

Microcredit Meets Mobile: How Smartphones Are Becoming the New Bank Branches

Divya S¹, Jessica Gabriella G²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce (Accounting and Finance), Stella Maris College, Chennai

²Department of Commerce (Accounting and Finance), Stella Maris College, Chennai

Abstract

Underprivileged populations' access to financing is being redefined by the intersection of mobile technology and microcredit. Smartphones are becoming more and more like digital bank branches as they spread throughout developing nations, giving consumers direct access to microloans, payments, and savings products. This study examines how mobile-enabled microcredit ecosystems improve accessibility, lower transaction costs, and enable data-driven credit assessment through alternative digital footprints, all of which contribute to financial inclusion. This study emphasizes how peer-to-peer lending platforms, digital wallets, and mobile banking apps increase outreach to unbanked populations by drawing on recent data from Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. The results show that smartphone-based microfinance solutions empower women, smallholder farmers, and informal laborers while also bridging the financial gap but also provide informal workers, women, and smallholder farmers more authority.

Keywords: microcredit, microfinance, digital banking, financial inclusion

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the past ten years, there has been a dramatic change in the financial inclusion landscape, primarily due to mobile technologies. What started off as a telecom breakthrough has developed into a vital tool for economic empowerment, especially in areas with limited traditional banking infrastructure. Mobile applications that provide immediate credit scoring, loan distribution, and repayment tracking directly via cellphones are redefining microcredit, which was formerly defined by group-based lending and in-person community meetings. Mobile-based microfinance platforms like M-Pesa, Paytm, and bKash have shown how handheld technology may revolutionize the microcredit ecosystem in developing nations like Bangladesh, Kenya, and India. These platforms give users who were previously undetectable to financial organizations access to banking services as well as a digital identity. For millions of people, smartphones have taken on the role of "bank branches," providing access to savings, insurance, and help for entrepreneurship.

According to recent research, mobile microcredit promotes inclusive growth by evaluating creditworthiness using alternative data, including digital payment histories, airtime usage, and mobile transactions. However, additional concerns regarding algorithmic bias, financial literacy, and data privacy are brought up by the same digital transition. Thus, the development of smartphones as financial intermediaries is not only technological; it is a significant socio-economic shift that is changing the way community-based money, credit, and trust function in the twenty-first century.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

A study on “**FinTech Inclusion: Empowering the Unbanked through Digital Platforms**” by **Keerthana T U, Dr. Umesh U (2025)** examines how digital platforms, such as peer-to-peer lending systems, digital wallets, and mobile banking apps, are changing how the unbanked and underbanked can access financial services. FinTech's role in lowering transaction costs, boosting transparency, and assisting microcredit and micro savings initiatives is shown through the examination of the literature and case studies in emerging economies. Adoption-affecting social and technological factors, such as smartphone penetration, digital literacy, and regulatory frameworks, are also examined. The findings demonstrate that FinTech solutions significantly improve financial inclusion, particularly for women, rural communities, and other small-scale business owners, which reduces poverty and promotes economic stability.

Robert Cull, Asli Demirgüç-Kunt, Jonathan Morduch (2009) in their paper “**Microfinance meets the market**” they look at the economic reasoning behind microfinance organizations and the transition from non-profit, socially conscious microfinance to for-profit microfinance. They investigated the microfinance sector, lenders, profitability, advertisements, and clients using a sizable dataset that comprises the majority of the top microfinance institutions worldwide. We are presented with a false option by the current conflict between proponents of the profit-driven Banco Compartamos and the Grameen Bank with its "social business" concept. Although commercial investment is required to support the ongoing growth of microfinance, organizations with strong social missions—many of which take advantage of subsidies—remain in the best position to reach and assist the poorest clients, and some are doing so on a large scale.

“**Mobile money and financial inclusion: International evidence from informal sector enterprises in Asia and Africa**” conducted by **Safi Ullah Khan (2025)** investigated the macro-level impact of mobile money on the financial inclusion of previously unbanked people. However, there is still little research on how fintech's m-money improves microentrepreneurs' and informal enterprises' access to traditional banking services. This study reveals strong evidence that the adoption of deposit-based and credit-based financial inclusion by informal companies is greatly accelerated by m-money. When compared to African countries, heterogeneity studies show that m-money greatly improves microfinance credit access for informal companies in Asian countries.

Vinay Kumar Y S, R K P Roshini, Dr. Kanchan G. Rajput (2025) conducted study on the topic “**Driving Financial Inclusion Through Digital Tools: A Study of Microfinance Initiatives in Rural Karnataka**” focused on underprivileged areas like Raichur, Bidar, and Chamarajanagar, this empirical study investigates the uptake and effects of digital financial services inside microfinance operations in rural Karnataka. Using a mixed-methods approach, the study uses primary data gathered from 120 respondents, including local administration representatives, MFI field personnel, and microfinance recipients. The efficacy of digital tools such as mobile banking, Aadhaar-enabled biometric systems (AEPS), mobile wallets, and credit assessment apps was assessed through semi-structured interviews and formal surveys.

A study on “**Fintech for the unbanked: bridging the financial divide through mobile platforms**” was conducted by **T.V Ambuli (2025)**. This study investigates how mobile-based FinTech solutions empower the unbanked by offering secure, accessible, and reasonably priced financial services in areas where traditional banking was previously unavailable. The swift development of financial technology, or FinTech, has become a revolutionary force in advancing financial inclusion, especially among the unbanked masses worldwide. Nearly 1.4 billion adults lack access to formal financial services despite

decades of international efforts, which restricts their ability to save, invest, and engage in the formal economy.

Michael Adegbite (2025) in his paper “**Fintech for the unbanked: How digital technology is closing the gap**” Key technologies that enable people to access credit, savings, and investment opportunities are highlighted in the report, such as digital wallets, peer-to-peer lending, and microfinance platforms. Furthermore, big data analytics and artificial intelligence improve credit scoring models, allowing lenders to offer financial services without traditional credit histories and more accurately evaluate risk. Notwithstanding these developments, issues including cybersecurity threats, regulatory compliance, and the digital divide—which restricts access to cell phones and the internet in some areas—remain.

Research on “**The impact of microcredit on the economic growth of developing countries: Evidence and perspectives from Albania**” by **Eros Angjeli, Adrian Civci, Florjan Bombaj (2025)** looked at how microcredit affected Albania's economic expansion from 2013 and 2023. The association between microcredit levels and real gross domestic product growth in Albania was quantified by analyzing data obtained from the World Bank and the Bank of Albania using a linear regression model. The significance of easily accessible microcredit as an economic engine was highlighted by the regression results, which revealed a substantial positive link. The number of borrowers and the value of microcredit in millions of euros increased between 2013 and 2023, indicating the growing acceptance of this option in Albania.

Muhammad Zais M Samiun (2025) studied “**The Correlation Between Financial Literacy and Microcredit Access on The Profitability of Horticultural Farming Enterprises**”. The impact of microcredit availability and financial literacy on the profitability of horticultural agricultural businesses is examined in this study. 200 horticultural farmers completed structured questionnaires covering financial literacy indicators, microcredit availability, and profitability metrics such net profit margin, return on investment, and gross margin in order to gather primary data using a quantitative correlational design. Multiple linear regression, Pearson correlation, and descriptive statistics were used to examine the data. According to the findings, there is a substantial association between financial literacy and profitability, whereas there is a weaker but favourable correlation between microcredit access and profitability.

Waliullah Dlamini (2025) in his paper “**The Impact of Microcredit on Women's Empowerment and Poverty Reduction: Evidence from Sirajganj District, Bangladesh**” In the Sirajganj sadar Upazila of the Sirajganj district, this study investigates the connection between microcredit and women's empowerment. To evaluate the effect of microcredit on several empowerment measures, a representative sample of 100 respondents was chosen. Reduction of poverty, freedom of speech, mobility, and involvement in decision-making were important indicators. The results of the study show that these empowerment measures and microcredit participation are positively correlated. Women who got microloans reported feeling more confident when voicing their thoughts and having more financial stability.

Jia Qi Cheong, Noor Syafinas Muda (2025) in their paper “**Reducing Poverty and Empowering Women through Microcredit: A Malaysian Perspective**” this study is meant to review whether the literature supports the role of microcredit in accomplishing such goals of poverty reduction and women's empowerment in Malaysia. Based on the inclusion criteria, 15 articles were chosen for review. They discovered that microcredit has effectively reduced poverty and empowered women. Nonetheless, the assessment emphasized how crucial it is to have a strict methodology to gauge how microcredit affects income growth. In the meantime, to prevent bias in the results, the measurement of women's empowerment should incorporate all aspects of empowerment.

John Ssengonzi, Yasin Kuso, Anthony Moni Olyanga (2025) in their paper “**Contribution of Social Capital and Microcredit Accessibility on Economic Welfare of Small-Scale Farmers in Mityana District, Uganda**” This study looks into how social capital and microcredit availability affect small-scale farmers' financial well-being. The study specifically looks at the relationship between social capital and small-scale farmers' economic welfare, the relationship between microcredit accessibility and small-scale farmers' economic welfare in Mityana District, and the contribution of social capital and microcredit accessibility to small-scale farmers' economic welfare in Mityana District.

Yin Liu, Lu Fan, Binjian Yan (2025) in their paper “**Does microcredit for the poverty-alleviated population have an income-increasing effect? Also on the “Raising the Low” effect**” Promoting the ongoing income increase of the poverty-alleviated population has become one of the main objectives to consolidate the accomplishments of poverty alleviation, promote rural regeneration and attain common prosperity. Based on the survey data of 738 farmers in six poverty-alleviated counties (cities) in an underdeveloped region in northwest China, this article applies the endogenous transformation regression model. For "low→high" starting endowment farmers, the overall efficiency effect exhibits a declining trend. Therefore, we should support the microcredit policy of the poverty-alleviated people from the perspectives of policy stability and implementation precision in order to guarantee the efficacy of financial precision aid.

Joern Hendrich Block, Anastasia Cozarenco, Marinette Kamaha Njiwa, Frank Lasch (2025) in their paper “**The influence of microcredit on the business survival of micro-entrepreneurs in France: Crowding-in or crowding-out?**” Microcredit availability can have both beneficial (crowding-in effect) and detrimental (crowding-out effect) effects on the survival of businesses. Analysing data from over 36,000 French micro-entrepreneurs, we find that, overall, microcredit provision has no meaningful effect on firm survival. However, this effect covers two conflicting correlations indicating motivational differences. These results give useful implications for the development of microcredit programs in developed nations and add to the field of microfinance and micro-entrepreneurship research. Our research demonstrates the varied effects of microcredit and emphasizes how crucial it is to adjust regulations to the various demands and incentives of business owners.

Yun Yu, Fang Wang, Guanyu Qin, Tao Li (2025) in their paper “**How does targeted microcredit empower the economic resilience of rural households in the context of natural disasters?**” The impact of targeted microcredit on the economic resilience of poverty-eradicating households facing natural disaster shocks is a crucial issue deserving of attention in the new era following the poverty elimination campaign. Targeted microcredit is a financial tool intended to support the development and production of rural households in China. Methods: This study uses the multi-period difference-in-differences (DID) model to evaluate the impact of targeted microcredit on the economic resilience of poverty-eradicating households based on three periods of balanced panel data from field tracking surveys carried out in China's Liupan Mountains contiguous poverty area from 2021 to 2023.

Zuraidah Mohamed Isa, Zaiful Affendi Ahmad Zabib, Chaleeda Som Sak, Rini Lestari, Mohamad Hanif Abu Hassan (2025) in their paper “**Eco-Microcredit and Rural Transformation: The Mediating Role of Sustainable Farming Practices and the Moderating Effect of Financial Literacy on Household Sustainability**” This study addresses these difficulties by analyzing how eco-microcredit, as a kind of green financing, serves as a catalyst for rural transformation through its impact on sustainable farming techniques and household sustainability. By combining sustainability outcomes, behavioral change, and financial inclusion into a single model, the study makes a theoretical contribution. Practically,

it gives insights for policymakers and financial institutions to construct integrated eco-microcredit programs that combine financial support, capacity training, and market access to promote long-term rural resilience and sustainability.

3. RESEARCH GAP

While several studies have looked at the advantages of mobile money (Jack & Suri, 2022) and microfinance (Khandker, 2025; Yunus, 2019), there is little empirical study on how smartphone technology can be integrated with microcredit delivery schemes. Financial inclusion is emphasized in existing studies, however behavioural and technological factors including digital literacy, user engagement, and credit algorithm transparency are frequently ignored. Additionally, there aren't many comparisons between areas that have adopted comparable fintech ecosystems under various socioeconomic circumstances. This disparity calls for a comprehensive analysis that connects the social, technological, and economic aspects of mobile-enabled microcredit.

4. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Due to high operating costs, inadequate infrastructure, and geographic constraints, millions of people in developing economies remain unbanked or underbanked despite decades of microfinance projects aimed at boosting financial inclusion. A new paradigm for providing microcredit services at scale has been made possible by the development of mobile technology, especially smartphones. Nevertheless, nothing is known about how well mobile platforms mimic or enhance conventional microcredit features including credit evaluation, repayment discipline, and trust-building. Furthermore, issues including gender inequality, cybersecurity risks, and low digital literacy prevent smartphone-based microfinance from reaching its full potential. In mobile-based microcredit systems, this study aims to close the gap between technology availability and real empowering outcomes.

5. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

This study focuses on analyzing the role of smartphones as enablers of microcredit access and financial inclusion in developing regions, with particular emphasis on:

- Temporal scope: Research from 2015–2025 to capture the evolution from basic mobile money to smartphone-enabled lending apps.
- Thematic scope: Mobile microcredit platforms, fintech innovation, gender inclusion, digital literacy, and user trust.
- The study excludes large-scale digital banking systems serving formal sectors and focuses on grassroots and small-enterprise-oriented applications.

6. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To examine how smartphones help with the distribution and repayment of microcredit.
- To evaluate how mobile microcredit affects women, small enterprises, and workers in the unorganized sector.
- To determine the main factors that encourage and hinder the adoption of smartphone-based microfinance.
- To investigate how digital footprints and data analytics are used in microcredit risk assessment.
- To put up a viable plan for using mobile technologies into financial ecosystems that are inclusive.

7. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Primary data was collected using a structured and standardized questionnaire. The sampling technique adopted was purposive sampling covering 110 respondents. The statistical tools used were Correlation and ANOVA using Jamovi software. The population of the study consisted of digital-era customers residing in rural India and backward communities, who actively engage with online platforms and financial/brand-related digital content. The scope of the study is limited to respondents within Tamil Nadu state and may not fully represent wider geographic or demographic variations. However, the insights contribute significantly to understanding customer-centric perspectives on brand loyalty in the digital era.

8. HYPOTHESIS OF THE STUDY

Null Hypothesis 1: There is no significant relationship between digital comfort and satisfaction.

Null Hypothesis 2: There is no significant difference in satisfaction levels across different age groups of borrowers.

9. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY:

1. **Data reliability:** Relying on microcredit users' self-reported data may result in bias.
2. **Regional limitations:** Results from particular case nations might not apply universally.
3. **Technological variability:** Comparability may be impacted by variations in network infrastructure and smartphone prevalence.
4. **Temporal limitation:** Research may become out of date in a few years due to the rapid advancement of fintech.
5. **Socio-cultural barriers:** Respondents' accessibility and involvement may be impacted by gender conventions and literacy levels.

10. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Demographic profile of the respondents revealed that,

Respondents are distributed across all age groups with the highest representation from 26–35 (22.73%) and 56+ (22.73%), showing equal participation from young adults and older borrowers. The smallest group is 46–55 (16.36%), indicating relatively lower engagement from middle-aged borrowers.

Gender distribution is nearly equal, with 34.55% male, 32.73% female, and 32.73% preferring not to disclose, highlighting that a significant one-third of respondents are hesitant to reveal gender information. The largest segment is self-employed (31.82%), followed by farmers (20%) and other occupations (20%). Smaller proportions include small business owners (13.64%) and daily wage workers (14.55%), showing that borrowers come from diverse informal-sector livelihoods.

11. Digital Comfort and Satisfaction – Correlation Analysis

Null Hypothesis 1: There is no significant relationship between digital comfort and satisfaction.

Table No. 1 Digital Comfort and Satisfaction – Correlation analysis

S.No	Digital Comfort	Satisfaction
1.	Pearson's r	-0.082
	p-value	0.394

Source: Primary Data

It is inferred from the Table No.1 that there is no significant relationship between digital comfort and

satisfaction. The correlation is very weak and negative ($r = -0.082$), but it is not statistically significant ($p = 0.394$). Therefore, digital comfort does not meaningfully influence satisfaction among the respondents.

12. Age Group and Satisfaction - One-way Anova

Null Hypothesis 2: There is no significant difference in satisfaction levels across different age groups of borrowers.

Table No. 2 Age Group and Satisfaction - One-way Anova

One-Way ANOVA (Fisher's)				
	F	df1	df2	p
Satisfaction	1.15	4	105	0.336

Group Descriptives					
	Age	N	Mean	SD	SE
Satisfaction	18-25	23	2.22	0.518	0.108
	26-35	24	2.21	0.588	0.120
	36-45	20	2.05	0.510	0.114
	46-55	18	2.22	0.732	0.173
	56+	25	2.44	0.712	0.142

A one-way ANOVA was conducted to examine whether satisfaction levels differ significantly across five age groups of borrowers. From the above table it can be inferred that since, $p > 0.05$, the null hypothesis is rejected. There is a significant difference in satisfaction levels across different age groups of borrowers as Age does influence how satisfied borrowers feel with digital microcredit services.

13. FINDINGS

1. Smartphone Ownership & Internet Access:

- About 40% of respondents personally owned a smartphone, 31.82% utilized someone else's phone, and another group about 28.18% said they had no access to a smartphone. This uneven ownership suggests that borrowers have only partially embraced digital technology.
- Although 22.73% of respondents reported infrequent or non-existent internet connection, the majority 29.09% indicated regular or sporadic internet access, indicating connectivity as a significant barrier to rural adoption.

2. Digital Literacy and Comfort

- The range of digital comfort was "Very comfortable" 25.45% to "Not comfortable" 18.18%. Digital literacy gaps were shown when 29.09% of respondents said they were only "slightly comfortable."
- App complexity, language difficulties, technical faults, fear of fraud, and bad network connectivity were common self-reported impediments.

3. Digital Application & Processing Time

- Most respondents 59.09% used a smartphone to apply for loans, and the majority said the process was "Very easy" or "Easy."
- The assertion that mobile platforms expedite credit access is supported by a resounding majority of respondents 54.55% who said that digital applications shortened loan processing times.

4. Digital Reminders & Repayment

- Respondents' repayment habits vary: 23.64% use digital payback, 36.36% occasionally do so, and 40% continue to use non-digital repayment techniques.
- SMS, smartphone notifications, and WhatsApp push reminders were mentioned as helpful in increasing the timeliness of repayments to 34.55% of the demographic.

5. Satisfaction & Trust:

- Although 22.73% of respondents expressed partial trust or mistrust in digital transactions, overall satisfaction with mobile-based microfinance is typically favourable (20.91% were "Satisfied" and 20% were "Very satisfied").

14. SUGGESTIONS

For MFIs / Fintech providers

1. **Simplified, Local-Language Interfaces:** To guarantee accessibility for low-literacy users, provide programs with simple design, few navigational steps, and full local-language or voice capabilities.
2. **Initiatives for Digital Literacy:** To improve user confidence and digital abilities, hold brief in-person digital training sessions during SHG or community meetings.
3. **Hybrid Onboarding Models:** To facilitate a smooth transition to autonomous digital use, use field agents to help first-time borrowers with registration, KYC, and initial transactions.
4. **Offline and Low-Data Options:** To assist consumers in places with poor connectivity, include offline functionality and USSD or SMS-based substitutes.
5. **Mechanisms for Establishing Trust:** To increase institutional confidence, improve transparency through clear price structures, immediate digital receipts, and frequent fraud-awareness messaging.
6. **Behavioural Nudges and Reminders:** To promote prompt repayments and improve repayment discipline, use automated SMS or WhatsApp reminders along with positive reinforcement.

For Policymakers and Regulators

- **Invest in Last-Mile Connectivity:** To increase access to digital financial services, support broadband and mobile infrastructure in areas with a high concentration of microfinance.
- **Encourage Inclusive Digital Onboarding:** Give MFIs creating user-focused, inclusive digital finance solutions funding, tax breaks, or sandbox frameworks.

15. CONCLUSION

Financial inclusion is undergoing a radical change as a result of the convergence of mobile technologies and microcredit. Smartphones are now essentially the new "bank branches," allowing those who were previously shut out of formal banking to make real-time loans, repayments, and saves. By utilizing digital identities, behavioural data, and AI-driven credit scoring, mobile-based microcredit companies have reduced operating costs and expanded outreach to low-income and rural consumers.

But this shift also brings with it new difficulties, including as gaps in digital literacy, worries about data privacy, fragmented regulations, and the possibility of algorithmic prejudice or excessive debt. Therefore, balancing technological innovation with social safeguards is essential for sustainable progress. While legislators could improve last-mile connectivity and inclusive regulatory frameworks, MFIs and fintech must create locally relevant, transparent, and user-friendly digital ecosystems.

In the end, mobile microcredit is a structural redefinition of access, trust, and empowerment in the financial system rather than just a digital extension of conventional microfinance. It has the ability to make inclusive finance genuinely universal when it is governed by equity, transparency, and digital responsibility.

References:

1. Keerthana T U, Dr. Umesh U (2025) “FinTech Inclusion: Empowering the Unbanked through Digital Platforms” [https://scriptopra.org/index.php/files/article/view/34\[2\]](https://scriptopra.org/index.php/files/article/view/34[2])
2. Robert Cull, Asli Demirgüç-Kunt, Jonathan Morduch (2009) “Microfinance meets the market” Journal of Economic Perspectives. [https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/jep.23.1.167\[2\]](https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/jep.23.1.167[2])
3. Michael Adegbite (2025) “Fintech for the unbanked: How digital technology is closing the gap.” [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389975039\[2\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389975039[2])
4. T.V Ambuli (2025) “FinTech for the unbanked: Bridging the financial divide through mobile platforms”. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/397284994\[2\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/397284994[2])
5. Vinay Kumar Y S, R K P Roshini, Dr. Kanchan G. Rajput (2025). “Driving Financial Inclusion Through Digital Tools: A Study of Microfinance Initiatives in Rural Karnataka”
6. International Journal of Sustainable Advanced Technology and Engineering. [https://ijsate.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/V2111P19_IJSATE1025077.pdf\[2\]](https://ijsate.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/11/V2111P19_IJSATE1025077.pdf[2])
7. Eros Angjeli, Adrian Civci, Florjan Bombaj (2025) “The impact of microcredit on the economic growth of developing countries: Evidence and perspectives from Albania.” [https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1049007825001575\[2\]](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1049007825001575[2])
8. Muhammad Zais M Samiun (2025) “The correlation between financial literacy and microcredit access on the profitability of horticultural farming enterprises.” page. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395376611\[2\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/395376611[2])
9. Waliullah Dlamini (2025) “The impact of microcredit on women’s empowerment and poverty reduction: Evidence from Sirajganj District, Bangladesh” [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394758081\[2\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394758081[2])
10. John Ssengonzi, Yasin Kuso, Anthony Moni Olyanga (2025) “Contribution of social capital and microcredit accessibility on economic welfare of small-scale farmers in Mityana District, Uganda.” [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391585718\[2\]](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391585718[2])
11. Reducing poverty and empowering women through microcredit: A Malaysian perspective. Jia Qi Cheong, Noor Syafinas Muda (2025). “Reducing poverty and empowering women through microcredit: A Malaysian perspective”. Advanced International Journal of Business Entrepreneurship and SMEs 7(24):449-464. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/393998580_REDUCING_POVERTY_AND_EMPOWERING_WOMEN_THROUGH_MICROCREDIT_A_MALAYSIAN_PERSPECTIVE
12. Does microcredit for the poverty-alleviated population have an income-increasing effect? Also on the “raising the low” effect. Yin Liu, Lu Fan, Binjian Yan (2025) “Does microcredit for the poverty-alleviated population have an income-increasing effect? Also on the “raising the low” effect”. Journal Plos One page. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394202980_Does_microcredit_for_the_poverty-alleviated_population_have_an_income-increasing_effect_Also_on_the_Raising_the_Low_effect
13. The influence of microcredit on the business survival of micro-entrepreneurs in France: Crowding-in or crowding-out? Joern Hendrich Block, Anastasia Cozarenco, Marinette Kamaha Njiwa, Frank Lasch

- (2025). “The influence of microcredit on the business survival of micro-entrepreneurs in France: Crowding-in or crowding-out?” page. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/393326080_The_influence_of_microcredit_on_the_business_survival_of_micro-entrepreneurs_in_France_Crowding-in_or_crowding-out
14. How does targeted microcredit empower the economic resilience of rural households in the context of natural disasters? Yun Yu, Fang Wang, Guanyu Qin, Tao Li (2025) “How does targeted microcredit empower the economic resilience of rural households in the context of natural disasters?” page. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392634766_How_does_targeted_microcredit_empower_the_economic_resilience_of_rural_households_in_the_context_of_natural_disasters
15. Eco-microcredit and rural transformation: The mediating role of sustainable farming practices and the moderating effect of financial literacy on household sustainability. Zuraidah Mohamed Isa, Zaiful Affendi Ahmad Zabib, Chaleeda Som Sak, Rini Lestari, Mohamad Hanif Abu Hassan (2025) “Eco-microcredit and rural transformation: The mediating role of sustainable farming practices and the moderating effect of financial literacy on household sustainability”. page. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/397394948_Eco-Microcredit_and_Rural_Transformation_The_Mediating_Role_of_Sustainable_Farming_Practices_and_the_Moderating_Effect_of_Financial_Literacy_on_Household_Sustainability