International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Digital Micro-Teaching Models for Teacher Education: Effectiveness and Challenges

Dr. Samir Kumar Mahato

Assistant Professor in English (Methodology Course), Institute of Education (P.G) for Women, Chandernagore, Hooghly (W.B), India.

Abstract

The conceptualization and usage of micro-teaching have undergone a key transformation in the teacher training programs, especially following the digital revolution in the education sector. The prevalent micro-teaching paradigms of discrete teaching skills through Interaction Analysis, as espoused by Flanders, and the original paradigm created by Allen and Ryan, were closely associated with the face-to-face practice of discrete teaching skills through immediate supervisor and peer feedback. The introduction of electronic platforms, online courses, artificial intelligence-controlled technologies of feedback, and technologies with immersive simulation has significantly altered the procedure of micro-teaching. This article discusses the structural characteristics, the value of pedagogy, its effectiveness, and the emerging challenges associated with digital micro-teaching in modern concerns on teacher education. The following hypothesis is proposed: based on the changes outlined in the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, the burning pace of the emergence of technologies, and the cumulative amount of the existing empirical data on the subject of teacher education, in general, the fast, scaled, and data-intensive environment can be viewed as rather flexible and data-driven. Meanwhile, technological anxiety, inequality in access to the digital infrastructure, and the necessity that the implementation of digital technology should be ethical and privacy-conscious, not to mention the diminishing relational and affective aspect of the teacher-learner relationship, constitute significant challenges. The article concludes with the evidence-based policy recommendations, institutional practices, and recommendations for further research.

Keywords: Digital Micro-Teaching, Video-Based Feedback, National Education Policy 2020, AI-Assisted Simulation, Digital Pedagogy, Reflective Practice.

Introduction

Teacher education worldwide is undergoing a technological shift as institutions are being motivated to have flexible learning systems, online learning, and training based on competencies. Micro-teaching is among the most useful pedagogic innovations, which have been deployed in the past to inculcate teaching skills in small and manageable steps. The micro-teaching lessons traditionally were conducted in institutions under controlled situations, where the student-teachers are taught a specific set of skills, which include skills of introduction, questioning, reinforcement, illustration, and use of teaching-learning materials during classes. Thematically, the micro-teaching model has shifted from physical spaces to the development of digital technologies, namely video platforms, as well as AI-based simulations, virtual classrooms and cloud storage. Digital micro-teaching enables asynchronous and

synchronous experiences, multimodal feedback, and AI-assisted analytics and allows review by many individuals. Such a shift is congruent with the NEP 2020 vision where this idea is concerned regarding digital inclusiveness, learning flexibility, reflexivity and sustained learning in teacher education. This model needs to be understood in order to assess its effectiveness and problems that might arise, so as to check the standards in teacher education institutions. Within the frame of the conceptual framework of digital micro-teaching, this model explores how the concept of digital micro-teaching has evolved and impacted the development of digital technology in education over time.

Digital Micro-Teaching: A Conceptual Framework

The digital micro-teaching is an advanced version of the old model of micro-teaching in the sense that technology has been applied to every part of the teaching-learning process. Within the phase of digital lesson planning, student-teachers are currently provided with a wide range of ICT-enabled materials, dynamic slides, digital storyboards, virtual objects of manipulation, concept demonstrations, simulations, and online worksheets, all of which contribute to the improvement of instructional design and cognitive interactions of a facilitator character. The **TPACK (Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge)** and **SAMR (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, Redefinition)** models provide a systematic process of ensuring that technology has been taught substantially and not superficially, where the teacher then designs their lessons to ensure more systematic and transformational integration of the contents, pedagogy and technology involved.

Video-based demonstrations are also useful to the practice stage, as the student-teachers may record their micro-teaching sessions using the assistance of smart phones, webcams, digital whiteboards or screen recorders. The process of self-reflection is more specific, and mentor feedback is more evidence-based, as in this video format, it is feasible to re-play the videos, and in doing so, one can study every moment and also analyze it with the mentor. This is additionally supported by the virtual or simulated classrooms that are driven by AI, like **Mursion** or **TeachLivE,** which create lifelike student avatars capable of engaging with teachers with lifelike behaviors, acting out of confusion, curiosity, distraction or hesitation. Repetitions, along with experiments and practice in these controlled yet dynamic environments, are a source of safety of learning as opposed to classroom learning that has uncertainty and stress.

These are multimodal digital feedback systems, which increase the feedback loop of the digital micro-teaching. The remarks by mentors and peers can be of various kinds; they could be written on the videos, they could be voice messages, they could be observed with the stamp of time, and they could be notes on the screen, directly on the teaching video. With AI-assisted analytics, it is possible to develop these performance measures on voice modulation, the speed of the speech, questioning, signals of student engagement, and classroom management methods. Such feedback is multimodal and data-driven and, additionally, makes the reflection process more descriptive and transparent.

Finally, all micro-teaching artifacts, lesson plans, videos, reflective notes, peer comments, mentor evaluations, and resubmissions can be placed in the digital portfolios stored in clouds. These portfolios are also considered longitudinal records of professional development, and thus student-teachers can track their emerging competencies and document their strengths and weaknesses to demonstrate their teaching skills in assessments, internships or job placements. A combination of this digitally enhanced, micro-teaching system results in a more liberalized, reflective, personalized and technologically

empowered system that makes the teacher education programs much more potent in terms of pedagogical preparedness and professional ability.

Literature Review

The use of micro-teaching has always been validated throughout research in teacher education and teacher development. The first study by **Allen and Ryan (1969)** hypothesized the concept of micro-teaching, where it is formulated as intensive and reduced teaching interaction towards a given goal, which is to form certain teaching skills, such as questioning techniques, reinforcing techniques, stimuli variation, and clarity of explanation. It turned out to be a milestone in teacher-preparation programs around the globe. Its usefulness was subsequently established in later studies. Using a case study example, **Kpanja (2001)** demonstrated that micro-teaching was useful in the training of the student-teachers to plan their lesson and be in control of classroom dynamics as compared to **Amobi (2005)** who indicated that it assisted in reducing the level of anxiety and bringing confidence among the novice teachers through the provision of a safe and controlled setting in which to practise repeatedly.

When digital tools were introduced into the teacher education process, the paradigm changed to technologically-supported micro-teaching, in particular, by means of video-mediated self-reflection. According to the research evidence, reflective practice is promoted by training sessions that have been observed, and the use of evidence-based improvement becomes easily accessible. **Santagata and Yeh (2016)** noted that in the structure of video-based analysis, which facilitates the reasoning of teachers, the teachers improved their pedagogical reasoning, both in terms of the interpretation of student reasoning and the accuracy of instructional decisions. Similarly, **Chien (2020)** reported that online micro-teaching, besides providing some continuity in the instructional practice, enhanced engagement, cooperation and online pedagogical competence among student-teachers during the COVID-19 pandemic. The findings underscore that the role of effective digital micro-teaching in the preparation of teachers has been increasingly important today.

Policy documents are also enhancing this switch of digital integration. The **National Education Policy 2020** and the **National Curriculum Framework for Teacher Education 2009** are very prolific of the blended practicum models, reflective pedagogy, and technology-based pedagogical innovation. At the international level, the **UNESCO ICT Competency Framework among Teachers (2018)** recommends the need of having teachers that do not solely utilize digital tools in the most effective manner but also relate them to purpose so as to transform the teaching-learning experience. Together with the mentioned policy frameworks, the concept of digital competence can now be viewed as an essential asset of the modern-day teacher education.

Overall, the reviewed literature implies that digital micro-teaching represents a considerable shift in teacher preparation. It offers more insightful episodes of reflection, personalized feedback, and pedagogic explanation. However, researchers also lay blame on such barriers like the distinction of digital readiness and the lack of the access to digitally sophisticated working means and the ambiguity of the credibility of the simulated educational environments as well.

Effectiveness of Digital Micro-Teaching in Teacher Education

One of the most effective pedagogical innovations has been the digital micro-teaching, which has a tremendous influence on the professional preparation of student-teachers. Among its most impressive advantages is the possibility of increased self-reflection. When using a digital format, the trainer does

not need to rely on recall and oral feedback as the primary methods, as in traditional micro-teaching. The trainee can repeatedly watch the lessons they have recorded, paying attention to subtle details such as gesture types, body position and rhythm, questioning behaviors and interaction patterns with students. This reflective practice, based on evidence, can help a person to be more observant of their teaching practice and more aware of their professional growth. Flexibility and self-paced aspect of it is another important advantage of digital practice. Student-teachers will be able to make the record and their micro-lessons ready at their convenience, and not to be under the pressure of following a classroom schedule. This allows them to repeat the lesson a number of times before they feel confident enough to submit it. This autonomy typically contributes to the promotion of self-efficacy and reduces performance anxiety.

Digital micro-teaching is also significant in substantially enhancing the feedback given to the trainees. Since mentors can pause, replay, make notes, or underline parts of a recorded lesson, the feedback becomes more specific, concrete, and useful. Peers are also better informed because they can view the recorded sessions carefully with visual evidence to support their observations, rather than relying on memory. The organic nature of multimedia pedagogy also constitutes strength. The creation of digital micro-lessons requires student-teachers to use contemporary tools such as slides, audio-visual materials, simulations, and others. This supports the development of their technological competence, which is essential in contemporary, digital-driven classrooms.

AI-based instruction further enhances the digital micro-teaching experience through its development. Virtual classroom simulations facilitate the trainees to interact with student avatars, practice behavior management, test differentiated instructional approaches, and respond to emotional or unpredicted situations without the real-world consequences of failure. Such simulations also create confidence and readiness of the trainees to go to actual classrooms. Virtual environments are also more likely to enhance motivation and interest as the use of technology to enrich tasks makes them interesting or stimulating to students and teachers. The ability to use various teaching strategies, develop innovative lessons, and engage with interactive online materials aligns with the learning preferences of the current digitally conscious generation.

Lastly, micro-teaching, which is using digital technology, builds systematic assessment. The teaching sessions are video-recorded and kept in cloud-based portfolios that allow the trainees and mentors to trace the progress during the process. The use of longitudinal tracking of the teaching competencies is based on digital feedback logs and analytics dashboard to single out how it has improved over time and where there is persistent challenge. Taken together, these benefits demonstrate that digital micro-teaching is not merely a technological enhancement but a paradigm shift in teacher preparation, making reflection more profound, feedback more precise, technological competence stronger and life-long learning more attainable.

Challenges of Digital Micro-Teaching

As opposed to the far-reaching positive influences of digital micro-teaching on the learning process, there is a boundary of difficulties, which teacher education institutions should make efforts to overcome. Among them, there exists a steady digital divide, which is one of the most significant factors that affect the involvement of the trainees and educational equity. Availability of stable and consistent internet connectivity and availability of an accommodating technological environment is way beyond both students of rural origin and socio-economically marginalized students. Such imbalance is likely to establish the inequality of participation and introduce another dimension of unfairness to the issue of

teacher education. The other weakness is the partial reality of a virtual or simulated world. Even though an AI-based simulated classroom can mirror most of the classroom behavior, it fails to capture most of the emotional, social, and spontaneous interaction that take place in the real classroom. Not every relational and affective competence, in its turn, is entirely monitored.

The other significant barrier also assumes the form of technological anxiety as a significant number of trainees (and even teacher educators) are not yet sure how to use digital tools, platforms, and recording programs. This anxiety is able to reduce the learning process, cognitive attention, and lack of pedagogical attention and reduce the effectiveness of micro-teaching lessons. This is also linked to the issue of reduced interpersonal communication, where an online platform may deprive one to capture a significant form of non-verbal communication, warmth, and spontaneous creation of rapport that may inevitably occur in a traditional form of interaction. Switching to the digital format can, to a certain degree, diminish the relationship component of teaching that matters greatly to classroom atmosphere and interaction of students.

The other risk relates to the risk of giving too much emphasis on technical performance. On-line, they may get distracted with the beauties of the presentation, the way the videos are edited, what the background is like or how beautiful the slides are, at the cost of more profound pedagogical understanding, genuine questioning or reflective pedagogical mission. Furthermore, even the digital-taping, digital recordings or decisions and communications of the teaching lessons are more transparent in terms of ethical and privacy concerns. The institutional principles of consent, data protection and confidentiality issues should have separate principles, which should override to prevent misconduct or inadvertent liquidation of personal data. Finally, the digital micro-teaching can lead to the existence of a lot of work pressure due to the time-consuming nature of the evaluation process. At times, the mentors might only spend much time going through, marking, and commenting extensively on many video submissions, and it might be extremely challenging when handling a huge group.

All these combined points to the need to consider, be fair and moral when it comes to digital micro-teaching. The institutions should aim to ensure accessibility, offer training based on digital confidence, protection of privacy, and a balance between technological advancement and richness of the pedagogy.

Implications for the Teacher Education Institutions

A powerful and effective technological infrastructure in institutions is required to enable digital micro-teaching and fulfill its full pedagogic potential. It entails the establishment of special digital micro-teaching laboratories that have good recording devices, screen-cap software, simulation software, and high-speed internet that functions properly. Not only do the spaces provide the trainees with the resources necessary for them, they also provide the space within which experimentation and reflective practice can be engaged in without any doubt. Capacity-building for the faculty members is also essential. Continuous learning, as is the case with teacher educators, is necessary to make them comfortable and competent in integrating new technologies in their learning and supervising processes. They can be oriented to new digital tools according to frequent workshops, peer-learning and practical training, and understand the strategies they should employ in mentoring the trainees in an online environment.

There are also rewards of equilibrium institutional rules that are required to realize ethical and systematic practice. There should be transparent and communicated policies on video recording, video storage, video confidentiality, consent and assessment procedures, which are to be followed. These

tendencies protect the trainees and the learners as well as safeguarding professional accountability. Institutionally, every institution should move towards blended practicum approaches in which the digital environment is observed not to be able to provide what physical classroom configurations and activities can. Even though simulation training allows the trainee to practice some of the skills with precision and consistency, classroom interaction fosters relational sensitivity, flexibility and presence, which cannot be fully, developed using recent digital technology. A smart combination of the two modes may provide an encompassing experience in training and prepare future teachers to the changes that are required of them in the school of the modern world.

Digital Micro-Teaching in the Era of NEP 2020

The digital micro-teaching is significantly related to the education-wide changes suggested in NEP 2020, in particular, the integration of ICT, more flexible learning, and competency-based teacher education programs. To come up with an effective exercise of this vision, the policymakers should ensure the provision of sustained infrastructural support to institutions, which includes the provision of adequate digital equipment, consistent internet connectivity, and the provision of software of pedagogical value. In addition to enhancing internet access and connectivity, national and local organizations should put in more efforts in regard to broad-based digital literacy programs that make teacher educators perceive technology as an indispensable part of their professional practice and not as something marginal.

As the use of multimedia records, the web-based feedback and evaluation tools with analytics increases, it is also crucial to establish clear ethical standards, which will guarantee privacy, consent, and good use of the online information. These standards play a positive role in maintaining the integrity of the assessment and save the individuals involved in the teaching-learning process. Systemically, by having a national framework on digital practicum similar to what exists already on teaching practice, institutions would have a regular format of planning, overseeing, and evaluating digital micro-teaching performances. Online micro-teaching can also turn out as a useful tool towards preparing thoughtful, flexible, and techno savvy teachers who are ready to provide the requirements of the modern classrooms using proven teaching methodologies and well-set standards.

Recommendations

Digital micro-teaching must be effectively assimilated, and in such a way, a cohesive progression of institutional and policy responses to be facilitated to inculcate the culture of digital practicum to occur in teacher education programs. To start with, teacher education institutions should establish special virtual micro-teaching laboratories, whereby student-teachers can learn and observe their teaching skills under controlled settings. The recording equipment and editing software, a fast Internet connection and an interactive digital board should be there in the laboratory of this type. In combination with this, a blended practicum, a virtual simulation, and a field-based practicum setting in an actual school setting would enable continuity between the theoretical training and the real teaching situation. Another crucial factor is the administration of systematic training of digital skills to both student-teachers and faculty members in order that all the stakeholders may be comfortable using digital platforms and multimedia tools, learning-management systems and assessment technologies.

At the same time, it also demands that institutions to incorporate the openness of video footage, data storage, confidentiality, and the prudent utilization of student information. The described protocols promote the interests of students and educators, as well as ensure the quality of e-testing. Moreover, the

capabilities of AI-based analytics (i.e., automatic feedback system, performance board, and speech-pattern identification) can assist in the evaluation of micro-teaching lessons in a more objective and consistent manner, provided that the tools are used in a transparent and sufficiently human-monitored manner. Another important issue is fair access; the ICT must be subsidized, the servicing of the Wi-Fi should be sponsored, and the Wi-Fi connectivity should exist on the campuses so that they can lower the inequality rates among student-teachers with different socioeconomic backgrounds. Finally, those organizations and policy agencies should encourage new research efforts on pedagogical, psychological, and professional implications of the digital micro-teaching, which should yield evidence that can be used in new teacher education paradigms.

Conclusion

The digital micro-teaching concept is a giant shift in contemporary teacher education, which offers jobs with opportunities for reflective teaching, pedagogical flexibility, and technology-based feedback. In this case, providing students with an opportunity to record, replay and revisit the lesson will serve to encourage the student-teachers to conduct themselves with a serious self-assessment and to further fine-tune their approaches to teaching. One can even state that the digital place incites greater numbers of tools and teaching materials, as well as interactive tools that will allow future teachers to develop confidence in digital pedagogy and multimedia lesson construction. What is more, in case the video recordings are well-organized, they can allow analyzing secondary data, conducting more sophisticated monitoring of the progress of the trainees, and provides meaningful feedback of their peers and mentors.
Despite their benefits, there are challenges that should be considered. Student-teachers are not yet excluded from the list of those experiencing digital divide because some have no access to, or cannot rely on, an appropriate device or the Internet, and the problems of video ethics, privacy, and prudent data usage should be addressed through institutional policy. Some student-teachers will also feel technologically anxious; unfortunately, the warmth and spontaneity of classroom teaching may at times be missing in the digital realm. These problems should be addressed in terms of specific protocols and training programs, and in supportive infrastructural facilities. Digital micro-teaching can have a significant positive impact on the professional education of future teachers because it will become one of the effective means in the context of NEP 2020, which emphasizes the implementation of ICT, learner flexibility and autonomy, and competence-based preparation and outcomes.

Acknowledgement

The author is very thankful for the immense contributions to the academicians, scholars, and researchers whose works have been reviewed and have given the conceptual and empirical bases for the arguments being presented in this article. The author also thanks the help provided by different digital research tools used for literature retrieval, organization, and manuscript elaboration. Furthermore, responsible AI-powered writing and proofreading provision contributed to the clarity and coherence of the final document. Finally, the author fully respects the right of the editors to evaluate such usage during the review process.

References

1. Allen, D. W., & Ryan, K. (1969). *Microteaching.* Addison-Wesley.
2. Amobi, F. A. (2005). Preservice Teachers' Reflectivity on the Sequence and Consequences of Teac-

hing Actions in a Microteaching Experience. *Teacher Education Quarterly, 32*(1), 115–130.
3. Chien, C. W. (2020). Pre-service English teachers' perceptions of practicum experiences through online teaching. *Journal of Education for Te`aching, 46*(4).
4. Flanders, N. A. (1970). *Analyzing Teacher Behavior*. Addison-Wesley.
5. Inamullah, H. M., Hussain, I., & Naseer ud din, M. (2008). Direct Influence Of English Teachers In The Teaching Learning Process. *College Teaching Methods & Styles Journal (CTMS)*, *4*(4), 29–36. https://doi.org/10.19030/ctms.v4i4.5544
6. Kpanja, E. (2001). A Study of the Effects of Videotape Recording in Microteaching Training. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 32*(4), 483–486. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8535.00215
7. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020.* MHRD: New Delhi.
8. National Council for Teacher Education. (2009). *National Curriculum Framework for Teacher Education: Towards preparing professional and humane teachers.* NCTE: New Delhi.
9. Redecker, C., & Punie, Y. (2017). *European Framework for the Digital Competence of Educators (DigCompEdu).* Publications Office of the European Union.
10. Santagata, R., & Yeh, C. (2016). The role of video-based learning in developing complex pedagogical content knowledge. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 57*, 53–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2016.03.003
11. UNESCO. (2018). *ICT Competency Framework for Teachers.* UNESCO.