International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Job Satisfaction and Teacher Effectiveness of Special Educators Working in Special and Inclusive Schools

Mr. Sunil Kumar Shirpurkar[1], Dr. Saraswati Ghosh[2]

[1]Research Scholar, School of Education, Sanskriti University, Mathura
[2]Professor, School of Education Sanskriti University, Mathura

Abstract
This study investigates the levels of job satisfaction and teacher effectiveness among special educators working in special and inclusive schools across Delhi NCR, Uttar Pradesh, and Uttarakhand. Drawing on responses from 725 special educators, the study examines the impact of demographic variables, gender, location, disability status, marital status, academic qualifications, and school type on job satisfaction and teacher effectiveness. Employing standardised questionnaires and descriptive statistical methods, the research demonstrates a strong, positive correlation between job satisfaction and teacher effectiveness. Significant variations were observed based on demographic factors, with notable implications for educational policy and teacher support systems.

Keyword: Teacher Effectiveness, Job Satisfaction, Special Education, Inclusive Schools, Special Schools.

Introduction

Context and Rationale

Teachers play a unique and pivotal role in the education system, acting as a bridge for transmitting knowledge and supporting the intellectual traditions that sustain civilization. The importance of a teacher—especially in special education—extends beyond content delivery to include mentorship, skill demonstration, and social integration. Teaching students with disabilities, particularly those who are visually impaired, demands even greater dedication, patience, and specialised skills. The effectiveness and job satisfaction of special educators, therefore, have direct ramifications not only for the academic outcomes of these children but also for their holistic development and ability to contribute to society.

Job Satisfaction and Special Educators

Job satisfaction is defined as the degree to which a person feels fulfilled and content with their job, encompassing aspects such as pay, responsibilities, workplace environment, promotional opportunities, and interpersonal relationships. In the context of special education, job satisfaction is critical, as educators often face unique challenges, including greater workloads, lower pay compared to general educators, additional training requirements, and higher emotional demands. If teachers are dissatisfied, their commitment, productivity, and performance may suffer, which can adversely impact the success of educational programs for children with special needs.

Focus on Special Education for the Visually Impaired

Special education serves children with a wide range of disabilities and requires individually-tailored instructional methods, materials (e.g., Braille, large print, assistive technologies), and sometimes dedicated environments (such as special schools or resource rooms within mainstream schools). Special educators must collaborate with other professionals—psychologists, therapists, and doctors—to maximize student capabilities.

The historical trajectory of special education in India showcases a shift from exclusion and segregation toward integration and inclusion. Legislation such as the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act (2016) and policies like the National Education Policy (2020) underscore the country's commitment to inclusive education. Nevertheless, implementation challenges persist, particularly in terms of teacher training and support for inclusive classrooms.

Statement of the Problem

Despite policy advances, the professional experience of special educators—specifically, their job satisfaction and effectiveness—remains underexamined, especially for those working with visually impaired children in both special and inclusive school settings. Understanding the factors that influence their job satisfaction and effectiveness is crucial for informing policy, improving teacher support structures, and ultimately enhancing educational outcomes for students with visual disabilities.

Objectives:

1. To assess the levels of Job Satisfaction among special educators working in both special and inclusive schools.
2. To assess the levels of Teachers' Effectiveness among special educators working in both special and inclusive schools.
3. To find the relationship between Job Satisfaction and Teachers' Effectiveness amongs Special Educators in Special Schools
4. To find the relationship between Job Satisfaction and Teachers' Effectiveness amongs Special Educators in Inclusive Schools
5. To investigate the correlation between teacher effectiveness and job satisfaction among special education teachers working in special and inclusive schools.

- **Hypotheses:**

1. There is no significant difference of the levels of Job Satisfaction among special educators between special and inclusive schools
2. There is no significant difference of the levels of Teachers' effectiveness among special educators between special and inclusive schools
3. There is no significant difference in the relationship between Job Satisfaction and Teachers' Effectiveness among Special Educators in Special Schools
4. There is no significant difference in the relationship between Job Satisfaction and Teachers' Effectiveness among Special Educators in Inclusive Schools
5. There is no significant difference in correlation between teacher effectiveness and job satisfaction among special educators working in both special and inclusive schools.

Review of Literature

Mukhopadhyay et al. (2022) conducted a comparative study on special educators in Kolkata and concluded that educators in special schools were more satisfied with the use of assistive technologies, while those in inclusive setups preferred flexibility and independence in teaching methods.

Sahu and Bhende (2018) assessed the job satisfaction of special educators in Chhattisgarh and found that lack of autonomy, excessive workload, and insufficient support systems were key causes of dissatisfaction.

Kumar (2016) emphasized the importance of assistive technology competence among special educators, highlighting that inadequate training limited their ability to support visually impaired learners effectively.

Islahi and Nasreen (2013) found demographic factors such as marital status and professional training significantly influenced teacher effectiveness and satisfaction.

Bashara (2020), in a study on special educators in Jordan, reported moderate job satisfaction and emphasized the need for structured, inclusive classrooms and enhanced working conditions.

Doris (2018) analyzed early-career training and job satisfaction among special educators in Kentucky, USA, concluding that proper professional preparation improves both satisfaction and retention.

Adenoma 2019: Positive correlation between job satisfaction, self-efficacy, and professional commitment.

Methodology

The methodology chapter in the provided document outlines the research design, sampling, tools, data collection, and statistical analysis approaches used to study job satisfaction and teacher effectiveness among special educators working in special and inclusive schools. The main components are as follows:

1. **Research Design**

- **Type:** The study follows a **quantitative** approach using a survey **research** design.
- **Purpose:** To describe and compare levels of teacher effectiveness and job satisfaction based on various demographic factors.

2. **Universe and Sample**

- **Universe:** All special teachers working in special and inclusive schools in Delhi NCR, Uttar Pradesh, and Uttarakhand.
- **Sample:**
- **Size:** 715 special educators.
- **Selection:** Random selection of two types of schools (special and inclusive) across the three states. The sample also reflects various demographic characteristics (gender, school type, Experience, marital status, academic qualifications, disability Status and school location).
- **Sampling Frame:** Derived from the list of teachers in selected schools.
- **Demographics:**
- Gender: 410 male, 315 female
- Location: 271 rural, 454 urban
- Disability: 233 disabled, 492 non-disabled
- Marital status: 336 married, 389 unmarried
- Qualification: 387 undergraduate, 338 postgraduate
- School type: 376 special, 349 inclusive

Variables

- **Independent Variables:** Gender, Place of School, Disability Status, Marital Status, Educational Qualification, Type of School
- **Dependent Variables:** Teacher Effectiveness, Job Satisfaction

Tools

- **Teacher Job Satisfaction Questionnaire**: Developed by Dr. Pramod Kumar, adapted for special teachers; 29 yes/no items. Scores range from 0 (least satisfied) to 29 (most satisfied).
- **Teacher Effectiveness Scale**: By Dr. Pramod Kumar and Dr. D.N. Mutha; adapted for special educators 69 positively worded items rated from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree). Scores range from 69 (least effective) to 345 (most effective).

Data Collection Procedure

- **Approach:** Investigator visited schools, met personally with special educators, and administered questionnaires (including read-aloud for visually impaired teachers). Along with Google Forms and with other sources, the Confidentiality and purpose were explained to all participants.

Statistical Analysis

- **Descriptive Statistics:** Mean, Standard Deviation (SD) t test and Pearson's Correlation
- **Inferential Statistics:**
- **T-test:** For comparing means between two groups (e.g., male vs. female).
- **Pearson Correlation:** To assess the relationship between job satisfaction and teacher effectiveness.
- A strong positive correlation was observed between job satisfaction and teacher effectiveness ($r=0.9432r=0.9432$, $p < 0.01$).
- **Procedure:** Scores for job satisfaction and effectiveness were computed per respondent and analyzed by demographic groups. T-tests determined statistical significance between means. The correlation coefficient measured the strength and direction of the relationship between satisfaction and effectiveness.

Findings

1. There was a significant difference in the teacher effectiveness of male and female secondary school teachers.
2. A significant difference was found in the teacher effectiveness of secondary school teachers concerning the place of their school.
3. The difference was not found to be significant in the teacher effectiveness of secondary school teachers concerning their disability category.
4. Married and unmarried secondary school teachers were significantly different in their teacher effectiveness.
5. A significant difference was found in the teacher effectiveness of secondary school teachers concerning their qualifications, i.e., UG & PG.
6. There was a significant difference in teacher effectiveness of secondary school teachers concerning the type of school (special school & inclusive school).
7. A significant difference was found between male and female secondary school teachers' job satisfaction.
8. There was a significant difference in job satisfaction between rural and urban secondary school teachers.

9. The difference was found to be significant in the job satisfaction of rural and urban secondary school teachers.
10. Married and unmarried secondary school teachers were found to be significantly different in their job satisfaction. May be rejected.
11. There was no significant difference in job satisfaction between undergraduate and postgraduate secondary school teachers.
12. No significant difference was found in the job satisfaction of special and inclusive secondary school teachers.
13. There was a highly significant and positive relationship between job satisfaction and teacher effectiveness of secondary school teachers.

Discussion

The study highlights the critical role of special educators in shaping inclusive education, particularly for children with visual impairments, and exposes significant challenges affecting their job satisfaction and teaching effectiveness. Despite their pivotal role, many special educators face systemic dissatisfaction stemming from poor infrastructure, inadequate resources, lack of recognition, and limited career growth opportunities. These factors contribute to an environment where educators often feel undervalued, which negatively impacts their motivation and performance. The strong positive correlation between job satisfaction and teacher effectiveness found in the study emphasizes that improving conditions for teachers can significantly enhance the quality of education delivered to students with disabilities. Demographic factors such as gender, location, marital status, disability, qualification, and school type were found to influence both job satisfaction and teaching effectiveness. Female, urban, unmarried, and non-disabled teachers generally reported higher satisfaction and effectiveness, while rural teachers, though less satisfied, exhibited relatively higher effectiveness. This suggests that contextual differences, such as community support and resource availability, might shape teachers' experiences differently across regions. The teachers in special schools showed greater effectiveness compared to those in inclusive settings, but job satisfaction levels were similar across both contexts, indicating that challenges like resource scarcity and organizational support deficiencies are pervasive regardless of school type. The findings underscore the urgent need for comprehensive policy interventions and institutional reforms to better support special educators. Policies should focus on providing equitable salaries, career advancement opportunities, improved infrastructure, and access to assistive technologies. Continuous professional development and training in inclusive teaching methods and assistive tools are essential to equip educators to meet diverse student needs effectively. Beyond material support, formal recognition and incentive programs can enhance teacher morale, retention, and commitment. Tailoring policies to address the unique needs of female, disabled, and married special educators can promote a more inclusive and motivated workforce. Although legislative frameworks like the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act (2016) and the National Education Policy (2020) have laid foundational support for inclusive education in India, practical implementation remains fraught with challenges. Addressing these gaps requires coordinated efforts among policymakers, school leaders, and communities to create supportive environments where special educators can thrive. Ultimately, improving job satisfaction is not only vital for the well-being of special educators but is also instrumental in enhancing their teaching effectiveness and advancing the broader goals of inclusive education for children with disabilities.

Educational Implications

- **Policy Reforms:** Strengthen support systems, ensure equitable compensation, and provide career advancement for special educators.
- **Workplace Enhancement:** Improve resource availability, assistive technologies, and working conditions in both special and inclusive settings.
- **Professional Development:** Ongoing in-service training, particularly in inclusive pedagogies and the use of assistive technologies.
- **Recognition Programs:** Formal incentives and acknowledgment for special educators to boost morale and retention.

Limitations

1. The study was conducted only with special educators for visually impaired children, excluding teachers for other disabilities.
2. The geographical scope was limited to three states in North India: Delhi NCR, Uttar Pradesh, and Uttarakhand.
3. Data collection was confined to selected special and inclusive schools chosen randomly, which may not represent all schools.
4. The focus was restricted to assessing job satisfaction and teacher effectiveness based on limited demographic and professional factors.
5. The study only included teachers working in special and inclusive school settings, excluding other educational or rehabilitation settings.
6. The use of self-reported questionnaires (job satisfaction and teacher effectiveness scales) may carry inherent biases such as social desirability or subjective perception.

Delimitations

1. The study deliberately focused on special educators teaching visually impaired children to maintain a specific target group.
2. It chose to study only special educators working in special schools and inclusive schools to compare these two educational settings.
3. The demographic variables included in the study were gender, place of school (rural/urban), disability status, marital status, academic qualification, and type of school.
4. The choice of research method was descriptive quantitative research using standardized questionnaires to measure job satisfaction and teacher effectiveness.
5. The sample size was 715 special educators selected from schools within the mentioned states to represent this population.
6. The study did not explore causal relationships but assessed associations and differences among variables relevant to job satisfaction and teacher effectiveness.

Conclusion

The study concludes that job satisfaction and teacher effectiveness among special educators working in special and inclusive schools are strongly and positively correlated, indicating that higher job satisfaction is closely associated with greater teaching effectiveness. Significant differences in job satisfaction and effectiveness were observed based on demographic factors such as gender, place of

school, marital status, disability status, qualification, and type of school. Female, urban, unmarried, and non-disabled teachers generally reported higher job satisfaction and effectiveness. Rural teachers exhibited somewhat higher effectiveness despite lower job satisfaction compared to their urban counterparts. Special school teachers tended to be more effective than inclusive school teachers, although job satisfaction levels were similar between the two.

Overall, the findings highlight widespread low job satisfaction among special educators, primarily due to inadequate infrastructure, lack of recognition, insufficient resources, and limited career growth opportunities. This dissatisfaction can detrimentally affect teacher performance and retention, ultimately impacting the quality of education for students with visual impairments.

The study emphasizes the urgent need for comprehensive educational policy reforms and organizational interventions, including improved work conditions, equitable compensation, targeted professional development, enhanced resource availability, and supportive work environments. It also calls for gender-sensitive policies and tailored support for married and disabled teachers to foster a more inclusive, motivated, and effective special education workforce.

In summary, boosting job satisfaction among special educators is crucial not only for their well-being but also for maximizing their teaching effectiveness and advancing inclusive education goals. Policymakers, school administrators, and stakeholders must collaborate to implement evidence-based measures that enhance teacher satisfaction and performance, thereby improving educational outcomes for children with disabilities.

References

1. Attri, A. K. (2010). Job satisfaction and value patterns among rural and urban secondary school teachers. *International Journal of Educational Research*.
2. Kumar, P. (2016). Competencies of special educators in the use of assistive technologies for visually impaired students. *Indian Journal of Special Education*.
3. Krishnamacharyulu, V. (2006). *Classroom Dynamics: Teacher Characteristics*. First Edition.
4. Mukhopadhyay, A., Sharma, M., Dubey, C. K., & Jat, P. K. (2022). Job satisfaction among special educators in special and inclusive schools: A comparative study. *Indian Journal of Special Education*.
5. Pal, A. K. (2011). Attitudes of general school teachers and special educators toward inclusive education. *Educational Journal*.
6. Sahu, H. K., & Bhende, R. G. (2017). Job satisfaction of special educators working with children with developmental disabilities. *International Journal of Special Education Research*.
7. Sharma, R. D., & Jyoti, J. (2006). Job satisfaction among government and private school teachers in Jammu. *Indian Journal of Educational Studies*.
8. Singh, M. (2013). Problem-solving abilities of visually impaired and sighted students in special and mainstream schools. *Educational Research Review*.
9. Bashara, H. (2020). Job satisfaction and working conditions among special educators in Jordan: Emphasis on inclusive classrooms.
10. Doris, C. (2018). Impact of early-career professional preparation on job satisfaction and retention among special educators in Kentucky, USA.
11. Gurinder Kaur & Jivan Jyoti Sidhan (2011). Job satisfaction among Punjabi college teachers based on area, gender, and institution type. Punjab Educational Review.

12. Kim Viel-Ruma, D., Houchins, D., Jolivette, K., & Benson, G. (2010). Self-efficacy, collective efficacy, and job satisfaction among special educators. Journal of Special Education.
13. Louw, E. G. D., & Nhorst, G. B. (2008). Job satisfaction among urban secondary school teachers in Namibia. African Education Review.
14. Malik, S. M., Arshad, C. A., & Akram, N. M. (2011). Job satisfaction among female primary school teachers in Lahore district: Government vs. private sectors. Pakistan Journal of Educational Research.
15. Nwachukwu, O. P. (Year). Teachers' job satisfaction and motivation for school effectiveness: An assessment in Rivers State, Nigeria. Journal of Educational Management.
16. Rebay Givens (1988). The relationship between age, experience, and job satisfaction among teachers. Journal of Educational Psychology.
17. R.D. Sharma & Jeevan Jyoti (2006). Job satisfaction among government and private school teachers in Jammu. Indian Journal of Educational Studies.
18. Sahu, H. K., & Bhende, R. G. (Year). Job satisfaction of special educators working with children with developmental disabilities. International Journal of Special Education Research.
19. Sharma, S. (1971). Factors affecting job satisfaction among teachers: Qualification, age, experience,and aptitude. Educational Research Quarterly.
20. Shui-Mei, H. (2010). Job satisfaction and stress among elementary resource room teachers in Taiwan. Taiwanese Journal of Special Education.