies that accommodate varying physical capabilities, familiarity with the station, dwell times, and comfort expectations. However, field observations reveal systematic failures to address the needs of many user groups, particularly those with mobility limitations or requiring enhanced wayfinding support.

Physical accessibility presents perhaps the most glaring deficiency in the current station infrastructure. The single ground-level entry point features stepped access that creates insurmountable barriers for wheelchair users and significant challenges for elderly passengers, those carrying heavy luggage, and parents with strollers. The absence of ramps, elevators, or tactile paving for visually impaired users violates basic universal design principles and excludes a significant portion of potential users from independent station access. Narrow staircases connecting platforms feature inadequate handrails and inconsistent riser heights that create tripping hazards, particularly dangerous during rush hours when crowds surge up and down these constrained passages. The platforms themselves lack level boarding facilities, requiring passengers to climb steep steps into train compartments—a challenge that becomes dangerous for elderly or disabled passengers and effectively prevents wheelchair users from utilizing train services independently. This systematic inaccessibility reflects a fundamental failure to recognize transit as a public service that must serve all citizens regardless of physical capability.

Wayfinding and signage deficiencies compound accessibility challenges by creating orientation difficulties even for able-bodied users. Limited directional signage both inside the station and in the surrounding area forces passengers to rely on asking directions or prior familiarity with the station layout. Existing signage suffers from poor maintenance, with faded text and damaged panels that render information illegible. The lack of multilingual signage in Kannada, Hindi, and English excludes users who cannot read the dominant language, creating particular difficulties for interstate migrants and international visitors. The absence of digital information displays for real-time train schedules forces passengers to rely on audio announcements that are frequently inaudible due to ambient noise or spoken in languages unfamiliar to some users. This information deficit creates anxiety and uncertainty, particularly for first-time users or those with tight connections, degrading the overall travel experience.

Waiting comfort represents another dimension where current infrastructure falls dramatically short of user needs. Insufficient seating capacity on platforms during peak hours forces many passengers to stand for extended periods while waiting for trains, creating particular hardship for elderly users, pregnant women,

and those with health conditions. Existing benches consist of basic metal or concrete construction lacking ergonomic design, with hard surfaces and no back support that provide minimal comfort even for short waiting periods. The absence of designated waiting areas for families, elderly, or priority passengers means that those requiring special consideration must compete with general crowds for whatever limited seating exists. Sheltered seating protected from sun and rain is virtually non-existent, forcing passengers to choose between seeking shade away from the platform edge and positioning themselves for convenient train boarding, a trade-off that should not be necessary with adequate infrastructure.

Thermal and environmental comfort conditions vary dramatically throughout the station complex. Waiting areas inside the main station building suffer from inadequate ventilation and natural light, creating stuffy, dim environments that feel oppressive during extended waits. Platform sheds provide minimal protection during heavy monsoon rains, with water penetrating through gaps in the corrugated roofing and blowing sideways under the shallow overhangs. The lack of drinking water fountains forces passengers to rely on commercial vendors or go without hydration, while public restrooms on platforms are grossly inadequate for the user volume, often poorly maintained, and lack basic amenities. The acoustic environment suffers from excessive noise due to poorly calibrated loudspeaker systems that blast announcements at distorted volumes, combined with train operations and crowd noise that create a stressful sensory experience, particularly for children, elderly users, and those with sensory sensitivities.

Crowd management during peak hours reveals fundamental capacity inadequacies in the station design. Morning hours from 8:00 to 10:00 AM and evening hours from 5:00 to 8:00 PM witness severe congestion at entry points and ticket counters, with queues extending onto sidewalks and creating pedestrian conflicts with through-traffic. Platform circulation is severely hindered by vendor stalls and passenger luggage that occupy what little circulation space exists, forcing passengers into dangerous proximity to platform edges. The conflict between boarding and alighting passengers occurs continuously due to narrow platform widths that prevent separation of these opposing flows, creating chaotic conditions during every train arrival and departure. Inadequate queuing space for ticket purchase and security screening creates disorganized crowds that degrade service efficiency and create opportunities for queue-jumping conflicts.

Safety and security concerns emerge from multiple infrastructure deficiencies. Poor lighting in certain areas, particularly in peripheral zones and during evening hours, creates legitimate safety concerns especially for women and vulnerable passengers. Limited surveillance coverage through CCTV cameras leaves many areas unmonitored, reducing deterrence of theft, harassment, or other criminal activity. The absence of clearly marked emergency exits and evacuation signage creates potential hazards in any emergency scenario, while informal vending and hawking activities obstruct circulation paths and emergency egress routes, creating fire safety risks and complicating evacuation procedures.

Available amenities fall far short of modern transit station standards. Basic ticket counters frequently feature long queues due to insufficient staffing and slow manual processes. Limited food stalls and kiosks on platforms offer minimal selection and questionable hygiene standards. Restroom facilities prove grossly inadequate for user volumes, with insufficient fixtures, poor maintenance, and lack of accessibility features. Essential services such as left-luggage facilities, porter services, ATMs, banking services, information desks, help centres, and Wi-Fi connectivity are either absent or inadequately provided. Missing passenger amenities include climate-controlled waiting lounges for extended waits, baby care rooms for nursing mothers and infant changing, prayer rooms for religious observance, business centres with workspaces and charging stations, and retail services beyond basic food vendors.

These systematic deficiencies identify several critical gaps in user-cantered design. The comprehensive non-compliance with universal accessibility standards represents not merely a technical shortcoming but an ethical failure to serve all citizens equitably. Inadequate capacity of waiting and circulation spaces for current passenger volumes, let alone projected growth, creates chronic overcrowding and discomfort. Poor quality of existing amenities and services diminishes the travel experience and reflects inadequate investment in passenger-facing infrastructure. The overall lack of a passenger-centric design approach treating users as transient processing requirements rather than human beings deserving dignity and comfort pervades the current station design and operation.

However, these gaps simultaneously present clear opportunities for transformative intervention. The design of comprehensive barrier-free access throughout any redeveloped station complex, including ramps at appropriate slopes, elevators serving all levels with adequate capacity, tactile guidance systems for visually impaired users, accessible restrooms meeting current standards, and service animal relief areas, could establish Cantonment as an exemplary accessible transit facility. Implementation of a comprehensive wayfinding strategy employing hierarchical signage with clear visual distinction between primary directional, secondary confirmational, and tertiary informational signage, tri-lingual content in Kannada, Hindi, and English, consistent visual identity and colour coding by functional zone, digital displays providing real-time information, and tactile and braille signage for visually impaired users could dramatically improve orientation and reduce passenger anxiety. Creation of comfortable, climate-responsive waiting areas featuring ergonomic seating with adequate capacity, climate control balancing energy efficiency with thermal comfort, dedicated family rooms and baby care facilities, prayer rooms and quiet spaces for contemplation, and distributed food courts and retail services could transform waiting from an endurance test into an acceptable experience. Integration of modern amenities including passenger lounges with premium seating, business centres with work surfaces and charging facilities, comprehensive retail and food services, ATM and currency exchange facilities, and ubiquitous Wi-Fi connectivity could position Cantonment as a contemporary transit hub rather than a legacy infrastructure struggling with modernity.

The user experience deficiencies at Bengaluru Cantonment Station reveal a fundamental ethical failure in transit architecture wherein, despite decades of advocacy for universal design and human-cantered planning, marginalized users including the elderly, disabled, and economically disadvantaged remain systematically excluded from comfortable station environments. This raises uncomfortable questions about whose comfort matters in design decision-making. While commercial developments prioritize premium experiences for retail consumers through air conditioning, escalators, and sophisticated lighting, basic passenger dignity including accessible restrooms, adequate seating, and weather protection receives minimal investment. One must interrogate whether user experience has become a euphemism for amenities targeting affluent travellers while normalizing discomfort for daily commuters. The absence of barrier-free access proves particularly troubling, suggesting that Indian transit architecture considers persons with disabilities an edge case rather than a fundamental design constituency requiring accommodation as a matter of right. More broadly, the overcrowded platforms and inadequate waiting areas expose the limits of architectural solutions to infrastructural undercapacity—the question persists whether better design can genuinely improve user experience when passenger volumes exceed design capacity by 180-220 percent, or if focusing on experience parameters distracts from systemic underinvestment in public transit infrastructure. The case studies demonstrate that even well-designed stations like Rani Kamlapati struggle with crowd management during peak periods, suggesting that user comfort may be structurally

incompatible with high-volume transit operations unless we fundamentally reconsider circulation strategies, temporal distribution of passenger flows, or the expectation that transit stations should accommodate all users simultaneously rather than efficiently process them through. The question remains whether architecture should prioritize throughput efficiency or experiential quality, and whether these objectives can coexist or represent fundamentally incompatible goals in constrained urban sites serving mass populations.

4.2.6 Energy Efficiency and Sustainability

The assessment of energy efficiency and sustainability at Bengaluru Cantonment Station reveals a troubling pattern of missed opportunities and systematic underinvestment in environmental performance despite the growing urgency of climate action and resource conservation. The current station precinct operates with minimal conscious attention to energy consumption, water management, waste reduction, or broader ecological impact, reflecting an infrastructure paradigm focused narrowly on operational functionality while externalizing environmental costs.

Energy consumption patterns across the station precinct demonstrate stark contrasts between the heritage station building and adjacent commercial developments. The station building itself relies primarily on natural ventilation and daylighting, minimizing active energy consumption to basic artificial lighting during evening hours and minimal electrical services. This passive approach, while not resulting from explicit sustainability goals but rather from limited infrastructure investment, achieves low energy intensity compared to contemporary standards. However, adjacent commercial buildings prove highly energy-intensive, with sealed envelopes requiring continuous mechanical cooling to maintain acceptable indoor conditions. These buildings operate split air conditioning systems throughout business hours, with cooling loads elevated by inadequate envelope performance including single-glazed windows without shading, insufficient wall insulation, and poor air-tightness. Artificial lighting remains illuminated throughout operational hours despite abundant exterior daylight, with inefficient fluorescent or incandescent fixtures consuming excessive energy. Escalators and elevators in multi-story commercial buildings add substantial electrical loads. The absence of visible renewable energy systems such as rooftop solar photovoltaic panels or solar water heaters throughout the precinct represents a significant missed opportunity, particularly given Bengaluru's favourable solar resource with approximately 300 sunny days annually. Street lighting throughout the area employs conventional high-pressure sodium or mercury vapor lamps rather than energy-efficient LED fixtures, consuming excessive energy while providing inadequate light quality.

Water management practices prove equally deficient despite Bengaluru's water scarcity challenges and substantial monsoon rainfall offering conservation opportunities. No evidence exists of rainwater harvesting systems despite adequate roof areas across the station building and adjacent commercial structures that could capture substantial volumes during the monsoon season. Storm water runoff flows directly into municipal drainage systems without treatment or infiltration, wasting this resource while

potentially causing downstream flooding and water pollution. Public restrooms within the station lack water-efficient fixtures such as low-flow faucets, dual-flush toilets, or waterless urinals, resulting in excessive consumption. No visible greywater recycling or treatment systems exist to recover and reuse water from hand-washing or other non-sewage sources for landscape irrigation or toilet flushing. The municipal water supply serves all uses including landscape irrigation of peripheral vegetation, representing inefficient use of treated potable water for purposes that could be satisfied by recycled or harvested rainwater.

Waste management infrastructure and practices demonstrate minimal attention to resource recovery or environmental responsibility. Limited waste segregation facilities mean that most waste generated by passengers, vendors, and commercial establishments is mixed and disposed through conventional municipal collection without separation of recyclables or organic matter. The absence of composting systems for organic waste from the numerous food vendors operating on platforms and in adjacent commercial areas means that biodegradable material that could be beneficially processed instead contributes to landfill volumes. Plastic waste from disposable water bottles, food packaging, and other single-use products is pervasive throughout the station precinct, with inadequate collection infrastructure and no visible recycling programs to divert this material from waste streams. No visible recycling collection points for paper, metal, glass, or other recyclable materials exist on platforms or in commercial areas, eliminating any possibility of material recovery. The absence of e-waste collection facilities means that discarded electronics and batteries likely enter general waste streams, creating environmental contamination risks.

Landscape and green infrastructure assessment reveals both assets and missed opportunities. Existing mature trees along avenues provide substantial ecosystem services including shade that reduces ambient temperatures, carbon sequestration, air quality improvement through particulate filtration, and habitat for urban wildlife. These trees, primarily legacy plantings from the colonial era, demonstrate the long-term value of urban forestry investments. However, the station forecourt and immediately surrounding areas contain minimal vegetation, representing missed opportunities for urban greening. Hard paved surfaces in concrete and asphalt dominate the landscape, absorbing solar radiation and re-radiating heat that elevates ambient air temperatures and creates uncomfortable microclimates. The absence of green roofs on the station building or adjacent commercial structures wastes roof areas that could provide insulation, reduce stormwater runoff, and create urban habitat. Vertical gardens or green walls that could moderate building temperatures while improving air quality and providing aesthetic benefits are entirely absent. Bio-swales or rain gardens that could manage stormwater while providing infiltration and water quality treatment through biological processes do not exist within the station precinct, despite their proven effectiveness in similar urban contexts.

Building certifications provide another measure of sustainability performance. No green building certifications such as LEED or GRIHA exist for structures within the station precinct, indicating that environmental performance has not been systematically evaluated or optimized. Recent commercial buildings demonstrate no apparent compliance with increasingly stringent energy codes or sustainability standards despite these becoming mandatory for certain building types and sizes. The absence of environmental performance data such as energy use intensity, water consumption, or waste generation rates prevents objective assessment of sustainability performance or identification of improvement opportunities.

These observations identify multiple critical gaps in energy efficiency and sustainability. The most significant represents missed opportunities to leverage abundant natural resources including solar radiation

for energy generation and monsoon rainfall for water supply to reduce dependence on grid electricity and municipal water. The high carbon footprint of adjacent commercial developments results from poor envelope design and mechanical system dependency that could be substantially reduced through passive design strategies and high-performance building systems. The lack of an integrated sustainability strategy for the station precinct means that individual buildings and operations proceed without consideration of cumulative environmental impact or synergistic opportunities for resource sharing. The absence of monitoring and measurement systems to track environmental performance prevents identification of inefficiencies, verification of improvement measures, or demonstration of sustainability commitments to stakeholders.

However, these gaps also present substantial opportunities for transformative intervention that could position any Cantonment Station redevelopment as an environmental exemplar. Integration of rooftop solar photovoltaic systems with capacity to offset 30-40 percent of building energy consumption represents an economically viable intervention given declining PV costs and generous solar resources. Implementation of rainwater harvesting systems with underground storage capacity of 500,000 litres or more could supply substantial non-potable water needs for toilet flushing and landscape irrigation, reducing municipal water demand. Greywater recycling systems processing wastewater from hand-washing and other sources could provide additional water supply while reducing sewage volumes. Design of green infrastructure including bioswales for stormwater treatment, rain gardens for infiltration, and permeable paving to reduce runoff could transform stormwater from a disposal problem into a beneficial resource. Specification of sustainable building materials including high-volume fly ash concrete reducing embodied carbon, recycled steel minimizing primary extraction, and sustainably harvested timber from certified sources could substantially reduce the environmental footprint of construction. Achievement of GRIHA 4-star certification requiring 70 or more points, or LEED Gold certification as internationally recognized validation of environmental performance, could demonstrate commitment to sustainability while providing marketing advantages. Adoption of passive design strategies including optimized building orientation, high-performance envelopes with low thermal transmittance, natural ventilation through courtyards and atria, and daylighting maximized through appropriate fenestration could minimize active cooling and lighting loads. Installation of smart metering and building management systems enabling real-time monitoring of energy, water, and other resource consumption could optimize operations while providing data to verify performance and identify improvement opportunities.

The energy and sustainability gaps at Bengaluru Cantonment Station expose a troubling disconnect between green building rhetoric increasingly prevalent in architectural discourse and actual implementation in Indian transit infrastructure. While architects and developers readily articulate sustainability commitments, the absence of even basic interventions such as rainwater harvesting, solar panels, or waste segregation suggests these are perceived as optional enhancements rather than fundamental design requirements. This prompts critical questioning regarding whether green building certifications function as genuine performance drivers or merely as marketing tools legitimizing business-as-usual development with minor adjustments. The case studies reveal a disturbing pattern wherein heritage stations like CSMT achieve reasonable environmental performance through passive design without formal certification, while contemporary stations pursue certification while remaining fundamentally energy-intensive. This inverts logical priorities, raising the question whether architecture should not prioritize actual performance over documentation and process compliance. More fundamentally, the sustainability parameter raises questions about the legitimacy of high-density transit-oriented development itself. If redevelopment increases built-

up area by 26.5 times while adding mechanical systems, commercial lighting, and escalators consuming substantial energy, can marginal efficiency gains through LED lighting and low-emissivity glass genuinely offset the absolute increase in energy consumption and embodied carbon from new construction? Or does sustainable TOD represent a contradiction attempting to green fundamentally unsustainable urban intensification? The emphasis on renewable energy through solar panel installation may deflect attention from more fundamental issues including excessive program density, car-centric access patterns persisting despite transit proximity, and demolition of existing functional infrastructure embodying substantial sunk carbon. Perhaps the most sustainable intervention would involve modest additions to existing structures rather than wholesale redevelopment, yet this conflicts with financial pro formas requiring maximum floor area to justify private investment and generate returns. The question persists whether transit architecture can achieve genuine sustainability within market-driven development models maximizing density and commercial intensity, or if we must fundamentally restructure economic incentives and planning regulations to prioritize environmental performance over financial optimization. offers natural insulation and weatherproofing. Stone flooring remains cool during hot weather but lacks thermal comfort during monsoons

Colonial-era materials (brick, stone, timber) show good durability with minimal maintenance whereas modern materials require frequent replacement due to weathering and poor detailing

Inadequate protection from monsoon rainfall causes deterioration of plaster and paintwork. While heritage materials create a sense of warmth and human scale modern materials appear generic and lack contextual resonance.

Over-reliance on imported and energy-intensive materials (aluminium, glass) in new construction leads to loss of traditional craftsmanship and material knowledge. Poor detailing and weatherproofing strategies for monsoon climate and there is lack of material continuity between heritage and contemporary structures

The material choices at Bengaluru Cantonment Station illuminate a troubling contradiction in sustainable architecture discourse—while architects increasingly advocate for low-carbon, locally sourced materials, market forces and construction practices continue to Favor industrialized, high-embodied-energy systems. The persistence of heritage brick and stone construction in the station building demonstrates material longevity and passive thermal performance, yet adjacent contemporary developments dismiss these proven strategies in Favor of aluminium-glass assemblies requiring replacement every 20-30 years. This prompts critical examination: is the preference for modern materials driven by superior performance, or by construction industry inertia and architectural fashion? More provocatively, can vernacular materials like brick, stone, and terracotta achieve the visual transparency, structural efficiency, and construction speed demanded by contemporary commercial programs? The case studies present no convincing answer CSMT's stone masonry achieves permanence but appears economically unfeasible at modern construction scales, while Rani Kamlapati's contemporary materials prioritize speed but sacrifice contextual resonance and durability. Furthermore, the emphasis on "locally sourced" materials may be misplaced—does a 300km sourcing radius truly reduce environmental impact compared to efficiently manufactured industrial materials, or are we fetishizing locality without rigorous life-cycle analysis? The materiality parameter thus exposes tensions between sustainability metrics (embodied carbon, durability), contextual appropriateness (regional identity, craft continuity), and practical constraints (cost, availability, construction expertise). Can contemporary transit architecture reconcile these competing demands, or must designers perpetually compromise one value for another?

Synthesis of Findings: Design Gaps and Opportunities

The synthesis of findings from the field study shows that Bengaluru Cantonment Station, despite its heritage value, mature vegetation, and favourable climate, exhibits substantial deficiencies across all framework parameters. These include spatial disconnect between transit and commercial areas, neglect of climate-responsive strategies, cultural erosion due to generic modern construction, material homogenization, user experience deficits, and sustainability gaps. Yet, these gaps also create opportunities for layered mixed-use development integrating transit, commercial, office, and civic functions; climate-responsive architecture employing passive cooling and high-performance envelopes; heritage-contemporary synthesis through adaptive reuse; material innovation using local and low-carbon resources; universal design ensuring inclusivity and comfort; and integrated sustainability aiming for net-zero performance through renewable energy and circular systems.

Architectural Critique: The Paradox of Contextual Transit-Oriented Development

The architectural critique identifies a deeper paradox within contextual transit-oriented development. The simultaneous demand for contextual sensitivity and functional universality produces tensions that cannot be entirely resolved. Architecture must balance monumental civic presence with contextual integration, transparency with shading, cultural identity with global relevance, and throughput efficiency with comfort. These contradictions reveal that design cannot reconcile all competing values simultaneously. The value of a framework lies in making these conflicts visible, prompting conscious prioritization among competing goals such as cultural continuity, economic efficiency, user comfort, and environmental performance. Bengaluru Cantonment Station embodies this struggle—a colonial relic torn between preservation and modernization, functionality and symbolism, sustainability and development intensity.

5 Design Guidelines

5.1 Spatial Organization Principles

At the ground level, direct connections to railway platforms with multiple entry and exit points should be provided, alongside retail and food services that activate pedestrian routes and transparent façades ensuring visual connectivity. Public plazas and forecourts should support gathering and orientation. Intermediate levels (1–3) should accommodate commercial and office functions with flexible floor plates, elevated pedestrian bridges, sky gardens, terraces, and transit lounges with views. Upper levels (4+) can include serviced apartments or hotels, rooftop restaurants, solar arrays, and green roofs, with mechanical and service floors integrated efficiently.

5.2 Climatic Design Strategies

Climatic design strategies should include double-skin façades with shading devices on sun-exposed sides, large north and east openings for daylighting, low-E glass specifications, recessed windows for self-shading, and stack ventilation through central atria. Operable windows, wind catchers, and hybrid ventilation should be incorporated, along with daylighting techniques like light shelves, skylights, clerestories, and automated lighting controls. High-reflectance interiors should enhance light distribution.

5.3 Material Selection Guidelines

Material selection should Favor reinforced concrete with fly ash cement, exposed finishes, and local materials such as granite, laterite, and perforated brick screens for shading. Aluminium and glass façades can be used selectively for transparency, with terracotta cladding for warmth. Roofs should feature green

coverings, Mangalore tiles, and cool coatings. Landscape materials should include permeable paving, local stone, and recycled street furniture.

5.4 Accessibility and User Experience Guidelines

Accessibility and user experience guidelines emphasize universal design, with 1.8m wide accessible routes, ramps with 1:12 slopes, elevators with audio-visual announcements, accessible restrooms, and tactile surfaces. Wayfinding should include hierarchical, tri-lingual signage, consistent colour coding, digital displays, and tactile information. Comfort amenities should feature climate-controlled lounges, family and baby care rooms, prayer spaces, food courts, ATMs, and Wi-Fi.

5.5 Sustainability Integration Guidelines

Sustainability integration guidelines recommend rooftop solar achieving 30% energy offset, high-efficiency HVAC systems, LED lighting with sensors, and smart management systems. Water systems should include rainwater harvesting with 500,000L capacity, greywater recycling covering 70% of wastewater, low-flow fixtures, native landscaping, and stormwater bioswales. Waste management should follow three-stream segregation, composting, recycling, and e-waste collection under a zero-waste approach. Target green certifications include GRIHA 4-star or LEED Gold, compliance with energy codes, life-cycle assessments, and post-occupancy performance verification.

6. CONCLUSIONS

6.1 Summary of Research Outcomes

This research developed a contextual architectural framework for designing mixed-use commercial buildings adjacent to transit hubs, using Bengaluru Cantonment Station as a case example. Through a comprehensive methodology combining literature review, precedent analysis, and detailed field study, the research identified six critical parameter groups that govern context-sensitive design: architectural features, climatic responsiveness, cultural and visual identity, materiality, user comfort and accessibility, and energy efficiency and sustainability.

The field study of Bengaluru Cantonment Station revealed significant gaps in the current built environment across all six parameters. The station and surrounding commercial developments exhibit spatial disconnect, inadequate climatic response, eroded cultural identity, material homogenization, deficient user experience, and missed sustainability opportunities. These findings underscore the need for a holistic, integrated approach to transit-oriented development that moves beyond functional efficiency to embrace contextual responsiveness and environmental performance.

6.2 Framework Contributions

Integrated Assessment Tool: The framework provides a structured methodology for evaluating and designing transit-adjacent developments, ensuring that multiple dimensions of context are considered simultaneously rather than in isolation.

Climate-Culture Synthesis: By emphasizing both climatic responsiveness and cultural identity, the framework addresses the dual challenge of environmental sustainability and place-making in Indian cities.

Context-Specific Strategies: Unlike generic TOD guidelines, the framework is calibrated to Bengaluru's specific climatic, cultural, and urban conditions, making it directly applicable to similar warm-humid cities with colonial heritage.

Performance-Based Approach: The framework includes quantifiable performance indicators for each parameter, enabling objective evaluation and post-occupancy verification.

Multi-Scalar Application: The framework operates across precinct, building, and detail scales, providing guidance at each level of design decision-making.

6.3 Practical Applications

Architects and Designers: As a design assessment tool during conceptual and detailed design phases, ensuring comprehensive consideration of contextual parameters.

Urban Planners: As guidelines for preparing development control regulations and urban design frameworks for transit-oriented development zones.

Developers and Clients: As sustainability and performance benchmarks for project briefs and evaluation criteria.

Regulatory Authorities: As standards for design review and approval processes for transit-adjacent developments.

6.4 Limitations and Future Research

Single Case Focus: While Bengaluru Cantonment provides rich insights, the framework would benefit from validation across multiple transit stations in Bengaluru and other Indian cities.

Qualitative Emphasis: The field study relied primarily on qualitative observation and assessment. Integration of quantitative methods (thermal imaging, space syntax analysis, user surveys) would strengthen findings.

Design Phase Focus: The framework addresses design parameters but does not extend to construction, operation, and maintenance considerations.

Limited User Engagement: While user observations informed the study, structured stakeholder consultations with passengers, vendors, and adjacent property owners would provide deeper behavioural insights.

6.5 Closing Remarks

As Indian cities rapidly expand their transit networks, the integration of transit infrastructure with commercial development presents both opportunity and challenge. The tendency toward generic, placeless architecture driven by economic efficiency must be countered with design approaches that are deeply rooted in local context—climatic, cultural, and urban.

This research demonstrates that contextual responsiveness and environmental performance are not contradictory to functional efficiency or economic viability. Rather, they represent a more sophisticated, holistic approach to architecture that serves multiple objectives simultaneously: user comfort, cultural continuity, ecological sustainability, and operational effectiveness.

The proposed framework offers a pathway for architects, planners, and developers to move beyond standardized solutions toward context-driven design that creates memorable, sustainable, and human-centered transit environments. As Bengaluru and other Indian cities reimagine their transit stations as integrated mixed-use destinations, such frameworks become essential tools for ensuring that development enhances rather than erodes the unique character and liability of our cities.

Transit-oriented development, when guided by contextual design principles, has the potential to transform isolated infrastructure projects into vibrant urban destinations that embody the aspirations, culture, and environmental wisdom of the communities they serve.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author acknowledges the faculty and staff of the School of Planning and Architecture, Bhopal, for their guidance and support throughout this research. Special thanks to the passengers, vendors, and local

residents around Bengaluru Cantonment Station who generously shared their time and insights during field observations. Gratitude is extended to the Indian Railways and Bengaluru Development Authority for access to site information and documentation.

REFERENCES

1. Liauw, L. (2019). "Contextual Approach in Architectural Design Education," *International Journal of Architectural Research*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 45-62.
2. Guo, X., and Despang, H. (2021). "Climate-Responsive Architecture in the Context of Globalization," *Journal of Sustainable Architecture*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 112-128, doi:10.1016/j.jsa.2021.03.004.
3. Stojanovski, T. (2020). "Urban Form and Mobility: Spatial Configuration of Streets and Transit-Oriented Development," *Journal of Urban Design*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 442-468, doi:10.1080/13574809.2019.1692690.
4. Stojanovski, T. (2013). "Spatial Configuration and Transit Oriented Development," in *Proceedings of the 9th International Space Syntax Symposium*, Seoul, Korea, pp. 78-92.
5. Rupa, K., and Rao, V. (2021). "Spatial Organization and User Experience in Indian Railway Stations," *Architecture + Design*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 76-84.
6. Li, H., Wang, S., and Cheung, H. (2020). "Passive Cooling Strategies for Railway Stations in Tropical Climates," *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 228, article 110434, doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2020.110434.
7. Mahmoud, A., Elghazi, Y., and Azab, N. (2022). "Climate-Responsive Design of Transit Hubs: A Comparative Study," *Sustainable Cities and Society*, vol. 76, article 103421, doi:10.1016/j.scs.2021.103421.
8. Mehrotra, R. (2011). "Architecture in India Since 1990," *Journal of the Society of Architectural Historians*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 490-493, doi:10.1525/jsah.2011.70.4.490.
9. Niranjan, S., Kumar, A., and Sharma, R. (2019). "Vernacular Materials in Contemporary Indian Architecture," *Journal of Building Engineering*, vol. 25, article 100823, doi:10.1016/j.jobe.2019.100823.
10. Taleghani, M., Tenpierik, M., and van den Dobbelsteen, A. (2019). "User Thermal Comfort in Public Buildings: A Review," *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 109, pp. 1-11, doi:10.1016/j.rser.2019.04.005.
11. Bansal, R., and Singh, M. (2020). "Energy Performance of Green Buildings in India: A GRIHA Perspective," *Energy and Buildings*, vol. 214, article 109843, doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2020.109843.
12. Zachman, J. A. (1987). "A Framework for Information Systems Architecture," *IBM Systems Journal*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 276-292, doi:10.1147/sj.263.0276.
13. Rechtin, E. (1991). *Systems Architecting: Creating and Building Complex Systems*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
14. Blanchard, B. S., and Fabrycky, W. J. (1998). *Systems Engineering and Analysis*, 3rd ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
15. Stamps, A. E. (2014). "Mystery, Complexity, Legibility and Coherence: A Meta-Analysis," *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, vol. 38, pp. 1-8, doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2013.12.001.
16. Ministry of Railways, Government of India. (2022). "Station Redevelopment Programme: Guidelines and Standards," New Delhi: Indian Railways.
17. Bureau of Indian Standards. (2016). *National Building Code of India 2016*. New Delhi: BIS.