Survey on Emerging Trend in Mutation Breeding

Dnyaneshwar K. Gunde[1], Dr. Sanjay A. Kamble[2]

[1]Research Student, Botany, Shri Muktanand College, Gangapur
[2]Research Guide, Botany, Shri Muktanand College, Gangapur

Abstract:
This research paper provides a comprehensive and timely review of mutation breeding's profound impact on modern plant breeding and global agriculture. By tracing the historical development from early induced mutations to contemporary precision mutagenesis integrated with genomics, it elucidates how mutation breeding has accelerated the creation of superior crop varieties with enhanced yield, stress tolerance, and improved quality traits. Globally, over 3,200 mutant varieties have been released, contributing substantially to food security, environmental sustainability, and farmer livelihoods. The paper highlights critical advancements such as CRISPR-based genome editing and high-throughput screening that are transforming mutation breeding into a more precise and efficient tool.

Addressing challenges like unwanted mutations and regulatory complexities, it provides actionable insights for optimizing mutation breeding approaches. This synthesis not only documents the evolution and current trends but also underscores mutation breeding's vital role in meeting the challenges of climate change and population growth. Researchers, plant breeders, and policy makers will find this paper an invaluable resource for guiding future crop improvement strategies.

Introduction:

Mutation breeding, or variation breeding, is a fundamental approach in plant breeding, leveraging induced mutations to enhance genetic variability and select beneficial traits for crop improvement (Ali & Suryakant, 2024; Chen et al., 2023). Since the early 20th century, researchers have exploited this technique using various physical and chemical mutagens, revolutionizing modern agriculture by introducing traits that would otherwise require extensive timeframes to develop via conventional breeding. This review presents an in-depth history, conceptual understanding, evolution, and the current landscape of mutation breeding, identifying its key contributions, methodological advancements, and existing challenges.

Review of Literature:

Historical Evolution of Mutation Breeding: The origins of mutation breeding trace back to the late 19th and early 20th centuries with foundational work by Hugo de Vries, who coined the term "mutation" during his experiments on evening primrose (Oenothera lamarckiana) (Oladosu et al., 2016). However, targeted plant mutation research gained momentum after Lewis J. Stadler's pioneering studies involving X-ray-induced mutations in maize and barley in the late 1920s and 1930s (FAO, 2018; Nakagawa, 2021). By the 1930s, focus shifted to controlled induction of genetic variability in crops, leading to the commercialization of the first mutant variety in tobacco in 1934 (Oladosu et al., 2016). In the post-World War II period, "atomic gardens" emerged as global efforts to use radiation for crop improvement

(Wikipedia, 2011; Johnson, 2011). The establishment of gamma fields and the expansion of mutagenesis infrastructure worldwide accelerated the development of new plant varieties, especially from the 1950s onwards (IAEA, 2016; Nakagawa, 2021). Modern estimates suggest over 3,200 mutant plant varieties have been officially released, with significant success in food, ornamental, and industrial crops (Wikipedia, 2011).

Concept and Principles of Mutation Breeding: Mutation breeding is the process of deliberately exposing plant materials—seeds, gametes, or vegetative tissues—to mutagenic agents to induce heritable genetic alterations not achievable through standard hybridization (Ali & Suryakant, 2024). Physical mutagens include radiations such as gamma rays, X-rays, and fast neutrons, while chemical agents such as ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) and sodium azide are also widely adopted. Mutagenic treatments generate random or targeted changes in plant DNA, increasing the spectrum of genetic diversity accessible to breeders (IHT, 2024).

The process encompasses mutagenic treatment, selection of mutant progeny displaying desired traits, and stabilization through recurrent selection or breeding cycles (IHT, 2024). Mutation breeding is distinct from genetic modification; it does not introduce foreign DNA into plants but rather accelerates the rate of spontaneous mutation and selection.

Advancements and Current Trends: Recent years have witnessed a paradigm shift from classical mutation breeding towards integrated methodologies employing molecular and genomic tools (Afiya et al., 2021; Galatalı, 2023; Shahwar et al., 2023). Techniques such as TILLING (Targeting Induced Local Lesions in Genomes), next-generation sequencing (NGS), and CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing have expanded the precision and throughput of mutant identification and validation (Afiya et al., 2021; Galatalı, 2023). In vitro mutagenesis, combining tissue culture and mutagenic exposure, has enabled rapid turnover of mutant lines and efficient screening, particularly in vegetatively propagated and recalcitrant crops (Ali & Suryakant, 2024).

Abiotic stress tolerance, disease resistance, yield stability, and nutritional enhancement are now prominent targets for mutation breeding, with global adoption facilitated by international organizations such as the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (FAO, 2018; IAEA, 2016).

Aspects and Applications: Mutation breeding has led to notable improvements in traits such as dwarfism, disease resistance, early maturity, seedlessness, yield increase, and enhanced nutritional profiles. Key applications include:

- Correction of single-gene defects in high-performing cultivars (Ali & Suryakant, 2024).
- Development of mutants with unique market value, e.g., improved quality in rice, barley, and fruit crops (Afiya et al., 2021).
- Enhancement of stress resilience to drought, salinity, or temperature in cereals and legumes (Chen et al., 2023).
- Ornamentals for novel flower colour and shape (Wikipedia, 2011).
- Challenges in Mutation Breeding: Despite its successes, mutation breeding faces critical bottlenecks:
- High frequency of undesirable mutations complicates selection and recovery of elite mutants (Ali & Suryakant, 2024).
- Genetic instability and difficulties in trait fixation often require large populations and multigenerational trials (Oladosu et al., 2016).

- Regulatory uncertainty regarding mutant classification and commercialization, especially with the advent of genome-editing tools (Galatalı, 2023).
- Limited applicability to polygenic traits and complex quantitative genetic architectures (Shahwar et al., 2023).
- Capacity gaps in phenotypic screening and molecular validation in developing countries still pose hurdles for widespread adoption.

Materials and Methods:

This research paper is based on a rigorous review of primary and secondary literature relevant to mutation breeding, covering historical sources, peer-reviewed articles, case studies, and institutional reports published by FAO, IAEA, and leading scientific journals. Online academic databases were searched with terms such as "history of mutation breeding," "concepts in mutation breeding," "current mutation breeding trends," "mutation breeding challenges," and "mutation breeding in crop improvement." Data on mutant variety development and applications were extracted from publicly available databases and cross-verified against recent meta-analyses and reviews.

Results:

Historical Milestones: The formal induction of mutations via X-rays by Stadler in maize, barley, and wheat initiated the systematic era of mutation breeding (Oladosu et al., 2016). Since the 1930s, over 3,200 mutant cultivars have been released across more than 200 plant species, with rice, wheat, barley, and various horticultural crops leading the statistics (Wikipedia, 2011; FAO, 2018). Hybrid strategies combining mutation breeding with tissue culture and molecular screening began emerging in the late 20th century (Afiya et al., 2021).

Impact and Trends: Mutant varieties are now key contributors to global food security, especially in developing countries subjected to biotic and abiotic constraints (FAO, 2018). Recent breakthroughs include CRISPR/Cas9-editing for trait-specific mutagenesis and high-throughput phenotyping (Galatalı, 2023). Molecular tools such as NGS and marker-assisted selection are increasingly integrated into mutation breeding pipelines for enhanced efficiency and accuracy (Afiya et al., 2021).

Discussion:

Mutation breeding has continually evolved from random, large-scale mutagenesis to precision-driven interventions aided by genomics and advanced phenotyping. The success stories of induced dwarfism in rice (IR8), semi-dwarf wheat, and seedless fruit varieties like citrus and grape illustrate the transformative potential of mutagenesis in agriculture (Oladosu et al., 2016; FAO, 2018). Integration with tissue culture and molecular markers has addressed some earlier limitations regarding mutant identification and trait fixation.

Going forward, CRISPR/Cas9 and other site-directed nucleases hold the promise for tailored mutation induction, potentially blurring lines between traditional mutation breeding and genetic engineering (Galatalı, 2023). Regulatory harmonization and investment in infrastructure, training, and collaborative international programs remain crucial for harnessing the full benefit of mutation breeding, particularly to address climate change and food insecurity.

Summary:

Mutation breeding is a cornerstone of modern plant science, traced from Hugo de Vries's mutation concept to 21st-century genome editing. Its ability to unlock new genetic variation has underpinned formidable advances in yield, stress tolerance, and quality in a vast array of crop plants. While challenges persist especially concerning efficiency, regulatory clarity, and technical barriers the continuous evolution of mutagenesis methodologies and their integration with genomics offer ongoing potential for crop improvement.

Bibliography:

1. Ali, S., & Suryakant, T. N. (2024). Mutation Breeding and Its Importance in Modern Plant Breeding: A Review. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International, 46(7), 264-275. https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i72581 [1]
2. Afiya, R. S., Kumar, S. S., & Manivannan, S. (2021). Recent trends with mutation breeding in fruit crop improvement. Plant Cell Biotechnology and Molecular Biology, 22(71-72), 393-403. https://ikprress.org/index.php/PCBMB/article/view/7308 [2]
3. Chen, L., et al. (2023). Current trends and insights on EMS mutagenesis for plant development and abiotic stress tolerance. Frontiers in Plant Science, 13, Article 1052569.https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/plantscience/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022.1052569/full [3]
4. FAO. (2018). Plant Mutation Breeding and Biotechnology. Rome: FAO. https://openknowledge.fao.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/a25f529d-592b-4368-9965-6c77696f00f1/content [4]
5. Galatalı, S. (2023). Mutation Breeding in Horticultural Plant Species. Lidsen Journal of Genetics, 7(4), Article 198. https://www.lidsen.com/journals/genetics/genetics-07-04-198 [5]
6. IAEA. (2016). Mutation breeding. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency. http://www.iaea.org/topics/mutation-breeding [6]
7. Nakagawa, H. (2021). History of Mutation Breeding and Molecular Research. In Molecular
8. Research in Plant Breeding (pp. 39-56). CAB International. https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/pdf/10.1079/9781789249095.0003 [7]
9. Oladosu, Y., et al. (2016). Principle and application of plant mutagenesis in crop improvement: a review. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment, 29(2), 221-239. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13102818.2015.1087333 [8]
10. Shahwar, D., et al. (2023). Mutagenesis-based plant breeding approaches and molecular tools. Plant ScienceToday,10 (3), 321-333. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1383574223000212 [9]
11. Wikipedia. (2011). Mutation breeding. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mutation_breeding [10]
12. Ali, S., & Suryakant, T. N. (2024). Mutation Breeding and Its Importance in Modern Plant Breeding: A Review. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International, 46 (7), 264-275. https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i72581 [1]
13. Afiya, R. S., Kumar, S. S., & Manivannan, S. (2021). Recent trends with mutation breeding in fruit crop improvement. Plant Cell Biotechnology and Molecular Biology, 22(71-72), 393-403. https://ikprress.org/index.php/PCBMB/article/view/7308 [2]

14. Chen, L., et al. (2023). Current trends and insights on EMS mutagenesis for plant development and abiotic stress tolerance. Frontiers in Plant Science, 13, Article 1052569. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/plant-science/articles/10.3389/fpls.2022.1052569/full [3]
15. FAO. (2018). Plant Mutation Breeding and Biotechnology. Rome: FAO. https://openknowledge.fao.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/a25f529d-592b-4368-9965-6c77696f00f1/content [4]
16. Galatalı, S. (2023). Mutation Breeding in Horticultural Plant Species. Lidsen Journal of Genetics, 7 (4), Article 198. https://www.lidsen.com/journals/genetics/genetics-07-04-198 [5]
17. IAEA. (2016). Mutation breeding. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency. http://www.iaea.org/topics/mutation-breeding [6]
18. Nakagawa, H. (2021). History of Mutation Breeding and Molecular Research. In Molecular Research in Plant Breeding (pp. 39-56). CAB International. https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/pdf/10.1079/9781789249095.0003 [7]
19. Oladosu, Y., et al. (2016). Principle and application of plant mutagenesis in crop improvement: a review. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment, 29 (2), 221-239. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13102818.2015.1087333 [8]
20. Shahwar, D., et al. (2023). Mutagenesis-based plant breeding approaches and molecular tools. Plant Science Today, 10 (3), 321-333. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1383574223000212 [9]
21. Wikipedia. (2011). Mutation breeding. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mutation_breeding [10]
22. Ma, L., & Chen, X. (2021). Past, present, and future of radiation mutation breeding. Frontiers in Public Health, 9, Article 768071. https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/public-health/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2021.768071/full [11]
23. Yamaguchi, H. (2018). Mutation breeding of ornamental plants using ion beams. Breeding Science, 68 (1), 1-11. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5903978/ [12]
24. Chougale, V. D., Patil, S. R., Das, J. B. B., Ranganna, G., Kalyani, S., Gangwar, V., Singh, L., & Kumar, P. (2025). Mutation breeding in the ERA of genomics: New frontiers in horticultural research. International Journal of Advanced Biochemical Research, 9 (5), 288-294. https://www.biochemjournal.com/archives/2025.v9.i5.D.4329/mutation-breeding-in-the-era-of-genomics-new-frontiers-in-horticultural-research [13
25. Ignited.in. (2024). A study on mutation breeding for crop improvement. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, Article 15087. https://ignited.in/index.php/jast/article/view/15087 [14]
26. IAEA. (2025). What is Mutation Breeding International Atomic Energy Agency News. http://www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/what-is-mutation-breeding [15]
27. Agricultural Journals. (2024). Recent advances and achievements in mutation breeding. Agricultural Reviews, 6(2C), 125-135. https://www.agriculturaljournals.com/archives/2024/vol6issue2/PartC/6-2-52-927.pdf [16]
28. Science Publishing Group. (2022). Mutation breeding and its importance in modern plant breeding. Journal of Plant Science, 10 (2), 22-30. https://www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/article/10.11648/j.jps.20221002.13 [17]