Quality Teaching and Learning Practices in 21st-Century Classrooms: Key Dimensions and Strategies

Pravati Swain[1], Dr. Ashok Dansanna[2]

[1]Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Education, Ravenshaw University, Cuttack, Odisha.
[2]Faculty, NCERT, New Delhi

Abstract
Education in the 21st century necessitates a shift from traditional instructional approaches to high-quality teaching and learning practices that adapt to rapid technological, social, and economic changes. This paper examines the key dimensions and strategies essential for enhancing teaching and learning in modern classrooms. It highlights learner-centred pedagogy, integration of technology, inclusive and equitable practices, development of higher-order thinking skills, flexible assessment methods, and continuous professional development of teachers as crucial components of quality education. The study also emphasizes the developing role of teachers as facilitators and reflective practitioners who guide learners in connecting classroom knowledge with real-life contexts. Additionally, it identifies key challenges affecting implementation, including teacher readiness, learner diversity, rigid curricula, infrastructural limitations, and policy constraints. By synthesizing these dimensions, strategies, and challenges, the paper offers treasured insights for educators and policymakers seeking to boost classroom practices and foster sustainable, inclusive, and effective learning in 21st-century educational settings.

Keywords: Teaching-Learning Practices, 21st-Century Classrooms, Quality Education, Key Dimensions, Sustainable Development

Introduction
The education system of the 21st century places strong emphasis on the development of the *4Cs*: critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, and communication. Contemporary educational demands require learners to acquire skills that enable them to adapt effectively to rapid economic, social, and technological changes. This evolving context highlights the need to transform traditional teaching and learning practices in order to create engaging, meaningful, and inclusive learning environments that prepare learners to succeed in a rapidly changing worldZalsabila et al., 2025; Herlinawati et al., 2024; Sukirwan et al., 2024). Formal classroom settings carry a significant responsibility in promoting equitable and inclusive practices that recognize and celebrate learner diversity in terms of culture, language, beliefs, and learning abilities. At the same time, classrooms must address learners' needs by preparing them to become autonomous, scientifically literate, decision-making, and socially responsible citizens who can contribute to a sustainable present and future (O'Leary et al., 2020; Giera, 2025; Rončević & Rieckmann, 2025).
Quality teaching and learning practices in 21st-century classrooms are characterized by learner-centred, engaging, flexible, and inclusive instructional approaches that respond to the diverse needs of students.

Within this framework, the role of the teacher shifts from that of a sole authority to a facilitator and guide who connects classroom learning with real-world contexts. Learners, in turn, move from being passive recipients of information to active and self-directed participants who construct knowledge by relating theoretical concepts to real-life situations and challenges (Keiler, 2018; Teachers Institute, 2023). Teaching and learning practices encompass multiple interconnected dimensions, including classroom management, instructional strategies, assessment and feedback mechanisms, follow-up practices, and the application of knowledge beyond the classroom (Herlinawati et al., 2024; Sukirwan et al., 2024; Zalsabila et al., 2025; Giera, 2025; Rončević & Rieckmann, 2025).

Although extensive literature emphasizes the importance of quality teaching and learning practices, much of the existing work remains largely policy-oriented, focusing on prescribed frameworks and recommended approaches. Comparatively limited attention has been given to the practical challenges faced during implementation and the factors that hinder effective execution in classroom contexts. Therefore, this paper aims to examine empirical and context-specific dimensions and strategies that support quality classroom teaching and learning practices, driven collaboratively by both teachers and learners. It seeks to provide valuable insights for key educational stakeholders by identifying essential dimensions for quality enhancement, exploring implementation challenges, and highlighting effective strategies for achieving meaningful and engaging learning outcomes. Ultimately, this study aims to contribute to the existing body of knowledge by providing a comprehensive understanding of the reforms necessary to strengthen teaching and learning practices in 21st-century classrooms.

21st-Century Classrooms Shifts and Their Demands

With the advancement of science and technology, classrooms are evolving to meet the changing needs and expectations of society. Traditional classroom practices focused solely on local contexts are no longer sufficient. In today's interconnected, technology-driven world, learners must acquire practical skills and competencies to thrive. Digital literacy, global citizenship, critical thinking, and creativity are among the essential skills that teachers need to nurture in students (Farias-Gaytán et al., 2023; Mena-Guacas et al., 2025; Dilekçi & Karatay, 2023).

The major shifts in classroom teaching and learning practices to meet 21st-century demands are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1

Domain	Existing classroom teaching and learning practices	The 21st century demanded ones
Curriculum & Content Integration	Separate practices for separate subjects	Interrelated practices for interdisciplinary subjects
Pedagogy / Learning Approach	Learning is mostly dependent on teachers	Learner autonomy to foster self-learning and peer learning
Assessment & Learning Context	Textbook-centric assignments and projects are, same for all students	Real-world, individualized or group projects
Instructional Design	Instructional practices are mainly content-focused	Instructional practices process-focused

Teacher Role	The teacher is the primary knowledge provider	Teacher as facilitator and guide
Use of Technology	Minimal use of technology	Extensive use of technology to optimize learning outcomes
Skill Development	Focus mainly on LSRW skills (Listening, Speaking, Reading, Writing)	Focus on critical thinking, creativity, problem-solving, and other higher-order skills
Curriculum Design	Rigid, subject-centric curricular practices	Flexible, interdisciplinary curricular practices
Assessment & Feedback	Exam-centric feedback	Continuous, 360-degree, flexible feedback
Learner Support	Uniform pace for all learners	Individualized, pace-based teaching and learning

Key Dimensions of Quality Teaching and Learning Practices

To meet the demands of contemporary classrooms, the quality of teaching and learning practices must undergo meaningful transformation. This transformation involves several key dimensions that support effective, inclusive, and future-ready education.

1. **Learner-centred pedagogical practices:** Learner-centred pedagogy shifts the focus of instruction from teachers to learners by considering students' needs, interests, abilities, and limitations. Such practices encourage active participation, promote independence, and support personalized learning experiences(Bremner, Sakata, & Cameron, 2022; Morris, Bremner, & Sakata, 2025; Bhardwaj, Zhang, Tan, & Pandey, 2025; Armbruster, Patel, Johnson, & Weiss, 2017).
2. **Technology-integrated approaches:** Moving beyond traditional one-way teaching methods, the integration of technology enhances teaching and learning outcomes by making learning more interactive, engaging, and meaningful. Digital tools help reduce abstract conceptualisation and support experiential and collaborative learning (Bishop & Verleger, 2013; Kerimbayev et al., 2023).
3. **Fostering higher-order thinking skills:** Rather than emphasizing rote memorisation and passive knowledge acquisition, quality teaching promotes higher-order thinking skills aligned with the upper levels of Bloom's Taxonomy, such as application, analysis, synthesis, and creation. These skills nurture essential 21st-century competencies, including critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration (Anderson & Krathwohl, 2001; Facione, 2015).
4. **Engaging and active teaching-learningstrategies:** Active learning strategies reposition learners from passive recipients of information to active participants in the learning process. Students are involved in planning, implementation, and assessment activities, including self-assessment and peer assessment, thereby sharing responsibility with teachers for effective teaching and learning (Prince, 2004; Armbruster, Patel, Johnson, & Weiss, 2017).
5. **Real-world relevant theories and practices:** Effective teaching and learning occur when theoretical concepts are connected to real-world contexts. When learners can apply their knowledge and skills to everyday situations, learning becomes meaningful, practical, and more easily retained (Kolb, 1984; Biggs & Tang, 2011).
6. **Inclusive and equitable practices:** Classrooms are inherently diverse, encompassing learners from varied socio-economic backgrounds, cultures, genders, languages, abilities, and limitations. The

success of teaching and learning depends on the use of differentiated instructional strategies, culturally responsive learning materials, and supportive classroom environments that ensure equity and inclusion (Lin, Mullick, & Campbell, 2026; Alhassan, Christensen, Solheim, & Mellemsether, 2025; Berber, Langelaan, Gaikhorst, Smets, & Oostdam, 2024).

7. **Fostering the professional development of teachers:** To prepare learners for a rapidly changing world, teachers must engage in continuous professional development. This includes ongoing training, peer collaboration, reflective practice, and staying informed about recent innovations and research in both content knowledge and pedagogy(Ahmad, Sewani, & Channa, 2025; Sturko & Gregson, 2009; Vadivel, Namaziandost, & Saeedian, 2021)
8. **Autonomous and lifelong teaching and learning practices:** Autonomy and self-directed learning are essential for both teachers and learners in today's fast-evolving knowledge society. Learners should develop critical thinking and creativity to take responsibility for their own learning, while teachers must model lifelong learning through continuous professional growth (Kolb, 1984; Morris, Bremner, & Sakata, 2025; Vadivel, Namaziandost, & Saeedian, 2021).
9. **Individual and flexible assessment practices for improvement:** Assessment practices should move away from uniform approaches toward flexible and individualized methods that recognize learners' diverse abilities and needs. Such assessments, supported by timely and constructive feedback, serve as scaffolding tools that guide individual growth and continuous improvement (Black & Wiliam, 2009; Nicol & Macfarlane-Dick, 2006).

Instructional Strategies for 21st-Century Classrooms

The strategies aligned to each dimension mentioned above for creating an inclusive learning environment have been mentioned in Table 2

Table-2

Key Dimensions	Aligned instructional strategies to be followed
I.Learner-Centred Pedagogical Practices	• Inquiry-based learning activities (Morris, Bremner, & Sakata, 2025) • Differentiated instructional strategies (Berber, Langelaan, Gaikhorst, Smets, & Oostdam, 2024) • Choice-based assignment and projects (Bhardwaj, Zhang, Tan, & Pandey, 2025) • Personalized learning opportunities (Bremner, Sakata, & Cameron, 2022) • Reflective activities (Kolb, 1984; Sturko & Gregson, 2009)
I.Technology-Integrated Approaches	• Blended and flipped classroom practices (Bhardwaj, Zhang, Tan, & Pandey, 2025)

	• Use of Learning Management Systems (LMS)(Vadivel, Namaziandost, & Saeedian, 2021) • Digital simulations and virtual labs (Kolb, 1984; Morris, Bremner, & Sakata, 2025) • Online collaboration tools (forums, shared documents) (Sturko & Gregson, 2009) • AI-supported learning tools (Vadivel, Namaziandost, & Saeedian, 2021)
I.Fostering Higher-Order Thinking Skills	• Contextualized Problem-based learning (Bremner, Sakata, & Cameron, 2022) • Case-study method of learning (Bhardwaj, Zhang, Tan, & Pandey, 2025) • Methods of Socratic questioning practices (Morris, Bremner, & Sakata, 2025) • Project-based assignments and learning activities (Kolb, 1984) • Application of Bloom's higher-order levels (analyze, evaluate, create) (Vadivel, Namaziandost, & Saeedian, 2021)
V.Engaging and Active Teaching-Learning Strategies	• Experiential learning activities (Kolb, 1984) • Cooperative and collaborative learning opportunities (Bremner, Sakata, & Cameron, 2022) • T-L through role play, debates, and simulations (Bhardwaj, Zhang, Tan, & Pandey, 2025) • Implementation of gamification and game-based learning (Morris, Bremner, & Sakata, 2025) • Hands-on activities for better learning (Sturko & Gregson, 2009)
V.Real-World Relevant Theories and Practices	• Experiential and contextual learning (Kolb, 1984) • Local and Community-based projects (Bremner, Sakata, & Cameron, 2022)

	• Industry or field-based learning (Bhardwaj, Zhang, Tan, & Pandey, 2025) • Interdisciplinary T-L practice (Morris, Bremner, & Sakata, 2025) • Use of real-life case studies (Sturko & Gregson, 2009)
I.Inclusive and Equitable Practices	• Use of Universal Design for Learning (UDL) Adopting Multisensory teaching methods (Lin, Mullick, & Campbell, 2026). • Use of culturally responsive pedagogy (Alhassan, Christensen, Solheim, & Mellemsether, 2025) • Use of Assistive technologies (Bremner, Sakata, & Cameron, 2022) • Flexible grouping and scaffolding techniques(Berber, Langelaan, Gaikhorst, Smets, & Oostdam, 2024)
I.Fostering the Professional Development of Teachers	• Opportunities for Continuous professional development (CPD) programs((Vadivel, Namaziandost, & Saeedian, 2021; Ahmad, Sewani, & Channa, 2025) • Peer mentoring and collaborative teaching (Ahmad, Sewani, & Channa, 2025) • Reflective teaching practices (Sturko & Gregson, 2009) • Practicing Action research methods (Bremner, Sakata, & Cameron, 2022) • Becoming active participants in Professional learning communities (PLCs) (Vadivel, Namaziandost, & Saeedian, 2021)
I.Autonomous and Lifelong Teaching-Learning Practices	• Provision of self-directed learning activities (Morris, Bremner, & Sakata, 2025) • Individual Goal-setting and self-monitoring activities (Vadivel, Namaziandost, & Saeedian, 2021) • Enhancing metacognitive activities (Kolb, 1984)

	• Practice of maintaining regular reflective journals and portfolios (Sturko & Gregson, 2009) • Regular use of Open educational resources (OER)(Bhardwaj, Zhang, Tan, & Pandey, 2025)
.Individual and Flexible Assessment Practices for Improvement	• Formative and diagnostic assessments(Vadivel, Namaziandost, & Saeedian, 2021) • Portfolio-based assessment (Kolb, 1984) • Performance-based assessment (Bremner, Sakata, & Cameron, 2022) • Peer and self-assessment (Morris, Bremner, & Sakata, 2025) • Continuous feedback mechanisms (Ahmad, Sewani, & Channa, 2025)

Role of Teachers in Ensuring Quality Practices

Curriculum and syllabus are continually evolving, and this process will continue in the future as it is a dynamic process. At the ground level, however, teachers are the true implementers of policy provisions and curricular practices in the classroom. Any educational goal or objective can only be achieved if teachers are capable and well-prepared. To accomplish this, teachers need to be equipped with digital and technological skills, student-friendly pedagogical methods, and a deep understanding of each learner's diverse abilities and limitations (Bremner, Sakata, & Cameron, 2022; Berber, Langelaan, Gaikhorst, Smets, & Oostdam, 2024). The readiness of teachers in both psychological and physical terms is crucial to implement these changes effectively. Lifelong learning is essential for teachers; they need to update their knowledge and skills continuously before applying new strategies in the classroom (Vadivel, Namaziandost, & Saeedian, 2021; Ahmad, Sewani, & Channa, 2025; Morris, Bremner, & Sakata, 2025).

Teachers' ability to act as scaffolders, supporting learners whenever they encounter difficulties in understanding concepts or exploring the world, indicates the critical role of teachers. As the closest stakeholders of learners, teachers possess firsthand knowledge of classroom environments, limitations, and challenges, positioning them as the real designers of effective teaching and learning practices (Bremner, Sakata, & Cameron, 2022; Berber, Langelaan, Gaikhorst, Smets, & Oostdam, 2024). Before introducing students to technology and modern tools, teachers themselves must be technologically competent. Interdisciplinary knowledge and innovative ideas should first be internalized by teachers before being passed on to 21st-century learners. Professional development programs are vital for teachers to become flexible assessors, provide constructive feedback from multiple perspectives, and continually refine their teaching approaches (Ahmad, Sewani, & Channa, 2025; Vadivel, Namaziandost, & Saeedian, 2021).

Furthermore, teachers need to be reflective practitioners, constantly evaluating and improving their methods. By employing various pedagogical strategies, teachers can also bridge the gap between the classroom and the community, ensuring that learning is meaningful, contextual, and holistic.

Probable Challenges and Limitations

The implementation of effective teaching-learning (T-L) practices is influenced by multiple challenges related to teachers, students, curriculum, infrastructure, and institutional policies.

1. **Teacher-Related Challenges:** Many teachers are accustomed to traditional teaching methodologies and may be reluctant to adopt innovative approaches. A rigid mindset and resistance to change can hinder professional growth. Additionally, gaps in required skills, limited time due to non-academic responsibilities, and pressure to complete the syllabus within a short time frame further constrain the effective adoption of new teaching-learning strategies(Ahmad, Sewani, & Channa, 2025; Berber, Langelaan, Gaikhorst, Smets, & Oostdam, 2024).
2. **Student-Related Challenges:** Students differ widely in terms of prior knowledge, learning styles, abilities, motivation levels, and access to educational resources. Such diversity makes it challenging to design and implement uniform teaching strategies. Lack of interest, limited exposure to learning tools, and unequal access to educational opportunities also affect active participation and learning outcomes(Bremner, Sakata, & Cameron, 2022; Morris, Bremner, & Sakata, 2025).
3. **Curriculum and Assessment Challenges:** Rigid curricular frameworks, predefined learning objectives to be achieved within fixed timelines, disciplinary boundaries, and an emphasis on standardized examinations often restrict the use of innovative and student-centered teaching-learning methods(Vadivel, Namaziandost, & Saeedian, 2021).
4. **Technological and Infrastructure Challenges:** Inadequate infrastructure, limited internet connectivity, outdated equipment, lack of proper maintenance of hardware and software, and technological barriers can impede effective implementation. Moreover, excessive reliance on digital tools may sometimes overshadow fundamental pedagogical objectives, reducing the overall quality of learning (Bhardwaj, Zhang, Tan, & Pandey, 2025).
5. **Management and Policy Constraints:** Large class sizes, limited instructional time, insufficient managerial and implementation skills, and ineffective policy execution pose significant challenges. Lack of coordination among stakeholders such as administrators, teachers, and policymakers further complicates the successful implementation of effective teaching-learning strategies (Ahmad, Sewani, & Channa, 2025; Berber et al., 2024).

Conclusion

The hunt for quality teaching and learning practices is vital to meeting the educational stresses of the 21st century. As classrooms become more diverse and knowledge societies increasingly complex, existing instructional approaches are no longer sufficient to arm learners with the skills required for upcoming challenges. This paper has established that quality teaching and learning are grounded in multiple interrelated dimensions, including learner-centred pedagogy, meaningful use of technology, inclusive classroom practices, development of higher-order thinking skills, and elastic assessment mechanisms.

The inferences highlight the crucial role of teachers as mediators of change who design engaging learning experiences, facilitate critical inquiry, and connect classroom learning with real-life contexts. Equally important is the need for learners to take active responsibility for their own learning through collaboration, reflection, and self-directed engagement. While innovative strategies and digital tools offer opportunities to enhance learning, their effective use depends on adequate infrastructure, supportive institutional leadership, and comprehensible policy frameworks.

The study also identifies several constraints that limit the effective employment of quality practices, such as resistance to pedagogical change, learner diversity, examination-oriented curricula, technological gaps, and administrative challenges. Addressing these issues requires collective efforts from teachers, institutions, and policymakers, along with continuous professional development and systemic support.

Reference

1. Ahmad, N., Sewani, R., & Channa, N. (2025). Teachers professional development and its effect on their innovative teaching strategies. Pakistan Social Sciences Review, 9(3), 141–156. https://doi.org/10.35484/pssr.2025(9-III)12
2. Alhassan, A. M., Christensen, M. H., Solheim, K., & Mellemsether, B. (2025). Empowering minority voices: How inclusive teaching and support foster multicultural learning. Frontiers in Education, 10, 1595106. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1595106
3. Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (Eds.). (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom’s taxonomy of educational objectives. Longman.
4. Armbruster, P., Patel, M., Johnson, E., & Weiss, M. (2017). Active learning and student-centered pedagogy improve student attitudes and performance in introductory biology. CBE—Life Sciences Education, 8(3), 203–213. https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.09-03-0025
5. Berber, N., Langelaan, L., Gaikhorst, L., Smets, W., & Oostdam, R. J. (2024). Differentiating instruction: Understanding the key elements for successful teacher preparation and development. Teaching and Teacher Education, 140, 104464. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2023.104464
6. Bhardwaj, V., Zhang, S., Tan, Y. Q., & Pandey, V. (2025). Redefining learning: Student-centered strategies for academic and personal growth. Frontiers in Education, 10, Article 1518602. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1518602
7. Biggs, J., & Tang, C. (2011). Teaching for quality learning at university. Open University Press
8. Bishop, J. L., & Verleger, M. A. (2013). The flipped classroom: A survey of the research. In ASEE National Conference Proceedings (pp. 1–18). https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--22585
9. Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2009). Developing the theory of formative assessment. Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability, 21(1), 5–31. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11092-008-9068-5
10. Bremner, N., Sakata, N., & Cameron, L. (2022). The outcomes of learner-centred pedagogy: A systematic review. International Journal of Educational Development, 94, 102649. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2022.102649
11. Dilekçi, T., & Karatay, H. (2023). The effects of the 21st century skills curriculum on the development of students’ creative thinking skills. Thinking Skills and Creativity, 47, 101229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101229
12. Facione, P. A. (2015). Critical thinking: What it is and why it counts. Insight Assessment. https://www.insightassessment.com/Resources/Teaching-For-Critical-Thinking
13. Farias-Gaytán, S., Aguaded, I., & Ramírez-Montoya, M. S. (2023). Digital transformation and digital literacy in the context of complexity within higher education institutions: A systematic literature review. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 10, 386. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-01875-9

14. Giera, W.-K. (2025). The inclusive learning community: Theoretical arguments and practical insights in five school projects. Sustainability, 17(17), 8016. https://doi.org/10.3390/su17178016
15. Herlinawati, H., Marwa, M., Ismail, N., Junaidi, L. O. L., & Situmorang, D. D. B. (2024). The integration of 21st century skills in the curriculum of education. Heliyon, 10(15), e35148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e35148
16. Keiler, L. S. (2018). Teachers' roles and identities in student-centered classrooms. International Journal of STEM Education, 5, 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-018-0131-6
17. Kerimbayev, N., Abdykova, A., Tamerlanova, A., & Yessirkepova, A. (2023). Student-centered virtual learning environments: A study of problem-based and personalized approaches. Education and Information Technologies, 28, 3055–3077. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11234-7
18. Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Prentice Hall. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/235701029_Experiential_Learning_Experience_As_The_Source_Of_Learning_And_Development
19. Lin, T., Mullick, J., & Campbell, M. (2026). Inclusive pedagogy in practice within the multi-tiered systems of support framework: A design-based research in a Chinese EFL classroom. Instructional Science, 54, 19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-025-09754-3
20. Mena-Guacas, A. F., López-Catalán, L., Bernal-Bravo, C., & Ballesteros-Regaña, C. (2025). Educational transformation through emerging technologies: Critical review of scientific impact on learning. Education Sciences, 15(3), 368. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15030368
21. Morris, T. H., Bremner, N., & Sakata, N. (2025). Self-directed learning and student-centred learning: A conceptual comparison. Pedagogy, Culture & Society, 33(3), 847–866. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2023.2282439
22. Nicol, D. J., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. Studies in Higher Education, 31(2), 199–218. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070600572090
23. O'Leary, E. S., Shapiro, C., Toma, S., O'Leary, E. S., & Venkatesh, M. (2020). Creating inclusive classrooms by engaging STEM faculty in culturally responsive teaching workshops. International Journal of STEM Education, 7, Article 32. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-020-00230-7
24. Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work? A review of the research. Journal of Engineering Education, 93(3), 223–231. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2004.tb00809.x
25. Rončević, K., & Rieckmann, M. (2025). Education for sustainable development and inclusive education with particular consideration of learners with special needs: A scoping literature review. Frontiers in Education, 10, 1593060. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1593060
26. Sturko, P. A., & Gregson, J. A. (2009). Learning and collaboration in professional development for career and technical education teachers: A qualitative multi-case study. Journal of Industrial Teacher Education, 45(3), 34–60. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ865353
27. Sukirwan, S., Ratnaningsih, N., Patmawati, H., & Suhaya, S. (2024). 4-Cs: Teachers' efforts to transform 21st century skills in teaching and learning. Journal of Authentic Research on Mathematics Education (JARME), 6(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.37058/jarme.v6i1.9079
28. Teachers Institute. (2023, November 17). Implementing learner-centred education: Strategies and benefits. https://teachers.institute/learning-learner-development/learner-centred-education-strategies-benefits/

29. Vadivel, B., Namaziandost, E., & Saeedian, A. (2021). Progress in English language teaching through continuous professional development—Teachers' self-awareness, perception, and feedback. Frontiers in Education, 6, Article 757285. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.757285
30. Zalsabila, A., Munir, M., & Fitriyani, F. (2025). Teachers' perceptions of the importance of 21st century skills (critical thinking, communication, collaboration, and creativity) in the English language learning process. International Journal of Language, Education, and Literature, 2(3), 367–372. http://journal.unm.ac.id/index.php/IJLEL/article/view/8075