International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Rural Tourism Entrepreneurship Management: A Study on Social Responsibility in the Present Times

Dr. Dilip Sarah[1], Dr. Umakant Indolia[2]

[1]Assistant Professor, Travel &Tourism Management, Dev Sanskriti Vishwavidyalaya Haridwar (U.K.)
[2]Associate Professor, Travel &Tourism Management, Dev Sanskriti Vishwavidyalaya Haridwar (U.K.)

Abstract
Rural tourism entrepreneurship has grown as a strategy for local economic development, heritage preservation, and sustainable livelihoods. Simultaneously, expectations around corporate social responsibility (CSR) — here extended to small and micro rural tourism enterprises as social responsibility (SR) — have intensified. This paper reviews the role of rural tourism entrepreneurs in delivering economic, social and environmental benefits to host communities, identifies current challenges, and proposes a framework of best practices for integrating SR into rural tourism enterprise models. Drawing on entrepreneurship and CSR theory and rural tourism literature, the study argues that socially responsible entrepreneurship improves destination resilience, community well-being, and long-term business viability.

Keywords: Rural tourism, entrepreneurship, social responsibility, sustainable livelihoods, community development, local governance

1. Introduction

Rural tourism — travel to non-urban areas for leisure, education, cultural immersion and nature experiences — offers significant potential for rural revitalization: income generation, job creation, diversification of livelihoods, and conservation incentives. Entrepreneurs who create accommodations, guiding services, cultural experiences, and value-added products are central actors in converting rural assets into marketable experiences. However, unregulated or short-term oriented entrepreneurship can lead to social disruption, environmental degradation, and cultural commodification. Therefore, embedding social responsibility within rural tourism entrepreneurship is crucial to ensure benefits are equitably shared and natural and cultural resources are conserved.

2. Theoretical background

2.1 Rural tourism entrepreneurship

Entrepreneurship in rural tourism is distinguished by small scale, close community ties, resource-based opportunities, and a heavy reliance on local social capital. Opportunity recognition often emerges from local knowledge: landscapes, traditions, crafts, and hospitality. Entrepreneurial success depends not only on market skills but also on community acceptance and resource stewardship.

2.2 Social responsibility (SR) concept for small rural firms

While CSR is usually discussed in the corporate context, SR for rural tourism entrepreneurs includes eth-

ical business practices, fair employment, contribution to local public goods (infrastructure, education), environmental stewardship, and respectful cultural representation. SR is not just altruism but a strategy for risk reduction, reputation building, and long-term competitiveness.

2.3 Linking entrepreneurship and SR

Scholars of social entrepreneurship emphasize hybrid goals — profit plus social/environmental outcomes. In rural tourism, entrepreneurs who intentionally align business goals with community and ecological health create resilient enterprises and destinations. Porter & Kramer's shared-value perspective (private value created alongside social value) is especially relevant: SR can create unique, authentic products and loyal customers.

3. Literature review — key findings (selective)

Rural tourism can diversify rural economies and reduce outmigration when managed inclusively.
Small enterprises often lack formal SR policies but practice SR informally through local hiring, use of local suppliers, and cultural care.
Challenges include seasonality, limited access to finance and markets, skill gaps in entrepreneurship and digital marketing, and potential cultural/environmental loss if growth is unmanaged.
Collaborative governance, training programs, and community-led standards improve both SR uptake and entrepreneurial success.
(Sources: foundational literature on rural tourism and entrepreneurship, CSR theory, and applied case studies — see references.)

4. Methodological approach

This study is a conceptual synthesis that integrates findings from empirical studies, theoretical work in entrepreneurship and CSR, and practice recommendations from international tourism policy documents. The objective is to build an actionable SR framework for rural tourism entrepreneurs and stakeholders (local governments, NGOs, destination organizations).

5. Social responsibility in practice — common domains

5.1 Economic responsibility

Fair wages and safe working conditions for local staff.
Preferential procurement from local producers (food, crafts).
Transparent pricing and fair revenue-sharing for community partners (guides, performers).

5.2 Environmental responsibility

Low-impact infrastructure (rainwater harvesting, solar power, waste reduction).
Visitor limits for sensitive sites and interpretive education to minimize harm.
Promotion of biodiversity-friendly practices (organic gardens, native plant restoration).

5.3 Socio-cultural responsibility

Respectful presentation and co-creation of cultural experiences with local custodians.
Support for cultural heritage conservation (documentation, festivals).
Avoiding commodification that strips meaning from traditions.

5.4 Governance and ethical responsibility

Inclusive decision-making, involving marginalized groups (women, youth, indigenous peoples).
Transparent reporting to the local community about business plans and impacts.

Compliance with legal norms and tax obligations to support public services.

6. Proposed framework for socially responsible rural tourism entrepreneurship

1. **Community Engagement & Co-design:** Carry out participatory needs assessments and co-design experiences and benefit-sharing mechanisms.
2. **Local Supply-chain Integration:** Prioritize local sourcing and develop capacity support for local producers to meet quality standards.
3. **Environmental Management Plan (EMP):** Implement EMPs proportional to enterprise size: waste management, water conservation, energy efficiency.
4. **Fair Employment & Skills Development:** Offer training, fair contracts, and career pathways for locals; promote gender and youth inclusion.
5. **Cultural Safeguards:** Establish guidelines for representation and intellectual property rights for community cultural expressions.
6. **Transparent Monitoring & Community Reporting:** Simple KPIs: local employment rate, percentage of purchases from local suppliers, waste diverted, community funds contributed; publish annual summaries for stakeholders.
7. **Networked Governance:** Join or form local tourism associations to create shared standards, pooled marketing, and crisis response.

This framework is scalable: microhomestays can apply simplified steps while larger ventures can implement full EMPs and formal reporting.

7. Discussion — benefits and challenges

Benefits

SR enhances authenticity and visitor satisfaction, increasing repeat business and positive word-of-mouth.
Local procurement circulates income through the rural economy, creating multiplier effects.
Environmental stewardship preserves the very assets that attract tourists.

Challenges

Short tourist seasons can make investment in SR measures difficult without supportive finance or subsidies.
Market pressures and intermediaries may favor standardized products that discourage local distinctiveness.
Power asymmetries (external investors, tour operators) can marginalize community voices.
Addressing these requires policy support (microfinance, training), stakeholder collaboration, and consumer awareness campaigns that reward SR through willingness to pay.

8. Policy and practical recommendations

For entrepreneurs:

Start small with high-impact, low-cost SR actions (local hiring, waste segregation, storytelling co-created with locals).
Use digital platforms for niche marketing (eco-travel, cultural immersion) which attract higher-value visitors.

For local governments and NGOs:

Provide seed grants or low-interest loans conditional on SR commitments.

Offer business and digital marketing training tailored to rural entrepreneurs.
Facilitate local tourism associations for collective marketing and standards.

For destination managers and tour operators:

Preferentially contract with enterprises that demonstrate SR practices.
Invest in capacity building (quality upgrading, certification) for local partners.

For consumers:

Choose businesses that demonstrate SR; value experiences that support communities.

9. Conclusion

Rural tourism entrepreneurship offers a path to sustainable rural development when entrepreneurship is aligned with social responsibility. SR strengthens social license to operate, conserves destination assets, and supports community well-being — while also contributing to long-term business resilience. Realizing this potential requires coordinated action: capacity building for entrepreneurs, enabling finance and policy, market incentives from operators and consumers, and governance arrangements that place communities at the center.

References (selective)

1. Briedenhann, J., & Wickens, E. (2004). Tourism routes as a tool for the economic development of rural areas — Vibrant hope or impossible dream? Tourism Management, 25(1), 71–79.
2. Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. Business Horizons, 34(4), 39–48.
3. Lane, B. (1994). What is rural tourism? Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 2(1–2), 7–21.
4. Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2006). Strategy & society: The link between competitive advantage and corporate social responsibility. Harvard Business Review, 84(12), 78–92.
5. Scheyvens, R. (2007). Confronting the poor: Tourism and poverty reduction. Routledge.
6. Sharpley, R. (2002). Rural tourism and the challenge of tourism diversification: the case of Cyprus. Tourism Management, 23(3), 233–244.
7. Shane, S., & Venkataraman, S. (2000). The promise of entrepreneurship as a field of research. Academy of Management Review, 25(1), 217–226.
8. UNWTO (2019). Rural Tourism and Sustainable Development (policy perspectives). World Tourism Organization.
9. Wearing, S., & McDonald, M. (2002). The development of community-based tourism: Re-conceptualizing community participation. Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 10(3), 191–206.
10. World Bank (various). Reports on rural development and tourism as a livelihoods strategy.