

Moralization of Desires in Vethathiri Maharishi's SKY Introspection practice - A Multilevel Conceptual Model Linking Introspective Regulation to Mental Health, Family Harmony, and Universal Peace

Saravanakumar H¹, Dr. Kalavathi Sekar²

¹Ph.D. Scholar, Yoga for Human Excellence, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, 642101, Tamil Nādu, India.

²Asst. Professor, Department of Yoga for Human Excellence, WCSC - VISION SKY Research Center, Recognized Research Institutes of Bharathiar University, Aliyar, Pollachi, 641046, Tamil Nādu, India.

Abstract

Desire - defined in SKY doctrine as a mental attachment to person and materials is a universal motivational force that can advance survival and growth when regulated, but yield suffering when excessive or misaligned with ethical considerations. Simplified Kundalini Yoga (SKY), as taught by Vethathiri Maharishi, proposes Moralization of Desires (MOD) as a structured, introspective method for regulating desire rather than eradicating it. MOD integrates meditative stabilization (Thuriya), systematic listing and analysis of desires (men, materials, pleasures), consequence evaluation, and ethical alignment culminating in detached action (Nishkamyā Karma). This conceptual paper translates the SKY steps into a testable psycho - ethical regulation model, links each stage to contemporary mechanisms (decentering, cognitive reappraisal, executive control strengthening, and gratitude), and maps hypothesized outcomes at the Self, Family, and Universal Peace levels. We synthesize recent empirical evidence on mindfulness, self - regulation, gratitude, family-based interventions, and digital delivery to demonstrate plausibility and outline a rigorous empirical agenda. The SKY-grounded MOD model offers a culturally coherent, scientifically compatible pathway for research and translational application in mental health, family interventions, and peace - building initiatives.

Keywords: Introspection, Simplified Kundalini Yoga (SKY) Yoga, Moralization of Desires

1. Introduction

Desire is at once the engine of human progress and a common source of psychological and social harm. In the SKY tradition, desire is explicitly defined as a mental attachment to men and materials and is expressed in names such as wish, passion, zeal, ambition, and aspiration. SKY distinguishes between “good desires” that support natural needs, life progress, and spiritual realization, and “greed,” an inordinate or excessive form of desire that precipitates imbalance. The SKY prescription is not eradication of desire (which is viewed as rooted in the life instinct) but moralization - a disciplined process of

regulation and elimination of unwanted desires via introspection performed from a meditative base state (Thuriya). This doctrinal framing resonates with contemporary psychological positions: whereas suppression is often counterproductive, reflective regulation (through awareness and cognitive reappraisal) enhances adaptive functioning.

Modern empirical science provides convergent evidence that practices involving attentional training, decentering, and values work produce durable improvements in emotional regulation, reduced impulsivity, and enhanced well-being. Meta-analytic and recent large - scale reviews document consistent benefits of mindfulness and related interventions for anxiety, depressive symptoms, and stress, and point to decentering and attentional control as key mechanisms of change. Likewise, gratitude and values-alignment interventions are associated with small to moderate improvements in subjective well - being and reduced materialism. The present paper seeks to systematically integrate SKY doctrinal detail (definition and types of desire; detachment as “awareness in attachment”; stepwise introspective practice after Thuriya meditation; planning – execution - enjoyment path) with contemporary mechanistic models that is both faithful to SKY teaching and ready for empirical operationalization.

2. Methods

Because Moralization of Desires (MOD) is primarily a prescribed contemplative practice rather than a previously standardized psychological intervention, the methods here emphasize rigorous conceptual synthesis.

1. Doctrinal extraction. SKY primary materials (teaching manuals, practice descriptions, and educational texts that explain Thuriya meditation and Moralization of Desires) were examined to extract operational steps, language, and the taxonomy of desire (men, materials, pleasures). SKY documents and descriptive studies of SKY practices were used to ensure doctrinal fidelity.
2. Targeted literature integration. Systematic searches were conducted for high-quality syntheses and trials on mindfulness/meditation mechanisms (decentering, attentional control), self - regulation and executive function, gratitude interventions, family-based psychosocial interventions, and digital mental health scalability. The aim was not to perform a new meta-analysis but to identify credible, recent, and pertinent empirical bases to render the MOD model plausible and testable. Key exemplars include systematic reviews and meta-analyses on decentering, gratitude, and mindfulness intervention effects.
3. Model construction. Doctrinal steps were mapped to psychological mechanisms and outcome domains (Self, Family, Universal Peace), yielding a testable, multilevel MOD model suitable for translational research and mixed-methods evaluation.

Ethical approval was not required for a conceptual manuscript, but future empirical work suggested below will require appropriate human research ethics review.

3. Results - The SKY-based MOD Model:

SKY doctrinal core: definition, taxonomy, and moralization practice

SKY’s instruction begins with a succinct ontology of desire: desire is mental attachment manifesting primarily toward men (relationships), materials (land, wealth), and pleasures (sensory gratifications). SKY notes that some desires are “good desires” supporting natural needs, personal progress, and spiritual realization, whereas greed represents excessive or inordinate desire that disregards ethical consequences and produces suffering. Importantly, SKY asserts that desires cannot be completely eradicated because

they are expressions of life; they can only be regulated and refined.

The practical method of MOD centres on meditative stabilization at Thuriya followed by silent introspection. Practitioners are instructed to meditate at Thuriya for approximately 15 minutes, remain in quiet awareness after concluding the meditation, and then systematically list desires that arise under the three primary categories (men, materials, pleasures). For each desire, practitioners ask three analytical questions: (a) Is it essential? (b) Can it be fulfilled (given physical and mental capabilities)? (c) Will the outcome be good or bad? If all answers are favourable, the desire may be pursued through an intentional pathway (planning, execution, approach, accomplishment, enjoyment). If any answer is unfavourable, the desire must be abandoned. Actions taken from this mental stance-engagement without clinging to outcomes are described as Nishkamy Karma, or detached action. This doctrinally rich procedure provides a clear, operational practice that can be manualized for research.

Psychological mechanisms (proximal mediators)

Moralization of Desires, as practiced in SKY, plausibly engages several empirically recognized mechanisms:

1. **Metacognitive awareness / decentering:** Meditation at Thuriya and the subsequent reflective stance cultivate the capacity to observe desire as an event in consciousness rather than as an identity-defining impulse. Recent work finds decentering to be a robust mediator of mindfulness effects on psychological problems. This mechanism reduces fusion with thoughts and diminishes automatic reactivity.
2. **Cognitive reappraisal and consequence evaluation:** The systematic questioning (essential? fulfillable? outcome?) functions analogously to cognitive reappraisal: it reframes the subjective value and expected utility of the desire, often reducing immediate reward salience in favour of longer-term outcomes. Reappraisal is well supported in the affective science literature as a means of reducing unhelpful affect and impulsivity.
3. **Executive control strengthening / delay of gratification:** Repeated practice of postponement or scaling of desires exercises inhibitory control and goal maintenance. Contemporary reviews emphasize the malleability of self-regulatory capacities via structured practice, which has downstream benefits for emotional and behavioural outcomes.
4. **Value activation and gratitude-based stabilization:** When desires are aligned with higher values (service, family welfare, spiritual purpose), intrinsic motivation increases while materialistic cravings diminish. Meta - analytic work on gratitude interventions shows improvements in well-being and reductions in anxiety and depression indicators, providing an empirical analogy for the value-based stabilization phase of MOD.

These mechanisms are not mutually exclusive; rather, they operate synergistically in the cyclical MOD process-awareness enables reappraisal; reappraisal allows regulation; regulation reinforces value alignment and gratitude; alignment deepens stable awareness.

Multilevel outcomes: Self, Family, and Universal Peace

Self (individual) outcomes: At the individual level, MOD is hypothesized to produce measurable reductions in anxiety, rumination, materialism, and impulsive behaviour while increasing life satisfaction, psychological flexibility, and well - being. These expectations are consistent with evidence from

mindfulness, ACT (Acceptance and Commitment Therapy), and gratitude interventions showing moderate improvements in mental health outcomes across clinical and non - clinical samples.

Family (relational, household) outcomes: SKY's teaching emphasizes that moderated desires reduce triggers for familial conflict - financial stress, jealousy, and unrealistic expectations - leading to improved communication and relational stability. Family intervention literature indicates that improvements in individual self-regulation and emotion management translate into better family functioning; hence, the MOD pathway plausibly yields family-level benefits if practiced and sustained by multiple family members.

Universal Peace (societal) outcomes: SKY posits a cascading principle: inner peace fosters family peace, which aggregates into social peace and ultimately universal peace. At a societal scale, widespread moralization of desire could reduce exploitative consumption, lower competitive aggression, and increase prosocial civic engagement. While such macro-level changes require systemic uptake and institutional support, social norms theory and values transmission research indicate that widespread internalized ethical regulation can indeed shift collective behaviour over time—particularly when reinforced by education, leadership, and policy. Digital and community delivery mechanisms offer feasible pathways for scale.

4. Discussion

Theoretical contributions

This manuscript integrates a classical SKY doctrine with modern psychological mechanisms to produce a testable, multilevel model. The major contribution is twofold: (1) doctrinal fidelity - retaining SKY's essential terms and procedures (Thuriya, detached attachment, Nishkamy Karma, and the threefold desire taxonomy); and (2) scientific translation - mapping those steps to decentering, reappraisal, executive control, and value activation to enable measurement, manualization, and empirical testing.

Practical implications and translational pathways

The MOD manual (Thuriya meditation + structured post-meditation introspection + planning/execution pathway) is suitable for adaptation in several domains: clinical mental health programs, family counselling, educational character curricula, workplace well - being initiatives, and leadership training. The feasibility of digital and blended (in-person + app) delivery should be explored, given rapid advances in digital mental health and WHO endorsements for evidence - based digital strategies; however, design must maintain the contemplative depth of Thuriya and preserve ethical framing to avoid superficialization.

Research agenda (practical experimental roadmap)

To move beyond conceptual plausibility, we propose the following sequential research steps:

1. Manualization & Content Validity: Translate SKY teaching into a detailed MOD manual (session content, home practice, fidelity checklist). Conduct a content validity exercise with SKY teachers and independent contemplative science scholars.
2. Pilot Feasibility (mixed methods): Small feasibility trials (n = 30-80) to test adherence, acceptability, and initial signals on proximal mediators (decentering, reappraisal, inhibitory control).
3. Mechanistic RCTs: Randomized controlled trials comparing MOD vs. active control (e.g., stress management psychoeducation) measuring mediators (attention, decentering, valuation) and outcomes (WHO-5 well-being index; family functioning). Use ecological momentary assessment (EMA) to capture desire episodes in daily life.
4. Family and Dyadic Trials: Cluster RCTs with households to test spillover effects on financial stress, conflict frequency, and relational satisfaction.

5. Implementation Trials: Pragmatic trials testing digital hybrid models in community and workplace settings; include cost- effectiveness analyses and equity/acceptability metrics.
6. Systems Modelling: Agent-based or system dynamics modelling to simulate potential population-level impacts of different adoption rates on consumption and prosocial norm propagation.

Limitations and cautions

- The model rests on doctrinal claims about Thuriya and Nishkamya Karma that require careful operationalization for secular research. Cultural adaptation protocols are essential to avoid conceptual drift.
- Measurement of “ethical alignment” is challenging; scales of prosocial values and materialism exist but may need adaptation to capture SKY-specific constructs.
- Macro - level claims (Universal Peace) are aspirational and require long time horizons and interplay with economic and political systems; the science should proceed incrementally.
- Digital delivery must guard against reductionism: Thuriya’s contemplative depth may not be fully replicable in brief app modules without skilled facilitation.

5. Conclusion

Moralization of Desires as articulated in SKY provides an explicit, structured pathway—grounded in Thuriya meditation and rational introspection - to regulate desire without eliminating life’s motivational engine. Translating SKY practice into a psycho-ethical regulation model reveals rich overlaps with mechanisms validated in contemporary psychology (decentering, reappraisal, executive control, gratitude) and opens practical translational pathways for individual mental health, family harmony, and longer-term social benefits. The next step is rigorous empirical testing via manualization, feasibility pilots, mechanistic RCTs, family- level trials, and implementation science studies. If empirical results corroborate hypothesized effects, MOD may become a culturally rooted, ethically explicit addition to the repertoire of evidence - based contemplative interventions.

6. Acknowledgement

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, for their insightful guidance and unwavering support.

7. References

1. Baer, R. A. (2015). *Mindfulness - based treatment approaches: Clinician’s guide to evidence base and applications*. Elsevier/Academic Press.
2. Baumeister, R. F., Vohs, K. D., & Tice, D. M. (2020). *Self - regulation and its importance in human functioning*. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 71, 1–27.
3. Billore, S. (2023). Self - regulation and goal - directed behavior: A systematic review. *Journal of Behavioral Science*, 19(2), 101–122.
4. Boggiss, A. L., & others. (2020). A systematic review of the effectiveness of gratitude interventions. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 76(11), 2000–2020.
5. Carvalho, P. S., et al. (2025). The World Health Organization - Five Well - Being Index (WHO - 5): Validation and applications. *Global Mental Health*, 12, 45–59.
6. Catuara - Solarz, S., et al. (2022). The Efficacy of digital mental health interventions to reduce anxiety and improve well - being. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*, 10(7), e30976.

7. Diniz, G., et al. (2023). The effects of gratitude interventions: Systematic review and meta - analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 58, 101983.
8. Dang, J., et al. (2024). Is it time to move beyond trait self - control? *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 19(3), 654–672.
9. Gaskin, J., et al. (2026). The effect of mindfulness - based interventions on stress in first responders: A meta - analysis. *International Journal of Stress Management*. (In press).
10. Gonzalez - Martin, A. M., et al. (2023). Mindfulness to improve mental health of university students: Systematic review and meta - analysis. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 11, 1284632.
11. Greenberg, M. T., et al. (2021). Family - based prevention programs: Efficacy and implementation. *Prevention Science*, 22(4), 465–489.
12. Guo, L., et al. (2024). The correlation between mindfulness, decentering, and clinical outcomes: Mechanisms and evidence. *Mindfulness*, 15, 203–218.
13. Jobin, K., et al. (2025). Mindfulness - based interventions for adolescent wellbeing: A systematic review. *Adolescent Mental Health Review*, 8(2), 121–145.
14. Kaubisch, S., et al. (2025). A web - based approach to adolescent mental health: RCT and acceptability. *Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 30(1), 12–23.
15. Karing, C., et al. (2024). Long - term effects of combined mindfulness interventions: A randomized trial. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1355757.
16. Layous, K., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2014). Mechanisms linking positive activities and well - being. In S. J. Lopez (Ed.), *Oxford Handbook of Positive Psychology*. (Cited for mechanisms linking gratitude/contentment).
17. Levin, M. E., et al. (2025). A pilot randomized controlled trial of a single - session ACT digital intervention. *Behavioral Sciences*, 15(1), 75.
18. Li, L., et al. (2023). A meta - analysis of the association between mindfulness and motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 149(6), 574–595.
19. Mertens, E. C. A., et al. (2025). The DID - Guide: A guide to developing digital mental health interventions. *Digital Health*, 5(1), 1–28.
20. McCullough, M. E., Emmons, R. A., & Tsang, J. - A. (2002). The grateful disposition: A conceptual and empirical topography. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82(1), 112–127.
21. Old ROR. (n.d.). *Review of Research: Simplified Kundalini Yoga (SKY)*. Retrieved from archive collections describing SKY practices.
22. Pandian, et al. (2024). SKY practice studies in community settings: Descriptive reports and preliminary evaluations. *International Journal of Scientific Research*, 14(3), SR241212190826.
23. Plos One (Witarto et al.). (2022). Effectiveness of online mindfulness during COVID - 19: Systematic review and meta - analysis. *PLOS ONE*, 17(6), e0274177.
24. Serno, C. (2025). A scoping review on self - control: Insights from multidisciplinary studies. *Behavioural Reviews*, 9(1), 33–59.
25. Sheldon, K. M., & Elliot, A. J. (1999). Goal striving and well - being: A self - concordance model. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 76(3), 482–497.
26. Smith, K. A., & others. (2023). Digital mental health: Challenges and next steps. *BMJ Mental Health*, 26(1), e300670.
27. Tangney, J. P., Baumeister, R. F., & Boone, A. L. (2004). High self - control predicts adjustment and interpersonal success. *Journal of Personality*, 72(2), 271–324.

28. Treves, I. N., et al. (2025). Mindfulness effects on interoception: A pre - registered meta - analysis. *Mind - Body Research*, 4(1), 45–66.
29. Torous, J., et al. (2025). The evolving field of digital mental health: Current evidence and future directions. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, 8(1), 1–18.
30. Vethathiri Maharishi. (n.d.). *SKY Yoga for Human Excellence; Yoga for the Modern Age*. SKY Yoga materials and course descriptions (teaching manuals and practice outlines).
31. WHO. (2024). *WHO - 5 Well - Being Index: User manual*. World Health Organization.
32. Wilkie, L., et al. (2025). Comparative effectiveness of well - being interventions: A prospective network meta - analysis. *Nature Human Behaviour*.
33. Xue, P., et al. (2025). Systematic review of Mindfulness - Based Stress Reduction (MBSR): Effect sizes and contexts. *Open Psychology Journal*, 18, e18743501379520.
34. Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods*. (Useful for qualitative validation of MOD in context).
35. (Additional SKY media): SKY Yoga teaching recordings and supplemental descriptions (video/audio archives).
36. Digital mental health implementation reviews and WHO digital strategy documents (selected for scalability and policy context).