International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Gandhian Philosophy and Ethics of Care: A Relational Moral Framework

Reshmi Parveen

Ph.D Research Scholar, Department of Philosophy, University of Calcutta Kolkata – 700027, West Bengal, India

Abstract

This paper explores the philosophical convergence between Mahatma Gandhi's moral thought and the Ethics of Care. While Gandhi is often interpreted primarily through the lenses of nonviolence, truth, and anti-colonial political resistance, his philosophy also embodies a deeply relational and care-centered ethical vision. Similarly, the Ethics of Care—developed by thinkers such as Carol Gilligan, Nel Noddings, and Joan Tronto—challenges dominant moral frameworks grounded in abstract rationality, individual autonomy, and universalism by emphasizing relationships, vulnerability, and responsibility. This paper argues that Gandhian philosophy can be fruitfully reread as an early, non-Western articulation of care ethics. By examining key Gandhian concepts such as *ahimsa*, *satyagraha*, *swaraj*, trusteeship, and service, the paper demonstrates how Gandhi conceptualizes care not as weakness or sentimentality but as moral strength and transformative social power. The relevance of this combined ethical framework is discussed in relation to contemporary challenges including social injustice, political violence, environmental degradation, and the global crisis of care.

Keywords: Gandhi, Ethics of Care, Ahimsa, Satyagraha, Relational Ethics, Moral Philosophy

1. Introduction

Modern moral philosophy has largely been shaped by ethical theories that emphasize rational autonomy, impartial moral reasoning, and universal principles. Kantian deontology, utilitarian consequentialism, and social contract theories have dominated academic discourse by presenting the moral agent as an independent, rational individual capable of abstract deliberation. While these theories have made significant contributions to ethical thought, critics have increasingly argued that they fail to adequately capture the moral realities of dependency, emotional engagement, and relational responsibility that characterize much of human life.

In response to these limitations, feminist philosophers in the late twentieth century articulated the Ethics of Care as an alternative moral framework. Rather than grounding morality in abstract rules or calculative reasoning, care ethics emphasizes attentiveness to particular others, responsiveness to vulnerability, and the moral significance of relationships. Care ethicists argue that moral life is sustained not primarily by principles of justice alone, but by practices of care that enable individuals and communities to flourish.

Parallel to this development, non-Western moral traditions have long emphasized relationality, compassion, and moral responsibility, though they have often been marginalized within mainstream philosophical canon. Mahatma Gandhi's philosophy represents a striking example of such a tradition.

Although Gandhi is frequently discussed as a political leader or social reformer, his writings reveal a coherent moral philosophy grounded in ethical self-discipline, nonviolence, and care for all beings. His conception of morality challenges domination-based models of power and reframes ethical strength in terms of compassion, self-sacrifice, and responsibility.

This paper seeks to bring Gandhian philosophy into dialogue with the Ethics of Care. The central argument is that Gandhi's moral vision embodies many of the core insights of care ethics and, in some respects, anticipates them. By reading Gandhi through the lens of care ethics, the paper aims to demonstrate that Gandhian thought offers a relational ethical framework capable of bridging the divide between care and justice, individual morality and political action. Such a reading not only enriches contemporary care ethics but also situates Gandhi as a significant moral philosopher within global ethical discourse.

2. Overview of Gandhian Moral Philosophy

Before you begin to format your paper, first write and save the content as a separate text file. Gandhi did not present his philosophy in the form of a systematic treatise. Instead, his moral ideas emerged through what he famously called his "experiments with truth." Nevertheless, his extensive writings, speeches, and practices reveal a consistent ethical framework grounded in moral self-transformation and social responsibility. Gandhi's philosophy integrates personal ethics with political action, refusing to separate moral ends from moral means.

2.1 Satya (Truth) as Moral Foundation

For Gandhi, *satya* was the ultimate moral and metaphysical principle. Truth was not merely correspondence between statements and facts, but an existential commitment to honesty, integrity, and openness. Gandhi believed that human beings could never possess absolute truth; rather, they must continually strive toward it through humility and ethical discipline. This pursuit of truth required deep respect for others, since harming another being constituted a violation of truth itself.

Truth, in Gandhian ethics, is inseparable from care. To live truthfully is to acknowledge the moral worth of others and to act with compassion and responsibility. Gandhi's insistence on truthfulness thus aligns with the care ethical emphasis on moral attentiveness and responsiveness to the needs of others.

2.2 Ahimsa (Nonviolence) as Active Care

Ahimsa occupies a central place in Gandhi's moral philosophy. Often misunderstood as mere non-injury or passivity, ahimsa for Gandhi was an active, demanding ethical practice rooted in love and empathy. Nonviolence required the moral courage to resist injustice without dehumanizing the oppressor. It demanded patience, self-restraint, and a willingness to endure suffering rather than inflict it.

From the perspective of care ethics, ahimsa can be understood as a radical ethic of care that extends beyond personal relationships to encompass all living beings. Gandhi's insistence that one must care even for one's enemy resonates with the care ethical commitment to preserving relationships and preventing moral harm.

2.3 Satyagraha (Truth-Force) and Relational Resistance

Satyagraha, Gandhi's method of nonviolent resistance, represents the practical application of satya and ahimsa in the political sphere. Unlike violent revolution or coercive politics, satyagraha seeks to transform unjust relationships through moral persuasion and self-suffering. The goal is not to defeat the opponent but to appeal to their conscience.

Satyagraha exemplifies a care-based approach to political action. It treats both the oppressed and the op-

pressor as morally significant beings capable of ethical growth. In this sense, satyagraha rejects domination and instead embodies what care ethicists describe as non-coercive, sustaining power.

2.4 Swaraj and Moral Self-Governance

Swaraj, commonly translated as self-rule, is often interpreted narrowly as political independence. However, for Gandhi, swaraj primarily signified moral self-governance. True freedom, he argued, begins with self-discipline, control over desires, and responsibility toward others.

This conception of autonomy differs significantly from liberal individualism. Gandhi's swaraj emphasizes relational autonomy, where freedom is exercised within networks of social obligation. Such a view closely aligns with care ethics, which critiques the notion of the self as isolated and instead emphasizes interdependence.

2.5 Trusteeship and the Ethics of Service

Gandhi's idea of trusteeship offered a moral vision of economic life grounded in care and responsibility. He rejected both exploitative capitalism and authoritarian socialism, proposing instead that wealth should be held in trust for the benefit of society. Economic power, in this view, carries moral obligations toward the vulnerable.

Trusteeship reflects a care ethical approach to justice by emphasizing responsibility over rights and social well-being over individual accumulation. It highlights Gandhi's concern for sustaining social relationships rather than maximizing profit or control.

3. Ethics of Care: Conceptual Foundations

The Ethics of Care emerged as a critical response to traditional moral theories that privilege abstraction and impartiality. Feminist philosophers argued that such theories marginalize moral experiences associated with caregiving, emotional labor, and dependency—experiences historically associated with women. Ethics of care emerged as a response to the limitations of mainstream moral philosophy, which often prioritizes abstract principles, rules, and impartiality over lived experiences, relationships, and contextual judgment. Feminist philosophers like **Carol Gilligan** (1982) highlighted that traditional moral theories reflect male-oriented assumptions, emphasizing autonomy, rights, and justice. In contrast, women's moral reasoning frequently centers on **attentiveness, relational responsibility, and care**, suggesting an alternative paradigm for understanding moral life.

Gilligan argued that:

"Women's moral reasoning develops in the context of relationships; it is rooted in the desire to preserve connections and respond to the needs of others" (Gilligan, 1982, p. 17).

Building on this insight, ethics of care positions **care as morally and socially significant**, not as weakness, but as an essential expression of moral agency and human interdependence. By reconceptualizing power, responsibility, and autonomy, care ethics offers a robust framework for analyzing ethical dilemmas in contemporary society, professional practice, and political structures.

Carol Gilligan's *In a Different Voice* challenged dominant models of moral development by showing that moral reasoning often takes a relational rather than rule-based form. Gilligan did not reject justice-based ethics but argued that care represents a distinct and equally valid moral orientation. She challenged the universality of traditional moral theory, demonstrating that justice-centered approaches inadequately capture the ethical reasoning of relational beings. Her work reframed moral development as emerging from **relationships and responsibilities**, rather than abstract application of rules. The emphasis on **attentiveness and responsiveness** provides the conceptual basis for care ethics.

Nel Noddings further developed care ethics by grounding moral obligation in concrete caring relationships. For Noddings, ethical responsibility arises from encounters with particular others rather than abstract duties. Caring involves emotional engagement, attentiveness, and receptivity. She argued that ethical obligations are not purely formal but emerge from the experience of **caring relationships**, underscoring the moral significance of dependency and vulnerability.

Joan Tronto expanded care ethics beyond interpersonal relationships into the political domain. She argued that care is essential for sustaining democratic life and that the marginalization of care work reflects broader structures of power and inequality. Tronto identified care as a social practice involving attentiveness, responsibility, competence, and responsiveness and defining it as "a species activity that includes everything we do to maintain, continue, and repair our 'world' so that we can live in it as well as possible." Tronto framing care as an instrument of moral and social strength.

4. Convergences Between Gandhian Philosophy and Care Ethics

4.1 Relational Moral Ontology

Equations Both Gandhian philosophy and care ethics reject the conception of the moral agent as an isolated individual. Gandhi understood the self as constituted through relationships and moral duties toward others. Similarly, care ethics views persons as embedded within networks of dependency and care.

4.2 Care as Moral Strength Rather Than Weakness

A key convergence lies in the reconceptualization of care as strength. Gandhi's insistence that nonviolence requires greater courage than violence parallels care ethicists' rejection of domination-based notions of power. Care, in both frameworks, is an active moral force capable of social transformation.

4.3 Responsibility, Self-Sacrifice, and Moral Courage

Both Gandhi and care ethicists emphasize responsibility over rights. Gandhi's willingness to accept suffering for the sake of others reflects a care ethical commitment to responsiveness and moral courage. While care ethics often focuses on particular relationships, Gandhi universalizes this responsibility to encompass all humanity.

5. Power, Resistance, and Non-Domination

Traditional political theories often equate power with coercion and control. Gandhi and care ethicists challenge this view by redefining power as the capacity to sustain, protect, and transform relationships. Satyagraha exemplifies this alternative conception of power, seeking ethical transformation rather than domination.

This understanding aligns with feminist critiques of militarism and patriarchy, which associate power with violence and hierarchy. By contrast, Gandhian and care-based power operates through empathy, dialogue, and moral appeal.

6. Care, Justice, and Moral Universalism

A central claim of this paper is that justice should not be understood as conceptually prior to care, but rather as *emerging from sustained practices of care*. This view challenges dominant moral and political theories that treat justice as a system of abstract principles applied impartially, independent of social relationships. Instead, both Gandhian philosophy and the Ethics of Care suggest that just social

arrangements are possible only when relationships of care are continuously cultivated, maintained, and institutionalized.

6.1 From Abstract Justice to Lived Moral Practice

In classical theories of justice—most notably Kantian deontology and liberal political theory—justice is grounded in universal rules, rights, and duties derived from rational autonomy. While such frameworks aim at fairness and equality, they often abstract from the concrete conditions of vulnerability, dependency, and asymmetrical power that characterize real social life. As a result, justice risks becoming procedural rather than substantive, formally equal yet materially indifferent to suffering.

Care ethics offers a corrective by insisting that moral life begins not with rules but with responsiveness to need. From this perspective, justice cannot be meaningfully realized unless agents and institutions are already oriented by practices of attentiveness, empathy, and responsibility. Justice, therefore, is not the opposite of care, but its moral crystallization at the social and institutional level.

6.2 Gandhian Ethics: Justice Grounded in Care

Gandhi's philosophy exemplifies this relational grounding of justice. For Gandhi, unjust laws and institutions are not merely violations of abstract rights; they represent failures of moral relationship. Colonial domination, caste oppression, and economic exploitation persist because social systems lack care for the dignity and vulnerability of persons. Consequently, Gandhi does not seek justice primarily through legal reform or coercive enforcement, but through moral transformation sustained by practices of care.

Satyagraha illustrates how justice emerges from care in practice. The satyagrahi resists injustice not by dehumanizing the opponent, but by appealing to their moral capacity through disciplined nonviolence and self-suffering. This sustained ethical practice aims to restore just relations rather than impose compliance. Justice, in this sense, is achieved when relationships are reoriented toward mutual recognition and responsibility.

6.3 Duties, Responsibility, and the Moral Soil of Justice

Gandhi's emphasis on duties over rights further reinforces the idea that justice grows out of care. Rights-based frameworks often assume that justice can be secured by guaranteeing entitlements through legal mechanisms. Gandhi, by contrast, argues that without a moral culture of responsibility, rights remain fragile and easily violated. Duties grounded in care create the moral soil in which justice can take root and endure.

This does not entail the rejection of rights. Rather, rights are understood as the outcome of a society committed to caring practices. Where individuals and institutions habitually attend to the needs of others, respect dignity, and accept responsibility for harm, just arrangements become possible and sustainable.

6.4 Political and Feminist Implications

This conception of justice has significant implications for political and feminist theory. One frequent critique of care ethics is that it lacks an adequate account of structural injustice and power. Gandhi's philosophy responds to this concern by demonstrating how care can be politically organized and mobilized. Nonviolent resistance, grounded in care, confronts unjust structures while refusing to reproduce the logic of domination that sustains them.

Justice, on this account, is neither reducible to personal benevolence nor separable from social structures. It is the institutional expression of collective care, maintained through ongoing moral practices rather than once-and-for-all legal solutions. By understanding justice as emerging from

sustained practices of care, Gandhian ethics offers a framework that integrates moral sensitivity with political efficacy.

7. Contemporary Relevance of Gandhian Care Ethics

The convergence of Gandhian philosophy and the Ethics of Care is not merely of historical or theoretical interest. It offers a powerful moral framework for addressing some of the most pressing ethical and political challenges of the contemporary world. In an era marked by violence, inequality, ecological crisis, and the erosion of social bonds, Gandhian care ethics provides an alternative vision of moral agency, power, and justice grounded in relational responsibility and non-domination.

7.1 Political Violence, Polarization, and Nonviolent Care

Contemporary politics is increasingly characterized by polarization, authoritarianism, and the normalization of violence—both physical and symbolic. Public discourse often treats opponents as enemies to be defeated rather than as moral agents capable of dialogue and transformation. Gandhian care ethics challenges this logic at its core. By insisting on nonviolence (*ahimsa*) and moral persuasion (*satyagraha*), Gandhi offers a model of political engagement that resists injustice without reproducing hatred or dehumanization.

From a care-ethical perspective, nonviolence is not simply a strategic choice but a moral commitment to sustaining the humanity of all involved. Gandhian care ethics thus reframes political resistance as an act of responsibility toward both the oppressed and the oppressor. In contemporary protest movements, this approach remains relevant as a means of confronting injustice while preserving the moral fabric of democratic life.

7.2 Social Inequality, Marginalization, and Structural Care

Global capitalism has intensified social and economic inequalities, producing widespread precarity, exclusion, and exploitation. While justice-based frameworks often address these issues through rights and redistribution, Gandhian care ethics emphasizes the moral relationships underlying economic systems. Gandhi's critique of industrial modernity and his concept of trusteeship foreground the responsibility of the powerful toward the vulnerable.

In contemporary contexts, this translates into an ethic of structural care—an approach that evaluates economic and social institutions by their capacity to meet human needs and protect dignity. Gandhian care ethics thus supports movements for social justice, labor rights, and economic democracy by insisting that systems are unjust not only when they violate rights, but when they fail to care for those who sustain them.

7.3 The Global Care Crisis

Feminist theorists have identified a global care crisis characterized by the undervaluation of caregiving labor, the feminization of care work, and the strain placed on families and communities by neoliberal economic policies. Gandhian care ethics provides a moral vocabulary for addressing this crisis by treating care as a foundational social value rather than a private or gendered responsibility.

Gandhi's emphasis on service (*seva*), simplicity, and shared responsibility challenges the social structures that externalize care onto marginalized groups. His philosophy supports the recognition of care work as ethically central to social life and calls for its fair distribution and collective support. In this sense, Gandhian care ethics complements feminist critiques while extending them into a broader moral and political vision.

7.4 Environmental Crisis and Ecological Care

The contemporary ecological crisis represents one of the most urgent ethical challenges of our time. Climate change, environmental degradation, and the exploitation of natural resources reveal a failure to recognize humanity's relational dependence on nature. Gandhian care ethics offers a compelling response by extending care beyond human relationships to encompass the natural world.

Gandhi's advocacy of simplicity, non-possession, and restraint reflects an ethic of ecological care grounded in humility and responsibility. Rather than viewing nature as a resource to be dominated, Gandhian ethics encourages coexistence and stewardship. This perspective aligns with contemporary environmental care ethics, which emphasize interdependence, sustainability, and responsibility toward future generations.

7.5 Public Health, Vulnerability, and Collective Responsibility

Recent global health crises have exposed the ethical limits of individualistic approaches to responsibility and freedom. Pandemics reveal the extent of human interdependence and the moral necessity of caring practices such as solidarity, mutual aid, and protection of the vulnerable. Gandhian care ethics underscores the idea that ethical action often requires self-restraint for the sake of others.

By emphasizing duties grounded in care rather than solely individual rights, Gandhian ethics offers a framework for understanding public health measures as expressions of collective moral responsibility. Justice, in such contexts, emerges not from coercive enforcement alone but from shared commitments to care for one another.

7.6 Global Ethics and Non-Dominating Power

In international relations, power is frequently exercised through military force, economic coercion, and political domination. Gandhian care ethics challenges this paradigm by proposing a model of non-dominating power grounded in moral authority and responsibility. While often dismissed as idealistic, this approach remains relevant in debates about humanitarian intervention, peacebuilding, and global justice.

A Gandhian care ethic suggests that sustainable global justice cannot be achieved through domination or fear, but through practices that cultivate trust, responsibility, and mutual recognition across borders. In a globalized yet deeply unequal world, this vision provides an ethical counterpoint to realist and instrumental approaches to power.

8. Conclusion

This paper has argued that Gandhian philosophy and the Ethics of Care converge in a deeply relational, moral, and political framework that emphasizes nonviolence, responsibility, and sustained practices of care as the foundation of ethical life. Gandhi's moral vision demonstrates that care is not a private, sentimental act but a form of strength capable of transforming social, political, and economic relationships. By emphasizing duties over rights, relational autonomy, and universalized care, Gandhi provides a model in which justice emerges organically from the ethical cultivation of care practices.

The contemporary relevance of this framework is profound. In a world beset by political polarization, structural inequalities, ecological crises, and global health challenges, Gandhian care ethics offers a moral compass for both individual and collective action. Practices such as nonviolent resistance, trusteeship, environmental stewardship, and solidarity illustrate how care can serve as the engine of justice, fostering equitable, sustainable, and humane social structures. Furthermore, by integrating care and justice, Gandhian ethics addresses common critiques of care theory—particularly its perceived

partiality and lack of political applicability—demonstrating that care can be both relationally grounded and universally transformative.

In conclusion, Gandhian care ethics provides a rich, non-Western philosophical resource that complements and extends contemporary ethical theory. It bridges the divide between care and justice, moral responsibility and political action, and local attentiveness and global concern. As societies continue to grapple with pressing ethical, social, and ecological challenges, Gandhi's integrated approach offers an enduring framework for cultivating moral strength, relational responsibility, and non-dominating power. The synthesis of care and justice in Gandhian thought reminds us that ethical life is both practiced and lived, emerging from sustained, conscientious engagement with the needs, rights, and dignity of all beings, human and non-human alike.

References

1. Baier, A. (1995). "Moral Prejudices: Essays on Ethics". Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
2. Chatterjee, P. (2004). "Gandhi and the Ethics of Care". Journal of Global Ethics, 1(3), 225–238.
3. Engster, D. (2007). "The Heart of Justice: Care Ethics and Political Theory". Oxford: Oxford University Press.
4. Gandhi, M. K. (1927). "The Story of My Experiments with Truth". Ahmedabad: Navajivan Publishing House.
5. Gandhi, M. K. (1938). "Hind Swaraj or Indian Home Rule". Ahmedabad: Navajivan Publishing House.
6. Gandhi, M. K. (1947). "Constructive Program: Its Meaning and Place". Ahmedabad: Navajivan Publishing House.
7. Gilligan, C. (1982). "In a Different Voice: Psychological Theory and Women's Development". Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
8. Held, V. (2006). "The Ethics of Care: Personal, Political, and Global". New York: Oxford University Press.
9. Noddings, N. (1984). "Caring: A Feminine Approach to Ethics and Moral Education". Berkeley: University of California Press.
10. Parekh, B. (1997). "Gandhi's Political Philosophy: A Critical Examination". Basingstoke: Macmillan.
11. Parel, A. J. (Ed.). (1997). "Gandhi: Political and Spiritual Writings". Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
12. Tronto, J. C. (1993). "Moral Boundaries: A Political Argument for an Ethic of Care". New York: Routledge.