

Ethical Issues in English Language Use: A Literature Review

Dr. Manoj Kumar Kalita

Associate Professor
Department of English
Nalbari Commerce College
Nalbari, Assam, India

Abstract:

This study examines ethical issues in English language use through a comprehensive literature review of 40 scholarly sources, of which 30 cited studies were selected for analysis. Adopting a qualitative and analytical approach, the research explores ethical concerns across teaching, assessment, academic writing, research practices, and communication. The findings reveal that ethical issues are closely linked to fairness, power relations, cultural identity, and academic integrity. The dominance of English as a global language facilitates communication but also raises challenges such as linguistic inequality, marginalisation of local languages, and bias in assessment and authorship practices. The study highlights the need for inclusive and culturally sensitive approaches in English Language Teaching and emphasises the importance of ethical awareness among educators and researchers. It concludes that ethical considerations are essential for responsible language use and calls for the development of frameworks to address emerging challenges in digital and multilingual contexts.

Keywords: Ethical Issues, English Language, Linguistic Ethics, ELT, Academic Integrity

Introduction:

Ethical issues in English language use encompass a range of concerns, particularly in teaching, assessment, and authorship. In the classroom, the interplay of teachers' values and the moral dilemmas they face is crucial, as these factors shape the educational experience and reflect broader social and political contexts (*Johnston, 2002*). Additionally, the assessment of English language learners (ELLs) raises significant ethical questions, particularly regarding the validity and fairness of testing practices, which can disproportionately affect marginalised groups (*Wolf et al., 2008; Spolsky, 2013*). The use of language editing services in academic writing also presents ethical challenges, particularly concerning authorship and the potential for misrepresentation of contributions, as these services can blur the lines between editing and co-authorship (*Lozano, 2014*). Furthermore, ESL faculty encounter ethical dilemmas that require them to navigate social justice issues both within and beyond the classroom, emphasising the need for principled pedagogical strategies (*Wenden, 2004*). Collectively, these papers highlight the complex ethical landscape surrounding the use of English, necessitating ongoing reflection and action by educators and policymakers.

Objectives of the Study:

The study aims to:

1. To examine the concept and nature of ethical issues in the English language use
2. To analyse ethical challenges in English language teaching, research, and communication, and
3. To evaluate existing literature and suggest approaches for ethical language practices.

Methodology:

The present study adopts a qualitative and analytical research design based on a Systematic Review of Literature (SRL) to examine trends in English language research. Data were collected from a total of 40 scholarly sources, including journal articles, books, and research papers, obtained from academic databases such as Google Scholar and related repositories. The selection of studies was based on relevance, credibility, and contribution to the research topic. To ensure the quality and academic reliability of the analysis, studies with zero citation count were excluded, as citation frequency is considered an indicator of scholarly impact and validation within the academic community. After applying this criterion, only the cited and academically recognised 30 studies were retained for further analysis. The selected data were then organised and analysed using qualitative techniques such as content analysis and comparative analysis to identify patterns, trends, and key findings related to the study.

Statistics of Studies by Type (Before Rejection)

Type of Publication	Number of Papers	Percentage
Journal Articles	25	62.5%
Book Chapters	4	10%
Books	1	2.5%
Proceedings Articles	2	5%
Reference Entries	1	2.5%
Others (Reports/Unclassified)	7	17.5%
Total	40	100%

Statistics of Studies Based on Citation

Citation Range	Number of Papers	Percentage
100+ citations	1	2.5%
50–99 citations	1	2.5%
20–49 citations	2	5%
10–19 citations	4	10%
5–9 citations	6	15%
1–4 citations	16	40%
0 citation / Not available	10	25%
Total	40	100%

Grouped Frequency Table of Studies by Year

Year Range	Frequency (No. of Papers)	Percentage
1996 – 2010	9	22.5%
2011 – 2020	9	22.5%

2021 – 2022	7	17.5%
2023 – 2024	15	37.5%
Total	40	100%

Content Analysis of the Accepted Papers:

The accepted studies focus on ethical issues in English language use across teaching, research, communication, and policy contexts. The analysis of these studies reveals multiple dimensions of ethical concerns, including fairness, power relations, cultural sensitivity, and academic integrity. These issues reflect the growing complexity of English as a global language and its impact on diverse sociocultural environments (*Johnston, 2002; Tagata, 2017*).

1. **Ethical Issues in English Language Teaching (ELT):** A significant number of studies examine ethical concerns in English language teaching, particularly focusing on teacher responsibility, classroom decision-making, and student welfare. Ethical dilemmas arise when teachers must balance institutional expectations with the diverse needs of learners, especially in multicultural classrooms. Issues such as fairness, inclusion, and respect for student identity are emphasised, highlighting the moral dimension of teaching practices (*Wenden, 2004; Mangubhai, 2007; Deckert, 2004*).
2. **Language, Power, and Inequality:** Several studies highlight how the dominance of English creates power imbalances and reinforces social inequalities. The global spread of English often marginalises local languages and cultures, leading to linguistic imperialism and cultural dominance. These studies emphasise the need to critically examine the role of English in shaping power structures and access to opportunities (*Hiep, 2006; Kovacs, 2020; Rajagopalan, 1999*).
3. **Ethical Issues in Language Assessment and Evaluation:** Ethical concerns related to language assessment are also prominent in the literature. Studies discuss issues such as validity, fairness, and bias in testing practices, as well as the impact of standardised assessments on learners' educational outcomes. The need for ethical guidelines and equitable assessment practices is strongly emphasised (*Spolsky, 2013; Wolf et al., 2008*).
4. **Authorship, Editing, and Academic Integrity:** Several studies address ethical challenges in academic writing and publishing, particularly the use of language editing services, authorship transparency, and plagiarism. The increasing reliance on digital tools and collaborative writing has blurred traditional notions of authorship, raising concerns about integrity and accountability in scholarly communication (*Lozano, 2014; Wright, 2014*).
5. **Multilingualism and Cross-Cultural Communication:** Many studies explore ethical issues in multilingual and intercultural communication, where misunderstandings and biases can arise due to linguistic and cultural differences. Ethical communication requires sensitivity to diverse perspectives and the ability to negotiate meaning across cultural boundaries (*Wiltse, 2011; Meier et al., 2024*).
6. **Language and Cultural Identity:** The relationship between language and cultural identity is a recurring theme in the literature. Studies indicate that the use of English can influence identity formation and sometimes lead to the erosion of local languages and cultural values. Researchers advocate for the preservation of linguistic diversity and the recognition of local identities within global communication (*Tagata, 2017; Aslanargun & Süngü, 2006*).
7. **Ethical Issues in Research and Applied Linguistics:** Ethical considerations in linguistic research include issues such as informed consent, data representation, and researcher-participant relationships. Studies highlight the importance of ethical awareness in conducting research, particularly in multilingual

and multicultural contexts where power dynamics may affect data collection and interpretation (*Li & Lou, 2021; Schembri & Jašić, 2022*).

8. **Ethics in Language Policy and Globalisation:** The spread of English due to globalisation raises ethical concerns related to language policy and planning. The prioritisation of English in education and governance may disadvantage other languages and contribute to social inequality. These studies call for balanced language policies that promote both global communication and local linguistic diversity (*Kovacs, 2020; Härmäläinen, 2022*).

9. **Ethical Communication and Discourse Practices:** Research also highlights the ethical dimensions of everyday communication, including the use of respectful language, avoidance of harmful expressions, and the role of discourse in shaping social norms. Ethical language use is essential for promoting mutual understanding and maintaining social harmony (*Handayani, 2023; Jiang, 2023*).

The content analysis of the accepted papers demonstrates that ethical issues in English language use are complex and multifaceted, spanning education, communication, research, and policy domains. The studies collectively emphasise the importance of fairness, inclusivity, cultural sensitivity, and academic integrity in language use. As English continues to function as a global language, it is essential to adopt ethical practices that promote equality, respect diversity, and ensure responsible communication across cultures (*Johnston, 2002; Lozano, 2014*).

Future Research Scope:

1. The present study opens several avenues for future research on ethical issues in English language use, such as:
2. Need for empirical studies (surveys, interviews, case studies) on real-life ethical issues.
3. More region-specific research (e.g., India, Northeast India).
4. Focus on digital and AI-related ethical issues in language use.
5. Scope for interdisciplinary research (linguistics, sociology and education).
6. Development of ethical guidelines for teaching, research, and assessment.
7. More comparative cross-cultural studies on ethical language practices.

Conclusion:

The present study concludes that ethical issues in English language use are complex, multidimensional, and increasingly significant in the context of globalisation and cross-cultural communication. The analysis of the accepted studies reveals that ethical concerns extend across various domains, including English language teaching, academic writing, language assessment, research practices, and policy-making. These issues are closely linked to broader themes such as power, inequality, cultural identity, and linguistic diversity, highlighting that language is not a neutral medium but a socially and ethically embedded system (*Johnston, 2002; Rajagopalan, 1999*). The findings indicate that the dominance of English as a global language can create both opportunities and challenges. While it facilitates international communication, it may also contribute to the marginalisation of local languages and cultures. Ethical concerns such as bias in assessment, inequity in access, authorship integrity, and cultural insensitivity require careful consideration to ensure fairness and inclusivity in language use (*Spolsky, 2013; Lozano, 2014*). Moreover, the role of English in shaping identity and social relations underscores the need for responsible and culturally aware communication practices (*Tagata, 2017*).

The study also highlights the growing importance of ethical awareness in English language teaching and research, where educators and researchers must navigate complex moral dilemmas in diverse and multilingual contexts. The increasing emphasis on inclusivity, critical literacy, and intercultural competence reflects a shift toward more ethical and equitable language practices (Wenden, 2004; Schembri & Jašić, 2022). In conclusion, ethical considerations are central to the effective and responsible use of English in a globalised world. There is a need for continuous reflection, policy development, and research to address emerging ethical challenges, particularly in digital communication and multilingual settings. Promoting ethical language use will ensure that English serves as a tool for inclusive communication, cultural respect, and social equity rather than reinforcing existing inequalities.

REFERENCES:

1. Aslanargun, E., & Süngü, H. (2006). *Yabancı Dil Öğretimi ve Etik*. 34(170), 1–15.
<https://dergipark.org.tr/en/download/article-file/470430>
2. Briggs, N., & Kim, Y. (2020). *The Moral Dimension of English Language Teaching: Exploring the Collaborative Reflections of Two In-Service University Instructors*. 44(4), 331–352.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/S42321-020-00051-5>
3. Christenbury, L. (2008). A Consideration of the Ethics of Teaching English. *English Journal*, 97(6), 32–37. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ804612>
4. Deckert, G. (1996). *Ethical Considerations in Addressing Values in the ESL Classroom*. (Paper #3332). <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED411672.pdf>
5. Deckert, G. (2004). Guidelines for the Selection of Topical Content in ESL Programs. *TESL Canada Journal*, 22(4), 73–88. <https://doi.org/10.18806/TESL.V0I0.1041>
6. Dembinski, P. H., Rudaz, P., Soissons, H., & Chesney, M. (2022). Chapter 26. Does global English influence the perception of professional ethical dilemmas? (pp. 532–553).
<https://doi.org/10.1075/wlp.9.26dem>
7. Dyrud, M. A. (1998). What about ethics? [engineering education]. *Frontiers in Education Conference*, 1, 523–526. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE.1998.736908>
8. Ethical Issues in Applied Linguistics Scholarship. (2024). *Research Methods in Applied Linguistics*. <https://doi.org/10.1075/rmal.7>
9. Hämäläinen, M. (2022). Ethics, Dialogue and English as a Lingua Franca for ESD in Higher Education. *Language Learning in Higher Education*, 12(2), 547–565.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/cercles-2022-2062>
10. Handayani, L. (2023). Ethics of Language Through Speech Actions. *Education Achievement: Journal of Science and Research*, 30–35. <https://doi.org/10.51178/jsr.v4i2.1439>
11. Harries, J. (2018). Attenuate English, for the sake of the African poor. *Global Missiology English*, 3(15). <http://ojs.globalmissiology.org/index.php/english/article/view/2135>
12. Jiang, Y. (2023). Language and Ethics: A Better Way to Treat “Derogatory Terms” and Corresponding Punishments. *BCP Education & Psychology*, 9, 412–417.
<https://doi.org/10.54691/bcpep.v9i.4716>
13. Johnston, B. (2002). *Values in English Language Teaching*.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781410606983/values-english-language-teaching-bill-johnston>

14. Johnston, B. (2002). *Values in English Language Teaching*.
<https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781410606983/values-english-language-teaching-bill-johnston>
15. Jouin, É., & Ticca, A. C. (2022). Le dilemme de la recherche. *Bulletin de Liaison Des Adhérents de l'AFAS*, 48, 52–66. <https://doi.org/10.4000/afas.7475>
16. Kamocki, P., & Witt, A. (n.d.). Ethical Issues in Language Resources and Language Technology – Tentative Categorisation. *International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation*, 559–563.
17. Kim, Y., & Kellogg, D. (2015). Rocks and other hard places: tracing ethical thinking in Korean and English dialog. *Language and Education*, 29(6), 493–508.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09500782.2015.1049616>
18. Kostogriz, A. (2018). The question of professional ethics in TESOL: Hospitality as an (im)possible demand? *TESOL in Context*, 27(2), 23–41.
<https://doi.org/10.21153/TESOL2018VOL27NO2ART829>
19. Kovacs, P. (2020). If English Was Good Enough for Jesus, It's Good Enough for Me. *Philosophical Inquiry in Education*, 17(1), 25–34. <https://doi.org/10.7202/1072465AR>
20. Lozano, G. A. (2014). Ethics of Using Language Editing Services in An Era of Digital Communication and Heavily Multi-Authored Papers. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 20(2), 363–377. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11948-013-9451-6>
21. Lozano, G. A. (2014). Ethics of Using Language Editing Services in An Era of Digital Communication and Heavily Multi-Authored Papers. *Science and Engineering Ethics*, 20(2), 363–377. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11948-013-9451-6>
22. Macri, M. J. (2010). *Language documentation: Whose ethics?* 37–48. <https://www.jbe-platform.com/content/books/9789027287830-z.158.06mac>
23. Magnani, L. (2024). *Language, Morality, and Violence*. Elsevier BV.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-323-95504-1.00022-3>
24. Mangubhai, F. (2007). The moral and ethical dimensions of language teaching. *Australian Journal of Education*, 51(2), 178–189. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000494410705100206>
25. Meier, G., Van der Voet, P. B., & Yan, T. (2024). Research ethics in a multilingual world: A guide to reflecting on language decisions in all disciplines. *Diametros*.
<https://doi.org/10.33392/diam.1926>
26. O'Neill, B. J. (2023). *Ethics and Language*. 299–314.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119780830.ch16>
27. Paveau, M.-A. (2009). *Peut-on dire n'importe quoi? Langage et morale*. 167, 105–113.
<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00477248>
28. Peled, Y. (2017). Language and the limits of justice. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 38(7), 645–657. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01434632.2016.1192175>
29. Pham, H. H. (2006). *The Global Spread of English: Ethical and Pedagogic Concerns for ESL/EFL Teachers*. 3(1), 21–37. <https://www.earticle.net/Article/A182096>
30. Rajagopalan, K. (1999). Toward an ethically committed linguistics. *Revista de Estudos Da Linguagem*, 8(1), 211–237. <https://doi.org/10.17851/2237-2083.8.1.211-237>
31. Ramesh, S. (2022). Striking the Balance: Ethical Implications of Language Use in Marketing. *Journal of Language and Linguistics in Society*. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jlls.21.13.16>

32. Schembri, N., & Jahić Jašić, A. (2022). Ethical issues in multilingual research situations: a focus on interview-based research. *Research Ethics Review*, 18(3), 210–225.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/17470161221085857>
33. Spolsky, B. (2013). *The Influence of Ethics in Language Assessment*. 1571–1585.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118411360.WBCLA005>
34. Spolsky, B. (2013). *The Influence of Ethics in Language Assessment*. 1571–1585.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118411360.WBCLA005>
35. Tagata, W. M. (2017). *Letramento crítico, ética e ensino de língua inglesa no século XXI: por um diálogo entre culturas*. 17(3), 379–403. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1984-6398201710973>
36. Vida, K., Simon, J., & Lauscher, A. (2023). *Values, Ethics, Morals? On the Use of Moral Concepts in NLP Research*. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2023.findings-emnlp.368>
37. Vida, K., Simon, J., & Lauscher, A. (2023). *Values, Ethics, Morals? On the Use of Moral Concepts in NLP Research*. *arXiv.Org*, *abs/2310.13915*.
<https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2310.13915>
38. Wenden, A. L. (2004). Ethical issues for esl faculty: social justice in practice. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 26(3), 503–504. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263104263069>
39. Wenden, A. L. (2004). Ethical issues for esl faculty: social justice in practice. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 26(3), 503–504. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263104263069>
40. Wierzbicka, A. (2018). *Charter of Global Ethic in Minimal English* (pp. 113–141). Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-62512-6_6
41. Wiltse, L. (2011). “But My Students All Speak English”: Ethical Research Issues of Aboriginal English. *TESL Canada Journal*, 28(5), 53. <https://doi.org/10.18806/TESL.V28I0.1081>
42. Wolf, M. K., Farnsworth, T., & Herman, J. L. (2008). Validity Issues in Assessing English Language Learners’ Language Proficiency. *Educational Assessment*, 13, 80–107.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10627190802394222>
43. Wolf, M. K., Farnsworth, T., & Herman, J. L. (2008). Validity Issues in Assessing English Language Learners’ Language Proficiency. *Educational Assessment*, 13, 80–107.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10627190802394222>
44. Wright, C. L. (2014). Ethical Issues and Potential Solutions Surrounding the Use of Spoken Language Interpreters in Psychology. *Ethics & Behavior*, 24(3), 215–228.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10508422.2013.845532>