International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Second-Hand Trauma: Nocebo-Induced Functional Neurological Symptoms Following A Near-Miss Accident

Tripti Nilesh Kumar

GEMS New Millennium School

Abstract

The nocebo effect occurs when negative expectations lead to real physical symptoms in the absence of actual injury or disease. Children are especially vulnerable to this effect because they rely heavily on emotional cues from caregivers to understand threatening situations. This paper examines how socially transmitted fear can trigger nocebo-induced functional neurological symptoms following a near-miss road accident. Using a hypothetical but evidence-consistent case example, the study describes a situation in which a child experiences no physical harm during a traffic incident but later develops paralysis-like symptoms after observing an intense emotional reaction from a caregiver. Despite the absence of structural damage to the nervous system, the child presents with symptoms resembling spinal injury.

The analysis draws on existing research on the nocebo effect, social learning, stress responses, and Functional Neurological Symptom Disorder to explain how expectation of harm can disrupt normal brain signalling. The findings suggest that extreme caregiver reactions can act as powerful nocebo stimuli, reinforce beliefs of injury and trigger real neurological dysfunction. This paper highlights the importance of calm communication in emergency and clinical settings and emphasizes the need for greater awareness of mind–body interactions in paediatric care. Understanding socially induced nocebo responses may help prevent unnecessary suffering and improve outcomes for children following traumatic or near-traumatic events.

Introduction

The human brain plays a powerful role in shaping how the body experiences pain, illness, and injury. While most people are familiar with physical injuries caused by direct trauma, fewer understand that the expectation of harm alone can produce real and disabling symptoms. This phenomenon is known as the nocebo effect. The nocebo effect occurs when negative expectations lead to the development or worsening of symptoms, even in the absence of actual physical damage. Unlike the placebo effect, which improves health through positive belief, the nocebo effect harms health through fear, stress, and anticipation of injury. Children are particularly vulnerable to nocebo responses because their understanding of danger is strongly influenced by adults, especially parents or caregivers. In stressful situations, children rely on emotional cues from authority figures to interpret what is happening. When a caregiver reacts with intense fear, the child may assume that severe harm has occurred, even if no physical injury is present. This expectation can activate powerful stress responses in the child's brain and body.

This paper explores how a socially transmitted nocebo response can lead to functional neurological symptoms in a child following a near-miss road accident. Using a hypothetical but evidence-consistent

case example, the paper examines how extreme emotional reactions from a caregiver can act as a nocebo stimulus, resulting in real neurological symptoms such as paralysis without physical injury. The discussion draws on existing psychological and neurological research to explain the mechanisms behind this response and highlights the ethical and clinical importance of calm communication in emergency situations.

The Nocebo Effect and Expectation of Harm

The nocebo effect refers to the development of negative symptoms caused by the expectation of harm rather than by a physical cause. Research has shown that when individuals believe they will experience pain, illness, or side effects, their bodies can produce real symptoms that match those expectations. These symptoms are not imagined or exaggerated; they involve genuine physiological and neurological changes. Brain imaging studies have demonstrated altered activity in pain-processing and motor-control regions during nocebo responses, confirming that the experience is biologically real.

Negative expectations can be shaped by many factors, including verbal suggestions, prior experiences, cultural beliefs, and the reactions of others. In medical settings, nocebo effects have been observed when patients develop side effects after being warned about them, even when they receive inactive treatments. Fear and uncertainty increase the likelihood of nocebo responses because they heighten attention to bodily sensations and amplify stress-related neural pathways.

The nocebo effect is closely linked to stress hormones such as cortisol and adrenaline. When a person expects danger, the brain activates the body's survival system, preparing for injury or threat. This response is useful in real emergencies but can become harmful when triggered unnecessarily. In children, whose brains are still developing, these responses can be especially intense and difficult to regulate.

Social and Vicarious Nocebo Effects

While nocebo responses are often studied at the individual level, they can also occur through social transmission. A social or vicarious nocebo effect happens when a person develops symptoms after observing fear, distress, or negative expectations in others. This type of response is more likely when the source of fear is an authority figure, such as a parent, teacher, or medical professional.

Children are highly sensitive to emotional signals from caregivers. From an early age, they learn how to interpret danger by observing adult reactions. A calm response may signal safety, while panic and alarm signal severe threat. When a caregiver reacts strongly to a situation, the child's brain may interpret this as evidence that something terrible has occurred, even if the child does not fully understand the event.

Social nocebo effects have been documented in cases of mass psychogenic illness, where groups of people develop similar symptoms without a physical cause. These cases demonstrate how fear can spread through observation and communication, leading to real physical experiences. In individual children, a caregiver's intense emotional reaction can function in a similar way, creating a powerful expectation of injury that the child's body responds to as if it were real.

Functional Neurological Symptom Disorder

Functional Neurological Symptom Disorder, often abbreviated as FND, is a condition in which individuals experience neurological symptoms such as paralysis, weakness, loss of sensation, or seizures without identifiable structural damage to the nervous system. These symptoms are genuine and involuntary, meaning the person is not pretending or consciously producing them. Medical tests such as MRI scans and

nerve studies usually appear normal, which can make the condition difficult to understand for patients and families.

FND is often triggered by psychological stress, trauma, or sudden emotional shock. The symptoms arise from altered brain functioning rather than from damaged nerves or tissues. In simple terms, the brain temporarily loses the ability to send or process signals correctly, even though the physical structures remain intact. This disruption can affect movement, sensation, or awareness.

Children with FND often develop symptoms after stressful events such as accidents, medical procedures, or emotional conflicts. The condition is more likely to occur when the child feels unsafe, overwhelmed, or unable to process the situation verbally. In these cases, the body expresses distress through physical symptoms. Importantly, FND symptoms are reversible with appropriate reassurance, therapy, and support, especially when identified early.

Case Example: A Near-Miss Road Accident

To illustrate the interaction between social nocebo effects and functional neurological symptoms, this paper presents a hypothetical but realistic case example based on documented psychological principles. A young child is standing in the middle of a road when a car approaches. The driver notices the child in time and stops immediately. There is no collision, and the child is not physically touched or injured in any way. From a medical perspective, no trauma has occurred.

The child, however, falls to the ground crying, likely due to fear and shock. Moments later, the child's mother arrives and sees the child lying on the road. Believing that the child has been hit by the car, she reacts with extreme panic. She begins shouting, crying, and expressing strong fear, repeatedly indicating that the child must be badly hurt. Her reaction is intense and emotional, suggesting serious injury despite the absence of physical harm.

The child observes this reaction and internalizes it. The mother's response acts as a powerful signal that something terrible has happened. The child is then taken to a hospital, where, over time, the child begins to show symptoms similar to those expected after a serious road accident. These symptoms include inability to move the legs and signs resembling spinal nerve damage. Medical examinations, however, reveal no physical injury to the spine, nerves, or muscles.

Psychological and Neurological Analysis of the Case

The child's symptoms can be understood as the result of a socially induced nocebo response leading to functional neurological symptoms. The near-miss accident created an initial stress response, but the absence of physical injury would normally allow the child to recover quickly. However, the mother's extreme emotional reaction reinforced the idea that the child had been seriously harmed. This expectation became embedded in the child's mind.

The child's brain, still developing and highly responsive to caregiver cues, interpreted the situation as life-threatening. This activated the body's stress system, releasing stress hormones that affect brain functioning. In this state, the brain prioritized survival over normal motor control. As a result, the neural pathways responsible for movement were temporarily inhibited, leading to paralysis-like symptoms.

This response is not a conscious decision by the child. The symptoms are automatic and involuntary. The brain essentially misinterprets emotional danger as physical injury and responds accordingly. The hospital environment, medical attention, and concern from adults may further reinforce the belief that severe damage has occurred, strengthening the nocebo response.

Differential Diagnosis and Clinical Understanding

It is important to distinguish this type of response from other possible explanations. The child is not pretending or exaggerating symptoms, a behavior known as malingering. Malingering involves conscious deception for personal gain, which is not present in this scenario. The child also does not have a physical spinal injury, as confirmed by medical tests. Additionally, the symptoms are not the result of deliberate emotional manipulation.

Clinicians recognize functional neurological symptoms by identifying patterns that differ from physical injury. These may include inconsistent weakness, normal reflexes, or symptoms that improve with reassurance. Understanding the psychological origin of the symptoms allows healthcare providers to respond appropriately without dismissing the child's experience. Labelling the symptoms as "imaginary" can worsen distress and prolong recovery.

Ethical and Clinical Implications

This case example highlights the ethical responsibility of adults, especially caregivers and medical professionals, in shaping a child's perception of danger and injury. While a parent's fear is understandable in a potentially dangerous situation, extreme reactions can unintentionally contribute to harm by reinforcing negative expectations. Calm and reassuring communication can reduce the likelihood of nocebo responses and promote recovery.

In clinical settings, healthcare providers must balance honesty with emotional sensitivity. Overemphasis on worst-case scenarios can increase fear and worsen symptoms, particularly in children. Training in trauma-informed communication can help professionals minimize nocebo effects while still providing necessary care.

The case also raises awareness about the powerful connection between mind and body. Recognizing that psychological factors can produce real physical symptoms does not reduce their seriousness. Instead, it emphasizes the need for integrated care that addresses both emotional and neurological well-being.

Conclusion

The scenario discussed in this paper demonstrates how second-hand trauma and socially transmitted fear can lead to nocebo-induced functional neurological symptoms in children. Even in the absence of physical injury, a child can develop severe and disabling symptoms when exposed to strong emotional cues that suggest harm. The caregiver's reaction acts as a nocebo stimulus, shaping the child's expectations and triggering real neurological changes.

Understanding this process is crucial for parents, healthcare professionals, and educators. It highlights the importance of calm communication, emotional regulation, and awareness of the mind-body connection. By recognizing and addressing nocebo effects early, it is possible to prevent unnecessary suffering and support recovery. This case reinforces the idea that fear itself, when transmitted socially, can become as powerful as physical injury in shaping human health.

References

1. Benedetti, F., Lanotte, M., Lopiano, L., & Colloca, L. (2007). When words are painful: Unraveling the mechanisms of the nocebo effect. *Neuroscience, 147*(2), 260–271.
2. Colloca, L., & Miller, F. G. (2011). How placebo responses are formed: A learning perspective. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 366*(1572), 1859–1869.

3. Colloca, L., & Barsky, A. J. (2020). Placebo and nocebo effects. *New England Journal of Medicine, 382*(6), 554–561.
4. Faasse, K., & Petrie, K. J. (2013). The nocebo effect: Patient expectations and medication side effects. *Postgraduate Medical Journal, 89*(1055), 540–546.
5. Blease, C., Colloca, L., & Kaptchuk, T. J. (2017). Are negative expectations harmful? The nocebo effect and clinical ethics. *Journal of Medical Ethics, 43*(2), 103–107.
6. Rief, W., & Petrie, K. J. (2016). Can psychological expectation models be adapted for nocebo research? *Psychosomatic Medicine, 78*(6), 593–603.
7. American Psychiatric Association. (2022). *DSM-5-TR: Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed., text rev.). APA Publishing.
8. Edwards, M. J., Adams, R. A., Brown, H., Pareés, I., & Friston, K. J. (2012). A Bayesian account of functional neurological symptoms. *Brain, 135*(11), 3495–3512.
9. Stone, J., Carson, A., & Sharpe, M. (2016). Functional neurological symptoms: Assessment and management. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 87*(6), 656–664.
10. Kozlowska, K., et al. (2015). Stress, trauma, and functional neurological symptoms in children. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry, 23*(6), 393–407.
11. Gilmour, G., et al. (2022). Paediatric functional neurological disorder: Clinical features and outcomes. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology, 64*(1), 19–28.
12. National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. (2023). Functional neurological disorder.
13. World Health Organization. (2019). *ICD-11 classification of functional neurological disorders*. WHO Press.
14. Colloca, L., et al. (2019). Learning mechanisms, social observation, and the nocebo effect. *Pain, 160*(2), 233–239.
15. Barsky, A. J., Saintfort, R., Rogers, M. P., & Borus, J. F. (2002). Nonspecific medication side effects and the nocebo phenomenon. *JAMA, 287*(5), 622–627.
16. Wessely, S. (2000). Mass psychogenic illness: A role for the individual physician. *American Family Physician, 62*(12), 2649–2653.
17. Harvard Health Publishing. (2022). Social contagion and psychosomatic illness in youth.
18. Frontiers in Psychiatry. (2020). “Nocebo by proxy” in children: The role of caregivers. *Frontiers in Psychiatry, 11*, 169.