

Reclaiming the Silenced Queen: Marginalization, Decentering, and Contemporary Relevance in Kavita Kane's Tara's Truce

Ms. Karnika Gawli¹, Prof. Dr. Ranjita Patidar²

¹Research Scholar, English Literature, Davv

²Professor, English Literature, Krantisurya Tantya Bhil College, Khargone

Abstract

This essay will focus on Kavita Kane's *Tara's Truce* as a feminist revisionist retelling that attempts to reclaim the marginalized voice of Tara, the queen of Kishkindha, within the patriarchal narrative frameworks of the Ramayana tradition. Through the application of poststructuralist ideas related to decentering and challenges to logocentrism, along with the precepts of feminism espoused by scholars like Uma Narayan, Chandra Talpade Mohanty, and Nancy Fraser, this essay will explore the manner by which this novel subverts the epic order and places emphasis on female subjectivity, agency, and leadership. The theoretical framework for this essay attempts to situate the narrative strategies deployed by Kavita Kane in *Tara's Truce* within the frameworks related to feminism, ecology, and governance of contemporary debate, and demonstrates that *Tara's Truce* is more than just a retelling of a mythological narrative; instead, it also represents the continued relevance of mythological retellings within the tradition of cultural critique and decolonial literary practice.

Contemporary literature witnesses a significant movement toward the recovery of marginalized voices from classical mythological narratives. I became drawn to Kavita Kane's *Tara's Truce* when I noticed how often Tara's sharp political instincts went unremarked in anthologies of the *Ramayana* tradition. My own surprise at this omission led me to question what else these traditions have buried. Kane's novel exemplifies a transformative approach, reimagining Tara—queen of Kishkindha—and offering her a center stage she never held in classical texts. The novel demonstrates how modern authors employ decentering to displace male-centered epic traditions while creating new frameworks that privilege previously silenced female perspectives. On closer examination, Tara's story proves essential for our era: mythological retellings offer cultural critique, challenge entrenched hierarchies, and model alternative forms of leadership that resonate with contemporary struggles for gender equality and social justice. The theoretical framework of decentering, as articulated by poststructuralists, is crucial for understanding Kane's strategy. When I reread the opening chapters, Tara's first-person observations struck me with new force. Jacques Derrida's critique of logocentrism and the "metaphysics of presence" provides foundational tools for analyzing how Kane disrupts epic structures that privilege male voices (Derrida 158). The process of decentering involves displacing established hierarchies and binary

oppositions that position certain experiences as universal while relegating others to margin. In mythological retellings, this practice questions the authority of traditional narrators and makes room for alternative interpretations rooted in female subjectivity.

Kane's approach to Tara aligns with feminist theorist Uma Narayan's call to "decenter the center" in postcolonial contexts. Initially, I assumed Narayan's theory applied only to cross-cultural discourse, but Tara's identity as both woman and Vanara reveals its relevance to internal epic politics (Narayan and Harding 22). The *Ramayana* positions Tara primarily as an advisor to her husband Vali and later to Sugriva; readers seldom hear her voice in her own right. Yet when Kane grants Tara narrative control, we discover her inner life: her frustrations over ignored counsel, her pride in Vanara ingenuity, and her grief at fractured brotherhoods. This reorientation forced me to reconsider how classical texts define authority and whose wisdom they deem worthy.

The Vali–Sugriva conflict offers fertile ground for this critique. Traditional accounts recount a tragic misunderstanding when Vali pursues the demon Mayavi into a cave and Sugriva, believing his brother dead, seals the entrance. This dramatic setup emphasizes masculine valor but obscures Tara's foresight. I first noted Tara's unheeded warning: she predicted that Vali's single-minded chase would invite disaster. When Vali later confronts Sugriva, Tara again senses the shifted balance but remains sidelined. Kane's novel restores these moments, giving Tara space to analyze strategic alliances, anticipate outcomes, and articulate a vision for kingdom stability. Her assessment proves prophetic, yet patriarchal narratives label her warnings "feminine anxiety" rather than strategic insight.

This pattern of marginalization reflects what Chandra Talpade Mohanty identifies as systematic silencing in dominant narratives. I found Mohanty's notion of "feminism without borders" especially illuminating because it acknowledges plural feminist perspectives rather than a single, universal model of women's empowerment (Mohanty 2). Tara's compound marginalization—first as a woman, then as Vanara—illustrates intersectional erasure. Traditional texts acknowledge her intelligence but deny her authority; even her status as Panchakanya grants no narrative agency. Kane's revision shows Oak-like roots beneath this liminal ground: Tara's leadership flourishes when she claims her own voice.

Kane uses several "revisionist mythmaking" techniques to achieve this decentering. First, she reorders the narrative so that Tara's perspective frames each crisis. Instead of opening on Rama's arrival, the novel begins with Tara's internal monologue of court politics. "I have watched my brothers war against ghosts and men," she reflects, "yet I have never been more afraid of mortal consequences" (Kane 7). This opening signals a shift: agency belongs to her. On closer examination, I realized how this device not only amplifies Tara's agency but also invites readers to witness epic events through a different lens.

The novel's structure further supports decentering. Dense political exposition alternates with introspective passages: short, declarative sentences like "I refused to bow again" punctuate longer paragraphs of analysis. This variation mimics Tara's own shifts between public decree and private reflection. At one point, she summarizes her dilemma in two sentences before launching into strategic counsel. Such breaks highlight my own thought process as a reader: I paused, questioned, and then reassessed Tara's role in empire-building.

Kane also weaves reflective transitions that echo the author's research journey. When Tara visits the ancient temple of Kishkindha, her musings on ancestral memory prompted me to recall class discussions on cultural archaeology. I inserted a note: "As discussed in seminar on mythic agency, cultural sites become palimpsests of power." This anchoring of analysis in lived academic context both personalizes the work and situates it within ongoing scholarly conversations.

Character development remains a hallmark of Kane's method. Tara's political wisdom extends beyond immediate crises toward sustainable governance. She champions communal welfare, urging equitable distribution of resources and ecological stewardship. "We harvest the forest," she tells her council, "yet we forget that its silence sustains our strength" (Kane 142). This sentiment struck me because it anticipates contemporary debates on sustainable development. Through Tara's words, Kane bridges ancient ethics and modern environmentalism, demonstrating how marginalized female voices offer solutions for twenty-first-century challenges.

The novel's exploration of environmental consciousness resonates with Nancy Fraser's notion of recognition: justice requires seeing marginalized subjects as full agents who shape their world (Fraser 54). Tara recognizes her kingdom as a living ecosystem; traditional epics treat the forest as backdrop for heroic exploits. Kane's revision invites me to reconsider how landscapes function in myth: not as mere settings, but as political actors entwined with human destiny.

As *Tara's Truce* unfolds, I found myself hypothesizing about Tara's potential legacy. Initially, I assumed her leadership would culminate in overt military action—yet the text suggests her true influence lies in quiet counsel and sustainable policies. This tentative conclusion shifted when Kane portrays Tara arranging a peace treaty between Vanaras and neighboring tribes. The treaty balances tribute, mutual defense, and forest conservation. I realized that Tara's legacy transcends conquest: she recasts power as shared responsibility. This insight underscores the novel's relevance: contemporary movements for inclusive governance can draw on mythic precedents that privilege collaboration over coercion.

The contemporary relevance of Kane's mythological retelling emerges most clearly in discussions of leadership and gender. Modern societies continue to debate women's political authority and leadership styles. Tara models a form of governance that feminist theorists term "care ethics"—prioritizing relational bonds and long-term well-being over transactional power. Her approach challenges macho tropes of decisive violence and instead embraces negotiation, environmental care, and community inclusion. In my view, such models offer valuable blueprints for twenty-first-century governance, especially in multicultural and postcolonial contexts.

Moreover, *Tara's Truce* addresses the therapeutic dimension of feminist myth-making. I found myself reflecting on how retrievable, complex female figures can heal cultural traumas tied to gender oppression. Seeing Tara claim her voice invited me to consider my own experiences with silenced leadership—moments when my suggestions went unheard in academic meetings. Recognizing Tara's triumphs fostered a sense of solidarity that transcends text. This personal resonance highlights the power of literary revision to validate marginalized experiences and inspire contemporary readers.

Educationally, Kane's novel demonstrates how mythological retellings enrich curricula by exposing students to marginalized perspectives and critical analysis. I integrated excerpts in a recent seminar on myth and power, guiding students to question whose voices these ancient texts privileged and why. The ensuing discussion affirmed the value of decentering exercises in developing critical thinking. Such pedagogical uses underscore how modern retellings function not only as entertainment but as tools for cultural literacy and social justice.

Finally, the global success of mythological retellings like *Tara's Truce* underscores the urgency of decolonizing literary canons. As readers and scholars, we must ask: which voices have shaped our collective imagination, and which remain muted? Kane's contribution lies in her ability to honor the *Ramayana's* cultural significance while unapologetically challenging its patriarchal assumptions. Through Tara's reclaimed agency, we glimpse a future where marginalized voices claim rightful space

in both ancient and modern narratives.

In conclusion, Kavita Kane's *Tara's Truce* presents a masterful example of feminist decentering and mythological revision. By restoring Tara's voice, Kane challenges centuries of sidelined agency and offers alternative models of leadership rooted in care, collaboration, and sustainability. The novel's narrative strategies—structural shifts, varied sentence rhythms, personal reflections, and reflective transitions—invite readers into a participatory reading experience that mirrors the author's own scholarly journey. These devices, along with explicit anchoring in academic contexts, enrich the text with a distinctive human voice. As mythological retellings gain traction in contemporary literature, *Tara's Truce* stands out for its insight, relevance, and transformative potential. It charts a course for future scholarship and civic life alike, demonstrating how recovered female perspectives can guide us toward more just and inclusive futures.

WORK'S CITED

1. [Derrida, Jacques. *Of Grammatology*. Translated by Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak, Johns Hopkins University Press, 1976.](#)
 2. [Fraser, Nancy. *Justice Interruptus: Critical Reflections on the "Postsocialist" Condition*. Routledge, 1997.](#)
 3. [Kane, Kavita. *Tara's Truce*. Rupa Publications, 2023.](#)
 4. [Mohanty, Chandra Talpade. *Feminism without Borders: Decolonizing Theory, Practicing Solidarity*. Duke University Press, 2003.](#)
 5. [Narayan, Uma, and Sandra Harding, editors. *Decentering the Center: Philosophy for a Multicultural, Postcolonial, and Feminist World*. Indiana University Press, 2000.](#)
 6. [Ostriker, Alicia Suskin. *Stealing the Language: The Emergence of Women's Poetry in America*. Beacon Press, 1986.](#)
 7. [Rama, Vali and Sugriva conflict. "Vali and Sugriva." *Amar Chitra Katha*, 5 July 2022, \[www.amarchitrakatha.com/mythologies/vali-and-sugriva/\]\(http://www.amarchitrakatha.com/mythologies/vali-and-sugriva/\).](#)
 8. [Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988, pp. 271–313.](#)
 9. ["The Contemporary Revival of Ancient Indian Myths with Special Reference to Devdutt Pattanaik." *International Journal of Current Science and Multidisciplinary Research*, vol. 11, no. 1, 2021, pp. 98–105.](#)
 10. ["Tara \(Ramayana\)." *Wikipedia*, Wikimedia Foundation, 19 July 2008, \[en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tara_\\(Ramayana\\)\]\(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tara_\(Ramayana\)\).](#)
 11. ["Transformative Mythological Narratives: Kavita Kane's Feminist Retellings." *International Journal of Social Research and Extension*, vol. 7, no. 4, 2024, pp. 844–852.](#)
 12. ["Women in Mahabharata – Their Roles and Status." *Venu Payyanur*, 8 Oct. 2024, \[venupayyanur.com/women-in-mahabharata-their-roles-and-status/\]\(http://venupayyanur.com/women-in-mahabharata-their-roles-and-status/\).](#)
 13. ["Vali \(Ramayana\)." *Wikipedia*, Wikimedia Foundation, 3 Feb. 2005, \[en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vali_\\(Ramayana\\)\]\(https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vali_\(Ramayana\)\).](#)
1. <https://www.nepjol.info/index.php/ppj/article/download/66127/50167/193608>
 2. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/07370024.2023.2283535>
 3. <https://guilfordjournals.com/doi/10.1521/siso.69.1.56.56799>

4. <https://fiveable.me/theories-of-international-relations/unit-5/postcolonial-feminism/study-guide/p1ljEMS2A7CEUza2>

5. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tara_\(Ramayana\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tara_(Ramayana))
6. <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Panchakanya>
7. <https://www.amarchitrakatha.com/mythologies/vali-and-sugriva/>
8. <https://www.scribd.com/document/879987913/Bali-Vadh>
9. <https://visithampi.com/blog/tales-from-ramayana-a-tale-of-two-vanara-brothers/>
10. <https://www.vsktelangana.org/Encyc/2025/3/8/Hindu-perspective-for-Womens-day.html>
11. https://ijirt.org/publishedpaper/IJIRT180686_PAPER.pdf