

A Generalised Class of Analytic Functions Defined By Q-Analogue of Fractional Calculus Operator

Anuradha Vishnoi¹, Arvind Kumar Sharma², Reetesh Agarwal³

¹Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Janta Mahavidyalaya, Ajeetmal, Auraiya-206122, Uttar Pradesh, India

²Department of Mathematics, Janta Mahavidyalaya (Principal), Ajeetmal Auraiya - 206122, Uttar Pradesh, India

³Department of computer application, CSJM University Kanpur – 208024, Uttar Pradesh, India

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to introduce a generalized class of analytic function defined by q-analogue by using fractional calculus operator. We obtain coefficient estimate, distortion theorems, radii of close to convexity, starlikeness and convexity for functions belonging to the class $TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ of analytic starlike and convex functions defined by q-analogue of fractional calculus operator. We further show that closure theorems, $N_{k,q,\delta}(e, g)$ neighborhood and partial sums for functions in this class.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30C45:

Keywords: Analytic functions, Coefficient estimates, Distortion, q – fractional calculus operator, Neighborhoods, Partial sums.

Introduction

Let A be the class of analytic and univalent functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad z \in U = \{z: z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\} \quad (1.1) \quad \text{Let } S \text{ be}$$

the subclass of A , consisting of function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1), which are univalent in U .

Also let $S^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ denote which are the subclasses of S which are, Starlike and Convex functions of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), studied earlier by Roberston and Silverman in 1997.

$$S^*(\alpha) = \left\{ f: f \in S \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \right\} \quad (1.2)$$

$$\text{and } C(\alpha) = \left\{ f: f \in S \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

From (1.2) and (1.3)

$$f(z) \in C(\alpha) \leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$$

for $0 < q < 1$ the Jackson's q-derivative of a function $f(z) \in S$ is given by [19] (see also [2,3,10,13,21])

$$D_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z} & \text{for } z \neq 0 \\ f'(0) & \text{for } z = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

For $f(z)$ of the form (1.1), we have –

$$D_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1} \tag{1.5}$$

$$\text{Where } [n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} \quad (0 < q < 1; n \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \tag{1.6}$$

The following definitions of fractional derivatives and fractional integrals are due to OWA [25,26] and Srivastava [34,35]

Definition:1 The fractional integral of order λ is defined for a function $f(z)$ of the form (1.2) by

$$D_z^{-\mu} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^{1-\mu}} d(\xi)$$

Where $\mu > 0$, $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the z plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z - \xi)^{\mu-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z - \xi)$ to be real when $(z - \xi) > 0$.

Definition:2 The fractional derivative of order λ is defined for a function $f(z)$ of the form (1.2) by

$$D_z^\lambda f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(\xi)}{(z-\xi)^\lambda} d\xi$$

Where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply connected region of the z plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z - \xi)^{-\lambda}$ is removed as in definition 1 above.

Definition: 3 Under the hypothesis of definition 2 the fractional derivative of order $n + \lambda$ is defined for a function by

$$D_z^{n+\lambda} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^\lambda f(z)$$

Where $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $n \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

In 2011, Dixit and Porwal [15,16] introduce a new fractional derivative operator for function of the form (1.2) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^0 f(z) &= f(z) \\ \Omega^1 f(z) &= \Gamma(1-\lambda) z^{1+\lambda} D_z^{1+\lambda} f(z) \\ &\dots \dots \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega^n f(z) = \Omega(\Omega^{n-1} f(z))$$

Thus we note that

$$\Omega^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\varphi(k, \lambda)]^n a_k z^k$$

$$\text{where } \varphi(k, \lambda) = \frac{\Gamma k + 1 \Gamma(1-\lambda)}{\Gamma k - \lambda}$$

It is worthy to note that for $\lambda = 0$, $\Omega^n f(z)$ reduces to familiar Salagean operator introduced by Salagean in [6]

They define the above operator for the function f of the form (1.1) as follows

$$\Omega^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\varphi(k, \lambda)]^n a_k z^k$$

The application of q -calculus is a current and interesting topic of research in Geometric function theory very recently.

Srivastava gave definitions and properties of q -calculus and fractional q -calculus in detail and its applications in his survey cum expository review article.

Now we recall the concept of q -calculus which was first introduced by Jackson for $k \in N$, the q number is defined as follows:

$$[k]_q = \frac{1-q^k}{1-q} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} q^j \text{ and } [0]_q = 0, \quad 0 < q < 1$$

Hence $[k]_q$ can be expressed as a geometric series $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^i$, when $k \rightarrow \infty$, the series converges to $\frac{1}{1-q}$. As $q \rightarrow 1$, $[k]_q \rightarrow 1$ and this is the bookmark as a q-analogue the limit as $q \rightarrow 1$ recovers the classical object.

The q-derivative of a function f is defined by

$$D_q(f(z)) = \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{(q-1)z}, \quad q \neq 1, z \neq 0$$

And $D_q(f(z)) = f'(0)$, provided $f'(0)$ exists.

For a function $f(z) = z^k$ observe that

$$D_q f(z) = D_q z^k = \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q} z^{k-1} = [k]_q z^{k-1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} D_q(h(z)) &= \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} [k]_q z^{k-1} \\ &= k z^{k-1} = f'(z) \end{aligned}$$

Where f' is the ordinary derivative.

The q- Jackson definite integral of the function f is defined by

$$\int_0^z f(t) d_q t = (1 - q) z \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(z q^n) q^n, \quad z \in C$$

Now, we let $R(m, q, \alpha, \beta)$ denote the subclass.

In the present paper, we study the coefficient bounds, distortion bounds, extreme points, convolution conditions, convex combinations and discuss a class preserving integral operator.

Coefficient estimates

Unless indicated, we assume that $0 \leq \alpha < 1, \beta \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, 0 < q < 1$ and $f(z) \in \tau$.

Theorem 2.1 A function $f(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[[k]_q (1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta) \right] \frac{\Gamma k + 1 \Gamma 1 - \lambda}{\Gamma k - \lambda} a_k \leq 1 - \alpha \tag{2.1}$$

Proof : Assume that (2.1) holds. Then it suffices to show that

$$\beta \left| \frac{z D_q(\Omega^m f(z))}{\Omega^m f(z)} - 1 \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z D_q(\Omega^m f(z))}{\Omega^m f(z)} - 1 \right\} \leq 1 - \alpha$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \left| \frac{z D_q(\Omega^m f(z))}{\Omega^m f(z)} - 1 \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z D_q(\Omega^m f(z))}{\Omega^m f(z)} - 1 \right\} \\ & \leq (1 + \beta) \left| \frac{z D_q(\Omega^m f(z))}{\Omega^m f(z)} - 1 \right| \\ & \leq (1 + \beta) \left| \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\varphi(k, \lambda)]^m a_k ([k]_q - 1) a_k}{z - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [\varphi(k, \lambda)]^m a_k z^k} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(1 + \beta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma k + 1 \Gamma 1 - \lambda}{\Gamma k - \lambda} ([k]_q - 1) a_k}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma k + 1 \Gamma 1 - \lambda}{\Gamma k - \lambda} a_k} \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is bounded above by $(1 - \alpha)$ since (2.1) holds.

Conversely if $f(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ and z is real, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}} a_k z^{k-1}} - \alpha \right\} \geq \beta \left| \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda} ([k]_q - 1) a_k z^{k-1}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}} a_k z^{k-1}} \right|$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ along the real axis, we obtain (2.1). Hence the proof is completed. \square

3. Growth and distortion theorems

Theorem 3.1 For $f(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ and $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$|f(z)| \geq r - \frac{(1-\alpha)(2-\lambda)}{2[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} r^2, \tag{3.1}$$

$$|f(z)| \leq r + \frac{(1-\alpha)(2-\lambda)}{2[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} r^2 \tag{3.2}$$

Equalities hold for

$$f(z) \geq z - \frac{(1-\alpha)(2-\lambda)}{2[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} z^2, \tag{3.3}$$

At $z = r$ and $z = r e^{i(2k+1)\pi} (k \geq 2)$.

Proof: Since for $k \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} [[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)] \frac{2}{1-\lambda} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k &\leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)] \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}} a_k \\ &\leq 1 - \alpha \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)}{2[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} \tag{3.5}$$

From (1.12) and (3.5), we have

$$|f(z)| \geq r - r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \geq r - \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)}{2[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} r^2 \tag{3.6}$$

$$|f(z)| \leq r + r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq r + \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)}{2[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} r^2 \tag{3.7}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.1 For $f(z) \in S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$, then

$$|f(z)| \geq r - \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)}{2(2+\beta-\alpha)} r^2, \tag{3.8}$$

$$|f(z)| \leq r + \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)}{2(2+\beta-\alpha)} r^2. \tag{3.9}$$

Equalities hold for

$$|f(z)| = z - \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)}{2(2+\beta-\alpha)} z^2, \tag{3.10}$$

Proof: Letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in theorem 3.1, we can show (3.8) and (3.9). \square

Theorem 3.2 Let $f(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$. Then for $|z| = r < 1$,

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)}{[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} r, \tag{3.11}$$

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)}{[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)]} r, \tag{3.12}$$

The sharpness are attained for $f(z)$ given by (3.3).

Proof: For $k \geq 2$, we have

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k$$

We find from (2.1) and (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 [2]_q(1 + \beta)(1 - \lambda)^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k &\leq (1 - \alpha) + 2(\alpha + \beta)(1 - \lambda)^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha) + \frac{(1-\alpha)(\alpha+\beta)}{[2]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)} \\
 &\leq \frac{[2]_q(1+\alpha)-(1+\beta)}{[2]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)}
 \end{aligned}$$

That is, that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)}{[2]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)(1-\lambda)^{-1}} \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.11) and (3.12) that

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)}{[2]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)(1-\lambda)^{-1}} r \tag{3.14}$$

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{(1-\alpha)}{[2]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)(1-\lambda)^{-1}} r \tag{3.15}$$

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.3 for $f(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ and $|z| = r < 1$,

$$|D_q f(z)| \geq 1 - \frac{|2]_q(1-\alpha)}{[2]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)(1-\lambda)^{-1}} r, \tag{3.16}$$

$$|D_q f(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{|2]_q(1-\alpha)}{[2]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)(1-\lambda)^{-1}} r \tag{3.17}$$

The sharpness are attained for $f(z)$ given by (3.3).

Proof: for $k \geq 2$, we have

$$|D_q f(z)| \leq 1 - r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k.$$

We find from (2.1) and (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 2(1 + \beta)(1 - \lambda)^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k &\leq 2(1 - \alpha) + (\alpha + \beta)(1 - \lambda)^{-1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha) + \frac{(\alpha + \beta)(1 - \alpha)}{[2]_q(1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)} \\
 &\leq \frac{[2]_q(1+\beta)(1-\alpha)}{[2]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)},
 \end{aligned}$$

That is, that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k \leq \frac{[2]_q(1-\alpha)}{2[2]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)(1-\lambda)^{-1}} \tag{3.18}$$

From (3.16) and (3.17) that

$$|D_q f(z)| \geq 1 - r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k \geq 1 - \frac{[2]_q(1-\alpha)}{2[2]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)(1-\lambda)^{-1}} r \tag{3.19}$$

And

$$|D_q f(z)| \leq 1 + r \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k \geq 1 + \frac{[2]_q(1-\alpha)}{2[2]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)(1-\lambda)^{-1}} r \tag{3.20}$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.3 For $f(z) \in S_p^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$, then

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)}{2(2+\beta-\alpha)} r, \tag{3.21}$$

$$|f'(z)| \leq r + \frac{(1-\alpha)(1-\lambda)}{2(2+\beta-\alpha)} r. \tag{3.22}$$

The sharpness is attained for $f(z)$ given by (3.10).

Proof: letting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in theorem 3.4, we can show (3.21) and (3.22). Then corollary 3.5 corresponds to theorem 3.3 when $q \rightarrow 1^-$.

Closure theorem

Let $f_j(z)$ be defined, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, by

$$f_j(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k \quad (a_{k,j} \geq 0, z \in U). \tag{4.1}$$

Theorem 4.1 Let $f_j(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. then

$$g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j f_j(z), \tag{4.2}$$

is also in the same class, where $c_j \geq 0, \sum_{j=1}^m c_j = 1$.

Proof: According to (4.2), we can write

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (\sum_{j=1}^m c_j a_{k,j}) z^k. \tag{4.3}$$

Further, since $f_j(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$, we get

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}} a_{k,j} \leq 1 - \alpha \tag{4.4}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}} a_k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m c_j a_{k,j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \left[\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}} a_{k,j} \right] \\ &\leq (\sum_{j=1}^m c_j)(1 - \alpha) = 1 - \alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Which implies that $g(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$. Thus, we have the theorem. □

Corollary 4.1: the class $TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ is closed under convex linear combination.

Proof: Let $f_j(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ ($j= 1,2$) and

$$g(z) = \mu f_1(z) + (1 - \mu) f_2(z) \quad (0 \leq \mu \leq 1), \tag{4.6}$$

Then by, taking $m= 2, c_1 = \mu$ and $c_2 = 1-\mu$ in theorem 5, we have $g(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 4.2 Let $f_1(z) = z$ and

$$f_k(z) = z - \frac{1-\alpha}{[[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}}} z^k \quad (k \geq 2). \tag{4.7}$$

Then $f(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z), \tag{4.8}$$

where $\mu_k \geq 0$ ($k \geq 1$) and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$.

Proof : Suppose that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\alpha}{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}}} \mu_k z^k. \tag{4.9}$$

then it follows that

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}}}{1-\alpha} \cdot \frac{1-\alpha}{[[k]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}}} \mu_k = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1 - \mu_1 \leq 1. \tag{4.10}$$

So by Theorem 2.1, $f(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$. Conversely, assume that $f(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$. then

$$a_k \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{[k]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)} \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}} \quad (k \geq 2). \tag{4.11}$$

Setting

$$\mu_k = \frac{[k]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)}{1-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}} a_k \quad (k \geq 2) \tag{4.12}$$

And

$$\mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \mu_k, \tag{4.13}$$

We see that $f(z)$ can be expressed in the form (4.8). This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.2 The extreme points of $TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ are $f_k(z)$ ($k \geq 1$) given by theorem 4.3.

Some radii of the class $TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$

Theorem 5.1 Let $f(z) \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$. Then for $0 \leq \rho < 1, k \geq 2$, $f(z)$ is

(i) Close-to-convex of order ρ in $|z| < r_1$, where

$$(ii) \quad r_1 = r_1(q, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \rho) := \inf_k \left[\frac{(1-\rho)[k]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)}{k(1-\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \tag{5.1}$$

(iii) Starlike of order ρ in $|z| < r_2$, where

$$r_2 = r_2(q, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \rho) := \inf_k \left[\frac{(1-\rho)[k]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)}{(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \tag{5.2}$$

(iv) Convex of order ρ in $|z| < r_3$, where $r_3 = r_3(q, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \rho) :=$

$$\inf_k \left[\frac{(1-\rho)[k]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)}{k(k-\rho)(1-\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \tag{5.3}$$

The result is sharp for $f(z)$ is given by (2.3).

Proof: To prove (i) we must show that

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \rho \text{ for } |z| < r_1 = r_1(q, \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \rho).$$

From (1.12), we have

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k a_k |z|^{k-1}$$

$$|f'(z) - 1| \leq 1 - \rho,$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{1-\rho} \right) a_k |z|^{k-1} \leq 1 \tag{5.4}$$

But, by theorem 2.1, (5.4) will be true if

$$\left(\frac{k}{1-\rho} \right) |z|^{k-1} \leq \frac{(1-\rho)[k]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)}{1-\alpha} \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}}$$

That is, if

$$|z| \leq \left[\frac{(1-\rho)[k]_q(1+\beta)-(\alpha+\beta)}{k(1-\alpha)} \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}} \right]^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \quad (k \geq 2), \tag{5.5}$$

Which gives (5.1)

To prove (ii) and (iii) it suffices to show

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho \quad \text{for } |z| \leq r_2, \tag{5.6}$$

$$\left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq 1 - \rho \quad \text{for } |z| \leq r_3, \quad (5.7)$$

Respectively, by using arguments as in proving (i), we have the results. \square

Inclusion relations involving $N_{k,q,\delta}(e)$

In this section following the works of Goodman [21] and Ruscheweyh [33] (see also [5], [6], [9], [16], [26], and [28]) defined the k, δ neighborhood of function $f(z) \in T$ by

$$N_{k,\delta}(f; g) = \{g \in T: g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|a_k - b_k| \leq \delta\} \quad (6.1)$$

In particular, for the identity function $e(z) = z$, we have

$$N_{k,\delta}(e; g) = \{g \in T: g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|b_k| \leq \delta\} \quad (6.2)$$

Aouf et al. [12] defined the k, q, δ neighborhood of function $f(z) \in T$ by

$$N_{k,q,\delta}(f; g) = \{g \in T: g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta_q\} \quad (6.3)$$

In particular, for the identity function $e(z) = z$, we have

$$N_{k,q,\delta}(e; g) = \{g \in T: g(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q |b_k| \leq \delta_q\} \quad (6.4)$$

Theorem 6.1 Let $\delta_q = \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1-\lambda]^{-1}}$ (6.5)

Then $TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta) \in N_{k,q,\delta}(e)$.

Proof: For $f \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$, Theorem 2.1, (3.5) and (3.18), and in view of the (6.4), Theorem 6.1 follows.

A function $f \in T$ is in the class $TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$ if there exists a function $g \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \xi_q \quad (z \in U, 0 \leq \xi_q \leq 1) \quad (6.6)$$

Now we determine the neighborhood for the class $TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta, \xi)$.

Theorem 6.2 If $g \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ and

$$\xi_q = 1 - \frac{\delta_q 2[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1-\lambda]^{-1}}{2\{[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1-\lambda]^{-1} - (1-\alpha)\}} \quad (6.7)$$

Where

$$\delta_q \leq \frac{2\{[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1-\lambda]^{-1} - (1-\alpha)\}}{\delta_q 2[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1-\lambda]^{-1}}$$

Proof : Suppose that $f \in N_{k,q,\delta}(g)$ then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta_q,$$

Where δ_q is given by (6.5), which implies that the coefficient inequality

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k| \leq \frac{\delta_q}{[2]_q}$$

Next, since $g \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1-\lambda]^{-1}}$$

So that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k} \leq \frac{\delta_q}{[2]_q} \times \frac{2[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1-\lambda]^{-1}}{2[[2]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)][1-\lambda]^{-1} - (1-\alpha)} \leq 1 - \xi_q$$

Provided that ξ_q is given precisely by (6.7). Thus, by definition, $g \in TB_q^\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$, which complete the proof.

Partial sums

For $f(z)$ of the form (1.1), the sequence of partial sums is given by

$$f_z(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (m \in N \setminus \{1\})$$

Now following the work of [38] and also the works cited in [11,15,19,25,27,31,37] on partial sums of analytic functions, to obtain our results. Let

$$\Phi_{q,k}^\lambda = \Phi_q^\lambda(k, \alpha, \beta) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [[k]_q (1 + \beta) - (\alpha + \beta)] \frac{\Gamma_{k+1} \Gamma_{1-\lambda}}{\Gamma_{k-\lambda}} \quad (7.1)$$

Theorem 7.1 If $f \in S$, satisfies the condition (2.1), then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} \right) \geq \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^{\lambda-1} + \alpha}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}, \quad (7.2)$$

Where

$$\Phi_{q,k}^\lambda \geq \begin{cases} 1 - \alpha, & \text{if } k = 2, 3, \dots, m \\ \Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda, & \text{if } k = m + 1, m + 2, \dots \end{cases} \quad (7.3)$$

The results (7.2) is sharp for

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1-\alpha}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda} z^{m+1} \quad (7.4)$$

Proof: Define $g(z)$ by

$$\frac{1+g(z)}{1-g(z)} = \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{1-\alpha} \left[\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} - \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^{\lambda-1} + \alpha}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda} \right] = \frac{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k z^{k-1} + \left(\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{1-\alpha} \right) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=2}^m a_k z^{k-1}} \quad (7.5)$$

It suffices to show that $|g(z)| \leq 1$. Now from (7.5) we have

$$g(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{1-\alpha} \right) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}{2 + 2 \sum_{k=2}^m a_k z^{k-1} + \left(\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{1-\alpha} \right) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}$$

hence, we obtain

$$|g(z)| \leq \frac{\left(\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{1-\alpha} \right) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k|}{2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^m a_k z^{k-1} + \left(\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{1-\alpha} \right) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} a_k z^{k-1}}$$

Now $|g(z)| \leq 1$ if and only if

$$2 \left(\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{1-\alpha} \right) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |a_k| \leq 2 - 2 \sum_{k=2}^m |a_k|,$$

Or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{1-\alpha} |a_k| \leq 1$$

From (2.1), it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{k=2}^m |a_k| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{1-\alpha} |a_k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_{q,k}^\lambda}{1-\alpha} |a_k|,$$

Which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=2}^m \left(\frac{\Phi_{q,k}^{\lambda-1} + \alpha}{1-\alpha} \right) |a_k| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Phi_{q,k}^\lambda - \Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{1-\alpha} \right) |a_k| \geq 0 \quad (7.6)$$

For $z = r e^{i\pi/m}$ we have

$$\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} = 1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda} z^k \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda} = \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda - 1 + \alpha}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda} \text{ where } r \rightarrow 1^- ,$$

Which shows that $f(z)$ is given by (7.4) gives the sharpness. □

Remark 7.1 (i) Putting $\lambda = 0$ and (ii) $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 7.1, we obtain the following results, respectively.

Corollary 7.2 If $f \in S$, satisfies the condition (2.1) and $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$ ($0 < |z| < 1$), then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)}\right) \geq \frac{[m+1]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta) - 1 + \alpha}{[m+1]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)} \tag{7.7}$$

The results is sharp for

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1-\alpha}{[m+1]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)} z^{m+1} \tag{7.8}$$

corollary 7.3 If $f \in S$, satisfies the condition (2.1) and $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$ ($0 < |z| < 1$), then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)}\right) \geq 1 - \frac{1-\alpha}{[m+1]_q([m+1]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta))} \tag{7.9}$$

The result is sharp for

$$f(z) = z + \frac{1-\alpha}{[m+1]_q([m+1]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta))} z^{m+1} \tag{7.10}$$

theorem 7.4 If $f \in S$, satisfies the condition (2.1), then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)}\right) \geq \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda + 1 - \alpha}, \tag{7.11}$$

Where $\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda$ is defined by (7.1) and satisfies (7.3) and $f(z)$ given by (7.4) gives the sharpness.

Proof The proof follows by defining

$$\frac{1 + g(z)}{1 - g(z)} = \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda + 1 - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \left[\frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda + 1 - \alpha} \right]$$

And much akin are to similar arguments in Theorem 7.1 so, we omit it. □

Remark 7.2 (i) Putting $\lambda = 0$ and (ii) $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 7.4, we obtain the following sharp results, respectively.

Corollary 7.5 If $f \in S$, satisfies the condition (2.1) and $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$ ($0 < |z| < 1$), then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)}\right) \geq \frac{[m+1]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)}{[m+1]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta) + 1 - \alpha} \tag{7.12}$$

Corollary 7.6 If $f \in S$, satisfies the condition (2.1) and $\frac{f(z)}{z} \neq 0$ ($0 < |z| < 1$), then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)}\right) \geq \frac{[m+1]_q([m+1]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta))}{[m+1]_q([m+1]_q(1+\beta) - (\alpha+\beta)) + 1 - \alpha} \tag{7.13}$$

Theorem 7.7 If $f \in S$, satisfies the condition (2.1), then

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f'(z)}{f'_m(z)}\right) \geq \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda - (m+1)(1-\alpha)}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda} \tag{7.14}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f'_m(z)}{f'(z)}\right) \geq \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda + (m+1)(1-\alpha)} \tag{7.15}$$

Where $\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda \geq (m+1)(1-\alpha)$ and

$$\Phi_{q,k}^\lambda \geq \begin{cases} k(1-\alpha), & \text{if } k = 2, 3, \dots, m \\ k \left(\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{(m+1)} \right), & \text{if } k = m+1, m+2, \dots \end{cases} \tag{7.16}$$

$f(z)$ is given by (7.4) gives the sharpness.

Proof We write

$$\frac{1 + g(z)}{1 - g(z)} = \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{(m + 1)(1 - \alpha)} \left[\frac{f'(z)}{f'_m(z)} - \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda - (m + 1)(1 - \alpha)}{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda} \right],$$

Where

$$g(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{(m+1)(1-\alpha)} \right) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}}{2+2 \sum_{k=2}^m k a_k z^{k-1} + \left(\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{(m+1)(1-\alpha)} \right) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} k a_k z^{k-1}}$$

Now $|g(z)| \leq 1$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^m k|a_k| + \left(\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{(m + 1)(1 - \alpha)} \right) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} k|a_k| \leq 1$$

From (2.1), it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{k=2}^m k|a_k| + \left(\frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{(m + 1)(1 - \alpha)} \right) \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} k|a_k| \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi_{q,k}^\lambda}{(1 - \alpha)} |a_k|,$$

Which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=2}^m \left(\frac{\Phi_{q,k}^\lambda - k(1 - \alpha)}{(1 - \alpha)} \right) |a_k| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(m + 1)\Phi_{q,k}^\lambda - k\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{(m + 1)(1 - \alpha)} \right) |a_k| \geq 0$$

To prove the result (7.15), define the function $g(z)$ by

$$\frac{1 + g(z)}{1 - g(z)} = \frac{(m + 1)(1 - \alpha) + \Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{(m + 1)(1 - \alpha)} \left[\frac{f'_m(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{\Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda}{(m + 1)(1 - \alpha) + \Phi_{q,m+1}^\lambda} \right],$$

And by similar arguments in first part, we get desired result. □

Acknowledgements: The authors express their sincere thanks to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References:

1. Aldweby, H., Darus, M., Some subordination results on q-analogue of Ruscheweyh differential operator, Abstract and Applied Anal. Article ID 958563, 2014(2014), 1-6.
2. Altinkaya, S., Magesh, N., Yalcin, S., Construction of Toeplitz matrices whose elements are the coefficients of univalent functions associated with q-derivative operator, Caspian J. Math. Sci., 8(2019), no. 1, 51-57.
3. Annby, M.H., Mansour, Z.S., q-Fractional Calculus Equations, Lecture Notes in Math., 2056, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.
4. Aouf, K, Mohamed., Moustafa, O, Adela., and Al-Quhali, Y, Moustafa and Fawzish., Certain class of analytic of Ruscheweyh differential operator.
5. Aouf, M.K., On a new criterion for univalent functions of order alpha, Rend. di Mat. Roma, 11(1991), 47-59.
6. Aouf, M.K., Neighborhoods of certain p-valently analytic functions defined by using S-algean operator, Demonstratio Math., 41(2008), no. 3, 561-570
7. Aouf, M.K., Darwish, H.E, S-algean, G.S. On a generalization of starlike functions with negative coefficients, Math., 43(66) (2001), no. 1, 3-10.

8. Aouf, M.K., Dziok, J., Inclusion and neighborhood properties of certain subclasses of analytic and multivalent functions, *European J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 2(2009), no. 4, 544- 553.
9. Aouf, M.K., Hossen, H.M., Notes on certain class of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 1(1997), no. 1, 11-19. 11(2019), no. 2, 1-16.
10. Aouf, M.K., Seoudy, T.M., Convolution properties for classes of bounded analytic functions with complex order defined by q -derivative operator, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Math.*, 113(2019), no. 2, 1279-1288.
11. Aouf, M.K., Shamandy, A., Mostafa, A.O., Adwan, E.A., Partial sums of certain of analytic functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava operator, *Acta Univ. Apulensis*, (2012), no. 30, 65-76.
12. Aouf, M.K., Shamandy, A., Mostafa, A.O., Madian, S.M., Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by generalized S^*_{λ} operator, *Annales Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, Sectio A-Math.*, 54(2010), no. 1, 17-26.
13. Aral, A., Gupta, V., Agarwal, R.P., *Applications of q -Calculus in Operator Theory*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2013.
14. Attiya, A.A., Aouf, M.K., A study on certain class of analytic functions defined by Ruscheweyh derivatives, *Soochow J. Math.*, 33(2007), no. 2, 273-289.
15. Dixit, K.K., Dixit, A, and Porwal, Saurabh., A subclass of harmonic multivalent functions with varying arguments defined by generalised salagean derivative operator, *J. Rajasthan Acad. Physical Sciences*, 15(4)(2016),255-266.
16. Dixit, K.K., and Porwal Saurabh., A new subclass of harmonic univalent functions defined by fractional calculus operator, *General Math.*,19(2)(2011),81-89.
17. Frasin, B.A., Partial sums of certain analytic and univalent functions, *Acta Math. Acad. Paed. Nyir.*, 21(2005), 135-145.
18. Jackson, F.H., On q -functions and a certain difference operator, *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, 46(1908), 253-281.
19. Jackson, F.H., On q -definite integrals, *Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 41(1910), 193-203.
20. Kanas, S., Răducanu, D., Some class of analytic functions related to conic domains, *Math. Slovaca*, 64(2014), no. 5, 1183-1196.
21. Magesh, N., Altinkaya, S., Yalcin, S., Certain subclasses of k -uniformly starlike functions associated with symmetric q -derivative operator, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.*, 24(2018), no. 8, 1464-1473.
22. Mostafa, A.O., On partial sums of certain analytic functions, *Demonstratio Math.*, 41(2008), no. 4, 779-789. Certain class of analytic functions 65.
23. Mostafa, A.O., Aouf, M.K., Neighborhoods of certain p -valent analytic functions of complex order, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 58(2009), 1183-1189.
24. Murugusundaramoorthy, G., Srivastava, H.M., Neighborhoods of certain classes of analytic functions of complex order, *J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math.*, 5(2004), no. 2, Art. 24, 1-8.
25. Owa, S., Polatoglu, Y., Yavuz, E., Coefficient inequalities for classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 7(2006), no. 5, Art. 160, 1-5.
26. Owa, S., On the distortion theorem I, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 18 (1978), 53-59.
27. Robertson, M.S., On the theory of univalent functions, *Ann. Math.*, 37(1936), 374-408.
28. Rosy, T., Subramanian, K.G., Murugusundaramoorthy, G., Neighborhoods and partial sums of starlike functions based on Ruscheweyh derivatives, *J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math.*, 4(2003), no. 4, Art., 64, 1-8.

29. Ruscheweyh, St., New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49(1975), 109-115.
30. Seoudy, T.M., Aouf, M.K., Convolution properties for certain classes of analytic functions defined by q -derivative operator, Abstract and Appl. Anal., 2014(2014), 1-7.
31. Seoudy, T.M., Aouf, M.K., Coefficient estimates of new classes of q -convex functions of complex order, J. Math. Ineq., 10(2016), no. 1, 135-145.
32. Shams, S., Kulkarni, S.R., Jahangiri, J.M., Classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., 55(2004), 2959-2961.
33. Silverman, H., Partial sums of starlike and convex functions, J. Math. Appl., 209(1997), 221-227.
34. Srivastava, M.H., Mostafa, A.O., Aouf, M.K., Zayed, H.M., Basic and fractional q -calculus and associated Fekete-Szegő problem for p -valently q -starlike functions and p -valently q -convex functions of complex order, Miskolc Math. Notes, 20(2019), no. 1,
35. Srivastava H.M. and Owa, S., An application of the fractional derivative, Math. Japon., 29(1984) 383-389