International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

# Law as Liberation: The Legal and Cultural Renaissance of Transgender Communities in Contemporary India

**Ms. Lakshana Gupta[1], Prof. Niranjna Bhandari[2], Dr. Rekha Suman[3]**

[1]Research Scholar, Department Of Sociology And Social Work, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla
[2]Professor & Director, Centre For Comparative Religions And Civilizations, Central University Of Jammu
[3]Assistant Professor, Sociology, Hpuils Ava Lodge Shimla

**Abstract**

Transgender individuals in India have historically faced marginalization, invisibility, and institutional discrimination. Over the past decade, significant judicial decisions and legislative changes have not only acknowledged the rights of transgender individuals but have also been crucial in transforming public attitudes. The paper looks at how Indian legislation promotes transgender individual's cultural acceptance and social integration. Utilizing both doctrinal and analytical approaches, the study investigates legal advancements, public policies, court rulings, and reforms in education and institutions. The research contends that when law is paired with institutional commitment and social consciousness, it can serve as a powerful force for changing societal views and advancing equity. The paper also includes recent developments from 2020 to 2025; highlighting the trajectory of progress.

Starting with the historical portrayal of transgender characters in ancient Indian epics like the Mahabharata and Ramayana, the study looks at how characters like Shikhandi and Mohini's metamorphosis demonstrate a cultural acceptance of gender fluidity and third-gender identities (Devdutt Pattanaik, 2014). A pre-colonial respect and room for gender nonconformity is indicated by the existence of hijras and kinnars in temple customs, devotional rituals, and folklore in many different locales. However, the colonial imposition of heteronormative norms, most notably the Criminal Tribes Act of 1871 during British rule started to change the trajectory of respect and spiritual inclusion. The law entrenched the marginalization of entire communities, particularly hijras, by making them criminals and labeling them as "habitually criminal" (Hinchy, 2017). This historical shift offers crucial insight into the continuing challenges faced by transgender populations in India after independence.

This change in history provides context for comprehending the ongoing struggles of transgender populations in India following independence. Following that, the study looks at post-colonial legal frameworks, like the Indian Constitution, with a focus on transgender people's fundamental rights; embodying the equality, non-discrimination, expressive freedom, and dignified living, as interpreted in Articles 14, 15, 19, and 21 of the Indian Constitution. In the historic NALSA vs Union of India (2014) decision (Dutta, n.d.), which is regarded as a turning point, the Supreme Court recognized transgender people's right to self-identify as their gender and mandated affirmative action from the State.

The paper also explores the change in the law after NALSA, 2020 saw the notification of the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019 and its supporting regulations.

Although transgender activists and civil society organizations have criticized these laws for problems like unclear reservation benefits, insufficient community consultation, and bureaucratic barriers to gender identification, they also represent a formal recognition of transgender people and their rights.

Analysing state-level models and policies, particularly the pioneering efforts of states such as Tamil Nadu and Kerala, enhances the research. The first state to establish a transgender welfare board and enact legislation pertaining to housing, education, and identity cards was Tamil Nadu. Meanwhile, Kerala's 2015 Transgender Policy is notable for its focus on healthcare accessibility, self-identification, and initiatives aimed at raising awareness. These illustrations serve as case studies for the best institutional inclusion practices.

The study also incorporates information from policy briefs, NGO publications, and government initiatives like as the Gender Inclusion Fund, which is part of the National Education Policy (NEP 2020) and intends to support gender-diverse students' fair education; recognizing that legal reforms alone are insufficient without broader sociocultural acceptance.

## Introduction

The term *transgender*, derived from the Latin prefix *trans-* meaning "across" or "beyond" and *gender*, a social construct denoting roles assigned by society, signifies an identity that transcends binary categorizations. In India, this term gained legal and socio-political weight following the landmark NALSA v. Union of India (2014) judgment, which recognized the right to self-identify one's gender. Yet, despite legal advances, transgender individuals continue to be viewed through stereotypical and stigmatizing lenses in everyday life. The societal imagination often reduces them to caricatures i.e., individuals begging at railway stations, dressed in bright clothing with exaggerated makeup, subjected to objectification or ridicule. Such depictions underscore a deep-rooted discomfort and ignorance toward gender diversity in mainstream Indian society.

For most people, the concerns of transgender individuals remain distant unless they are directly affected; as transgender persons themselves, as family members, or as institutional stakeholders. Although there is increasing visibility for hijras, they are frequently referenced in discussions as slurs or used in jokes, such as calling someone "chakka" to challenge their masculinity or emotional resilience, which perpetuates patriarchal and heteronormative beliefs. In contrast, Indian spiritual traditions, especially in mythology, provide a more fluid perspective on gender. Many scriptures suggest that the *ātman* (soul) is genderless, with gender emerging only at the bodily or social level. This metaphysical perspective contrasts sharply with the materialistic and binary frameworks that govern modern legal and societal structures.

The transgender community in India known by various names such as *Hijra*, *Jogappa*, and *Aravani* has existed for centuries. Historically, hijras were woven into temple cultures, court ceremonies, and spiritual stories. However, colonial laws like the Criminal Tribes Act of 1871 criminalized them, leading to their marginalization and limiting their access to education, employment, healthcare, and legal recognition.

Recently, a noteworthy change has occurred. The 21st century, particularly following the NALSA judgment, heralded a legal and cultural revival. Indian law, which was previously a means of exclusion, is now evolving into an instrument of empowerment and inclusion. This research paper critically examines this changing role of law, not just as a tool for regulation or punishment, but as a transformative entity capable of reshaping societal norms, fostering inclusivity, and restoring dignity.

By mapping the progression of transgender rights from ancient texts to colonial oppression and subsequently to contemporary legal reforms, the paper scrutinizes how Indian law is playing an active role

in building a more just society. It looks into how court rulings, policy measures, and state-level actions, in conjunction with grassroots movements, are promoting both legal recognition and cultural acceptance for transgender communities.

**Review of Literature:**

Transgender individuals in India continue to encounter obstacles to economic, social, and political inclusion. Many suffer daily discrimination, with limited access to school, jobs, and healthcare. In recent years, the government has responded by enacting a number of laws and policies. This evaluation examines the development of these programs and evaluates their actual effects on transgender people's lives.

Enacted in 1871, the British-imposed Criminal Tribes Act demonstrated significant unease with indigenous manifestations of gender. Communities such as the Hijras were labelled criminals, exposed to public humiliation, watched over, and had their customary social roles dismantled. In addition to depriving people of their livelihood and dignity, these colonial measures established a stigma that still permeates transgender lives in India today (Mishra, 2023; Hinchy, 2014).

Despite the significant legal milestone of decriminalizing homosexuality, LGBTQ+ people in India are nevertheless frequently marginalized. As Lovepreet Kaur (2024) notes, legislative advancements by themselves haven't eliminated ingrained stigma, gaps in healthcare, or cultural resistance. This observation aligns with the central argument of this study, which views law not just as an instrument of regulation, but as a potential catalyst for liberation when combined with institutional commitment and cultural transformation

The Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019 was a landmark moment, offering legal recognition of self-identified gender and moving away from medicalized definitions. While it marked real progress, its impact depends on how well it's implemented; and whether society is ready to confront deep-rooted biases. As Rasal and Dole (2024) observe, social acceptance remains limited, and cultural change continues to lag behind the progress made in legal frameworks.

Even with legal acknowledgment, prejudice against LGBTQ+ people is still pervasive in India. According to Ashitha Mary Christopher and Unni Krishnan Karikkat (2023), transgender individuals are frequently left out of employment procedures, and many businesses continue to be inhospitable. Diversity policies when they do exist, are frequently more symbolic than helpful. Access to gender-affirming treatment and mental health treatments is still restricted in the healthcare industry as well. Legal reform is a necessary step as the present research is arguing; true inclusion requires broader cultural and institutional shifts.

The Indian legal system provides transgender individuals with both acceptance and opposition. Although the right to self-identify was upheld by the 2014 NALSA ruling, its potential has been diminished by sluggish and frequently retrograde legislative responses. This reflects a pattern of neoliberal governance, as noted by Kumar (2021), in which rights are recognized in theory but undermined by little support in practice. It is essential to realize that in order for the law to actually serve as a transformative instrument for gender identities that are marginalized, it must be accompanied by active community engagement and structural change beyond the legal text.

Gayatri Reddy's (2010) ethnographic work on hijra communities in South India highlights that their identities are rooted deeply in culture and memory; not just in legal recognition. Through spiritual roles, rituals, and kinship ties, hijras build lives that challenge rigid ideas of gender. Their experiences show that legal categories often miss the complexity of real lives. This aligns with the present study's view: legal

reforms are important, but real change also needs shifts in institutional behaviour, cultural understanding, and how communities themselves make sense of gender diversity.

**Research Objectives**

1. To analyze key legal reforms and court judgments concerning transgender rights in India.
2. To assess the socio-cultural impact of these legal developments on public perception and institutional behavior.
3. To evaluate contemporary government initiatives for transgender inclusion.
4. To understand how law can be used as a transformative tool for marginalized gender identities.

**Research Methodology**

This study uses a doctrinal and qualitative approach and is based on secondary data. It seeks to comprehend how Indian laws have impacted cultural acceptance and promoted transgender inclusion.

**Nature of the Study**

The study is qualitative in character. It emphasizes on examining legal documents, policies, reports, and scholarly papers rather than using statistics or surveys. The study is thematic, meaning it identifies and examines important themes like social transformation, legal acknowledgment, prejudice, and inclusion.

**Sources of Data**

All the data used in this research comes from secondary sources, which include:

- **Constitutional Provisions**: Articles 14, 15, 19, and 21.
- **Court Judgments**:
  - *NALSA v. Union of India* (2014) – recognition of the third gender.
  - *Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India* (2018) – decriminalization of homosexuality.
  - *Justice K.S. Puttaswamy v. Union of India* (2017) – right to privacy and dignity.
- **Laws and Policies**: The Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019 and its Rules, 2020.
- **Government Reports**: Published by the National Human Rights Commission and the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment.
- **Academic Sources**: Books and journal articles by scholars like Gayatri Reddy, Hinchy, Nanda, and others.

**Method of Analysis**

The data has been studied using a thematic analysis. This means the researcher grouped information into important themes such as identity, rights, discrimination, policies, and social change; interpreted them critically. The goal is to understand how legal changes are connected with the broader fight for dignity and equality.

**Methodology and Theoretical Framework**

| | **Description** | **Purpose** |
|---|---|---|
| **Doctrinal Legal** | Examination of constitutional provisions, landmark judgments (e.g., | To understand the legal framework governing transgender |

| | Description | Purpose |
|---|---|---|
| **Research** | *NALSA v. Union of India*), statutory laws, and national/state policies. | rights and assess its evolution and impact. |
| **Historical Method** | Analysis of cultural and legal developments from ancient India (e.g., *Mahabharata*, *Ramayana*) through the colonial and post-colonial eras. | To trace the socio-cultural construction and regulation of transgender identities over time. |
| **Discourse Analysis** | Study of language, representation, and narratives in media, policy documents, and NGO reports concerning transgender persons. | To uncover how societal attitudes and institutional discourse shape transgender inclusion and exclusion. |
| **Case Study Method** | Focus on regional models such as Tamil Nadu and Kerala that have implemented progressive transgender welfare policies. | To identify effective localized interventions and assess policy outcomes. |
| **Narrative Inquiry** | Use of secondary sources such as life stories, interviews, and ethnographic studies of transgender individuals in India. | To center the voices and lived experiences of transgender persons in legal and cultural contexts. |
| **Queer Theory** | Challenges heteronormative assumptions and binary gender models; emphasizes fluidity, resistance, and identity construction. | To critique normative legal structures and explore alternative gender possibilities. |
| **Legal Realism** | Focuses on how laws function in practice rather than in theory; examines social consequences of legal rulings and policies. | To evaluate the implementation gap between legal recognition and lived realities of transgender people. |

**Findings and Discussions:**

**1. Socio-Legal Concerns: Interrogating Equality in the Indian Constitutional Framework**

Justice, equality, and dignity are the cornerstones of India's constitutional democracy. The "golden triangle" of the Constitution emphasizes these fundamental ideas. The rights to life and personal liberty, freedom of expression and movement, and equality before the law are all guaranteed by Articles 14, 19, and 21 taken together. Articles 15 and 16 further uphold these rights by offering a constitutional basis for non-discrimination in public services, employment, and education.

However, despite these robust constitutional guarantees, the lived experiences of transgender individuals in India reflect a stark disjunction between de jure rights and de facto realities. Approximately 60,000 transgender people participated in a National Institute of Epidemiology survey that revealed law enforcement is the main source of violence against this group (International Commission of Jurists, 2017). The systematic inadequacy of providing sufficient safety in custodial settings was brought to light by the

disturbing example of a transgender woman who endured continuous sexual and psychological abuse while incarcerated among 2,000 male convicts.

Nevertheless, India has witnessed incremental yet significant judicial and legislative advancements that aim to secure the rights of transgender individuals. The **landmark NALSA v. Union of India (2014)** judgment was a turning point (Nair, 2023), wherein the Supreme Court recognized transgender persons as a 'third gender', affirming their rights under Articles 14, 15, 19, and 21. This judgment not only acknowledged the right to self-identify gender, but also mandated affirmative action by the State.

The progression continued with the **Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India (2018)** verdict, wherein the apex court decriminalized consensual same-sex relations by reading down Section 377 of the IPC, affirming the fundamental rights of LGBTQ+ individuals.

Subsequently, the **KS Puttaswamy v. Union of India (2018)** decision further expanded the constitutional right to privacy, explicitly connecting it to bodily autonomy and gender identity, thereby bolstering protections for transgender persons.

In order to bring Indian law into compliance with international human rights standards, specifically those delineated by the UN Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (2015), the Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019 was enacted (International Commission of Jurists, 2017).

A recent judicial reflection on these themes is evident in the **Supriyo @ Supriya Chakraborty v. Union of India (2023)** case concerning same-sex marriage. The Chief Justice's comments effectively emphasized that discrimination based on sexual orientation is unconstitutional and that transgender people must be shielded from prejudice and exclusion, even though the Supreme Court declined to grant marital rights to queer couples, citing the legislative domain.

These developments signal a paradigm shift in the legal recognition of transgender individuals. However, structural barriers, societal stigma, and inconsistent enforcement of rights continue to hamper substantive equality.

**2. Legal Provisions and Recognition: Emerging Frameworks for Inclusion**

India's evolving statutory landscape demonstrates an emerging commitment to transgender inclusion and protection:

**a. Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023**

The term "gender" is redefined in Section 2(10) to specifically encompass transgender individuals. In order to embrace gender-neutral legal language, the pronoun "he" and its derivatives are now considered inclusive of all genders, including male, female, and transgender. The concept of "transgender person" in Section 2(k) of the 2019 Act is used throughout the act. This description includes intersex people, genderqueer identities, trans men, trans women, and those with sociocultural identities including *kinner, hijra, aravani,* and *jogta*.

**b. The Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019**

Key provisions include:

- **Section 3** prohibits discrimination in education, employment, healthcare, access to public spaces, property rights, and political participation.
- **Section 4** guarantees the right to self-perceived gender identity.
- **Section 8** imposes obligations on appropriate governments to ensure the full and effective participation of transgender persons, framing welfare schemes, rehabilitation efforts, and inclusion in cultural and recreational activities.

This Act signifies a codified acknowledgment of the multi-dimensional discrimination faced by transgen-

der individuals and reflects a legal intent to correct structural inequities.

**c. Constitutional Provisions Supporting Inclusion**

- **Article 14**: Guarantees equality before law and equal protection of laws.
- **Article 15**: Prohibits discrimination on the grounds of sex, among others.
- **Article 16**: Ensures equality of opportunity in public employment.
- **Article 19(1)**: Guarantees freedoms of speech, association, and movement.
- **Article 21**: Provides the right to life and personal liberty.

The Supreme Court, through the **NALSA judgment**, integrated these provisions to affirm that transgender persons are entitled to constitutional protection and dignity.

**3. Judicial Milestones in Transgender Jurisprudence**

The legal environment for transgender rights has changed significantly thanks in large part to the judiciary:

- **NALSA v. Union of India (2014)**: Acknowledged transgender people as belonging to the *third gender* and protected their rights under Articles 14, 15, 19, and 21 of the Constitution.
- **Mx Alia Sk v. State of West Bengal**: The Calcutta High Court held that transgender persons have the right to access higher education, affirming institutional accountability.
- **Mx Sumana Pramanik v. Union of India**: The court emphasized the necessity of reservation, age relaxation, and fee concessions for transgender candidates in competitive exams.
- **Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India (2018)**: Asserted the constitutional equality of LGBTQ+ persons, striking down regressive criminal provisions.
- **Karan Tripathi v. NCRB**: The Delhi High Court directed that the Prison Statistics India (PSI) reports must include transgender persons in their gender classification, advancing data justice and representation.

**3. Legislative Reforms: Establishing Legal Protections and Recognition**

India's legislative commitment to the rights of transgender persons took a significant step forward with the enactment of the:

**THE TRANSGENDER PERSONS (PROTECTION OF RIGHTS) ACT, 2019**

It was passed by the Indian Parliament in order to protect transgender people's rights and dignity. The Act forbids discrimination against transgender people in public service access, employment, healthcare, housing, and education. It allows the District Magistrate to issue a Certificate of Identity and gives the right to self-perceived gender identity. The Act also makes it illegal to abuse or exploit transgender people and requires the government to take action in the areas of rehabilitation, social programs, and awareness campaigns.

**TRANSGENDER PERSONS (PROTECTION OF RIGHTS) RULES, 2020**

On September 29, 2020, the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment notified it to put the 2019 Act's provisions into effect. These Rules outline the process for self-identification and the issuance of identity certificates without requiring medical or physical examination. They also mandate the appointment of Grievance Officers in every establishment to address complaints and ensure non-discriminatory practices. The Rules promote access to welfare schemes, establishment of inclusive facilities, and conduct of sensitization and awareness programs across institutions.

This framework reflects a progressive shift from pathologizing transgender identities to a rights-based approach rooted in dignity and self-determination.

**4. Educational and Institutional Reforms: Toward Inclusive Learning Environments**

India's National Education Policy (NEP), 2020 established a Gender Inclusion Fund (GIF) to guarantee girls and transgender children fair access to high-quality education, in keeping with the larger goal of societal inclusion. The policy calls for an education system that is inclusive of gender-diverse identities and experiences, with special emphasis on:

- **Recognition of Gender Identity:** NEP 2020 urges schools to acknowledge and reflect transgender students' self-identified names and gender pronouns on institutional documents such as school ID cards, promoting respect and affirmation.
- **Gender-Neutral Facilities:** Schools are encouraged to create inclusive physical spaces, such as gender-neutral toilets and safe classrooms, to support the comfort and dignity of transgender learners.
- **Transgender Welfare Cells:** Transgender Welfare Cells must be established in universities and other higher education institutions, according to rules given by the University Grants Commission (UGC). These bodies aim to ensure anti-discrimination policies and provide institutional support mechanisms.
- **Teacher Training Programs:** Under NEP 2020, capacity-building modules for educators have been introduced to foster transgender-sensitive pedagogy. These training programs emphasize understanding transgender identities, addressing implicit biases, and creating inclusive classroom environments that nurture all students, regardless of their gender identity.

These interventions mark an important transformation of the educational space as a site of both inclusion and resistance, where institutional policies can actively counteract social stigma.

**5. Recent Developments (2020–2025): From Legal Recognition to Implementation**

Several policy advancements and socio-legal shifts between 2020 and 2025 have furthered the cause of transgender inclusion:

- **Supreme Court Directives During COVID-19:** Acknowledging the vulnerability of transgender persons during the pandemic, the Supreme Court issued directions to ensure equitable access to vaccination, relief packages, and ration distribution, reaffirming the State's obligation to protect marginalized communities during crises.
- **National Council for Transgender Persons (2020):** This statutory advisory body, constituted under the 2019 Act, has been instrumental in monitoring the implementation of welfare schemes, advising on policy, and evaluating institutional compliance with transgender rights.
- **State-Level Innovations:** Progressive states such as Kerala, Tamil Nadu, and Karnataka have established Transgender Welfare Boards and introduced schemes related to housing, skill development, monthly pensions, and healthcare access. In Delhi and Maharashtra, targeted initiatives have emerged for inclusive employment practices and health services.
- **Affirmative Action in Public Representation:** The period also witnessed notable strides in transgender political and civic participation. Transgender individuals were recruited into state police forces in Tamil Nadu and Chhattisgarh, and some have contested local elections, marking a symbolic and material entry into domains historically denied to them.

These developments highlight a gradual yet important shift in the legal and institutional terrain from symbolic recognition to participatory inclusion, with both central and state governments taking steps toward addressing structural inequalities.

**Conclusion: Mapping the Trajectory from Marginalization to Recognition**

In India, the narrative of transgender individuals is defined by a legacy of historical respect, colonial marginalization, and a current quest for acknowledgment. The foundation for legal identity and rights protection was laid by legislative developments such as the **Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019** and the **NALSA v. Union of India (2014)** judgment. Landmark rulings like **Navtej Singh Johar (2018)** and **Supriyo (2023)** further expanded rights related to autonomy, dignity, and love.

Progressive policies in states like Tamil Nadu and Kerala, along with national measures such as the **Gender Inclusion Fund (NEP 2020)** and UGC's welfare initiatives, signal growing institutional commitment. But there is still a significant disconnect between lived experiences and legal acknowledgment.

The present paper underscores that law, when coupled with institutional reform and cultural change, can be a tool of liberation. This renaissance, however, is far from finished. Only until the pledges made in courts and legislatures are mirrored in real-world situations; when transgender people can enter homes, businesses, hospitals, and schools without fear or prejudice. Then and only then, will there be genuine progress. In order for everyone, regardless of gender identity, to live a life of respect and opportunity, the way forward necessitates greater empathy, increased accountability, and persistent action.

**Ethical Consideration**

This study does not involve fieldwork or personal interviews. Since all data is from published sources, there are no risks to individuals. However, the research takes care to treat the transgender community with respect and avoids harmful stereotypes or insensitive language.

**Plagiarism and Originality**

The paper is written in the researcher's own words, using authentic references from reliable sources. All material is properly cited to avoid plagiarism. This ensures the content is suitable for publication in a UGC CARE-listed journal and meets academic standards.

**Bibliography:**

1. Ashitha Mary Christopher, & Unni Krishnan Karikkat. (2023). From colonial violence to decriminalisation and recognition: An interdisciplinary appraisal of perspectives on Indian LGBTQ+ community's encounter with law. *Journal for Cultural Research*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/14797585.2023.2194552
2. Devdutt Pattanaik. (2014). *Shikhandi*. Penguin UK.
3. Dr. Poornima R. (2022). Through the Cracks of the Gendered World: A Critical Analysis of Kerala's Transgender Policy. *Journal of Polity and Society*, *14*(2). https://journalspoliticalscience.com/index.php/i/article/view/175
4. Dutta, A. (n.d.). *Contradictory Tendencies: The Supreme Court's NALSA Judgment on Transgender Recognition and Rights*. https://docs.manupatra.in/newsline/articles/Upload/FC172F97-B266-4AA2-8739-0BDB7E2D966C.pdf
5. Gupta, L. NATIONAL EDUCATION POLICY (NEP) 2020 AND THE PATH TO EQUITABLE EDUCATION FOR GENDER-DIVERSE STUDENTS. *SOCIAL ISSUES AND PROBLEMS*, 79.
6. Hinchy, J. (2014). Obscenity, Moral Contagion and Masculinity:Hijrasin Public Space in Colonial North India. *Asian Studies Review*, *38*(2), 274–294.

7. Hinchy, J. (2017). The eunuch archive: Colonial records of non-normative gender and sexuality in India. *Culture, Theory and Critique*, *58*(2), 127–146. https://doi.org/10.1080/14735784.2017.1279555
8. International Commission of Jurists . (2017). "Unnatural Offences" Obstacles to Justice in India Based on Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity. https://www.icj.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/India-SOGI-report-Publications-Reports-Thematic-report-2017-ENG.pdf
9. Kaur, L. (2024). Exploring LGBTQ+ equality in India: A comprehensive examination from anthropological and legal perspectives. *Sexuality, Gender & Policy*. https://doi.org/10.1002/sgp2.12092
10. Kumar, P. (2021). Struggle for Substantive Justice and Community Development: Transgender Subjects in Contemporary India. *ASEAN Journal of Community Engagement*, *5*(2). https://doi.org/10.7454/ajce.v5i2.1143
11. Mishra, V. (2023). *Transgenders in India: An Introduction (1st ed.). Routledge India*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003387640
12. Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf
13. Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment. (2020). The Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Rules, 2020. https://socialjustice.gov.in
14. Nair, P. L. (2023). TRANSGENDER PROTECTION ACT - ANALYSIS ON LACUNA IN IT. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, *4*(2). https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v4.i2.2023.2961
15. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. (2020–2023). Press releases on transgender welfare. https://pib.gov.in
16. Pratibha Amrut Rasal, & Dole, V. S. (2024). GOVERNMENT MEASURES FOR CULTIVATING EMPOWERMENT IN THE TRANSGENDER COMMUNITY IN INDIA: AN OVERVIEW. *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, *5*(3), 1394–1400. https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i3.2024.4574
17. Reddy, G. (2010). *With Respect to Sex : Negotiating Hijra Identity in South India*. University of Chicago Press.
18. The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Act No. 45 of 2023). https://legislative.gov.in
19. The Constitution of India (1950), Articles 14, 15, 16, 19, and 21.
20. The Hindu. (2021, May). SC directs states to ensure COVID-19 relief for transgender persons.
21. The Transgender Persons (Protection of Rights) Act, 2019, No. 40, Acts of Parliament, 2019. https://legislative.gov.in/sites/default/files/A2019-40.pdf
22. University Grants Commission. (2021). Guidelines for the inclusion of transgender persons in higher education institutions. https://www.ugc.gov.in

**Legal Citations (Case Law):**

23. Karan Tripathi v. National Crime Records Bureau & Ors., MANU/DE/3663/2020 (India).
24. KS Puttaswamy v. Union of India, AIR 2018 SC (Supp) 1841 (India).
25. Mx. Alia SK v. State of West Bengal & Ors., MANU/WB/3264/2019 (India).
26. Mx. Sumana Pramanik v. Union of India & Ors., MANU/WB/0060/2021 (India).
27. National Legal Services Authority v. Union of India, AIR 2014 SC 1863 (India).
28. National Legal Services Authority v. Union of India, (2014) 5 SCC 438 (India).
29. Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India, AIR 2018 SC 4321 (India).

30. Supriyo @ Supriya Chakraborty & Anr. v. Union of India, 2023 INSC 920 (India).