

The Impact of Remote Work on Rural Economies: A Narrative Review of the “Zoom Town” Phenomenon

Amina Adam¹, Adwoa Agyeiwaa Ampomah-Britwum²,
Mary Magdalene Yeboah³

¹LeadingAge Kansas, Topeka, KS, USA

²Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana

³University of Ghana Business School, Ghana

Abstract

The global shift towards remote work, catalyzed by the COVID-19 pandemic, has precipitated a significant demographic and economic transformation in rural areas, giving rise to the "Zoom Town" phenomenon. This narrative review synthesizes existing literature to examine the multifaceted impacts of this remote work-driven migration on rural economies. We explore four principal thematic areas: the reshaping of rural housing markets, the dual nature of rural gentrification, the critical role of digital infrastructure, and the emerging policy imperatives for sustainable rural development. The analysis reveals a complex dynamic where an influx of high-earning remote professionals stimulates local economies but simultaneously drives up housing costs, potentially displacing long-term residents and straining public services. Research indicates that while the "Zoom Town" phenomenon presents a unique opportunity for rural revitalization, it also introduces significant socio-economic challenges that mirror urban issues, including affordability crises and growing inequality. The availability and quality of digital infrastructure have emerged as the primary determinant of a community's ability to attract and retain this new demographic. Consequently, a critical need exists for proactive and integrated policy responses that balance economic growth with social equity. This review concludes by highlighting the necessity for policies focused on affordable housing, strategic infrastructure investment, and inclusive community planning to ensure that the transition from a potential "ghost town" to a thriving "Zoom Town" is both sustainable and equitable for all residents. Future research should focus on longitudinal studies to track the long-term socio-economic consequences and the effectiveness of various policy interventions in these evolving rural landscapes.

Keywords: Remote Work, Zoom Towns, Rural Economies, Rural Revitalization, Counter-Urbanization

1. Introduction

The connection between work and where people live is one of the oldest topics in socio-economic geography. Economic opportunities, traditionally centred around cities, have fuelled a long-running exodus of people from rural to urban areas across the country. But an intersection of digital technology and a global pandemic have upended this entrenched model. Corporations embracing remote work have

unshackled a vast part of the knowledge-worker population from office cubicles, offering them unparalleled location flexibility. This trend has sparked a new wave of counter-urbanization, as people and families have moved out from their expensive, high-density urban dwellings to less-populated towns and the countryside in search of square footage, lower cost of living, and a better life. This migration has created the “Zoom Town,” a term for communities, often in picturesque or amenity-laden rural areas, which are seeing an explosion of new residents who are working remotely (Rumore et al., 2023).

The emergence of Zoom Towns represents a pivotal moment for rural economies across the globe. For decades, many of these communities have faced systemic challenges, including population decline, an aging demographic, limited employment opportunities, and underinvestment in infrastructure. The arrival of remote workers, who often bring with them higher-than-average incomes and valuable skill sets, presents a potential lifeline, an opportunity for economic revitalization, demographic renewal, and cultural diversification. Early research suggests this influx can stimulate local demand for goods and services, support small businesses, increase property tax revenues, and potentially reverse the trend of shrinking school enrolments. This demographic shift has been observed in various contexts, with studies noting the significant impact of remote work on the relocation decisions of young professionals from core cities to suburban and rural destinations (Sánchez-Moral et al., 2025).

However, this phenomenon is not without significant challenges and inherent contradictions. The same forces driving revitalization can also introduce profound socio-economic pressures that threaten the fabric of these communities. The most immediate and widely documented impact has been on local housing markets. The surge in demand from affluent newcomers has led to soaring property values and rental costs, creating an affordability crisis for long-term residents, essential service workers, and younger generations (Hamiduddin et al., 2024). This dynamic is a hallmark of rural gentrification, a process where the character of a community is altered as wealthier individuals displace lower-income populations (Willett, 2023). Scholars have noted the growing fears of rural gentrification linked to the trend of remote workers moving from large cities to smaller towns (Holleran, 2022). This process can lead to the displacement of local culture, increased social stratification, and heightened pressure on public services and infrastructure that were not designed to accommodate rapid population growth. The exodus of professionals to gentrified suburbs and small towns has been identified as a key trend in the post-pandemic landscape (Florida et al., 2023).

Additionally, the capacity for a rural community to benefit from remote work opportunities is contingent upon that community's digital infrastructure. High-speed, dependable internet access no longer feels like a luxury but more like a requirement, the bottom floor upon which an altogether new rural economy will need to rest. However, an enduring digital divide implies that connectivity is unevenly spread, and a landscape of digital “haves” and “have-nots” emerges across rural areas (Duanmu et al., 2025). And that discrepancy is making all the difference in which communities can become homes to remote workers, and which are even further behind, amplifying already profound regional disparities. The integration of the digital economy and rural rejuvenation has emerged as a mainstream topic in modern literature, emphasizing that policy instruments should be strategically deployed to narrow this “digital divide” (Deng et al., 2024).

This narrative review aims to synthesize the burgeoning body of academic and policy literature to provide a comprehensive overview of the impact of remote work on rural economies through the lens of the Zoom Town phenomenon. By examining the complex interplay of housing market dynamics, rural gentrification, digital infrastructure, and policy responses, this paper seeks to illuminate both the promise and the peril

of this transformative trend. It will analyze how remote work is reshaping urban and rural economies by altering investment patterns and demographic flows. The central argument is that while remote work-driven migration offers a significant opportunity for rural revitalization, its benefits are not automatic or equitably distributed. Realizing this potential requires a proactive, nuanced, and place-based policy approach that mitigates the negative externalities of rapid growth while fostering sustainable and inclusive development. This review will explore the key thematic areas emerging from the literature, identify critical unanswered questions, and suggest directions for future research to better understand and navigate the evolving landscape of the new rural economy. The ongoing impact of remote work on housing values underscores the need for a deeper understanding of these market dynamics to inform effective policy and planning.

2. The Great Rural Migration: Emerging Trends and Thematic Analysis

The COVID-19 pandemic really changed the way we work, pushing remote jobs into the spotlight and affecting both rural and urban areas. Many people, especially knowledge workers, took this chance to rethink where they live, leading to what some call "The Great Rural Migration." Folks who no longer needed to commute were looking for better living conditions, more affordable housing, and a chance to be closer to nature. As a result, a substantial number of professionals moved from big cities to smaller towns and rural areas. But this shift is not simple; it brings a mix of challenges and opportunities for the communities that welcome these newcomers. The implications of this migration are complex and can affect local areas in unexpected ways (Komodromos et al., 2025).

This section explains the key trends we are seeing since more people move to rural areas, creating what is being called the "Zoom Town" phenomenon. We will look at how this migration affects local economies by focusing on four significant changes. First, we will discuss how rural housing markets are changing, especially the sharp rise in prices and the limited number of homes available in these popular areas. Next, we will touch on rural gentrification, which can bring new economic opportunities but might also push out long-time residents and disrupt the local culture. Then, we will look at the importance of digital infrastructure, which is crucial for these new rural economies, while also noting that not everyone has the same access to technology. Finally, we will talk about what local and regional governments need to do to handle this rapid growth carefully, aiming for a sustainable and fair approach to revitalizing rural areas. This analysis will paint a clearer picture of the mixed effects of this new rural lifestyle in our remote-working world (Richlin et al., 2025).

2.1. The Reshaping of Rural Housing Markets

The most immediate and palpable impact of the remote work-driven migration has been the dramatic reshaping of rural housing markets. The sudden influx of urbanites, often retaining high-paying jobs, has introduced a new class of buyers with significant purchasing power into markets characterized by historically modest demand and limited housing stock. This disequilibrium has triggered a cascade of effects, fundamentally altering the dynamics of housing affordability, availability, and development in rural communities across the globe.

The primary trend observed is a steep and rapid appreciation in housing values. Studies conducted during and after the peak of the pandemic consistently show that the shift to remote work has profoundly influenced the geography of housing demand, leading to significant price increases in suburban and rural areas (Hameed et al., 2025; Ahrend et al., 2025). This phenomenon is not merely anecdotal; empirical data confirms that housing markets in locations popular with remote workers have experienced price and rent

increases that far outpace historical norms and national averages (Ozimek, 2020). For example, research indicates that increased housing demand attributable to remote work has led to tangible, long-run increases in rents, placing sustained pressure on local markets (Howard, 2023). This trend is exacerbated by a cost-of-living crisis in many urban centers, which continues to drive decentralization away from expensive and increasingly unaffordable metropolitan housing markets, with remote and hybrid work models facilitating this exodus. The result is a fundamental recalibration of housing values, as what were once considered secondary or vacation home markets are now being evaluated as primary residential options.

The rising demand for housing in rural areas has brought to light some ongoing issues with the availability of homes. Many of these communities simply do not have the variety of housing options necessary to keep up with a sudden increase in people. Typically, the market focuses on single-family houses and lacks affordable rentals, smaller starter homes for young families, or suitable options for older residents. With more remote workers looking for homes that include office space, the competition for these limited houses has grown (MacGregor, 2024). Research indicates that as remote work has become more common, there's been a greater need for low-density housing. This can put pressure on local resources and infrastructure (MacGregor, 2024). As a result, long-time residents, including essential workers, young adults, and low-income families, often find it hard to afford rent or buy homes. This situation poses a serious risk to community stability since people who provide important services, like teachers and healthcare workers, might be unable to live where they work (Obal et al., 2025).

Furthermore, the character of the housing market has shifted. The rise of remote work has blurred the lines between primary residences and second homes, with many properties now serving dual purposes. This has significant repercussions for communities that have historically been reliant on tourism, where second homes are increasingly being converted to full-time residences. This transition impacts the availability of short-term rental properties, which can affect the local tourism economy, while also permanently removing housing units from the market available to residents (Wirsén et al., 2024). The consequence is a housing market under unprecedented strain, where the economic benefits of new, affluent residents are offset by growing affordability crises and a hollowing out of the local workforce. The transformation of the rural housing market is therefore a central, and often contentious, feature of the Zoom Town phenomenon, setting the stage for deeper social and economic shifts.

2.2. The Double-Edged Sword of Rural Gentrification

When affluent, educated professionals move to rural areas, it often leads to gentrification. This term describes how neighbourhoods change when wealthier newcomers arrive, sometimes pushing out current residents and local businesses. In what is often called Zoom Towns, this trend is quite complicated. On one side, it can bring essential funds, energy, and new talents to places that have been struggling economically for years. But on the other hand, it can widen social inequalities, threaten local traditions, and create tensions within the community. This situation reminds us of the delicate balance between growth and preserving the unique character of these towns (Hicke et al., 2025).

The benefits of this change are often clear. When new people move into an area, they tend to shop at local stores and use services, which helps existing businesses thrive and paves the way for new ones like cafes and boutique shops. This can really breathe new life into previously struggling main streets and broaden the local tax base, allowing for improvements in public services and infrastructure. Sometimes, the arrival of remote workers is seen to boost these communities, especially in villages that have lost many residents. The influx of young adults and families can keep local schools and community organizations alive (Weng

et al., 2025). These new arrivals bring energy and money that can spark growth and help reverse declines in rural areas.

However, the negative consequences of rural gentrification are profound and often disproportionately affect the most vulnerable residents. The most direct impact is displacement, driven by the housing market dynamics discussed previously. As property values and rents soar, long-term residents, particularly those on fixed or low incomes, face mounting housing insecurity (Sherman, 2023). This economic pressure is not just a byproduct of market forces; it is intertwined with shifts in social and symbolic capital. Newcomers often bring diverse cultural norms, consumption patterns, and expectations for amenities, which can alter the social fabric of a community (Willett et al., 2023). Local businesses that have served the community for generations may be replaced by enterprises catering to the tastes of the new, wealthier demographic. This can lead to what is known as cultural displacement, where existing residents feel like strangers in their own hometowns, their cultural identity and sense of place eroded (Willett et al., 2023). This process is not limited to a few isolated areas; it is a widespread concern in communities experiencing an influx of remote workers. Research explicitly identifies the "Zoom Town" phenomenon as a driver of rural gentrification, with remote workers relocating from high-cost urban areas and inadvertently causing significant housing and land use challenges in their new communities (Rumore et al., 2023). The trend is global, with studies from various countries documenting how urban populations moving to rural areas for remote work during the pandemic have accelerated gentrification processes (Öncü et al., 2023). Fears of rural gentrification have become a common theme in discussions about the long-term impacts of remote work, as communities grapple with how to manage these changes (Holleran et al., 2022).

Moreover, the benefits of this newfound economic activity are often unevenly distributed. While some local businesses may thrive, the amenities and improvements that accompany gentrification may not be accessible to or desired by all residents (Gillette et al., 2023). This can deepen existing social cleavages and create a sense of two communities living side-by-side: one of affluent newcomers and another of long-term residents who feel left behind. The result is a delicate and often fraught balancing act for rural communities. They must find ways to harness the economic potential of the remote work migration without sacrificing the social cohesion, affordability, and cultural identity that made them attractive places to live in the first place. Navigating this double-edged sword is one of the central challenges facing Zoom Towns today.

2.3. Digital Infrastructure as the Bedrock of the New Rural Economy

The Zoom Town trend really hinges on one crucial factor: having reliable, fast internet. These days, good digital infrastructure is a must-have for remote work and connecting rural areas to the wider world. It is what allows these communities to thrive in this new lifestyle. The quality of broadband is key in deciding which rural places can draw in and keep remote workers, and which ones struggle. Unfortunately, the uneven access to this essential service has created a new form of inequality in the rural economy. It is a big challenge we need to address moving forward.

There is a clear link between having good digital infrastructure and helping rural economies grow. When these areas have strong internet connectivity, it opens the door to new types of businesses, improves access to education and healthcare, and helps diversify their economies beyond just farming and manufacturing, according to (Liu et al., 2024) (Lichao et al., 2024). For developing regions, putting money into digital infrastructure and finance is seen as a vital way to boost rural development and help close economic disparities, as noted by (Bi, 2024).

Building this digital framework allows residents in rural areas to start and run businesses from anywhere, creating new job opportunities and income sources, as (Lichao et al., 2024) explain. Moreover, bringing in remote workers can really spark interest in further investment in broadband. This creates a cycle where the arrival of these workers encourages better infrastructure, which, in turn, attracts even more remote workers and digital entrepreneurs to the community.

However, the reality of digital infrastructure in many rural areas is one of significant disparity, commonly known as the digital divide. Despite progress, vast swaths of rural territory still lack access to affordable, reliable high-speed internet. This digital imbalance is a major barrier to realizing the full potential of remote work for rural revitalization (Zoccola et al., 2022). Communities without adequate broadband are simply not viable options for most remote professionals, effectively cutting them off from the economic opportunities presented by the Zoom Town trend. This creates a landscape of digital "haves" and "have-nots" among rural communities, where those with pre-existing fiber-optic networks or successful public-private partnerships for broadband expansion thrive, while those without fall further behind. The digital divide is thus a critical factor in determining which ghost towns have the potential to become Zoom Towns. Furthermore, infrastructure alone is insufficient. The effective utilization of digital tools also requires digital literacy and skills within the local population. For rural areas to fully benefit, it is not enough to simply provide the physical infrastructure; there must also be investment in training and education to ensure that long-term residents can participate in the digital economy. Digital entrepreneurship, for instance, requires both the necessary infrastructure and highly skilled human capital to flourish (Bergholz et al., 2024). Without concurrent investment in skills development, the benefits of a digitally enabled economy may accrue primarily to the newly arrived remote workers, while existing residents are left unable to compete or participate, further widening local inequalities.

Digital infrastructure serves as both an enabler and a gatekeeper for rural economic transformation in the remote work era. It is the fundamental component that supports social resilience and connects rural dwellers to broader networks, a role that became acutely evident during the COVID-19 pandemic (Johnson et al., 2024). Policies aimed at expanding broadband access and enhancing digital facilities are therefore not just about technology; they are central to addressing long-standing urban-rural disparities and shaping a more equitable future for rural regions (Duanmu et al., 2025). The development of this infrastructure is the critical first step in determining whether the great rural migration will lead to widespread, inclusive revitalization or simply create isolated pockets of prosperity in an otherwise disconnected rural landscape.

2.4. Policy Imperatives for Sustainable Rural Revitalization

The quick and often unexpected growth of areas known as Zoom Towns is a challenge for local governments and policymakers. This surge of remote workers puts a lot of pressure on housing, infrastructure, and social services, so it is important for local leaders to act thoughtfully. We want to make sure that this influx leads to positive changes, rather than pushing out longtime residents or causing social issues. Unfortunately, many rural planning methods are not equipped to handle these new situations, so we need fresh, thoughtful approaches that consider both economic growth and community well-being (Rumore et al., 2023).

A primary policy imperative is addressing the housing affordability crisis. Local governments must move beyond simply reacting to market pressures and instead implement proactive strategies to preserve and expand the supply of affordable housing. This can include a range of tools, such as inclusionary zoning ordinances that require new developments to include a percentage of affordable units, the establishment of community land trusts to acquire and manage land for permanently affordable housing, and regulations

on short-term rentals to prevent the loss of long-term housing stock. Financial incentives for the development of diverse housing types, including multi-family units and accessory dwelling units (ADUs), can also help to alleviate supply constraints. Without decisive action on housing, rural communities risk becoming exclusive enclaves for the affluent, leading to labor shortages in essential services and undermining the social fabric of the community (Pawson et al., 2025).

One major area where we need to focus on policy changes is investing in infrastructure. This is not about improving our digital networks; it also involves upgrading physical infrastructure like water and sewer systems, transportation networks, and public facilities such as schools and healthcare clinics. With the growing population, these systems face new pressure, especially since some may already be outdated or lacking funding. It is crucial for policymakers to create long-term plans that support sustainable growth. This means not just finding funding through federal and state grants but also looking at innovative financing options, like charging fees on new developments, to help cover costs. Coordinating land use with infrastructure investment is key to preventing urban sprawl and fostering more efficient, sustainable development (Li et al., 2023).

Third, fostering social and economic inclusion must be a central goal of any rural revitalization strategy. This involves creating policies that ensure the benefits of economic growth are shared broadly. Workforce development programs that equip long-term residents with digital skills can help them participate in the new economy (Bergholz et al., 2024). Support for local small businesses and entrepreneurs can ensure that economic development is not solely driven by the consumption patterns of newcomers. Furthermore, creating forums and initiatives that promote social integration between new and long-term residents can help to mitigate cultural tensions and build a more cohesive community. Policies should be designed with an explicit focus on equity, aiming to reduce poverty and improve quality of life for all residents, not just the new arrivals (Yaohong et al., 2025).

Finally, achieving sustainable rural revitalization requires a holistic and integrated policy framework. The challenges of housing, infrastructure, economic development, and social cohesion are interconnected and cannot be addressed in silos. Effective policy requires coordination across different government departments and collaboration between public, private, and non-profit sectors (Deng, 2023). Systematic research on policy mechanisms and optimization paths is needed to guide evidence-based decision-making. Drawing on experiences from various rural revitalization efforts can provide valuable insights, but policies must be tailored to the specific context and needs of each community. The goal is to create a policy environment that can thoughtfully manage the forces of change, harnessing the momentum of the great rural migration to build resilient, prosperous, and inclusive rural communities for the long term. This requires moving from a reactive to a visionary stance, shaping the future of rural areas rather than simply being shaped by it.

3. Future Directions and Unanswered Questions

The quick rise of the Zoom Town trend has outpaced academic research, opening many avenues for further study. We are starting to see how this shift affects housing, infrastructure, and local economies, but there are still many ongoing questions. It is important to fill these gaps so that policymakers, community leaders, and rural residents can effectively manage this new wave of remote migration and build fair, sustainable futures. This section highlights the principal areas for future research, focusing on economic sustainability, social dynamics, infrastructure resilience, and governance (Rebecca, 2025).

One critical area to explore going forward is how Zoom Towns will hold up economically over the long

term. While we know that high-earning remote workers have given these areas a considerable initial economic boost, we really do not know if this is a sustainable model. It would be great to have studies that follow these communities over the next decade to see how they are doing. For instance, we should ask ourselves: Will the initial surge in spending lead to a strong and diverse local economy? Or will these towns end up relying too much on remote workers who might leave just as quickly as they came? It's also crucial to look at how remote workers spend their money. Are they supporting local businesses and creating good jobs for residents, or are they keeping businesses that cater to new arrivals afloat? We should consider the idea of "economic leakage," where remote workers buy a lot of things online from outside the area. Understanding all these factors can help us figure out whether the Zoom Town concept can truly revitalize rural areas or if it is just a temporary trend.

It is vital to pay attention to how social and cultural dynamics are changing in communities that are transforming. While the idea of rural gentrification is compelling, it often overlooks the intricate realities of how people blend, clash, and adapt. Moving forward, research should dig deeper than just the surface issues of displacement. Instead, it should focus on understanding what community life looks like in these "Zoom Towns." How do connections form between new arrivals and those who have lived there for years? Are there specific areas of conflict, and what programs or places help bring people together? We should look at how local institutions like schools, libraries, and government offices influence these relationships and help build a shared sense of belonging. Additionally, the political impact of new residents with different viewpoints also needs closer scrutiny. How does their arrival affect local governance, spending, and future community decisions? To answer these questions, we need in-depth, community-focused research that highlights the experiences of all residents, especially those who lower-income are or marginalized (Sherman, 2023).

The foundational role of digital infrastructure also presents a critical axis for future research. While its necessity is well-established, the secondary and tertiary effects of its deployment are less understood. The concept of the "digital divide" needs to be re-examined in this new context. It is no longer simply a question of access versus no access; it is about the quality, reliability, and affordability of that access (Duanmu et al., 2025). Future studies should investigate how disparities in digital infrastructure *within* and *between* rural regions influence which communities are able to attract and retain remote workers, potentially creating a new geography of inequality where some rural areas thrive while others are left further behind. Moreover, research is needed on the relationship between digital infrastructure and economic diversification. Does robust broadband primarily serve to attract remote workers employed by external corporations, or does it effectively empower local entrepreneurs and existing businesses to innovate and compete in the digital economy (Bi, 2024)? Exploring this question can help clarify whether digital infrastructure investment is a tool for endogenous growth or merely an enabler of exogenous economic dependency. This inquiry must also consider the human capital component, as the presence of infrastructure alone is insufficient without the digital literacy and skills necessary to leverage it for economic gain (Bergholz et al., 2024).

Finally, there is a pressing need for policy-oriented research that can provide actionable guidance for local and regional governments. Much of the current discourse identifies problems such as housing affordability crises and strained public services, but rigorous, evidence-based research on effective policy interventions is scarce. Comparative case studies of different Zoom Towns could illuminate best practices in areas such as inclusionary zoning, affordable housing trusts, land value capture, and strategic infrastructure investment. How can rural municipalities design policies that harness the economic benefits of remote

worker migration while actively mitigating the risks of gentrification and displacement (Weigers, 2024)? Research should explore innovative governance models, including regional partnerships and public-private collaborations, that can help smaller communities with limited administrative capacity manage rapid growth effectively. Furthermore, studies are needed to evaluate the effectiveness of programs designed to attract remote workers. Do incentive packages produce a positive return on investment for the community, or do they merely subsidize individuals who would have moved anyway? A critical analysis of existing rural revitalization policy frameworks, particularly in how they adapt or fail to adapt to the Zoom Town phenomenon, would provide invaluable insights for creating more resilient and equitable rural development strategies for the future (Scott et al., 2025). As the lines between urban and rural economies continue to blur, such forward-looking research is not merely an academic exercise but a practical necessity for shaping the future of rural America.

4. Conclusion

The rise of remote work has profoundly transformed rural economies, creating "Zoom Towns" a modern amenity-driven migration reshaping non-metropolitan communities. This review synthesizes literature on this transformation, highlighting its dual nature as a powerful engine for revitalization and a significant driver of disruption. While digitally enabled professionals offer a lifeline to communities experiencing decline, they also pressure local systems, causing housing market escalation, gentrification, and strain on infrastructure.

The Zoom Town phenomenon is an acceleration of shifts in how people work and live, presenting a pivotal moment for rural regions to redefine economic futures. However, without equitable planning, revitalization could lead to displacement and inequality. Sustainable rural prosperity requires a nuanced understanding of global labor trends and local community dynamics, demanding collaborative efforts to build inclusive, resilient economies.

References

1. Rumore, D. & Stoker, P... (2023). Rural Gentrification and the Spillover Effect: Integrated Transportation, Housing, and Land Use Challenges and Strategies in Gateway Communities. [rosap.ntl.bts.gov](https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/68552). <https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/68552>
2. Sánchez-Moral, S., Arellano, A., & Díez-Pisonero, R... (2026). The impact of remote working on residential mobility in the metropolitan region of Madrid. *Cities*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264275125007632>
3. Hamiduddin, I. & Gallent, N... (2024). The rural housing market after the COVID-19 pandemic. *Town Planning Review*. <https://www.liverpooluniversitypress.co.uk/doi/full/10.3828/tpr.2024.4>
4. Willett, J... (2023). Counter-urbanisation and a politics of place: A coastal community in Cornwall and rural gentrification. *Habitat International*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0197397523001959>
5. Holleran, M... (2022). Pandemics and geoarbitrage: Digital nomadism before and after COVID-19. *City*. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13604813.2022.2124713>
6. Florida, R., Rodríguez-Pose, A., & Storper, M... (2023). Critical Commentary: Cities in a post-COVID world. *Urban studies*. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/00420980211018072>
7. Duanmu, X., Yu, J., Yuan, X., & Zhang, X... (2025). How Does Digital Infrastructure Mitigate Urban–Rural Disparities. *Sustainability*. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/17/4/1561>

8. Deng, X., Huang, M., & Peng, R... (2024). The impact of digital economy on rural revitalization: Evidence from Guangdong, China. *Heliyon*. [https://www.cell.com/heliyon/fulltext/S2405-8440\(24\)04247-6?uuid=uuid%3Aae877c28-0455-490e-ae1-30948ff14c91](https://www.cell.com/heliyon/fulltext/S2405-8440(24)04247-6?uuid=uuid%3Aae877c28-0455-490e-ae1-30948ff14c91)
9. Hameed, B., Xixiang, S., & Bello, H... (2025). Global shifts in urban housing markets: the impact of remote work from a geoeconomic perspective. <https://www.emerald.com/ijhma/article/doi/10.1108/IJHMA-08-2025-0181/1303509>
10. Strazza, S... (2025). Navigating Change: Housing Value Dynamics in the Age of Remote Work. [arches.union.edu. https://arches.union.edu/do/56909/iiif/ac48c924-f00e-4cd7-ae0a-4c77ed898bfa/full/full/0/2025_StrazzasS.pdf](https://arches.union.edu/do/56909/iiif/ac48c924-f00e-4cd7-ae0a-4c77ed898bfa/full/full/0/2025_StrazzasS.pdf)
11. Komodromos, Z., Malialis, K., & Kolios, P... (2025). Investigating Forecasting Models for Pandemic Infections Using Heterogeneous Data Sources: A 2-year Study with COVID-19. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2507.12966v2>
12. Richlin, J. & Reinemer, A... (2025). COVID-19 and Evangelical Christianity: Growing Distrust and Faith Among White Rural Americans. <https://anthrosource.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/nad.70002>
13. Ahrend, R., Banquet, A., Béтин, M., & Caldas..., M... (2025). Expanding the doughnut? Spatial changes in housing demand since the rise of remote work. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00343404.2025.2503968>
14. Ozimek, A... (3790). Remote workers on the move. Available at SSRN 3790004. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3790004
15. Howard, G., Liebersohn, J., & Ozimek, A... (2023). The short-and long-run effects of remote work on US housing markets. *Journal of Financial Economics*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S03044405X23001265>
16. MacGregor, G... (2024). The effects of remote work on urban sprawl: 20 years of pre-covid data. [archipel.uqam.ca. https://archipel.uqam.ca/18084/1/M18645.pdf](https://archipel.uqam.ca/18084/1/M18645.pdf)
17. Obal, M. & Merriman, K... (2025). Extending the Geographic Scope of Corporate Social Responsibility: Remote Work and Housing Affordability. *Journal of Business Ethics*. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10551-025-05956-4>
18. Wirsén, W... (2024). Second home-driven Tourism Gentrification on a Swedish Island in the Wake of COVID-19: A Mixed Methods Approach to Rural Spatial Justice. [diva-portal.org. https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:1867278](https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:1867278)
19. Hicke, L... (2025). Advocacy or Complicity? Affordable Housing Organizing in Rural Communities. <https://knowledge.uchicago.edu/record/15519>
20. Weng, X., Pang, C., & Xia, G... (2025). Vision-Language Modeling Meets Remote Sensing: Models, Datasets and Perspectives. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2505.14361v1>
21. Sherman, J... (2023). "Please don't take this": Rural gentrification, symbolic capital, and housing insecurity. *Social Problems*. <https://academic.oup.com/socpro/article-abstract/70/2/491/6359619>
22. Öncü, M., Somuncu, M., Güney, İ., & Perlik, M... (2023). Rural and Alpine gentrification impact on lifestyle mobilities during Covid-19: The Yayla experience at the Taurus Mountains in Turkey. [sciendo.com. https://sciendo.com/2/v2/download/article/10.2478/euco-2023-0014.pdf](https://sciendo.com/2/v2/download/article/10.2478/euco-2023-0014.pdf)
23. Gillette, C... (2023). Remote work and city decline: Lessons from the garment district. *Journal of Legal Analysis*. <https://academic.oup.com/jla/article-abstract/15/1/201/7261639>

24. Liu, J. & Li, F... (2024). Rural revitalization driven by digital infrastructure: Mechanisms and empirical verification. *Journal of Digital Economy*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2773067025000020>
25. Lichao, T. & Wuwei, Z... (2024). How does digital infrastructure affect rural household entrepreneurship?. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&authtype=crawler&jrnl=10022104&AN=181418941&h=zN7%2BSs%2FQRrIwMT%2FT78VQBzo5L7HVJzqTAtEAZbLENp%2FX%2FUVgSpSO9QfMJqYeuGnmhxVKj0QZJGP3t8BydMhwg%3D%3D&crl=c>
26. Bi, J... (2024). Can rural areas in China be revitalized by digitization? A dual perspective on digital infrastructure and digital finance. *Finance Research Letters*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1544612324007839>
27. Zoccola, R. & Borissov, E... (2022). Digital Divide-Disparate Impact? The Impact of Modern Recruiting and Workplace Practices That Rely on Broadband. https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/indilr56§ion=31
28. Bergholz, C., Füner, L., Lubczyk, M., & Sternberg..., R... (2024). Infrastructure required, skill needed: Digital entrepreneurship in rural and urban areas. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352673424000404>
29. Johnson, I. & Vlachokyriakos, V... (2024). Socio-digital rural resilience: An exploration of information infrastructures within and across rural villages during Covid-19. <https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.1145/3637400>
30. Rumore, D. & Stoker, P... (2023). Rural Gentrification and the Spillover Effect: Integrated Transportation, Housing, and Land Use Challenges and Strategies in Gateway Communities. <https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/68552>
31. Pawson, H., Milligan, V., & Yates, J... (2025). Roles of land use planning policy in housing supply and affordable housing. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-981-95-0864-8_9
32. Li, S. & Song, W... (2023). Research progress in land consolidation and rural Revitalization: Current status, characteristics, regional differences, and evolution laws. *Land*. <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-445X/12/1/210>
33. Yaohong, W., Firdaus, R., Xu, J., Dharejo, N., & Jun, G... (2025). China's Rural Revitalization Policy: A PRISMA 2020 Systematic Review of Poverty Alleviation, Food Security, and Sustainable Development Initiatives. *Sustainability*. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/17/2/569>
34. Deng, W... (2023). An analysis of rural area revitalization strategy from the perspective of economic law. *Beijing L. Rev...* https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/beijlar14§ion=62
35. REBECCA, C. L... (2025). Digital Diasporas: The Yemeni Diaspora and the Political Marketplace. <https://core.ac.uk/download/656526001.pdf>
36. Wieggers, A... (2024). Why Small Towns are a Big Deal: Evaluating the Lasting Impacts of Greensburg, Kansas' Resilience Plan and its Role as a Model for Small Towns in the face of <https://knowledge.uchicago.edu/record/12727>
37. Scott, M. & Kelleher..., L... (2025). Pandemic rural residential mobilities? Towards an understanding of who moved house and why in rural Ireland. <https://www.liverpooluniversitypress.co.uk/doi/abs/10.3828/tpr.2024.57>