

Family Pressure and Academic Stress among Psychology Graduate Students in India: A Quantitative Study

Divya K¹, Meera M²

¹Student, II MSc Applied Psychology, Rathinam College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore-21,

²Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, Rathinam College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore-21

Abstract

Psychology graduate students experience substantial academic demands while simultaneously negotiating family expectations related to achievement, career stability, and life transitions. In collectivist cultural contexts such as India, family-related pressures may significantly shape students' academic stress experiences. The present cross-sectional quantitative study examined gender differences in family pressure and academic stress and evaluated family pressure as a predictor of academic stress among psychology graduate students. A sample of 150 psychology graduate students (90 females, 60 males) from multiple institutions in Tamil Nadu, India, completed the Family Pressure Scale and Academic Stress Scale. Independent samples *t*-tests, Pearson correlation analysis, and simple linear regression were conducted. Results indicated that female students reported significantly higher levels of family pressure and academic stress than male students. Family pressure was strongly and positively correlated with academic stress ($r = .62, p < .01$) and significantly predicted academic stress, explaining 38% of the variance. The findings highlight family pressure as a culturally embedded and gendered stressor in graduate education and underscore the need for family-informed and gender-sensitive support mechanisms within psychology training programs.

Keywords: family pressure, academic stress, psychology graduate students, gender differences, India

1. Introduction

Graduate education is a critical developmental phase marked by heightened academic demands, professional identity formation, and significant psychosocial transitions. Students are expected to manage intensive coursework, research productivity, evaluations, and career uncertainty, often while balancing personal and familial responsibilities. Empirical evidence consistently demonstrates that graduate students experience higher levels of psychological distress compared to the general population (Evans et al., 2018; Hyun et al., 2006).

Psychology graduate students may be particularly vulnerable to stress due to discipline-specific demands, including emotionally intensive clinical training, ethical responsibilities toward clients, and the emotional labour inherent in helping professions (Kumary & Baker, 2008; Pakenham & Stafford-Brown, 2012). While academic stress has been widely studied, increasing attention has been directed toward contextual stressors beyond the academic environment, particularly family-related pressures.

1.1 Family Pressure in Graduate Education

Family pressure refers to perceived expectations and obligations imposed by family members regarding academic success, career choices, financial independence, and life milestones such as marriage. In collectivist societies, family expectations are often internalized as moral responsibilities rather than optional preferences (Kim et al., 2006). Research suggests that excessive family pressure can undermine autonomy, increase guilt, and elevate stress among students (Jairam & Kahl, 2012).

For psychology graduate students, family pressure may be intensified due to extended training periods, uncertain career trajectories, and societal stigma surrounding mental health professions (Sandhu & Tung, 2004). Such pressures may operate alongside academic demands, creating cumulative stress that adversely affects students' academic functioning and well-being.

1.2 Academic Stress in Psychology Graduate Students

Academic stress encompasses stressors related to coursework, examinations, research productivity, financial constraints, and future career uncertainty (Gardner, 2009). Psychology graduate students face additional stressors related to clinical responsibilities, vicarious trauma, and ethical decision-making (Pakenham & Stafford-Brown, 2012). Sustained academic stress has been associated with burnout, reduced academic engagement, and attrition from graduate programs (Stubb et al., 2011).

1.3 Gender and Sociocultural Context

Gender norms and sociocultural expectations significantly shape experiences of family pressure and academic stress. Female graduate students often face heightened expectations related to balancing academic achievement with family responsibilities and life-stage milestones such as marriage (Mason et al., 2013). In the Indian context, traditional gender roles and collectivist values may further intensify these pressures, placing female students at greater risk for academic stress.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

The present study aimed to:

1. Assess levels of family pressure and academic stress among psychology graduate students.
2. Examine gender differences in family pressure and academic stress.
3. Analyze the relationship between family pressure and academic stress.
4. Determine whether family pressure predicts academic stress.

2. Methodology

2.1 Research Design

A cross-sectional quantitative research design was employed.

2.2 Sample

The sample consisted of 150 psychology graduate students recruited from multiple colleges and universities in Tamil Nadu, India. Participants included 90 females (60%) and 60 males (40%), aged between 21 and 29 years.

2.3 Sampling Technique

Purposive convenience sampling combined with snowball sampling was used.

2.4 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Students currently enrolled in a master's or doctoral psychology program with at least one completed semester were included. Students with diagnosed severe mental illness requiring active psychiatric treatment were excluded to minimize clinical risk.

2.5 Tools Used

Family Pressure Scale (FPS) (Chan et al., 2009) assesses perceived family pressure related to achievement, career expectations, obligations, and guilt. The scale demonstrated good internal consistency ($\alpha = .87$).

Academic Stress Scale (ASS) (Labrague et al., 2017) measures academic stress related to coursework, research demands, financial concerns, and career uncertainty ($\alpha = .89$).

2.6 Procedure and Ethical Considerations

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Ethics Committee. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. Data were collected using online and paper-based questionnaires, and confidentiality was maintained.

3. Results

Table 1
Gender Differences in Family Pressure and Academic Stress

Variable	Gender	N	Mean	SD	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
Family Pressure	Male	60	42.35	6.12	2.45	.015
	Female	90	45.78	5.84		
Academic Stress	Male	60	48.20	7.05	2.98	.003
	Female	90	52.90	6.72		

Note. $p < .05$, $*p < .01$.

Table 2
Pearson Correlation Between Family Pressure and Academic Stress

Variable	1	2
1. Family Pressure	1	.62**
2. Academic Stress	.62**	1

Note. $N = 150$, $*p < .01$.

Table 3
Regression Analysis Predicting Academic Stress from Family Pressure

Predictor	β	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
Family Pressure	.62	6.92	<.001

Model Summary: $R = .62$, $R^2 = .38$, Adjusted $R^2 = .37$, $F(1, 148) = 47.85$, $p < .001$.

4. Discussion

The present study examined family pressure as a culturally embedded predictor of academic stress among psychology graduate students in India, with specific attention to gender differences. The findings provide empirical support for the role of family expectations as a significant non-academic stressor within graduate education and offer important theoretical and contextual insights. Consistent with the study objectives, female students reported significantly higher levels of both family pressure and academic stress than their male counterparts. This finding aligns with prior research indicating that women in higher education often experience compounded expectations related to academic performance, caregiving responsibilities, and life-stage transitions (Mason et al., 2013; Brown & Watson, 2010). Within the Indian sociocultural context,

traditional gender norms may further intensify these pressures, positioning academic success as simultaneously empowering and burdensome for female students.

From a **role strain perspective** (Goode, 1960), female psychology graduate students may experience heightened stress due to competing and often incompatible role expectations being a successful student, a future professional, and a family-conforming individual. The coexistence of these roles can create chronic strain, particularly when family expectations conflict with the prolonged and uncertain nature of psychological training. The strong positive association between family pressure and academic stress, along with the finding that family pressure explained 38% of the variance in academic stress, underscores the salience of family dynamics in shaping students' academic experiences. This result can be interpreted through the lens of **Conservation of Resources (COR) theory** (Hobfoll, 1989), which posits that stress arises when individuals perceive a threat to their valued resources or experience ongoing resource depletion. Persistent family pressure may function as a continuous demand on emotional, cognitive, and motivational resources, thereby amplifying the impact of academic stressors.

In collectivist cultures such as India, family expectations are often internalized as moral obligations rather than external demands (Kim et al., 2006). As a result, family pressure may operate as a chronic background stressor, intensifying academic stress even in the absence of overt conflict. For psychology graduate students, whose training involves emotional labour, ethical responsibility, and extended periods of professional uncertainty, such pressure may further erode available coping resources. The findings also suggest that family pressure is not merely a contextual variable but a meaningful predictor of academic stress. This challenges predominantly individualistic models of academic stress that focus solely on workload, performance anxiety, or institutional factors. Instead, the present study highlights the need to adopt **ecologically grounded and culturally responsive frameworks** when examining stress among graduate students, particularly in non-Western contexts.

From an applied perspective, these results have important implications for psychology training programs. Academic institutions may benefit from incorporating family-sensitive interventions, such as psychoeducational workshops for students and families, mentorship programs addressing gender-specific challenges, and counseling services that explicitly acknowledge family-related stressors. Recognizing family pressure as a legitimate contributor to academic stress may enhance student well-being, retention, and professional development.

5. Conclusion

The study demonstrates that family pressure is a significant predictor of academic stress among psychology graduate students in India. Female students experience higher levels of both family pressure and academic stress, indicating gender-based disparities. Recognizing family pressure as a key contributor to academic stress has important implications for student support services and training program design.

6. Limitations and Future Directions

The use of convenience sampling and restriction to institutions in Tamil Nadu limits generalizability. Exclusion of students with severe mental illness may have led to underestimation of stress levels. Future research should employ longitudinal designs, include mental health outcome variables, and explore moderating factors such as social support and coping strategies.

7. References

1. Aparicio, E., Michalopoulos, L. M., & Unick, G. J. (2013). An examination of the psychometric properties of the Sense of Community Index among Latina/o students. *Journal of Latina/o Psychology, 1*(3), 145–158. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031544>
2. Barnes, B. J., & Austin, A. E. (2009). The role of doctoral advisors: A look at advising from the advisor's perspective. *Innovative Higher Education, 33*(5), 297–315. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10755-008-9084-x>
3. Biddle, B. J. (1986). Recent developments in role theory. *Annual Review of Sociology, 12*, 67–92. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.so.12.080186.000435>
4. Brown, L., & Watson, P. (2010). Understanding the experiences of female doctoral students. *Journal of Further and Higher Education, 34*(3), 385–404. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2010.484056>
5. Byrd, D. R., & McKinney, K. J. (2012). Individual, interpersonal, and institutional level factors associated with the mental health of college students. *Journal of American College Health, 60*(3), 185–193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2011.601672>
6. Chan, K. W., Chan, S. M., & Kwok, W. M. (2009). Assessing Chinese adolescents' family pressure: Development and validation of the Chinese Family Pressure Scale. *Child Indicators Research, 2*(4), 385–401. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12187-009-9043-2>
7. De Clercq, M., Devos, C., Azzi, A., Frenay, M., Klein, O., & Galand, B. (2019). "I need somebody to lean on": A social identity analysis of university students' social support. *Social Psychology of Education, 22*(2), 321–340. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-018-9476-4>
8. El-Ghoroury, N. H., Galper, D. I., Sawaqdeh, A., & Bufka, L. F. (2012). Stress, coping, and barriers to wellness among psychology graduate students. *Training and Education in Professional Psychology, 6*(2), 122–134. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028768>
9. Enochs, W. K., & Roland, C. B. (2006). Social adjustment of college freshmen: The importance of gender and living environment. *College Student Journal, 40*(1), 63–72.
10. Evans, T. M., Bira, L., Gastelum, J. B., Weiss, L. T., & Vanderford, N. L. (2018). Evidence for a mental health crisis in graduate education. *Nature Biotechnology, 36*(3), 282–284. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4089>
11. Galán, F., Sanmartín, A., Polo, J., & Giner, L. (2011). Burnout risk in medical students in Spain using the Maslach Burnout Inventory–Student Survey. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health, 84*(4), 453–459. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-011-0623-x>
12. Gardner, S. K. (2009). Student and faculty attributions of attrition in high and low-completing doctoral programs. *Higher Education, 58*(1), 97–112. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-008-9184-7>
13. Gold, J. M. (2006). Profiling marital satisfaction among graduate students. *Contemporary Family Therapy, 28*(4), 485–495. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10591-006-9019-0>
14. Golde, C. M. (2005). The role of the department and discipline in doctoral student attrition. *The Journal of Higher Education, 76*(6), 669–700. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2005.11772304>
15. Goode, W. J. (1960). A theory of role strain. *American Sociological Review, 25*(4), 483–496. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2092933>
16. Grover, S., Dutt, A., & Avasthi, A. (2010). An overview of Indian research in depression. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry, 52*(Suppl 1), S178–S188. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.69230>

17. Grover, S., Sahoo, S., Bhalla, A., & Avasthi, A. (2019). Psychological problems and burnout among medical professionals. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*, 61(2), 175–182. https://doi.org/10.4103/psychiatry.IndianJPsychiatry_254_18
18. Hobfoll, S. E. (1989). Conservation of resources: A new attempt at conceptualizing stress. *American Psychologist*, 44(3), 513–524. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.44.3.513>
19. Hunter, K. H., & Devine, K. (2016). Doctoral students' emotional exhaustion and intentions to leave academia. *International Journal of Doctoral Studies*, 11, 35–61.
20. Hyun, J. K., Quinn, B. C., Madon, T., & Lustig, S. (2006). Graduate student mental health: Needs assessment and utilization of counseling services. *Journal of College Student Development*, 47(3), 247–266. <https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.2006.0030>
21. Inman, A. G., Yeh, C. J., Madan-Bahel, A., & Nath, S. (2007). Bereavement and coping of South Asian families. *Journal of Multicultural Counseling and Development*, 35(2), 101–115. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-1912.2007.tb00052.x>
22. Jairam, D., & Kahl, D. H. (2012). Navigating the doctoral experience: The role of family support. *International Journal of Doctoral Studies*, 7, 259–273.
23. Kim, U., Yang, K. S., & Hwang, K. K. (2006). *Indigenous and cultural psychology: Understanding people in context*. Springer.
24. Kumary, A., & Baker, M. (2008). Stresses reported by UK trainee counselling psychologists. *Counselling Psychology Quarterly*, 21(1), 19–28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09515070801936510>
25. Labrague, L. J., McEnroe-Petitte, D. M., De Los Santos, J. A. A., & Edet, O. B. (2017). Examining stress perceptions and coping strategies among students. *Nurse Education Today*, 48, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2016.09.007>
26. Mason, M. A., Goulden, M., & Frasch, K. (2013). *Keeping women in the science pipeline*. Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science.
27. Pakenham, K. I., & Stafford-Brown, J. (2012). Stress in clinical psychology trainees. *Australian Psychologist*, 47(2), 91–101. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-9544.2011.00073.x>
28. Sandhu, D. S., & Tung, S. (2004). Stress and quality of life among South Asian students. *Journal of Multicultural Counseling and Development*, 32(3), 139–152. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2161-1912.2004.tb00365.x>
29. Stubb, J., Pyhältö, K., & Lonka, K. (2011). Balancing between inspiration and exhaustion in doctoral studies. *Studies in Continuing Education*, 33(1), 33–50. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0158037X.2010.515572>