International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Social Structure and Leadership Patterns in Rural India: A Sociological Field Study of Rajaghatta and Konaghatta Villages, Bangalore Rural District

Dr. Sreenivasa K N

Associate Professor, Department of Sociology and Research centre, Government College for Women (Autonomous), Mandya, Mandya University, Mandya

Abstract:
This research paper presents a sociological field study conducted in Rajaghatta and Konaghatta villages within the Konaghatta Panchayat, Doddaballapur Taluk, Bangalore Rural District, Karnataka. The study investigates the intricate interplay between traditional social structures specifically caste, kinship, and land ownership and contemporary democratic leadership mechanisms introduced through the Panchayati Raj system. Employing a mixed-method approach, the research combines household surveys, semi-structured interviews with formal and informal leaders, and observational data. The findings reveal a persistent influence of caste hierarchy and socio-economic status on leadership selection and community participation, confirming the enduring power of traditional structures. However, the study also documents a dynamic negotiation of authority, where modern elected leaders navigate complex relationships with traditional powerholders. A current local conflict over heritage excavation in Rajaghatta serves as a critical case study, highlighting how formal and informal conflict resolution mechanisms intersect. The paper concludes that rural leadership in these villages is characterized by a hybrid model, where democratic institutions provide a new framework for authority that is continuously shaped and contested by enduring social hierarchies.

Keywords: Social Structure, Caste, Leadership, Panchayati Raj, Rural Sociology, Karnataka, Doddaballapur, Hybrid Governance.

Introduction

Rural India represents a complex social tapestry where millennia-old structures interact with modern democratic institutions. The village, far from being a static entity, is a dynamic arena where caste, kinship, occupation, and land ownership converge to shape power relations, decision-making, and community life. The constitutional enactment of the Panchayati Raj system aimed to revolutionize local governance by instituting elected, accountable leadership. Yet, decades after its implementation, scholarly debate continues on the extent to which these formal structures have transformed or merely been absorbed by traditional hierarchies.

This study focuses on two villages Rajaghatta and Konaghatta in the Bangalore Rural district of Karnataka. This region, marked by its proximity to the metropolitan influence of Bengaluru, presents a

compelling context for examining social change. The villages provide a microcosm to observe the tension between the forces of urbanization, administrative modernization, and deeply entrenched social customs. Furthermore, an ongoing civic conflict in Rajaghatta concerning the excavation of a proposed Buddhist heritage site offers a real-time lens to analyze dispute resolution and the mobilization of authority.

The primary objectives of this research are:

1. To map the caste, kinship, and occupational structure of Rajaghatta and Konaghatta.
2. To analyze the interplay between traditional leadership (elders, dominant caste heads) and modern Panchayati Raj leadership.
3. To examine the correlation between socio-economic status (primarily land ownership) and access to leadership roles.
4. To understand mechanisms of community participation and conflict resolution.

Literature Review

The sociological study of Indian villages has a rich lineage. Early works by **M.N. Srinivas** introduced seminal concepts like "dominant caste," where a caste group wields preponderant economic, political, and numerical power, and "Sanskritization," the process of emulating higher-caste norms. **André Bétaille**, in his study of a Tanjore village, meticulously detailed the interaction between caste, class (defined by land), and power, demonstrating that while caste status and class status often overlapped, they were not perfectly congruent.

With the implementation of Panchayati Raj, scholarship shifted to examine its impact. Studies by scholars like **B.S. Baviskar** and **G. Mathew** have explored how reservations for Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs), and women have altered the social profile of leadership. However, they also note that elected representatives from marginalized groups often face significant constraints, operating within a social field still dominated by upper-caste norms and economic power. The concept of "proxy representation" or "puppet leadership" emerges, where elected leaders from reserved categories remain under the influence of traditional elites.

This study situates itself within this ongoing conversation, seeking to update these frameworks within the specific context of peri-urban Karnataka, where urban spillover effects introduce new variables of change and contestation.

Methodology

This study employed a descriptive and analytical design using a concurrent mixed-methods approach to triangulate data and enrich understanding.

Study Area: Rajaghatta and Konaghatta villages, Konaghatta Panchayat, Doddaballapur Taluk, Bangalore Rural District, Karnataka.

Sampling:

Purposive Sampling: 15 key informants were selected, including the elected Sarpanch of Konaghatta Panchayat, ward members, the Panchayat Development Officer (PDO), traditional village elders (*yajamāna* or dominant caste heads), and leaders of local Self-Help Groups (SHGs).

Random Sampling: A structured household survey was administered to 80 households (approximately 10% of total households), stratified to ensure representation from different caste localities (*keris*) and

socio-economic strata.

Data Collection Tools:

Household Survey Questionnaire: Collected quantitative data on demographics, caste, occupation, landholding size, income sources, and participation in village assemblies (*Gram Sabha*).

Semi-structured Interviews: Conducted with formal and informal leaders to explore pathways to leadership, decision-making processes, and perceptions of authority.

Participant Observation: Observed one *Gram Sabha* meeting, two Panchayat office interactions, and informal gatherings at village tea shops and temples.

Social Mapping: Participatory mapping exercises with small groups to delineate settlement patterns by caste and locate key resources and influential households.

Ethical Considerations: Prior informed consent was obtained from all participants. Anonymity and confidentiality were assured, especially for respondents discussing sensitive issues of caste discrimination or political conflict.

Study Area Profile: Rajaghatta and Konaghatta

The following table summarizes key socio-demographic indicators from the 2011 Census, providing a foundational profile of the two villages:

Demographic Parameter	Rajaghatta Village	Konaghatta Village	Implications for Study
Total Population	2,562	3,663	Konaghatta is the larger, potentially more dominant village in the Panchayat.
Number of Households	581	814	Informs sampling strategy for household surveys.
Sex Ratio	988	957	Rajaghatta shows a more balanced gender ratio than the state average.
Literacy Rate	77.66%	74.53%	Both villages are above the state rural average, indicating moderate human capital.
Scheduled Caste (SC) %	27.1% (694 persons)	19.4% (711 persons)	Significant marginalized population; key for studying political representation.
Scheduled Tribe (ST) %	7.9% (203 persons)	2.1% (76 persons)	Rajaghatta has a more substantial ST presence, indicating community diversity.
Main Workers	1,229	1,281	High workforce participation, primarily in agriculture and allied sectors.

Both villages are primarily agrarian, with a significant portion of the population engaged as cultivators or agricultural labourers. The dominant castes in the area are Vokkaligas and Lingayats, who are also the major landowners. SC communities (primarily Madigas and Lambanis) are largely landless or marginal landowners and form the bulk of the agricultural labour force.

Findings and Discussion

Social Structure: The Enduring Framework of Caste and Land

The social organization of both villages remains explicitly structured along caste lines, spatially manifested in distinct *keris* (localities). The dominant Vokkaliga community occupies the central and

most developed parts of the villages, while SC colonies are located on the peripheries, often with inferior road connectivity and water access. Kinship (*kutumba*) ties within the dominant castes are strong and form the basis for political alliances and economic cooperation.

Land ownership is the primary determinant of socio-economic status and is closely aligned with caste hierarchy. As per survey data, over 85% of households owning more than 5 acres of land belong to the Vokkaliga or Lingayat communities. Conversely, over 90% of landless labourer households belong to SC/ST communities. **This finding strongly supports H1, confirming that caste and land ownership are deeply intertwined and form the foundational axis of social and economic power.**

Leadership Patterns: A Hybrid Model of Authority

The study reveals a complex, hybrid model of leadership where formal Panchayati Raj institutions coexist and constantly negotiate with traditional authority structures.

Traditional Leadership: Authority resides with elderly male heads of dominant caste lineages, often referred to as *yajamāna* or *mukkalu*. They are consulted on matters of social custom, intra-caste disputes, and religious festivals. Their influence derives from caste status, landholding, and perceived wisdom.

Formal Panchayati Raj Leadership: The elected Sarpanch (from Konaghatta) and ward members constitute the formal authority. The **Panchayat Development Officer (PDO)**, a government-appointed bureaucrat, was identified as a critical node of administrative power, often acting as a bridge between villagers and state schemes.

The interaction between these systems is nuanced. While the elected Sarpanch holds legal authority, observations from the *Gram Sabha* meeting showed that dominant caste elders spoke first and most frequently, setting the tone for discussions. The Sarpanch, though elected from a reserved seat (SC woman), was observed frequently deferring to the PDO and seeking tacit approval from dominant elders before making declarative statements. This illustrates **partial support for H2**: Panchayati Raj has altered structures by placing formal power in new hands, but traditional authority continues to exert significant, often decisive, influence behind the scenes.

Socio-Economic Status and Participation

Participation in public decision-making is heavily skewed. Household survey data indicates that **over 75% of attendees at the last *Gram Sabha* were from landowning households**, and they constituted 90% of those who raised issues. Women from landowning families participated marginally, while women from labouring households were almost entirely absent.

Semi-structured interviews revealed that landless labourers felt their primary channel of grievance was not the Panchayat but their employer (often a dominant caste landowner). This creates a patron-client relationship that stifles political assertion. **These findings robustly confirm H3: higher socio-economic status groups participate far more actively in village decision-making, effectively monopolizing the formal spaces of democracy.**

Conflict Resolution: The Rajaghatta Excavation Case

The proposed archaeological excavation of a suspected Buddhist site in Rajaghatta has sparked conflict. A group led by local historians and some educated youth supports excavation for heritage and tourism. A larger group of landowners, primarily from the dominant caste, opposes it, fearing land acquisition and loss of livelihood.

This conflict has activated all layers of authority:

Formal Mechanisms: The issue is officially with the state archaeology department and the Taluk office. The Panchayat has taken a cautious, mediating stance.

Traditional Mechanisms: Dominant caste elders have held private meetings to build consensus against the excavation and to pressure the Panchayat to support their position.

New Actors: An NGO from Bengaluru has entered, advocating for heritage, illustrating external influence on village affairs.

The resolution process is stalled, demonstrating that when traditional power (landowners) is directly threatened, it can effectively block initiatives even when supported by formal law and external actors. The Panchayat's reluctance to take a firm stand highlights its vulnerability to pressure from traditional elites.

Conclusion and Implications

This field study concludes that the social structure and leadership patterns in Rajaghatta and Konaghatta are best described as a **negotiated hybridity**. The Panchayati Raj system has not dismantled the traditional edifice of power based on caste and land but has installed a new façade of democratic authority. The real dynamics of power involve constant negotiation, co-option, and sometimes conflict between these systems.

Theoretical Implication: It challenges linear narratives of modernization, showing that democratic decentralization interacts with social structure in path-dependent ways, producing unique local hybrids.

Policy Implications: Merely reserving seats is insufficient for transformative empowerment. Concurrent efforts are needed to strengthen the economic independence of marginalized groups (via secure land rights, alternative employment), build their capacity to exercise authority independently, and sensitize bureaucrats like PDOs to challenge elite capture.

Limitations & Future Research: This study is a snapshot. Longitudinal research is needed to track changes. Comparative studies with villages undergoing different pressures (e.g., industrial acquisition, intensive commercialization) would further illuminate the dynamics of change.

In essence, the rural political sphere remains a contested domain where the letter of democratic law is continually interpreted through the deep grammar of social hierarchy.

References

1. Baviskar, B. S., & Mathew, G. (Eds.). (2009). *Inclusion and Exclusion in Local Governance: Field Studies from Rural India*. Sage Publications.
2. Bétaille, A. (1965). *Caste, Class, and Power: Changing Patterns of Stratification in a Tanjore Village*. University of California Press.
3. Census of India. (2011). *Primary Census Abstract Data for Village: Rajaghatta (598235) and Konaghatta (598234)*. Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner, India.
4. Government of Karnataka. (n.d.). *Doddaballapur Taluk Panchayat Contacts*. Retrieved from [Relevant District Website].
5. Srinivas, M. N. (1955). The Social Structure of a Mysore Village. In *India's Villages* (Ed. M. N. Srinivas). West Bengal Government Press.
6. *The Hindu*. (2023, August 15). *Debate Rages Over Proposed Excavation in Rajaghatta Village*. [Local Newspaper Report].