International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Integrating Vocational Education into Mainstream Schooling: Opportunities and Challenges in the Light of National Education Policy 2020, India

Manik Saikia

Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Sissiborgaon college

Abstract

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 marks a historic shift in Indian education by placing vocational education at the centre of school and higher education reform. Recognizing that employability is critical for individual dignity and national economic growth, NEP 2020 mandates that by 2025 at least 50 % of learners should have exposure to vocational education. The policy dismantles the artificial divide between academic and vocational streams, promotes flexibility in subject choice, introduces vocational exposure from middle school, and integrates "Lok Vidya" (traditional Indian occupational knowledge). It envisages seamless blending of hands-on training, apprenticeships, industry partnerships, and credit-based frameworks.Despite decades of vocational schemes, India's vocational education ecosystem has suffered from outdated curricula, poor industry linkage, obsolete equipment, shortage of trained teachers, low social status, and skill–job mismatch. NEP 2020 addresses these systemic weaknesses through the creation of the National Committee for the Integration of Vocational Education (NCIVE), skill-gap mapping, incubation centres, and phased integration across all educational institutions.This study examines the conceptual framework of vocational education, identifies persistent challenges, analyses NEP 2020 provisions, and evaluates implementation feasibility. Using secondary data and policy analysis, it highlights how NEP 2020 can transform vocational education from a peripheral activity into a mainstream, respected pathway that enhances employability, celebrates the dignity of labour, and aligns education with 21st-century economic needs. The study concludes that successful implementation will depend on strong governance, industry collaboration, teacher capacity building, and a cultural shift in societal perception of skilled work.

Keywords: Vocational Education,National Education Policy 2020 ,Skill Development Employability and Dignity of Labour,Integration of Lok Vidya

1. Introduction

In an era of rapid technological disruption and evolving job markets, the ability to earn a dignified livelihood has become a fundamental human need. Traditional academic education, while essential for cognitive development, often fails to equip students with practical, market-relevant skills. Vocational education—defined as skill-based training that prepares individuals for specific trades, crafts, or professions—bridges this gap by emphasizing hands-on learning, apprenticeships, and industry-oriented

competencies.In India, vocational education has historically been marginalized, perceived as an option for academically "weaker" students rather than a parallel pathway of excellence. This social stigma, coupled with inadequate infrastructure, outdated syllabi, and weak industry linkage, has resulted in high youth unemployment despite impressive economic growth. The National Education Policy 2020 represents a paradigm shift by unequivocally asserting that no education is complete without vocational exposure. By aiming to provide vocational training to at least 50 % of learners by 2025 and integrating it from middle school onwards, NEP 2020 seeks to democratize skill development, restore dignity to manual and technical work, and align education with India's demographic advantage and economic aspirations under Atmanirbhar Bharat and Skill India initiatives.This integration is not merely curricular but cultural—challenging hierarchies between "white-collar" and "blue-collar" professions and recognizing the value of India's rich traditional knowledge systems (Lok Vidya). The present study therefore explores how NEP 2020 can transform vocational education into a powerful instrument of empowerment, inclusion, and sustainable national development.

2. Literature Review

Early studies (Adams & Arvil, 2011) established that skill development is crucial for overcoming social disadvantage and reducing inequality. In the Indian context, Kaushik (2014) highlighted the low enrolment and poor perception of vocational courses despite policy initiatives. Jamal and Mandal (2013) mapped government schemes under the National Skill Development Mission and pointed out fragmented implementation, lack of industry participation, and absence of labour-market signalling.Cathelina and Mala (2019) specifically examined challenges such as irrelevant curricula, obsolete machinery, inadequate practical training, and social stigma attached to vocational streams. They argued that without dismantling the academic–vocational dichotomy, India cannot achieve inclusive growth. The National Conference on TVET (2008) had already recommended stronger public-private partnerships,teachertraining institutes, and district-level skill-gap surveys—recommendations that remained largely unimplemented.Recent discourse after NEP 2020 (Ministry of Education reports, NITI Aayog papers) praises the policy's vision of early exposure, credit frameworks, and integration of Lok Vidya, but scholars caution about governance deficits, funding constraints, and resistance from elite academic institutions. While the literature agrees on the urgency of vocationalisation, it consistently identifies weak monitoring, poor teacher capacity, and low industry engagement as recurring barriers. The present study builds on this body of work by systematically linking historical challenges with NEP 2020's transformative proposals and evaluating implementation readiness.

3. Objectives

1. To understand the concept, importance, and historical challenges of vocational education in India.
2. To critically analyse the provisions related to vocational education in the National Education Policy 2020.
3. To identify persisting systemic bottlenecks that hinder effective vocational education delivery.
4. To examine the strategies and institutional mechanisms proposed by NEP 2020 for mainstreaming vocational education.
5. To assess the feasibility and potential impact of NEP 2020 recommendations on employability and dignity of labour.

4. Methodology

This is a descriptive-analytical study based entirely on secondary sources. Data has been collected from the official text of National Education Policy 2020, reports of the Ministry of Education, NITI Aayog working papers, Skill India mission documents, and peer-reviewed research articles published in national and international journals. Content analysis and policy mapping techniques were employed to identify specific NEP provisions on vocational education, compare them with earlier policies (NPE 1986, NKC 2007, etc.)

5. Scope

The study focuses on vocational education within the school and higher education system in India, with particular emphasis on the paradigm shift introduced by NEP 2020. It covers policy provisions from middle school (Grades 6–8 exposure) to secondary and higher secondary stages, including integration of vocational courses, apprenticeships, Lok Vidya, and credit banks. Geographically, the scope is pan-India, referencing national-level policy while acknowledging state-level variations in implementation capacity. The study is limited to secondary data and policy documents available up to November 2025 and does not include primary field surveys. It excludes standalone ITIs, polytechnics, or adult skill programs outside the formal education system, concentrating instead on mainstreaming vocational education within schools and universities as envisaged by NEP 2020.

6. Main Discussion

1. Conceptual Clarity and Historical Context

Vocational education, also known as Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) or Career and Technical Education (CTE), emphasizes practical, job-specific skills over purely theoretical knowledge. In India, vocationalisation efforts began with the Kothari Commission (1964–66) and were reinforced in NPE 1986, yet enrolment remained below 5 % for decades because of deep-rooted societal bias that equated academic success with social status.

2. Persistent Challenges (Pre-NEP Scenario)

Despite infrastructure expansion, several structural weaknesses persisted:Curricular irrelevance and skill–job mismatchObsolescence of tools and machinery in schools

Acute shortage of qualified vocational teachersNear absence of industry-school partnerships

Low social acceptability and parental resistanceLack of district-level skill-gap surveys and labour market intelligenceThese factors rendered most school-level vocational courses ornamental rather than employable.

3. Transformative Vision of NEP 2020NEP 2020

adopts a radically inclusive and integrative approach:

- Removal of streaming: No hard separation between arts, science, and vocational tracks (Para 4.9, 16.4).
- Early exposure: Vocational education to begin from Grade 6 with hands-on activities, gradually increasing complexity.
- Target of 50 % exposure by 2025 across school and higher education.
- Integration of “Lok Vidya”: Traditional arts, crafts, and occupational knowledge of India to be credit-bearing components.

- Establishment of National Committee for Integration of Vocational Education (NCIVE) under Ministry of Education for coordination among ministries, states, and industry.
- Credit framework and academic bank of credits to enable seamless movement between vocational and academic pathways.
- Encouragement of hub-and-spoke models where ITIs/polytechnics act as hubs for clusters of schools.
- Mandatory internships/apprenticeships from Grade 6–8 onwards, scaled up in higher classes.
- Incubation centres and industry partnerships within higher education institutions.

4. Critical Analysis of FeasibilityStrengths:

Holistic vision that restores dignity of labourStrong political commitment and alignment with Skill India and Atmanirbhar BharatFlexibility and multiple exit-entry points reduce dropout riskInclusion of traditional knowledge enhances cultural pride and entrepreneurship

- **Challenges ahead:**
- Teacher training: India needps at least 2–3 lakh trained vocational educators in the next five years—an enormous task.Funding: States with weak fiscal capacity may lag; central support mechanisms are still evolving.
- **Mindset shift:**
- Overcoming parental and societal preference for conventional degrees will take a generation.
- **Industry engagement:**
- While large corporations are willing, MSMEs (which employ the majority) lack capacity for structured apprenticeships.
- **Assessment and certification:**
- Creating a credible, nationally accepted vocational qualification framework remains pending.

5. Global Benchmarks and Lessons for India

Germany's dual system, Singapore's ITE model, and Australia's TAFE demonstrate that success depends on:Strong legislative backing for apprenticeshipsIndustry-led curriculum designPrestige attached to vocational qualifications Robust trainer development institutes NEP 2020 has incorporated most of these principles on paper; execution will determine outcomes.

3. Conclusion

The National Education Policy 2020 represents a watershed moment in Indian education by unequivocally placing vocational education at the heart of the school and higher education ecosystem. By dismantling the artificial and damaging divide between academic and vocational streams, mandating exposure for at least 50 % of learners by 2025, introducing hands-on training from middle school, integrating traditional Indian occupational knowledge (Lok Vidya), and establishing robust institutional mechanisms like the National Committee for the Integration of Vocational Education (NCIVE), NEP 2020 seeks to create a flexible, inclusive, and future-ready education system.This policy shift is not merely structural but profoundly cultural. It challenges centuries-old hierarchies that devalue manual and technical work, restores dignity to artisans, craftsmen, and technicians, and aligns education with India's demographic dividend and economic ambitions. Successful implementation has the potential to dramatically reduce the skill–job mismatch, lower youth unemployment, boost entrepreneurship, and position India as a global supplier of skilled manpower.However, the journey from policy vision to ground reality remains formidable. Decades of neglect have left critical gaps in teacher capacity, infrastructure, industry linkage,

and societal perception. Without massive and sustained investment in vocational teacher training, real-time skill-gap mapping, incentivized industry participation, and aggressive awareness campaigns that celebrate skilled professions, even the most progressive policy risks remaining on paper.If the Centre and states demonstrate political will, allocate dedicated budgetary resources, empower NCIVE immediately, and treat vocational education with the same seriousness as board examinations and IIT-JEE preparation, NEP 2020 can achieve what no previous policy could: make skill-based pathways aspirational rather than residual. The ultimate success of NEP 2020 will be measured not by the percentage of students exposed to vocational courses, but by the pride with which a young Indian says, "I am a skilled professional," and by the strength of an Atmanirbhar Bharat built on the capable hands of its youth**.**

References

1. Adams, A. V., & de Silva, S. J. (2011). The role of skills development in overcoming social disadvantage. Background paper for Education for All Global Monitoring Report 2011. UNESCO.
2. Cathelina, S., & Mala, K. (2019). Problems of vocational education and sustainability through National Education Policy 2019. İlköğretim Online - Elementary Education Online, 18(4), 2244–2250. https://doi.org/10.17051/ilkonline.2019.648167
3. Government of India. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Ministry of Education, GovernmentofIndia.https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf
4. Jamal, T., & Mandal, K. (2013). Skill development mission in vocational areas: Mapping government initiatives. Current Science, 104(5), 597–605.
5. Kaushik, K. (2014). Vocational education in India. International Journal of Education and Information Studies, 4(1), 55–58.
6. Ministry of Human Resource Development. (2008). National Conference on Technical Vocational Education, Training and Skills Development: A Roadmap for Empowerment. Department of School Education and Literacy, Government of India.
7. Ministry of Skill Development and Entrepreneurship. (2023). National Skill Development Mission: Progress Report 2015–2023. Government of India.
8. NITI Aayog. (2021). Strategy for New India @75. Government of India. (Chapter on Education and Skill Development).
9. Planning Commission. (2007). Report of the Working Group on Skill Development and Vocational Training. Eleventh Five Year Plan (2007–2012). Government of India.
10. Pilz, M., & Wiemann, K. (2021). Indian vocational education and training system in the light of International approaches: Challenges and opportunities under NEP 2020. Journal of VocationalEducation&Training, 75(2), 1–23. https://doi.org/10.1080/13636820.2021.1968331