

The Emergence and Evolution of Pragmatics: A Study

Ms. Savita Sardar Pardeshi¹, Dr. Swapna C. Vyawahare²

¹Research Scholar, Department of English, Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar Marathwada University,
Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar

²Associate Professor & Research Guide, Department of English, Pratishthan Mahavidyalaya Paithan,
Chhatrapati Sambhajanagar

Abstract:

Pragmatics is the branch of linguistics that has great importance in the field of language, which deals with contextual meaning. This paper explores how the field of pragmatics developed and became an important part of linguistics. This study traces the historical development of pragmatics, beginning with its philosophical foundations in classical rhetoric and advancing through the seminal contributions of Charles Morris, J. L. Austin, and H. P. Grice shaped the field with their theories on speech acts and implied meanings. Unlike syntax and semantics, which focus on structure and meaning, respectively, pragmatics examines how meaning is constructed and interpreted by speakers and listeners in real-life situations. The present paper focuses on the definitions, scope, principles and historical overview of pragmatics, along with its importance. Ultimately, this investigation underscores the significance of pragmatics as a field that not only explains how speakers convey meanings beyond literal expressions but also reveals how cultural norms, social relationships, and human cognition shape communication. The paper attempts to simplify the concept of pragmatics.

Keywords: pragmatics, linguistics, contextual, significance, scope, syntax, semantics

1. Introduction:

Language is one of the most powerful tools available to human beings, enabling them to express thoughts, emotions, desires, and intentions. It forms the foundation of social interaction and allows individuals to build relationships, share experiences, and participate in community life. As David Crystal notes, language is central to human identity and social behaviour, linking individuals to broader cultural and communicative practices (Crystal, 2000, p-5). Thus, the importance of language extends beyond simple communication; it shapes identity, influences behaviour, and supports the transmission of culture and knowledge across generations.

Within this broader function of language, pragmatics plays a vital role in helping people understand not only what is said but also what is *meant*. Pragmatics examines how speakers use language in context, how listeners interpret meaning, and how social and cultural norms shape communication (Yule 3). In everyday life, interactions are filled with indirect expressions, polite strategies, gestures, and tone. For instance, a phrase like “Do you have time?” may function as a polite request rather than a literal question. Similarly, as Jenny Thomas explains, meaning in real conversations often relies on inference rather than explicit

wording (Thomas, 1995, p. 22). Pragmatics helps decode these hidden layers of meaning and supports effective communication in homes, workplaces, classrooms, and digital spaces.

The term *pragmatics* comes from the Greek word “pragma,” meaning *action* or *deed*, reflecting the idea that language itself is a form of action (Levinson, 1983, p. 9). The historical development of pragmatics demonstrates how the field shifted from analysing linguistic structure to studying how meaning depends on intention, context, and social interaction. The contributions of philosophers and linguists such as Charles Morris, J. L. Austin, John Searle, and H. P. Grice laid the foundation for modern pragmatic theory, emphasising speech acts and implied meaning (Cutting, 2002, p. 4).

The present study becomes particularly significant with this background. In a world shaped by multicultural interactions and rapid digital communication, understanding pragmatic meaning is essential for avoiding misunderstanding and fostering meaningful dialogue. By exploring the origins, functions, and real-life applications of pragmatics, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of how meaning is created, negotiated, and interpreted in everyday communication. Nowadays, many universities have introduced pragmatics as an integral academic subject. The present study aims to explore the historical emergence of pragmatics, trace its theoretical evolution, and examine its significance in modern linguistic and literary studies. By reviewing major theories and scholars, the paper demonstrates how pragmatics has evolved from philosophical speculation to a well-established linguistic discipline.

2. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, descriptive, and analytical research methodology to examine the emergence and historical overview of pragmatics within the field of linguistics. As the topic is theoretical in nature, the research relies primarily on library-based methods, focusing on scholarly books, peer-reviewed journal articles, and authoritative works in linguistics and philosophy of language. Texts by foundational theorists such as Charles Morris, J. L. Austin, John Searle, H. P. Grice, Stephen C. Levinson, and George Yule form the core data for analysis.

This qualitative approach focuses on a comprehensive understanding of how pragmatic theories have been developed over time and how they have continued to influence contemporary linguistic research. By relying on established scholarly sources and conceptual analysis, the study ensures academic reliability, depth of insight, and relevance to ongoing discussions in linguistic theory.

In the present research, all documentation, in-text citations, and bibliographic references follow the guidelines prescribed by the “American Psychological Association (APA) Handbook, 7th edition”. By integrating the APA citation manual into the research methodology, the study aligns itself with internationally accepted academic standards.

3. Review of literature

The field of pragmatics has evolved through a rich tradition of linguistic and philosophical inquiry. Early foundations were laid by Charles Morris (1938), who classified semiotics into syntax, semantics, and pragmatics, thereby establishing the conceptual space for analyzing the relationship between signs and their users (Morris, 1938). Morris’s work offered the first systematic attempt to understand language as action, forming the groundwork for subsequent pragmatic theories.

A major shift occurred with the contributions of J. L. Austin (1962), who introduced Speech Act Theory, arguing that utterances do not merely convey information but perform actions. Austin’s distinction between locutionary, illocutionary, and perlocutionary acts (Austin, 1962) opened new avenues for

analyzing how speakers achieve communicative purposes. Building on Austin's work, Searle (1969) developed a more detailed taxonomy of speech acts, emphasizing rules that govern linguistic behavior (Searle, 1969). Together, Austin and Searle's theories marked the transition from structural linguistics to a functional understanding of language use.

Another landmark in the evolution of pragmatics was H. P. Grice's (1989) theory of conversational implicature, which proposed that communication relies heavily on inference guided by the Cooperative Principle and conversational maxims. Grice proposed that speakers generally adhere to a Cooperative Principle and a set of conversational maxims, enabling listeners to derive implied meanings that go beyond the literal content of utterances (Grice, 1989). Grice's insights demonstrated that meaning often extends beyond literal expression, thereby solidifying pragmatics as a study of implied, inferred, and context-dependent meaning.

The formalization of pragmatics as a linguistic discipline was advanced significantly by Stephen C. Levinson, whose work provided a comprehensive theoretical framework encompassing deixis, presupposition, implicature, and conversational structure. Levinson's analyses contributed to the recognition of pragmatics as a core component of linguistic theory, complementing phonology, morphology, syntax, and semantics along with other sides of linguistics (Levinson, 1983).

Contemporary scholars have expanded the scope of pragmatics by integrating social and cognitive dimensions. Thomas emphasized the role of pragmatic failure, highlighting how misinterpretation of context and social norms leads to communication breakdown (Thomas, 1995). Yule provided accessible explanations of pragmatic concepts for students and researchers, further popularizing the field (Yule, 1996). More recent contributions by Cutting has contextualized pragmatics within discourse analysis, showing how meaning emerges across extended stretches of language rather than isolated sentences (Cutting, 2002).

Collectively, this review of literature reveals a steady progression from philosophical explorations of meaning to a broader linguistic discipline concerned with how language functions in real-life contexts. The evolution of pragmatics underscores its importance in understanding human communication, social interaction, and meaning-making processes. This body of scholarship affirms that pragmatics is not merely an auxiliary branch of linguistics but a central framework for interpreting how people use language to act, negotiate, and construct social reality.

4. Discussion

1. Origins and Emergence of Pragmatics

Pragmatics originated from the need to study language not merely as a formal system of rules but as it is actually used in real-life communication. Its roots can be traced back to philosophy, particularly to the ideas of classical Greek philosophers such as Plato and Aristotle, who reflected on the meaning, reference, and intentions of speakers.

The roots of pragmatics can be traced back to the semiotic foundation, particularly to the works of Charles William Morris (1901–1979), one of the earliest scholars to give pragmatics a systematic place within linguistics and semiotics. His most significant contribution lies in his three-fold classification of semiotics, which formally established pragmatics as a distinct field of study. Morris defined pragmatics as:

“The study of the relation of signs to interpreters.”

This definition shifted attention from abstract meaning to how speakers and hearers use and interpret language in real situations. Morris divided semiotics into syntax, semantics, and pragmatics. While

studying semiotics, Charles Morris introduced the term Pragmatics. He gave the division of semiotics into three branches: Syntax deals with the relation of signs to each other, Semantics gives place to the relation of signs with objects, and Pragmatics involves the relationship between signs and the interpreter.

Morris, in his work *Foundations of the Theory of Signs* (1938), focused on this tripartite division, which clearly identified pragmatics as the branch concerned with language use and user-oriented meaning. Thus, pragmatics initially focused on how language users interpret signs. Although Morris's approach to pragmatics was primarily philosophical rather than linguistic, his ideas were strongly influenced by later scholars (Morris, 1938).

Carnap adopted and refined Charles Morris's tripartite division of semiotics into semantics and pragmatics. According to Carnap, syntax is concerned with the formal relations between linguistic signs, semantics with the relationship between signs and the objects or concepts they denote, and pragmatics with the relationship between language and its users. Carnap termed it as trichotomy. By clearly distinguishing between, syntax, and semantics, Carnap emphasized that meaning cannot be fully understood without considering the roles of speakers, hearers, and contextual factors.

In his work *Introduction to Semantics* (1942), Carnap further clarified the boundary between semantics and pragmatics. He argued that semantics studies meaning within an abstract, formal language system, while pragmatics focuses on how language is actually used by individuals in concrete situations. This distinction was crucial in drawing attention to the user-oriented and context-dependent aspects of meaning, which later became central concerns of pragmatic theory (Carnap, 1942).

The contribution of Carnap lies mainly in providing a philosophical foundation for pragmatics and legitimizing it as a distinct area of inquiry. His ideas influenced later developments in pragmatics by preparing the ground for scholars such as J. L. Austin, H. P. Grice, and John Searle, who moved beyond formal analysis to explore speech acts, implicature, and conversational principles. Thus, Carnap's work represents an important transitional phase in the emergence and evolution of pragmatics.

2. Theoretical Development of Pragmatics

The evolution of pragmatics is closely associated with several influential theories and scholars:

2.1 Speech Act Theory

Proposed by J. L. Austin and further developed by John Searle, Speech Act Theory emphasizes that utterances perform actions rather than merely describe reality. Austin classified speech acts into locutionary, illocutionary, and perlocutionary acts, while Searle provided a systematic classification of illocutionary acts such as assertions, directives, commissives, expressives, and declarations. Example: In Shakespeare's *King Lear*, when Lear says, "Here I disclaim all my paternal care", (Shakespeare, 1606/2008), the utterance is not descriptive but performative. Lear is performing the act of renouncing fatherhood, illustrating an illocutionary act. From the perspective of Speech Act Theory, this statement functions as an illocutionary act, whereby the speaker's intention is realized through language itself rather than through description (Austin, 1962; Searle, 1969). The emotional impact on Cordelia and the unfolding tragedy demonstrate the perlocutionary effect.

2.2 Cooperative Principle and Conversational Maxims

H. P. Grice's Cooperative Principle marked a major milestone in pragmatic theory. Grice suggested that effective communication is guided by shared assumptions, formulated as four conversational maxims: quantity, quality, relation, and manner. When speakers intentionally flout these maxims, they generate conversational implicatures, allowing hearers to infer implied meanings beyond the literal content.

Example: In Jane Austen's *Pride and Prejudice*, when Elizabeth Bennet responds coolly and ironically to Darcy's initial proposal, her words often mean more than they state. Her polite refusals generate implicatures that convey resentment and moral judgment without explicit confrontation (Austen, 1813/2003, p. 189).

2.3 Politeness Theory

Politeness theory, associated with Brown and Levinson, introduced the concept of 'face' and explained how speakers use language strategically to maintain social harmony. This theory highlights social dimensions of language used and demonstrates how pragmatic choices are influenced by power relations, social distance, and cultural norms. Example:

In *Pride and Prejudice*, characters frequently use indirect language to maintain social decorum. Mr Collins's exaggerated politeness reflects negative politeness strategies, while Elizabeth's subtle resistance preserves her positive face, highlighting class and power dynamics in society.

3. Importance of Theories in Pragmatics

Theories play a crucial role in pragmatics because they provide systematic frameworks for understanding how meaning is created, interpreted, and negotiated in real communicative contexts. Since pragmatic meaning goes beyond literal word meanings, theoretical models help explain the complex interaction between language, context, speaker intention, and social norms.

Firstly, pragmatic theories help in explaining meaning in use. Theories such as Speech Act Theory show that utterances do not merely convey information but also perform actions like requesting, promising, or apologizing. This insight enables scholars to analyze how speakers achieve communicative goals through language.

Secondly, theories in pragmatics are essential for understanding implicit meaning. Grice's theory of conversational implicature explains how speakers convey meanings indirectly and how listeners infer those meanings using shared conversational principles. Without such theories, implied meanings like irony, suggestion, or understatement would remain difficult to analyze systematically.

Thirdly, pragmatic theories highlight the role of context and social interaction. Politeness Theory, for example, explains how language use is shaped by social relationships, power, and cultural expectations. This helps in studying language behaviour across different societies and communicative situations.

5. Conclusion

The present study has examined the emergence and evolution of pragmatics as a crucial shift in linguistic inquiry from the analysis of structure and form to the study of language in use. Tracing its development from early philosophical and semiotic foundations, particularly the contributions of scholars such as Charles W. Morris and Rudolf Carnap, the study has shown how pragmatics gradually moved beyond formal descriptions of meaning to emphasize context, intention, and social interaction. This transition marked a decisive break from purely structural approaches and established language as a dynamic and goal-oriented human activity.

Furthermore, the discussion of major theoretical frameworks—including Speech Act Theory, Grice's Cooperative Principle, and Politeness Theory—has demonstrated how pragmatics provides deeper insights into how speakers perform actions, convey implicit meanings, and negotiate social relationships through language. The study has also highlighted the growing relevance of pragmatics across interdisciplinary fields such as discourse analysis, literary criticism, language pedagogy, and intercultural communication.

In an age of rapid social and technological change, pragmatics continues to adapt to new modes of interaction and meaning-making. Overall, the evolution of pragmatics affirms its central role in understanding language as social action, making it an indispensable field for comprehending human communication and for guiding future linguistic research.

References

1. Austin, J. L. (1962). *How to do things with words*. Oxford University Press.
2. Crystal, D. (2010). *The Cambridge encyclopedia of language* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
3. Cutting, J. (2002). *Pragmatics and discourse: A resource book for students*. Routledge.
4. Cutting, J. (2008). *Pragmatics and discourse: A resource book for students* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
5. Grice, H. P. (1989). *Studies in the way of words*. Harvard University Press.
6. Levinson, S. C. (1983). *Pragmatics*. Cambridge University Press.
7. Morris, C. (1938). *Foundations of the theory of signs*. University of Chicago Press.
8. Searle, J. R. (1969). *Speech acts: An essay in the philosophy of language*. Cambridge University Press.
9. Thomas, J. (1995). *Meaning in interaction: An introduction to pragmatics*. Routledge.
10. Yule, G. (1996). *Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press.
11. Shakespeare, W. (2008). *King Lear* (R. A. Foakes, Ed.). London, UK: Bloomsbury Arden Shakespeare. (Original work published 1606)
12. Austen, J. (2003). *Pride and prejudice*. London, UK: Penguin Classics. (Original work published 1813)