International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Consumer Decision-Making in the Digital Age: A Literature Review

Aparna Sachin Kanchan

PhD Student, School of Commerce and Management, Career Point University, Kota, Rajasthan

Abstract

Consumer decision-making has intrigued academicians and practitioners and has been a subject of research for quite a while. There have been in the past, and many on-going endeavours to decode the consumer decision making process. The aim of this literature review is to examine the role of digital technologies in re-designing consumer decision making from traditional linear models to dynamic, iterative and socially shaped processes.

Building on existing literature, this review underscores four major developments: the substitution of sequential decision stages with a model of continuous digital exploration; the increasing role of behavioural cues, the emphasis on user experience design strategies, digital nudging techniques and choice architecture frameworks; the smart use of AI-enabled personalization and algorithmic filtering in shaping consumer preferences; and the remarkable influence of omnichannel interactions, social media, and eWOM on assessment and purchasing behavior.

The study of consumer behaviour is no longer limited to just isolated cognitive processes but extends to the study of the modern consumer who is influenced by a complex social-technical ecosystem.

Important research gaps include cultural differences in digital decision-making, the influencer's long-term effects, and persuasive design ethics. Hence, to understand contemporary digital consumer behaviour, integrated context-aware models are necessary is what the review concludes with.

Keywords: Digital Consumer Behaviour, Online Decision-Making, Digital Nudging & Choice Architecture, AI-Driven Personalisation, Social Media Influence (eWOM), Omnichannel & Phygital Journeys, Behavioural Decision Models

1. Introduction

Consumer buying behavior is central to any marketing research. As Markets evolve and market dynamics change, so do consumers. The last couple of decades have heightened the importance of tracking the evolution of both the market and the consumer. Academicians, scholars and practitioners alike have been trying to decode the factors that not only influence consumer buying behaviour but also the methods and routes through which one can do so.

Consumer decision-making models in the past traditionally theorized it as a rational, information-driven set of cognitive processes. The classical models of Consumer decision-making theory, such as the **Howard and Seth (1969)** Theory of Buyer Behaviour and the Engel-Blackwell-Miniard model **(Engel et al., 1995),** highlighted sequential, structured stages of need recognition, information search, evaluation, purchase, and post-purchase evaluation.

(**Verhoef et al., 2015**; **Solomon, 2020)** state that with the onset of technology, newer avenues of information access, such as mobile technologies, social media platforms, and e-commerce platforms have principally transformed how consumers navigate through this information to make choices.

Consumers operate in highly connected, information-rich, and socially influenced environments in contemporary digital ecosystems. The shift from traditional to digital contexts has shaped nonlinear, iterative, and highly personalized decision pathways **(Lemon, K.N. and Verhoef, P.C. (2016)**

According to marketing researchers, the digital environment has changed not only how decisions are made but also who influences them, what information is reliable, and which heuristics are most prevalent in high-choice digital environments. (**Ariely, 2008; Kahneman & Tversky, 1979).**

This literature review aims to consolidate the main theoretical and empirical contributions to consumer decision-making in a digital environment.

The review takes a view at how decision-making constructs have undergone a drastic change, from how consumers look for information, to what role social influence plays in shaping choices. It also focuses on data personalization, algorithm-driven marketing, and the increase in shopping through mobile devices. It critically examines the growing role of omnichannel in the decision-making process and aims to dive into ethical issues around digital nudging and consumer vulnerability.

2. Review of Literature

Evolution of Consumer Decision-Making Models

Theoretically, the foundation of consumer behaviour suggests that a consumer's decision-making process typically follows a 5-step process starting with Need identification, to Information search, to evaluation of alternatives, purchase decision and finally the post-purchase evaluation. **Engel et al. (1995) and Howard and Sheth (1969**) proposed that consumers progress through systematic stages.

The traditional decision models are rooted in cognitive psychology, emphasising rational problem solving (**Bettman, 1979**). However, digital connectivity has completely undermined such linearity. Digital consumers move fluidly between search, evaluation, and purchase, often looping repeatedly across stages **(Lemon & Verhoef, 2016).**

2.1 Consumer Decision making Models

- The AIDA model suggested by Lewis, E. S. E.1898, traces the customers linear sequential journey through Awareness, Interest, Desire, and Action, identifying cognitive stages in the buying process. Digital environments on the other hand destroy linearity. It challenges the belief that decisions are linear and suggests a complex space between triggers and purchase where buyers engage in infinite loops of **Exploration** (expanding options) and **Evaluation** (narrowing options). This poses a challenge as well as an opportunity to the marketers to use real-time digital cues (ads, reviews, influencers), often looping back to "Awareness" moments for the consumer before purchase.
- Technology Acceptance Model **(TAM) (Davis, 1989**) has been one of the most significant models of technology acceptance, with two primary factors prompting an individual's intention to use new technology: perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness. It predicts whether consumers accept and use e-commerce platforms **(Preety, and Ahlawat, P.K. (2023)**. Recent studies continue to validate TAM in AI-enabled online retail: when AI features (e.g. personalisation, recommendation or improved logistics) raise PU, consumers are more likely to adopt and continue using such platforms **Massoudi, A et.al (2024).** However, digital contexts demand additional factors — such as trust, perceived privacy risk, or social media engagement — to supplement traditional TAM variable

- Research on consumer privacy trade-offs that led up to the Privacy Calculus theory mainly looked at individual factors such as trust, privacy concerns, and perceived benefits versus risks. The broader lineage that built this theory, provided the individual components (Trust, Concerns, Risk) that the Privacy Calculus **integrates Laufer, R. S., & Wolfe, M. (1977). Laufer, R. S., & Wolfe, M. (1977**) proposed that privacy is not absolute but a calculation of environmental and situational factors. While marketers collect data in the name of personalization and better services, consumers do look with scepticism about sharing that data for privacy issues. Hence modern-day marketers operating in the digital environment need to take cognizance of the fact that consumers will evaluate the trade- off between privacy vis- a vie the benefit that they get by sharing their data. So, the challenge that prevails is how can marketers assure prospective consumers about their intent for data collection and usage.
- Contemporary research emphasises psychological mechanisms triggered by digital interfaces. For example, the Stimulus–Organism–Response (SOR) Framework helps explain how interface design, navigation flow, social cues, and personalised marketing (stimuli) affect internal emotional/cognitive states (organism) and thereby influence behavioural outcomes (response) such as purchase, revisit or abandonment **Islam, et.al (2024).**

  Empirical research indicates that decision-making in a digital context is often heuristic and necessarily deliberative. Marketing nudges that we see in the form of "Best Seller," "Limited period Offers," customer Reviews, and influencer recommendations tap into simple shortcuts our minds take, from social proof to availability and anchoring. Digital platforms are discreetly designed not just to relay information but also to trigger these mental shortcuts, subtly guiding us along the path the marketers want the consumer to take.

  Reacher Islam et al. (2024) suggest that when these shortcuts are a part of websites and app design, they can influence our choices, often without us even being aware of it.
- The Elaboration Likelihood Model continues to make sense in the digital world. For everyday or low-involvement purchases, we're easily swayed by simple cues like visuals, influencer endorsements or short-sized ads (**peripheral cues)**. Yet for bigger or more important decisions, we shift gears (**central-route processing)** and analyse reviews, features, and comparisons more carefully **(Petty et al., 1986).**

2.2 Digital Transformation of Consumer Decision-making

Digitally enabled environments pose a significant challenge to and change the fundamental consumer decision-making theories that are based on classical models by the introduction of new factors, complexities, and contexts that extend beyond classical models. Traditional theories relied heavily on the idea of linear, rational decision processes which were mostly based on cognitive evaluations of price, quality, and attitudes. However, the digital world impacts these processes with the help of various factors such as online information availability, interactivity, socializing through the Internet, mobility, and the mixture of physical and digital experiences.

To begin with, the internet radically changes the stage of information search and evaluation, which is present in all traditional models. In doing so, consumers are provided with nearly limitless information, product choices, and customer reviews via the internet; hence, they are in a position to make sound decisions, but the downside is that the internet users face the problem of information overload which impacts their mental capacity and makes the decision process highly complex and complicated. The conditional model of consumer decision-making acknowledges that the person, the problem, and the context especially the digital context are the main determinants of how consumer behavior changes in

terms of information gathering and usage in the online world compared to the offline one **(Moon, 2004).** In addition to that, the presence of features such as recommendation agents and simple comparative shopping can lead to decision quality enhancement; however, these newly developed information-processing methods may pose difficulties in understanding that these systems are not taken into consideration by the traditional frameworks **(Punj, 2012).**

Secondly, digital environments are now dominated by emotional, experiential, and social decision factors. Economic models of the past that were based on the assumption of unidirectional and rational decision-making processes are no longer valid as far as digital consumer behavior is concerned since the latter includes emotions, trust, user experience, and social influence into the decision-making process. Studies conducted in digital financial services show decision drivers like trust, perceived usefulness, and satisfaction which are among the most important factors affecting decision-making and they show the complex and interactive nature of digital consumer choices **(Barone et al., 2024)** . The use of social media can be considered as one of the primary reasons for the disappearance of boundaries between the different stages of the consumer decision-making process since social media users are constantly exposed to influencer content, peer opinions, and personalized storytelling which makes the consumers emotional and creates a sort of subconscious influence that works at multiple points of the decision process **(Chowdhury, Soummya. (2024); Nash, J. (2019)**

Thirdly, mobility and the combination of physical and digital ("phygital") environments are factors that destabilize contextual assumptions made in traditional models. With mobile technologies, consumers can access the market at any time and from any place thus altering the situational factors, and making the influence of digital pervasiveness on decision-making so strong is difficult to overlook **(Lurie et al., 2018)**. The phygital environment brings in subjective experiences, emotions, and social networks that are constantly influencing consumer behavior in a way that is hard for purely quantitative traditional models to comprehend **(Yao et al., 2024).**

New theoretical models interlink influences of thoughts, feelings and context in a dynamic manner. Integrative models showcase that thoughts and feelings influence one another at consumer decisions; therefore, they extend beyond the realm of rationality.

A classic example of this is how consumers view pricing, and how it shapes their product/brand perception- which then influences how they feel about the product and if they intend to buy. This is further reinforced by emotion and cues available online **(Hansen, 2005)**. Adding on the earlier linear model of decision making, the adaptive decision-making models suggest that consumer choices are not fixed but evolve over time, connecting behaviour and learning theories to elucidate how consumers continuously respond to digital nudges **(Liu et al., 2020).**

In sum, Consumer decision-making process is now being looked at from a different perspective. Digital platforms are now taking a holistic approach to catch the attention of the consumer by providing important, relevant information and also paying close attention to the role of feelings and social influences across different digital mediums. Keeping this in mind marketers need to adopt different ways to reach out and connect to the new age digital consumer **(Moon, 2004; Barone et al., 2024; Lurie et al., 2017; Nash, 2019; Hansen, 2005).**

2.3 Role of AI and Algorithms in Consumer Decisions.

AI and algorithms without doubt have made large contributions in impacting the consumer decision making process as indicated in recent researches. Researchers **(Huang & Rust, 2021)** say that curating product recommendations to specific customer preferences and behaviors by using AI- driven

personalization and recommendation algorithms tools, increases efficiency and /or satisfaction of decision-making.

While there is definite upside to this in terms of time and effort saving one cannot ignore the danger of such curation creating "filter bubbles" that limit the exposure to varied options.

Since consumer decision influencers are not the same for different categories and buying situations, authors **(Longoni & Cian, 2022)** show that the role of AI recommendations and human advice vary depending upon the nature of the decision. They state that when utilitarian attributes matter most, consumers favour AI; and when hedonic aspects are more important, they are more likely to rely on human recommendations instead of AI.

With added pressure to deliver performance driven marketing, researchers and practitioners have been on the quest to understand how emotion and social media analytics can help design result-oriented marketing initiatives. AI capabilities now empower marketers to detect consumer moods and preferences much accurately which aid in the consumer decision- making process. This helps in not only designing and curating personal suggestions that help in streaming choices, but also staying emotionally engaged with the customers **(Xu et al., 2023)**

Hence, in order to ensure favourable positive consumer outcomes, the dual nature of algorithm design and transparency must be given serious thought – the nature of consumer empowerment and potential manipulation of the customer. **(Huang & Rust, 2023; Longoni & Cian, 2022; Xu et al., 2023)**

2.4 Impact of Social Media on Consumer Decision Making

Social media has been in the limelight in current times for its contribution in the consumer-decision making process. It has been extensively used for creating product/brand awareness and helping influence consumer perceptions through peer influence, advertising and user-generated content **(Appel et al., 2020).** Social media platforms expose consumers to new products giving them information and keeping them engaged through social interactions and brand messaging. **(De Veirman et al., 2017)** highlights how social media influencers use their popularity to build trust and authenticity, that can aid in consumer decision making process. The influencers credibility, attributes and their follower base particularly affect consumer attitude towards products. **(Vohra, 2022/2023)** further reinforces that ratings and reviews in social media adds to social proof which consumers seeks while in the process of decision making. Thus, social media creates a "feedback loop" where consumers views and thoughts become more open to social influences through belief of others online. To summarise, the social media plays a key role in influencing consumer choices through peer reviews, authenticity and credibility of influencers and user generated content **(Appel et al., 2020, De Veirman et al., 2017; Vohra, 2022/2023.)**

2.5 Online Reviews & eWOM in Decision-Making

The term 'word of mouth' (WOM) introduced to literature **(Brooks 1957)** has taken a new dimension since the proliferation of technology. While marketers relied heavily on traditional WOM due to its effectiveness, today it has taken the avatar of electronic word of mouth (eWOM) wherein consumers share their feedback basis their own experience on the online medium which anyone can access. As per **(Babić Rosario et al., 2016)**, eWOM is a key factor in consumer decisions since it influences consumers' attitudes toward goods and services throughout the decision-making process. **(Willemsen et al., 2011)** points out that consumers trust and adopt reviews that they perceive as honest and transparent which hinges on factors like message relevance, who is the source of the message and the quantity and quality of reviews.

However, **(Chatterjee et al., 2024)** says that the platform's reputation, the reviewer's knowledge and the resonance of the reviewer to the reader play a vital role in eWOM's overall impact. The research also

throws light on how quick response to bad reviews by businesses can lower the risk of negative impact on the brand and can even at times project them in positive light as being responsive. The role of eWOM is not just limited to people's purchases, but long after the purchase how it makes them feel about their choices and encouraging them to stay involved and engaged with the brand. Given the nature of eWOM, businesses actively scout the online media to watch and handle eWOM carefully by getting real opinions, keeping reviews believable and proactively responding the customer reviews sensitively. Hence online reviews and eWOM double up as endorsement that help build faith and guide people by giving credible, authentic and relatable information to make decisions**. (Babić Rosario et al., 2016; Willemsen et al., 2011; Chatterjee et al., 2024)**

2.6 Omnichannel and mobile decision journeys

In the age of technology proliferation, marketers have used Omnichannel strategy to seamlessly integrate customer buying journey across offline and online channels. This in turn, impacts how people actually buy. **(Melero et al., 2016)** says that omnichannel gives customers the flexibility to shop in a manner they find easy and convenient. This ease makes consumers happy as they can easily move between ways to shop as they decide what to buy. On the other hand, the companies are also in a better position to create plans that fit what shoppers think and feel. **(Bilgihan et al., 2016)** suggests that people are more likely to adopt omnichannel tech if they perceive it as an easy alternative and if they are open to experimenting with new avenue of purchase. The increase in digital devices, especially mobile phones support the omnichannel strategy by allowing people to look up for info, compare items and buy things whenever and whatever. Hence, omnichannel moves buying beyond the traditional stores and into online purchases.

(Massi et al., 2023) explores how mobile phones have been an integral part of the shopping process as it gives quick access on product info, opinions and recommendations that can influence what people buy. Also ensuring consistent information is available everywhere garners consumers trust which make the shopping process smooth. Taken together, the literature suggests that using all channels and shopping on phones engages customers, making things easier and gives specific assistance, which impacts what shoppers buy (**Bilgihan, 2016; Melero, 2016; Massi, 2023)**

2.7 Digital Nudging, UX and choice Architecture

In times when marketers are struggling for attention, the right stimulus can go a long way on triggering the desired response. In the digital world, the behavioural economics takes the form of Digital nudging. Digital nudging is strategically designing things that consumers see online in a manner that impacts choices that consumers make. **(Schneider et al., 2018)** suggests digital nudging is about using things like defaults, how different elements are framed, simple designs, and visuals to push people toward making good choices, but still letting them decide for themselves. These digital nudges influence the behaviour pattern, but without taking away your freedom to choose. This is how today's websites and apps are designed.

A lot of recent research focusses on using digital nudges holistically as part of a larger UX and choice systems**. (Meske, 2023)** states that while marketers use these digital nudges as subtle triggers, they should be ethical and not manipulative in nature. He further suggests that the UX design should be planned in a manner that matches what users want, making things easier for them facilitating their user experience and interaction. This means the nudges shouldn't be ad-hoc but a wholesomely planned digital experience for apps, websites and AI,

(Lembcke et al., 2019) research also emphasizes the importance of design of digital choices – like ease of navigation thru drop down menus, option visibility, information layout, and timing which can shape

what users do. He doesn't limit the utility of the digital design to just ease of the user but also suggests it as a guide. Lembcke's research shows that a good design acts as an enabler that reduces trust and build confidence and trust in digital arena.

Put together, these studies indicate that digital nudging, UX, and choice architecture are closely connected. A good User experience comes from one that is designed keeping in mind the designs principles and the ethical context of helping users makes better choices, not exploiting and manipulating them. **(Li & Karahanna, 2015)** state that the method in which Google ranks search results, how marketplaces sort items, and how sponsored listings work indicate how these things have a big impact on what products people end up looking at.

Ultimately, credibility is the differentiator. A consumer differentiates between what subject matter experts say, what their friends say and what companies claim about themselves. Their trust varies amongst the various sources depending upon their level of confidence. Visual tools like unboxing videos and images can aid consumers in making decisions. While **(Tam & Ho, 2006)** found people tend to follow more of computer-generated advice, Li et al. (2020) say that too much of personalization can actually make people suspicious and annoyed.

3 Methodology

This literature review is an outcome of systematic and structured approach in identifying, evaluating and blending academic research relevant to consumer decision making process in the digital era. It pursued a three-pronged step of searching, screening and consolidating everything together.

Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, JSTOR, and Google Scholar were among the major academic databases that were thoroughly searched. Keywords and Boolean phrases including "digital consumer behavior," "online decision-making," "digital nudging," "choice architecture," "AI and consumer decisions," "omnichannel behavior," "eWOM," "social media influence," and "privacy calculus." were used. The search focused on high-caliber conference papers, books, and peer-reviewed journal articles that were mostly published between 2018 and 2024. This allowed for the inclusion of both traditional theories and modern discoveries from the digital age.

Second, inclusion and exclusion criteria were used to screen the research. Works that revised traditional decision-making models for online settings or looked at the psychological, technological, or behavioral aspects of consumer decisions in digital contexts were included. Excluded were studies that lacked theoretical or empirical rigor, non-English publications, and industry reports having no academic foundation. To find more pertinent sources, reference chaining was employed.

Third, a thematic synthesis of the chosen papers allowed for the integration of many literary strands, including algorithmic decision-making, behavioral economics, digital marketing, UX design, and social impact. Decision models, digital information search, social media, AI personalization, omnichannel behavior, and digital nudging were among the main categories into which studies were grouped during the synthesis process.

This approach guaranteed an open, thorough, and rigorous analysis of how digital revolutions are changing how consumers make decisions in modern markets.

4 Results and Discussion

Several key elements influencing consumer decision-making in the digital age are shown by this survey of the literature. First, because of constant information exposure, social impact, and real-time cues, digital

environments have changed traditional linear decision models into dynamic, non-linear, and iterative journeys. Second, UX design, digital nudges, and decision architecture enhance the influence of behavioral mechanisms—heuristics, emotions, and cognitive load—on the internet. Third, AI-driven personalization has taken the spotlight, influencing what customers see, think about, and ultimately decide while also posing privacy and transparency issues. Fourth, social media ecosystems in the form of influencers, peer reviews and electronic word of mouth (eEOM) add value by creating socially created ideas of substance and authenticity that eases the decision-making process.

However, there is still a lacuna in research despite several strong and pertinent discoveries. There is not enough research on the ethical aspect of persuasive interface design, the long-term behavioral effects of algorithmic curation, or cultural variations in digital vulnerability

The area of contextual and emotional triggers in the space of phygital and omnichannel area still remains under-explored empirically. In addition to that, there is also lack of research that delves into integrated models that synchronously take into consideration social influence, emotion, cognition and AI intervention.

Consumer decision-making is becoming more universal. With digital technology there is a paradigm shift in what influence the decision-making process. Numerous studies showcase how the consumer's decision-making is not only influenced by individuals but reflects how a complex interplay of platforms, algorithms, social networks and interface design also impacts it.

5 Conclusion

In the digital era where everything seems to have been touched by technology, consumer decision making too has not remained untouched. The consumer decision-making process too have evolved from being a logical, linear models to a multifaceted, dynamic, and electronically facilitated process. Consumer need identification and information search has undergone a sea change in the digital environment which are characterized by easy access to information, constant connectivity and socially interactive platforms. These resources have made weighing options easy helping people to making buying decisions. The extant literature propagates that judgements are not influenced by cognitive thought processes alone, but also driven by heuristics, social impact and other interface stimuluses, which makes behaviour more flexible and context -related.

Advances in AI, algorithms, and personalization tools have reformed decision architecture. While curating information, forecasting preferences affect behaviour in real time to the advantage of the consumers, it also raises a pertinent question. Where do these tools cross the boundary between being an aid in decision making to manipulation and violation of privacy and transparency? To add to this, choice context is also widened by the combination of mobile, omnichannel and physical experiences, resulting in smooth but increasingly complicated consumer journeys.

In summary, it is wiser to assess modern consumer decision -making as a systemic and socio-technical event that is influenced by social networks, digital platforms, and behavioral design. To depict a robust representation of digital consumer behaviour, the scope of future research must include integrated models, cross-cultural viewpoints, and ethical considerations as top priority. Going forward as digital ecosystems develop, giving due importance to these complex influences is a must for scholars, regulators, and marketers alike.

References

6 Appel, G., Grewal, L., Hadi, R., & Stephen, A. T. (2020). The future of social media in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 48*, 79–95. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-019-00695-1

7 Ariely, D. (2008). *Predictably irrational: The hidden forces that shape our decisions*. HarperCollins.

8 Babić Rosario, A., Sotgiu, F., De Valck, K., & Bijmolt, T. H. A. (2016). The effect of electronic word of mouth on sales: A meta-analytic review of platform, product, and metric factors. *Journal of Marketing Research, 53*(3), 297–318. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmr.14.0380

9 Barone, M., Bussoli, C., & Fattobene, L. (2024). Digital financial consumers' decision-making: A systematic literature review and integrative framework. *International Journal of Bank Marketing, 42*(7), 1978–2022. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-07-2023-0405

10 Bilgihan, A., Kandampully, J., & Zhang, T. (2016). Towards a unified customer experience in online shopping environments: Antecedents and outcomes. *International Journal of Quality and Service Sciences, 8*(1), 102–119. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQSS-07-2015-0054

11 Brooks, R. C. (1957). Word-of-mouth advertising in selling new products. *Journal of Marketing, 22*(2), 154–161. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224295702200205

12 Chatterjee, S., Hazra, S., & Banerjee, K. (2024). Impact of e-WOM in consumer purchasing intentions of organic food products. *LIB PRO, 44*(3). https://doi.org/10.48165/bapas.2024.44.2.1

13 Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly, 13*, 319–340. https://doi.org/10.2307/249008

14 De Veirman, M., Cauberghe, V., & Hudders, L. (2017). Marketing through Instagram influencers: The impact of number of followers and product divergence on brand attitude. *International Journal of Advertising, 36*(5), 798–828. https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2017.1348035

15 Engel, J. F., Blackwell, R. D., & Miniard, P. W. (1995). *Consumer behavior* (8th ed.). Dryden Press.

16 Hansen, T. (2005). Perspectives on consumer decision making: An integrated approach. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour, 4*(6), 420–437.

17 Howard, J. A., & Sheth, J. N. (1969). *The theory of buyer behavior*. Wiley.

18 Huang, M.-H., & Rust, R. T. (2021). The caring machine: Feeling AI for customer care. *Journal of Marketing, 85*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022242920957348

19 Islam, M., Ali, M., & Azizzadeh, F. (2024). Consumer decision-making processes in digital environments: A psychological perspective. *Applied Psychology Research, 3*, 1362. https://doi.org/10.59400/apr.v3i1.1362

20 Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica, 47*(2), 263–291. https://doi.org/10.2307/1914185

21 Laufer, R. S., & Wolfe, M. (1977). Privacy as a concept and a social issue: A multidimensional developmental theory. *Journal of Social Issues, 33*(3), 22–42. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.1977.tb01880.x

22 Lembcke, T.-B., Engelbrecht, N., Brendel, A., Herrenkind, B., & Kolbe, L. M. (2019). *Towards a unified understanding of digital nudging by addressing its analog roots*.

23 Lemon, K. N., & Verhoef, P. C. (2016). Understanding customer experience throughout the customer journey. *Journal of Marketing, 80*(6), 69–96. https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.15.0420

24 Li, S. S., & Karahanna, E. (2015). Online recommendation systems in a B2C e-commerce context: A review and future directions. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems, 16*(2). https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00389
25 Liu, Y., Luo, J., & Zhang, L. (2020). The effects of mobile payment on consumer behavior. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour, 20*(3), 512–520. https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.1880
26 Lurie, N. H., Berger, J., Chen, Z., et al. (2018). Everywhere and at all times: Mobility, consumer decision-making, and choice. *Customer Needs and Solutions, 5*(1), 15–27. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40547-017-0076-9
27 Massi, M., Piancatelli, C., & Vocino, A. (2023). Authentic omnichannel: Providing consumers with a seamless brand experience through authenticity. *Psychology & Marketing, 40*, 1280–1298. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21815
28 Melero, I., Sese, F. J., & Verhoef, P. C. (2016). Recasting the customer experience in today's omni-channel environment. *Universia Business Review*, 18–37. https://doi.org/10.3232/UBR.2016.V13.N2.01
29 Meske, C. (2023). Digital nudging and user experience: Integrating behavioural design into digital environments. *Information Systems Journal, 33*(1), 120–138.
30 Moon, B. J. (2004). Consumer adoption of the internet as an information search and product purchase channel. *International Journal of Internet Marketing and Advertising, 1*(1), 104–118.
31 Nash, J. (2019). Exploring how social media platforms influence fashion consumer decisions in the UK retail sector. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management, 23*, 82–103. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFMM-01-2018-0012
32 Petty, R. E., & Cacioppo, J. T. (1986). The elaboration likelihood model of persuasion. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, 19*, 123–205. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(08)60214-2
33 Punj, G. (2012). Consumer decision making on the web. *Psychology & Marketing, 29*(10), 791–803. https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20564
34 Schneider, C., Weinmann, M., & vom Brocke, J. (2018). Digital nudging: Guiding online user choices through interface design. *Communications of the ACM, 61*(7), 67–73. https://doi.org/10.1145/3213765
35 Solomon, M. R. (2002). *Consumer behavior: Buying, having, and being*. Prentice Hall.
36 Tam, K. Y., & Ho, S. Y. (2006). Understanding the impact of web personalization on user information processing and decision outcomes. *MIS Quarterly, 30*, 865–890.
37 Verhoef, P. C., Kannan, P. K., & Inman, J. J. (2015). From multi-channel retailing to omni-channel retailing. *Journal of Retailing, 91*(2), 174–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2015.02.005
38 Vohra, N. (2022/2023). *Exploring the role of influencer marketing in shaping consumer perceptions*. Scribd.
39 Willemsen, L., Neijens, P., Bronner, F., & Ridder, J. (2011). Highly recommended! The content characteristics and perceived usefulness of online consumer reviews. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 17*, 19–38. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2011.01551.x
40 Xu, Y., Bradford, N., & Garg, R. (2023). Transparency enhances positive perceptions of social artificial intelligence. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/5550418
41 Yao, A., Chan, N., & Yao, N. (2024). Understanding consumer behavior in phygital environments. *Qualitative Market Research, 27*, 449–470. https://doi.org/10.1108/QMR-08-2023-0100