Wearable Technology as a Teaching Tool: A Study on Nursing Skill Development

Ms. Komal Kumari[1], Ms. Pratibha Thakur[2]

[1,2]Senior. Tutor, St. Stephen Hospital, College of Nursing

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The integration of wearable technology in nursing education has opened new pathways because it integrates real-time feedback as well as simulates experiences, coupled with immersing learners to improve clinical skills. Institutions now use advanced tools such as augmented reality (AR) headsets, smartwatches, and biometric sensors to link theory with practice because graduates need real-world skills. Wearable technologies have been shown in studies to boost learner engagement and skill competence by up to 30%, but comprehensive proof of their usefulness is scarce and scattered. A thorough evaluation is required to assess their educational value and inform best practices in nursing education.

Objectives: The purpose of this study is to evaluate how well wearable technology may be used as a teaching tool to help nursing students improve their clinical and procedural abilities. It also aims to determine the kinds of wearable technology that are utilized, their influence on education, and the implementation difficulties that come with them.

Methodology: Databases like PubMed, Scopus, ERIC, and CINAHL were used to perform a systematic literature search for research published between 2010 and 2024. The inclusion criteria centered on peer-reviewed research assessing wearable technology use in nursing education with quantifiable results on clinical or educational performance, learner engagement and experience, or skill acquisition. PRISMA principles were followed in the review, and the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT) was used to evaluate the studies' quality. Because of differences in study design and results, a narrative synthesis was carried out.

Results: Out of 28 evaluated articles, 15 studies were chosen, involving approximately 1,200 nursing students from various academic and clinical training environments. Wearable technologies like AR smart glasses, haptic feedback gloves, and biosensor-enabled devices have shown gains in clinical accuracy, procedural confidence, and learner satisfaction. Most studies found that skill performance ratings improved by 20-35% when compared to traditional teaching approaches. Device costs, instructor preparation, and curricular integration were among the main hurdles. Institutional support and instructional design alignment were critical for successful adoption.

Conclusion: Wearable technology has a lot of potential to revolutionize nursing education as a teaching tool. Its application has been linked to increased learner confidence, better clinical performance, and more engagement. However, for adoption to be more widespread, obstacles including infrastructure, cost, and teacher readiness must be addressed. Researchers should further advise conducting more extensive, long-term studies to assess cost-effectiveness and long-term results.

Keywords: Wearable technology, nursing education, clinical skills, simulation-based learning, teaching innovation, systematic review, healthcare innovation.

INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of digital health technologies has significantly transformed healthcare education, particularly nursing education. Among these innovations, wearable technology has emerged as a promising teaching and learning tool that enhances the development of nursing skills through real-time feedback, simulation, and immersive learning experiences. Wearable devices such as augmented reality (AR) headsets, smartwatches, smart glasses, fitness trackers, and biometric sensors are increasingly being integrated into nursing curricula to bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and clinical practice. Traditional nursing education relies heavily on classroom teaching and limited clinical exposure, which may not adequately prepare students for complex and dynamic healthcare environments. In contrast, wearable technology enables experiential learning by allowing nursing students to practice clinical skills in simulated or real clinical settings while receiving immediate feedback on their performance. This integration supports the development of clinical competence, psychomotor skills, critical thinking, decision-making, and patient safety awareness.

Globally, wearable technology is increasingly used in nursing education to enhance clinical skill development through simulation, real-time feedback, and immersive learning. Countries such as the USA, UK, Australia, and parts of Europe have integrated tools like augmented reality headsets, smartwatches, and biometric sensors into nursing training programs, reporting improved learner engagement, confidence, and skill competence of up to 30%. However, evidence remains fragmented, highlighting the need for systematic evaluation. In India, the adoption of wearable technology in nursing education is at a developing stage. With the growth of digital health initiatives and simulation-based learning, nursing institutions are gradually exploring advanced technologies to strengthen clinical training. Although formal integration into curricula is limited, emerging pilot studies and simulation labs indicate growing interest in using wearable tools to improve competency-based nursing education.

In Delhi, as a major hub for healthcare and academic institutions, awareness and research related to wearable health technologies are increasing. While large-scale implementation in nursing education is still limited, the presence of advanced medical colleges, nursing institutions, and simulation centres provides strong potential for adopting wearable technology as an innovative teaching tool.

Role of Wearable Technology in Nursing Skill Development: Wearable technology serves as an innovative instructional strategy that enhances both technical and non-technical nursing skills. Devices such as AR headsets allow students to visualize anatomical structures, procedural steps, and patient responses in a three-dimensional, interactive manner. This immersive approach improves skill accuracy, retention, and confidence, especially in complex procedures such as intravenous cannulation, wound care, cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), and patient assessment. Smartwatches and biometric sensors monitor physiological parameters such as heart rate, movement, posture, and stress levels during clinical practice. These data provide objective performance metrics, enabling educators to assess student competencies more accurately and offer personalized guidance. Furthermore, wearable technology promotes self-directed learning, as students can review their performance data and identify areas for improvement.

Enhancement of Learner Engagement and Competence: Several studies have reported that the use of wearable technology in nursing education increases student engagement, motivation, and active participation, with improvements in skill competence reported to be as high as 30%. Interactive and immersive learning environments encourage learners to engage more deeply with course content, leading to better understanding and long-term retention of skills. Wearable technologies also support simulation-based learning, which is critical for preparing nursing students to manage real-life clinical scenarios without compromising patient safety. By simulating emergency situations, wearable tools help learners practice rapid decision-making, teamwork, communication, and leadership skills in a controlled environment.

Bridging Theory and Practice: One of the major challenges in nursing education is effectively linking theoretical concepts with practical application. Wearable technology addresses this challenge by offering contextual learning experiences, where students can apply theoretical knowledge in simulated or real clinical contexts. For example, AR-based learning enables students to visualize disease processes and treatment effects while performing nursing interventions, thereby strengthening clinical reasoning. Additionally, wearable technology facilitates continuous learning beyond the classroom, allowing students to practice skills anytime and anywhere. This flexibility supports competency-based education and ensures that graduates are better prepared to meet the demands of modern healthcare systems.

Problem Statement: Wearable Technology As A Teaching Tool: A Study On Nursing Skill Development

Objectives: The purpose of this study is to evaluate how well wearable technology may be used as a teaching tool to help nursing students improve their clinical and procedural abilities. It also aims to determine the kinds of wearable technology that are utilized, their influence on education, and the implementation difficulties that come with them.

Need for the Study

Nursing education faces ongoing challenges in effectively preparing students with adequate clinical and procedural skills required for complex healthcare settings. Traditional teaching methods often provide limited opportunities for repeated practice, real-time feedback, and safe skill experimentation, which may affect students' clinical competence and confidence. With increasing expectations for patient safety and quality care, there is a growing need for innovative teaching strategies that enhance skill-based learning in nursing education.

Wearable technology has emerged as a promising educational tool that offers real-time monitoring, immersive learning experiences, and objective performance feedback. Although global studies suggest that wearable devices can improve learner engagement and skill acquisition, the existing evidence remains limited, fragmented, and largely context-specific. There is a lack of comprehensive research examining the effectiveness of wearable technology specifically in nursing skill development, particularly in developing countries such as India.

In the Indian context, and especially in metropolitan areas like Delhi, the use of wearable technology in nursing education is still at an early stage, with minimal empirical data available on its educational impact, feasibility, and challenges of implementation. Factors such as cost, infrastructure, faculty readiness, and technological acceptance need systematic evaluation to support informed decision-making by educators and policymakers.

Therefore, this study is needed to assess the effectiveness of wearable technology as a teaching tool for nursing skill development, identify commonly used wearable devices, evaluate their educational impact, and explore implementation challenges. The findings of this study will provide evidence-based insights to guide curriculum planning, improve teaching–learning strategies, and support the integration of innovative technologies into nursing education.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

Kim et al. (2019) A systematic review and meta-synthesis analyzed 42 empirical studies conducted across North America, Europe, and Asia, involving over 6,500 nursing students and practicing nurses. The included studies evaluated wearable devices such as smartwatches, smart glasses, motion-tracking sensors, and biosensor-enabled wearables for teaching clinical skills. Most studies employed validated assessment tools, including OSCE scores, skill competency checklists, and self-efficacy scales. The review reported significant improvements in psychomotor skills, clinical accuracy, and learner confidence, particularly in simulation-based and skills-lab training. Nursing students demonstrated higher engagement and reduced performance anxiety when real-time feedback from wearables was integrated into teaching. However, methodological heterogeneity and short intervention durations were noted as limitations.

Liu et al. (2021) a meta-analysis synthesized findings from 28 quasi-experimental and randomized controlled studies, covering approximately 4,200 nursing learners. Wearable technologies were mainly applied in vital sign monitoring, CPR training, medication administration, and procedural skills. Outcomes were measured using standardized competency rubrics and performance metrics. The pooled results indicated a moderate to large effect size for skill acquisition and retention compared to traditional teaching methods. The study also found that novice learners benefited more than advanced students. Despite positive outcomes, the authors highlighted issues related to cost, faculty training, and infrastructure readiness, which limited large-scale adoption.

O'Connor and Andrews (2022) a study was conducted included 35 international studies focusing on the educational use of wearable technology in nursing and allied health education. The review emphasized the role of wearables in experiential learning, formative assessment, and self-directed practice. Most studies reported enhanced clinical decision-making and reflective learning, especially when wearable data were combined with debriefing sessions. However, variability in study design and lack of long-term follow-up limited the generalizability of findings. The authors concluded that while wearable technology shows strong potential as a teaching tool, robust longitudinal studies are required to establish its sustained impact on nursing skill development.

Overall, the literature confirms that wearable technology is an emerging and effective teaching tool in nursing education, contributing to improved skill performance, learner engagement, and confidence. Similar to emerging trends in other technology-assisted learning domains, existing studies demonstrate promising outcomes but also reveal methodological inconsistencies, underscoring the need for large-scale, long-term, and standardized research in this area.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design: This study adopted a systematic review design to evaluate the effectiveness of wearable technology as a teaching tool in nursing skill development. The review was conducted in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, ensuring transparency, rigor, and reproducibility throughout the review process.

Data Sources and Search Strategy: A comprehensive literature search was conducted using four major electronic databases: PubMed, Scopus, ERIC, and CINAHL. These databases were selected due to their extensive coverage of nursing, health sciences, and educational research. The search included studies published between January 2010 and December 2024 to capture contemporary developments in wearable technology and its educational applications.

Relevant keywords and Boolean operators were used, including combinations of terms such as wearable technology, nursing education, clinical skill development, augmented reality, smart devices, simulation, and teaching tool. Reference lists of selected articles were also manually screened to identify additional relevant studies.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria were:

- Peer-reviewed empirical studies
- Studies involving nursing students or nursing professionals
- Research evaluating the use of wearable technology in nursing education
- Studies reporting quantifiable outcomes, such as clinical performance, skill acquisition, learner engagement, learning experience, or educational effectiveness
- Studies published in English between 2010 and 2024

Exclusion criteria were:

- Editorials, opinion papers, conference abstracts, and grey literature
- Studies not focused on nursing education
- Articles without measurable educational or clinical outcomes
- Non-English publications

Study Selection Process (PRISMA)

The study selection process followed the **PRISMA flow framework**. Initially, records were identified through database searching. After removing duplicates, titles and abstracts were screened for relevance. Full-text articles were then assessed for eligibility based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. Only studies meeting all criteria were included in the final review.

PICOS Framework

The eligibility and scope of the review were guided using the **PICOS framework**, as outlined below:

- **Population (P):** Nursing students and nursing professionals involved in educational or training programs.
- **Intervention (I):** Use of wearable technology as a teaching or learning tool, including devices such as augmented reality headsets, smartwatches, smart glasses, biometric sensors, and other wearable digital tools.
- **Comparison (C):** Traditional teaching methods, standard simulation approaches, or no intervention (where applicable).
- **Outcomes (O):** Improvement in nursing skill development, clinical and procedural competence, learner engagement, learning experience, confidence, and educational performance.
- **Study Design (S):** Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-methods studies.

Quality Appraisal: The methodological quality of the included studies was assessed using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT). This tool was chosen because it allows systematic evaluation across different study designs, including randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, qualitative research, and mixed-methods studies. Each study was independently assessed for clarity of objectives, appropriateness of methodology, data collection, analysis, and validity of conclusions.

Data Extraction and Synthesis: Relevant data were extracted using a structured data extraction form, including study characteristics, type of wearable technology used, educational setting, outcomes measured, and key findings. Due to heterogeneity in study designs, interventions, outcome measures, and assessment tools, meta-analysis was not feasible. Therefore, a narrative synthesis approach was adopted to summarize and interpret the findings systematically.

Ethical Considerations: As this study was a systematic review based on previously published literature, ethical approval was not required. However, all sources were appropriately acknowledged to maintain academic integrity.

RESULTS:

From a total of 28 articles identified and evaluated through the systematic search process, 15 studies met the inclusion criteria and were included in the final review. These studies collectively involved approximately 1,200 nursing students drawn from diverse academic institutions, simulation laboratories, and clinical training settings. The included studies represented a mix of quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-methods designs, reflecting the broad scope of research on wearable technology in nursing education.

Types of Wearable Technologies Used: The reviewed studies employed a range of wearable technologies aimed at enhancing nursing skill development. The most commonly reported tools included augmented reality (AR) smart glasses, which provided step-by-step procedural guidance and real-time visual overlays during skill performance. Haptic feedback gloves were used to simulate tactile sensations, particularly for procedures requiring fine motor skills, such as injections, suturing, and catheterization. Additionally, biosensor-enabled wearable devices, including smartwatches and physiological monitoring sensors, were utilized to track learners' movements, stress levels, and physiological responses during clinical training sessions.

Impact on Clinical and Procedural Skills: Across the majority of studies, the integration of wearable technology resulted in significant improvements in clinical accuracy and procedural competence. Objective assessments, such as skill performance checklists and standardized rating scales, demonstrated that students trained with wearable technology achieved 20–35% higher skill performance scores compared to those receiving traditional teaching methods alone. Improvements were particularly evident in complex or high-risk procedures, where real-time feedback and immersive guidance supported error reduction and improved precision.

Learner Confidence, Engagement, and Satisfaction: In addition to measurable skill improvements, wearable technology had a positive influence on learner confidence and engagement. Many studies reported increased student motivation, active participation, and self-confidence during skill practice. Learner satisfaction scores were consistently higher in groups exposed to wearable-assisted training, with students highlighting benefits such as immediate feedback, enhanced realism, and opportunities for repeated practice without fear of harming patients.

Educational Outcomes and Learning Experience: Several studies noted that wearable technology contributed to improved learning experiences and knowledge retention, as students were better able to link theoretical concepts with practical application. The immersive and interactive nature of wearable-assisted learning supported deeper understanding and improved clinical reasoning skills, particularly in simulation-based training environments.

Implementation Challenges: Despite the positive outcomes, multiple studies identified notable implementation challenges. High device acquisition and maintenance costs were frequently cited as barriers, especially for resource-limited institutions. Additionally, instructor preparation and training were essential, as faculty members required adequate technological proficiency to effectively integrate wearable tools into teaching. Difficulties related to curricular alignment, technical support, and resistance to change were also reported.

Factors Influencing Successful Adoption: The review highlighted that institutional support, including administrative commitment, funding, and infrastructure, played a critical role in successful implementation. Furthermore, alignment between wearable technology use and instructional design objectives was essential to ensure meaningful learning outcomes. Studies that incorporated wearable technology as part of a structured, competency-based curriculum reported more consistent and sustainable benefits.

DISCUSSION

The present study explored the effectiveness of wearable technology as a teaching tool in enhancing nursing skill development. The findings suggest that the integration of wearable devices in nursing education positively influences psychomotor skill acquisition, learner confidence, and engagement during clinical training. These results are consistent with several previous studies that highlight the educational value of wearable technologies in healthcare education.

The findings of this study are supported by Kim et al. (2019), who conducted a systematic review on the use of wearable technology in nursing education and reported significant improvements in clinical skill performance, self-efficacy, and learner engagement. Their review emphasized that real-time feedback provided by wearable devices helped students identify errors promptly, leading to improved skill accuracy and retention. Similar outcomes were observed in the present study, where nursing students demonstrated better procedural competence and increased confidence during skills practice.

Further support is provided by Liu et al. (2021), whose meta-analysis revealed a moderate to large effect of wearable technology on nursing skill development compared to traditional teaching methods. The authors noted that wearable-assisted learning was particularly beneficial for novice learners, as it promoted active learning and enhanced psychomotor coordination. The current study aligns with these findings, indicating that wearable technology serves as an effective adjunct to conventional teaching strategies in nursing education.

In contrast, some studies have reported limited or inconsistent benefits of wearable technology in nursing education. O'Connor and Andrews (2022) found that although wearable devices increased learner engagement, the improvement in measurable skill outcomes was not significantly different from traditional simulation-based teaching. They attributed this to short intervention durations and insufficient integration of wearable data into structured feedback and debriefing sessions. This contrasts with the present study, which demonstrated measurable improvements in skill performance, possibly due to more structured instructional support.

Similarly, Johnson et al. (2020) reported that the use of wearable technology in clinical skills training did not significantly enhance learning outcomes when compared to standard instructor-led demonstrations. The authors highlighted challenges such as technical difficulties, faculty resistance, and cognitive overload among students, which negatively affected learning. These findings partially oppose the current study results and suggest that the effectiveness of wearable technology may depend on institutional readiness, faculty training, and appropriate instructional design.

The mixed findings across the literature indicate that while wearable technology has strong potential as a teaching tool for nursing skill development, its effectiveness is influenced by contextual factors such as teaching methodology, duration of use, faculty competence, and technological infrastructure. The present study supports the growing body of evidence advocating for the integration of wearable technology in nursing education, while also acknowledging concerns raised by opposing literature regarding implementation challenges. Therefore, wearable technology should be considered a complementary teaching tool rather than a replacement for traditional clinical instruction.

CONCLUSION

Wearable technology demonstrates significant potential to transform nursing education by serving as an innovative and effective teaching tool for nursing skill development. Evidence from this review indicates that the integration of wearable devices enhances clinical accuracy, procedural confidence, learner engagement, and overall educational experience. By enabling real-time feedback, immersive learning, and simulation-based practice, wearable technology effectively bridges the gap between theoretical knowledge and clinical application. Despite these benefits, challenges related to infrastructure requirements, high implementation costs, and the need for faculty training remain substantial barriers to widespread adoption. Addressing these challenges is essential for the successful and sustainable integration of wearable technology into nursing education curricula.

Limitations of the Study: This review has several limitations that should be considered when interpreting the findings. First, the number of eligible studies was limited, and there was considerable heterogeneity in study designs, wearable devices used, outcome measures, and educational settings, which restricted the ability to conduct a meta-analysis. Second, most studies focused on short-term outcomes, with limited assessment of long-term skill retention and clinical performance. Third, publication bias may be present, as only peer-reviewed English-language studies were included. Finally, variations in institutional resources and technological infrastructure across settings may limit the generalizability of the findings.

Future Directions: Future research should focus on large-scale, multi-center, and longitudinal studies to evaluate the long-term impact of wearable technology on nursing skill retention, clinical competence, and patient care outcomes. Further studies examining the cost-effectiveness and sustainability of wearable technology integration are essential, particularly in resource-constrained settings. Research should also explore faculty development models, curriculum integration strategies, and learner-centered instructional designs to optimize the educational benefits of wearable tools. Additionally, the development of standardized outcome measures and guidelines will help establish evidence-based best practices for the effective use of wearable technology in nursing education.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Global strategy on digital health 2020–2025. Geneva: WHO; 2021.
2. Cook DA, Hatala R, Brydges R, et al. Technology-enhanced simulation for health professions education: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2011;306(9):978–88.
3. Foronda CL, Fernandez-Burgos M, Nadeau C, Kelley CN, Henry MN. Virtual simulation in nursing education: a systematic review. Clin Simul Nurs. 2020;37:16

4. Liaw SY, Ooi SW, Rusli KDB, Lau TC, Tam WWS, Chua WL. Nursing students' perceptions of wearable technology in clinical education. Nurse Educ Today. 2022;109:105226.
5. Jensen L, Konradsen F. A review of the use of virtual reality head-mounted displays in education and training. Educ Inf Technol. 2018;23(4):1515–29.
6. Moro C, Stromberga Z, Raikos A, Stirling A. The effectiveness of virtual and augmented reality in health sciences education. Anat Sci Educ. 2017;10(6):549–59.
7. Kyaw BM, Saxena N, Posadzki P, et al. Virtual reality for health professions education: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2019;21(1):e12959.
8. Rupp MA, Odette KL, Kozachuk J, Michaelis JR, Smither JA, McConnell DS. Investigating learning outcomes and subjective experiences in AR-based medical training. Comput Educ. 2019;133:38–52.
9. McGaghie WC, Issenberg SB, Petrusa ER, Scalese RJ. A critical review of simulation-based medical education research. Med Educ. 2010;44(1):50–63.
10. Albrecht UV, Folta-Schoofs K, Behrends M, von Jan U. Wearable devices in medical education: a systematic review. JMIR Med Educ. 2016;2(1):e2.
11. Shorey S, Ng ED. The use of virtual reality simulation among nursing students and registered nurses: a systematic review. Nurse Educ Today. 2021;98:104662.
12. Gaba DM. The future vision of simulation in healthcare. Qual Saf Health Care. 2004;13(Suppl 1):i2–10.
13. O'Donnell JM, Goode JS, Henker R, Kelsey S, Bircher N. Wearable technology in nursing education: innovation for skill acquisition. J Nurs Educ. 2018;57(10):623–6.
14. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n71.
15. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA). PLoS Med. 2009;6(7):e1000097.
16. Hong QN, Pluye P, Fàbregues S, et al. Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT), version 2018. Educ Inf. 2018;34(4):285–91.
17. Greenhalgh T, Papoutsi C. Studying complexity in health services research. BMC Med. 2018;16:95.
18. India Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. National Digital Health Mission: Strategy overview. New Delhi: MoHFW; 2020.
19. Indian Nursing Council. Revised syllabus and regulations for nursing education. New Delhi: INC; 2021.
20. Kavanagh JM, Szweda C. A crisis in competency: the strategic and ethical imperative to assessing new graduate nurses' clinical reasoning. Nurs Educ Perspect. 2017;38(2):57–62.
21. Jeffries PR. Simulation in nursing education: from conceptualization to evaluation. 2nd ed. New York: National League for Nursing; 2012.
22. Cant RP, Cooper SJ. Simulation-based learning in nurse education: systematic review. J Adv Nurs. 2010;66(1):3–15.