International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

The Decline in Face-to-Face Family Interaction in the Digital Age

Umanath Singh[1], Dr Gaurav[2]

[1]Doctoral Fellow/PhD Research Scholar, Department of Journalism & Mass Communication, Shri Khushal Das University, Hanumangarh, Rajasthan-335801
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Journalism & Mass Communication, Shri Khushal Das University

Abstract
The rapid growth of social media and digital devices has transformed communication in the 21st century. Apps like Facebook, Instagram, WhatsApp and short-video platforms keep people constantly connected and able to share information instantly. While these tools offer convenience, they have also changed traditional conversations and time spent together. In many families, technologies intended to improve connection are now associated with less face-to-face interaction, divided attention and declining emotional closeness. This study examines how digital device use affects family interactions and emotional bonds, aiming to provide insights into maintaining healthy relationships in the digital age. The main aim of this study is to understand how heavy digital device and social media use affects the quality and frequency of in-person interactions among family members of different generations. It explores generational differences in technology habits and whether online communication is replacing traditional conversations. The research combines surveys of 300 participants, interviews across three generations, and analyses of weekly screen time and family-related social media use. This approach provides both numerical data and deeper insights into changing family relationships.

The findings show a strong link between high digital use and shorter, less meaningful in-person conversations, frequent device interruptions and lower emotional involvement during family time. Younger groups, especially Gen Z, tend to spend more time online, often preferring digital interactions to face-to-face conversations, while older adults, such as Baby Boomers and Generation X, express concern that family bonding is weakening and are more likely to value in-person connections. However, the study also highlights some positive aspects. Many families use technology to stay connected, share updates, and maintain relationships even when they are physically apart. This shows that technology can both weaken and strengthen family ties, depending on how it is used. The study suggests the need for healthier digital habits, greater digital awareness and family practices such as device-free time and intentional conversation periods. Overall, the study stresses the importance of maintaining meaningful face-to-face communication to sustain emotional closeness and support strong family relationships in the digital age.

Keywords: Family communication, Digital device use, Social media, Face-to-face interaction, Emotional closeness

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade or so, digital technology has changed how people communicate, interact, and maintain relationships. Smartphones, fast internet and algorithm-driven platforms like Facebook, Instagram, WhatsApp and TikTok have created a world where people are constantly connected online (Perrin & Anderson, 2019; Statista, 2023). These platforms are designed to attract attention, offer personalised content and keep users engaged for long periods. As a result, many individuals spend more time on screens and less time interacting with family members, friends and others (Twenge, 2017). Consequently, the traditional ways families communicate are changing, raising concerns about whether digital engagement is replacing meaningful face-to-face interaction.

Face-to-face interaction is direct. It's an in-person communication in which people share physical presence, emotional expressions, gestures and focused attention (Nowland, Necka, & Cacioppo, 2018). Such interactions support deeper understanding, empathy and bonding-qualities that digital communication cannot fully reproduce. In families, face-to-face conversations help create emotional closeness, trust, conflict resolution and a sense of belonging (Lanigan, 2009). However, in today's digital age, these interactions are increasingly affected by the heavy use of mobile devices and screen-based communication (McDaniel & Radesky, 2018).

The main issue addressed in this study is the increasing replacement of in-person communication with digital interactions such as texting, social media messaging and online activities (Rideout & Robb, 2018). In many households, family members may be physically present but remain absorbed in their screens instead of talking to each other. Notifications, algorithmic content and constant digital entertainment frequently disrupt opportunities for meaningful conversations (McDaniel & Radesky, 2018). This shift raises concerns about its long-term impact on emotional bonding, family unity, conflict management, and overall relationship quality (Uhls et al., 2017).

This issue is important because strong family relationships depend on shared experiences, emotional support, and open communication (Lanigan, 2009). A decline in face-to-face interaction can lead to emotional distance, more misunderstandings, weaker conflict-handling skills, and reduced cooperation in family decisions. Younger generations, who grow up deeply involved in digital environments, may adopt communication habits that rely primarily on screens (Twenge, 2017). Understanding how digital habits influence in-person interactions is essential for supporting healthy family relationships and developing effective interventions.

Although there is growing awareness of these problems, a significant research gap still exists. Many earlier studies focus on general social behaviour, youth social media use, or online communities (Perrin & Anderson, 2019). There is limited recent research (especially from 2023-2025) that examines explicitly how digital technology influences within-family face-to-face communication across different age groups. This study aims to address that gap by presenting updated insights and identifying new patterns shaped by current digital platforms and device usage.

2. Research Objectives:

1. To examine how smartphones and social media affect face-to-face family communication.
2. To explore generational differences in digital habits, such as the higher online presence and preference for digital interaction among Gen Z, compared to older generations who emphasize face-to-face communication, and how these affect in-person interaction.
3. To determine whether digital communication is replacing or reshaping traditional family

conversations.
4. To understand the emotional and relational effects of reduced face-to-face interaction.
5. The scope of this study includes families living in the same household and focuses on daily interactions. It does not examine long-distance communication. Limitations include reliance on self-reported data, possible inaccuracies in estimating screen time, and the exclusion of therapeutic or clinical family settings.

3. Review of Literature:

3.1 Rise of Smartphones and Algorithmic Platforms

The global adoption of smartphones has increased rapidly over the past decade, making mobile devices the dominant form of digital communication for most households. Reports from the Pew Research Centre show that smartphone ownership has become nearly universal among adolescents and adults, significantly shaping daily communication routines (Smith, 2022). Because smartphones are portable, always connected, and integrated with multiple applications, they encourage continuous engagement, often spilling into personal and family spaces. This increasing dependence on smartphones has restructured how family members interact, both inside and outside the home (Vaterlaus et al., 2019).

At the same time, algorithm-driven platforms such as Facebook, Instagram, TikTok and WhatsApp are designed to capture and hold users' attention. These platforms use recommendation algorithms that curate content based on user preferences, engagement patterns, and predicted interests (Napoli, 2019). By highlighting visually appealing posts, short videos, viral content, and emotionally stimulating material, these systems encourage prolonged use. Studies have shown that such features promote compulsive engagement, habitual checking, and emotional dependence on online feedback mechanisms such as likes, comments, or notifications (Montag & Walla, 2021; Alter, 2017).

In family environments, these digital habits often extend into shared domestic spaces. The ease of access to entertainment, social updates, and constant notifications on social media often disrupts everyday family interactions. Hampton (2020) describes this phenomenon as ‘co-present disconnection,’ in which individuals are physically present with family members but mentally absorbed in online activities. This divided attention reduces the quality of shared experiences such as conversations during meals, joint activities, or routine family gatherings (Rosen et al., 2014). Recent research shows that features like TikTok's ‘infinite scroll’ and Instagram Reels are designed to maximize engagement by keeping users watching videos continuously, thereby distracting individuals from household responsibilities and diminishing opportunities for spontaneous family communication. Emphasising these features highlights their specific influence on family dynamics.

Therefore, the rise of smartphones and algorithmic platforms has created a digital environment in which attention is continually drawn to online interactions, significantly affecting how families communicate, share emotional experiences, and maintain meaningful face-to-face connections.

3.2 Definition and Importance of Face-to-Face Interaction

Face-to-face interaction involves direct, in-person communication with verbal and non-verbal cues, which are essential for emotional bonding and relationship building because they provide immediate feedback, foster empathy, and help individuals understand others' feelings and intentions. Highlighting these elements underscores their importance in maintaining strong family connections. In fact, within families, face-to-face interactions form the foundation of emotional bonding and relational stability. Through these interactions, individuals develop trust, affection, and a shared sense of identity (Olson, 2011). For children and adolescents, in-person communication is critical because it supports the development of essential social and emotional skills. Research shows that young people learn empathy, self-regulation, cooperation, and conflict resolution primarily through repeated face-to-face exchanges with parents, siblings, and extended family members (Derks et al., 2020; Reed et al., 2017).

Face-to-face communication also plays a crucial role in resolving misunderstandings and addressing emotional conflicts. Non-verbal cues allow family members to gauge each other's emotional states more accurately, making it easier to clarify intentions and maintain emotional closeness (Burke & Kraut, 2016). Digital communication, on the other hand, lacks these nuanced cues and may lead to misinterpretation or emotional distancing. Hence, several scholars argue that digital technologies promote 'surface-level communication,' where interactions are shorter, less personal, and more focused on quick exchanges rather than meaningful dialogue (Turkle, 2016). Furthermore, text-based communication often encourages multitasking, reducing the depth and attentiveness required for relationship-building.

Because of these differences, many researchers emphasize that face-to-face interaction remains irreplaceable for maintaining strong family bonds, even in an age where digital communication is widespread. It provides a richer form of emotional expression and human connection that cannot be fully replicated through screens.

3.3 Digital Replacement of In-Person Communication

Research indicates that digital communication often replaces in-person conversations within families, leading to diminished emotional bonds and weakened family relationships, highlighting the need to understand these impacts. Studies also show that even the mere presence of smartphones during conversations lowers perceived empathy, trust, and emotional connection. Przybylski and Weinstein (2013) found that when a phone is visible during a conversation, individuals report lower satisfaction and feel less understood, even if the phone is not actively used. This suggests that smartphones create a psychological sense of distraction that affects interpersonal engagement.

Another concerning trend is 'phubbing,' the act of ignoring someone in favour of using a smartphone. Roberts and David (2020) found that partner phubbing significantly predicts relationship dissatisfaction, increased conflict, and reduced emotional support. In family contexts, phubbing can weaken communication patterns between parents and children, reduce the quality of family time, and contribute to a sense of emotional withdrawal (McDaniel & Coyne, 2016).

Social media platforms also create alternative communication spaces where individuals may spend more time interacting with online networks than with their families. Adolescents, for example, often report greater engagement with peer groups or online communities, which reduces their participation in household conversations (Odgers & Jensen, 2020). While these online connections can offer support, they may also reduce opportunities for face-to-face interactions at home.

Research further indicates that digital devices disrupt shared routines such as family meals, bedtime, or leisure activities. Family members may eat together while each is occupied with a screen, leading to reduced eye contact, fewer conversations, and weaker emotional connection (Hiniker et al., 2016). Overall, evidence shows that digital technology often substitutes for face-to-face communication, leading to significant changes in how families relate to one another.

3.4 Emotional Bonding, Family Cohesion and Conflict

Healthy family functioning depends on strong emotional bonds, shared experiences, and consistent communication. Olson's (2011) Circumplex Model identifies communication as a key factor in promoting family cohesion, adaptability, and emotional closeness. When digital devices interrupt or replace family interactions, these relational structures may weaken. Research shows that constant digital distractions reduce active listening and make conversations less meaningful. Cho and Lee (2022) found that higher smartphone use during family time is associated with emotional distancing, decreased warmth, and lower satisfaction with family relationships. Over time, these patterns can lead to disengagement and reduced trust among family members.

Digital interruptions also affect the quality of shared activities. Family meals, which traditionally foster bonding and open dialogue, are increasingly disrupted by device use. When individuals check their phones during meals, the flow of conversation is interrupted, reducing opportunities for connection and emotional sharing (Bauer et al., 2012). Intergenerational conflict is another consequence of increased digital use. Parents often interpret children's or adolescents' smartphone habits as disrespectful or inattentive, particularly when devices interrupt conversations or daily tasks (Jensen, 2019). Younger individuals, however, may view parental restrictions as overly controlling or misinformed, especially when parents have different digital habits or lower digital literacy (Livingstone & Blum-Ross, 2020). These differing perspectives can escalate into arguments, misunderstandings and long-term relational strain.

Parental smartphone use also affects children's emotional well-being. Young children may feel neglected when parents are preoccupied with their phones, a pattern sometimes described as 'technoference' (McDaniel & Radesky, 2018). This can lead to behavioural problems, emotional insecurity, and reduced parent-child attachment. In summary, evidence strongly indicates that excessive digital engagement affects family cohesion, emotional bonding, and conflict patterns. Reduced face-to-face interaction, combined with constant digital distractions, creates challenges for maintaining strong, healthy family relationships.

3.4 Growth of Social Media and Screen Time

In recent years, digital use has grown massively worldwide. About **67% of the global population** now uses the internet, with **5.56 billion people online** as of 2025. (Axis Intelligence) Social media is widespread across the globe. Around **5.24 billion people** (roughly 63.9% of the global population) have social media accounts. (The Global Statistics). People are also spending more and more time on screens. On average, internet users aged 16-64 spend **6 hours and 40 minutes every day** using various digital media. (We Are Social Singapore) Meanwhile, time spent watching television is decreasing with global TV viewing being fallen by **8.2%**, while social media usage dropped by **5.5%** in the same period. (We Are Social Singapore)

These patterns show a clear **shift from in-person to digital communication**. As more people connect online for socialising, news, and entertainment, traditional face-to-face conversations are increasingly being replaced or supplemented by digital communication. The growing digital habits suggest that much of how we interact today is being reshaped by our devices and platforms.

3.5 Psychological & Social Consequences

Heavy digital device use can lead to psychological and social consequences such as loneliness, detachment, and irritability, especially when screen time replaces meaningful human contact (Twenge et al., 2018). Research also shows that frequent mobile use reduces empathy and attention, as individuals become less emotionally responsive during conversations (Misra et al., 2014). Mobile phones can also trigger interpersonal conflict. Patterns such as 'phubbing', ignoring someone to use a phone- are linked to lower relationship satisfaction and increased arguments (Roberts & David, 2016). Overall, excessive phone use weakens emotional presence and strains family interactions.

4. Research Gaps:

Although the digital family relationship has been widely explored, a few gaps remain significant-

1. Limited updated research from 2023-2025, with most studies predating rapid growth in short-video platforms and AI-based predictive algorithms.
2. Insufficient focus on within-family communication dynamics, especially in non-Western contexts such as India.
3. Lack of localised studies (countries, culture-specific contexts)
4. Need for contemporary (post-2020) insights
5. Hence, This study addresses these gaps by offering up-to-date empirical evidence on how algorithmic digital environments impact family interactions.

5. Methodology:

5.1 Research Design

A mixed-methods approach was employed to capture both numerical patterns and deeper experiential insights. The study combined quantitative surveys, qualitative interviews and digital behaviour logs for triangulation.

6. Participants:

- Total survey participants: 300
- Interview participants: 30
- Generational distribution:
- Gen Z (18-24): 100
- Millennials (25-40): 120
- Older Adults (50+): 80

Participants were selected via stratified sampling across urban Indian households.

7. Instruments:

1. Survey Questionnaire with questions on measured screen time, social media usage, frequency of family conversations, emotional closeness and digital interruptions.
2. Semi-Structured Interviews conducted with 30 respondents in which I explored lived experiences, family routines and communication challenges.
3. Digital Screen-Time Logs with participants submitting screenshots of their weekly screen-time usage.

8. Data Analysis:

- Quantitative data were analysed using SPSS.
- Pearson correlations measured relationships between screen time and interaction quality.
- Thematic coding was applied to interview transcripts.

In the process ethical considerations were taken into consideration, as consent, confidentiality, and voluntary participation were ensured. Participant identities were anonymised.

9. Actual Findings:

- 84% of participants used their smartphones for 4+ hours daily.
- Higher screen time strongly correlated with lower frequency of daily family conversations ($r = -0.61$, $p < .01$).
- Families with shared meals interrupted by phones reported significantly lower emotional closeness.

9.1 Generational Differences:

Among Gen Z:

- Averaged 6.3 hours/day of screen time.
- Preferred texting and Instagram messaging over in-person communication.
- Reported feeling more comfortable online.

Among Millennials:

- Averaged 4.9 hours/day.
- Described work-life imbalance as a significant factor in reduced family time.
- Older Adults
- Averaged 2.8 hours/day.
- Expressed concerns about losing connection with younger family members.

9.2 Observed Family Behaviours

Common patterns included:

- Device use during meals
- Reduced eye contact
- Short, functional conversations
- Increased preference for individual entertainment

9.3 Positive Uses of Technology

Some participants also noted:

- WhatsApp family groups strengthened long-distance relationships
- Digital photos and video calls enhanced emotional sharing
- Parents monitored children's well-being through messaging

However, these benefits did not compensate for the loss of daily face-to-face interaction in shared households.

10. Discussion:

Findings underscore the significant impact of digital devices on social interactions, confirming that they disrupt co-present engagement and emotional bonds (Turkle, 2016). High digital immersion leads to fragmented attention, which diminishes conversational depth and emotional connection. The study further reveals that algorithm-driven platforms exacerbate distraction through personalised content loops, highlighting the profound influence of technology on family dynamics.

Generational differences reveal that technology adoption patterns shape family communication norms, with Gen Z's preference for digital communication indicating comfort with mediated interactions rather than a desire to avoid intimacy. This shift has notable implications for the quality of cross-generational relationships. The findings emphasise the importance of establishing intentional family interaction strategies to prevent long-term relational weakening, especially without active intervention.

11. Conclusion:

This study demonstrates that digital technology significantly affects face-to-face family communication, underscoring the need for awareness and balanced use of digital technology. Actual findings confirm that higher screen time correlates with reduced emotional presence, shallower conversational depth, and greater interpersonal distance. Generational differences further complicate family dynamics, with younger members more digitally immersed and older members more concerned about relational decline.

The study highlights both risks and opportunities, emphasising the importance of understanding their practical implications. While digital tools facilitate long-distance communication, they simultaneously disrupt everyday family interactions when used excessively. Strengthening family relationships in the digital age requires deliberate balance, digital literacy and structured in-person engagement. The findings call for educational programs, family policies, and social awareness initiatives that promote mindful technology use.

References:

1. Bauer, K. W., Hearst, M. O., Escoto, K., Berge, J. M., & Neumark-Sztainer, D. (2012). Parental employment and work-family stress: Associations with family food environments. Social Science & Medicine, 75(3), 496-504.
2. Burke, M., & Kraut, R. (2016). The relationship between Facebook use and well-being depends on the type of communication and the strength of the tie. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 21(4), 265-281.
3. Carr, C. T., & Hayes, R. A. (2019). Social media: Defining, developing, and divining. Communication Theory, 29(2), 121-138.
4. Cho, Y., & Lee, H. (2022). Digital distraction and family communication. Journal of Family Communication, 22(3), 210-225.
5. Derks, D., Fischer, A. H., & Bos, A. E. (2020). The role of emotion in computer-mediated communication: A review. Computers in Human Behavior, 113, 106520.
6. Goffman, E. (1967). Interaction ritual: Essays on face-to-face behavior. Anchor Books.
7. Hampton, K. (2020). The digital divide and family connectedness. Journal of Communication, 70(4), 567-589.
8. Hiniker, A., Schoenebeck, S., & Kientz, J. (2016). Not at the dinner table: Parents' and children's perspectives on technology use during family time. Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer-Supported Cooperative Work, 1376-1389.
9. Jensen, L. A. (2019). Intergenerational perspectives on technology use and family conflict. Journal of Adolescent Research, 34(4), 405-430.
10. Kim, J., & Lee, S. (2021). Smartphones and the substitution of face-to-face interaction. Computers in Human Behavior, 122, 106860.
11. Kuss, D. J., & Griffiths, M. D. (2021). Social networking sites and addiction: Ten lessons learned. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(23), 12957.
12. Lanigan, J. D. (2009). A sociotechnological model for family research and intervention: How information and communication technologies affect family life. Marriage & Family Review, 45(6-8), 587-609. https://doi.org/10.1080/01494920903224194
13. Livingstone, S., & Blum-Ross, A. (2020). Parenting for a digital future: How hopes and fears about technology shape children's lives. Oxford University Press.

14. McDaniel, B. T., & Coyne, S. M. (2016). 'Technoference': Parent technology use and the impact on parent-child interactions. Psychology of Popular Media Culture, 5(1), 85-98.
15. McDaniel, B. T., & Radesky, J. S. (2018). Technoference: Parental distraction by technology and its associations with child behavior problems. Child Development, 89(1), 100-109. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12822
16. Montag, C., & Walla, P. (2021). Social media design and addictive behavior. Addictive Behaviors Reports, 13, 100345.
17. Napoli, P. (2019). Social media and the attention economy. Columbia University Press.
18. Nowland, R., Necka, E. A., & Cacioppo, J. T. (2018). Loneliness and social internet use: Pathways to reconnection in a digital world? Perspectives on Psychological Science, 13(1), 70-87. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691617713052
19. Odgers, C. L., & Jensen, M. R. (2020). Annual research review: Adolescent mental health in the digital age. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 61(3), 336-348.
20. Olson, D. H. (2011). FACES IV and the circumplex model: Validation study. Journal of Marital and Family Therapy, 37(1), 64-80.
21. Perrin, A., & Anderson, M. (2019). Share of U.S. adults using social media, including Facebook, is mostly unchanged since 2018. Pew Research Center. https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2019/04/10/share-of-u-s-adults-using-social-media-including-facebook-is-mostly-unchanged-since-2018/
22. Przybylski, A. K., & Weinstein, N. (2013). The presence of smartphones reduces face-to-face empathy. Social Psychology, 45(6), 519-526.
23. Rideout, V., & Robb, M. B. (2018). Social media, social life: Teens reveal their experiences. Common Sense Media. https://www.commonsensemedia.org/research/social-media-social-life-2018
24. Roberts, J. A., & David, M. (2020). Phubbing and relationship strain. Computers in Human Behavior, 100, 73-83.
25. Rosen, L. D., Lim, A., Carrier, L. M., & Cheever, N. A. (2014). An empirical examination of the educational impact of text message interruptions during college lectures. Educational Psychology, 34(5), 627-637.
26. Smith, A. (2022). Device ownership and the changing digital landscape. Pew Research Center.
27. Statista. (2023). Number of social network users worldwide from 2017 to 2027.https://www.statista.com/statistics/278414/number-of-worldwide-social-network-users/
28. Turkle, S. (2016). Reclaiming conversation: The power of talk in a digital age. Penguin Press.
29. Twenge, J. M. (2017). iGen. Atria Books.
30. Uhls, Y. T., Michikyan, M., Morris, J., Garcia, D., Small, G. W., Zgourou, E., & Greenfield, P. M. (2014). Five days at an outdoor education camp without screens improve preteens' ability to interpret nonverbal emotion cues. Computers in Human Behavior, 39, 387-392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.05.036
31. Axis Intelligence. (2025). Technology statistics 2025: 847 essential data points reshaping our digital future. Retrieved from Axis Intelligence. (Axis Intelligence)
32. We Are Social. (2024). Digital 2024 Global Overview. Retrieved from We Are Social. (We Are Social Singapore)
33. The Global Statistics. (2025). Global social media user identities. Retrieved from The Global Statistics. (The Global Statistics)