

The Body, Gender, and Power: Rethinking Feminism in the 21st Century

Snigdha Mazumder¹, Ashaduzzaman Khan²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Philosophy, Seacom Skills University

²Assistant Professor, Department of Philosophy, Seacom Skills University

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2026.v08i02.71915>

Abstract

Feminist thinking has undergone a significant reconfiguration in the twenty-first century, especially with regard to the intersections of power, gender, and the body. In contrast to early feminist movements, which aimed to subvert patriarchal systems and biological determinism, current discussions of womanhood deal with poststructuralist, intersectional, and transnational perspectives. In addition to being a biological entity, the body is now seen as a place of cultural inscription, political struggle, and resistance that is influenced by discourses related to class, sexuality, racism, and technology. Michel Foucault's discoveries into biopower and Judith Butler's theory of gender performativity have had a significant impact on modern conceptions of how power functions through identity construction and physical management. However, intersectional theorists like Chandra Talpade Mohanty and Kimberlé Crenshaw emphasize that feminist politics need to confront the variety of oppressions and global injustices. Rethinking feminism in the twenty-first century necessitates a critical engagement with embodied subjectivities, destabilized gender categories, and evolving power relations in light of neoliberalism, digital activism, and trans rights. This essay makes the case that, in order to uphold its dedication to eliminating structural oppression, a redesigned feminism must embrace diversity, inclusivity, and international solidarity.

Keywords: Feminism, body, gender, power, performativity, intersectionality, biopolitics, transnational feminism, 21st century

1. Introduction

With the reconfiguration of long-standing discussions about the body, gender, and power through new theoretical frameworks and international struggles, the 21st century represents a turning point for feminist philosophy. As encapsulated by Simone de Beauvoir's famous statement that "one is not born, but rather becomes, a woman," early feminist organizations, especially in the 1960s and 1970s, aimed to free women from the confines of biological determinism (Beauvoir, 2011, p. 283). This existentialist realization disentangled biology from fate, paving the way for a critical examination of the social construction of gender identities. However, modern feminism has transcended Beauvoir's definition, acknowledging that gender is not only created but also acted, controlled, and challenged via a variety of power axes. Gender is "an identity tenuously constituted in time, instituted in an exterior space through a stylized repetition of acts," according to Judith Butler's (1990) ground-breaking theory of gender performativity (p. 191).

By emphasizing the body as a discursive and performative space where social norms are enacted and destabilized, Butler's viewpoint challenges the binary classifications of male and female. This method is in line with Michel Foucault's (1978) critique of power, especially his idea of biopower, which emphasizes how contemporary forms of authority control bodies, sexuality, and life itself in addition to repressing people. Power "produces reality; it produces domains of objects and rituals of truth," according to Foucault (p. 194). As a result, the body becomes both a possible location of resistance and a target of control. By showing that feminist politics cannot be boiled down to gender, intersectional theorists have further broadened the discussion. In order to show how race, gender, and class interact to create particular forms of oppression, Kimberlé Crenshaw (1991) developed the idea of intersectionality. She contends that "the intersectional experience is greater than the sum of racism and sexism" (p. 140), a claim that has influenced feminist theory to take into account a variety of intersecting identities. Chandra Talpade Mohanty (2003) also challenges Western feminist universalism, advocating for a transnational feminist praxis that is mindful of cultural diversity, colonial legacies, and global inequality. The significance of variety in feminist encounters with power and the body is highlighted by her assertion that "the idea of a homogenous Third World woman is problematic" (p. 21).

The relationship between body, gender, and power is being renegotiated in new ways in the digital age. Online activism exemplifies how bodies become political in both real and virtual environments, as seen in the #MeToo movement and trans rights campaigning. According to Rosalind Gill (2017), neoliberalism has produced a "postfeminist sensibility" that calls for self-awareness and body discipline while also promoting women's empowerment (p. 609). This paradox illustrates the subtle ways in which authority shapes identities and wants while claiming that they are freely chosen. Simultaneously, trans and queer theories highlight the diversity of lived experiences and the fluidity of gender, pushing feminist discussions to reconsider embodiment beyond binary classifications.

Therefore, a multifaceted strategy that takes into consideration the interconnections of embodiment, discourse, and power is necessary to rethink feminism in the twenty-first century. The body is a contested space of meaning, regulation, and resistance rather than just biological stuff. Gender is not fixed; rather, it is enacted and reenacted within dynamic power relations. Furthermore, as demonstrated by Foucault, Butler, and intersectional feminists, power is relational, diffuse, and generative. In order to move beyond essentialism and toward an inclusive, intersectional, and global politics that acknowledges the diversity of bodies and identities while upholding a commitment to destroying institutional oppression, this study contends that modern feminism must accept this multiplicity.

The Body as a Site of Power

The body is now seen in modern feminist theory as a contested location where power is enshrined, controlled, and challenged rather than just as a biological or natural reality. Shaped by discursive regimes, institutional practices, and cultural norms, the body serves as both a site of political action and an object of social control. With his theory of biopower, Michel Foucault (1978) was one of the first to explain this dynamic, contending that "power relations have an immediate hold upon it; the body is directly involved in a political field" (p. 25). Foucault demonstrated how contemporary forms of governance go beyond law and force to regulate life itself—disciplining bodies, standardizing behaviours, and creating identities—by placing the body at the center of power relations. Feminist theorists have expanded on this theory by stressing the gendered aspects of body regulation. Susan Bordo (1993) emphasizes how women's bodies

are constantly inspected and controlled by cultural norms related to food, beauty, and sexuality. She observes that “female bodies become docile bodies—through the pursuit of an ever-changing, homogenizing, elusive ideal of femininity—a pursuit without a terminus” (p. 166). This makes the female body a medium of oppression as well as a possible location of resistance, as it becomes a canvas on which cultural standards of gender, beauty, and appropriateness are imprinted.

By understanding gender as performative and contending that the body is not a predetermined entity but rather materializes via repeated social activities, Judith Butler (1990) further radicalizes this viewpoint. Butler argues that the body is a flexible boundary and a surface whose permeability is politically governed, rather than a “being” (p. 189). This viewpoint emphasizes how power affects bodies both internally—through the processes that create them as gendered subjects—and outwardly. Butler creates room for reframing the body as a site of resistance against normative restrictions by challenging the naturalness of sex and gender.

By demonstrating the various ways that race, class, and colonial history are inscribed onto bodies, intersectional theorists have expanded on this concept. According to Kimberlé Crenshaw (1991), who argues that “the intersectional experience is greater than the sum of racism and sexism,” women of colour, for example, experience bodily regulation in ways that cannot be attributed only to gender (p. 140). The bodies of women in the Global South are frequently constructed through colonialist narratives of victimhood, which obfuscate their agency and complexity, according to Chandra Talpade Mohanty’s 2003 critique of Western feminist universalism. These viewpoints show that different people see the body as a location of power in different ways, through overlapping systems of resistance and dominance.

The politics of the body have become even more complicated in the digital age. According to Rosalind Gill (2017), a “postfeminist sensibility” is emerging in which women are pushed into self-surveillance regimes and are continuously urged to observe and enhance their bodies in the name of empowerment. She notes that “the construction of women as active, freely choosing, self-regulating subjects is now how power operates” (p. 614). This change emphasizes how disciplinary actions are reframed as personal decisions in neoliberal culture, which complicates resistance while highlighting the body’s crucial role in modern power dynamics. Therefore, the body is both a target and a weapon of power in feminist theory of the twenty-first century: it is subject to disciplinary measures but also has the capacity for subversion and agency. Feminism reclaims the body as a crucial location for conceptualizing power by critically interacting with intersectional analyses, Bordo’s critique of cultural inscription, Butler’s performativity, and Foucault’s biopolitics. The body is transformed from a passive object of regulation into an active arena of conflict where identities are challenged, conventions are upended, and opportunities for resistance are created.

Gender as Performativity

By questioning essentialist ideas of gender identity, Judith Butler’s introduction of the concept of gender as performativity fundamentally altered feminist philosophy. Butler (1990) contended in *Gender Trouble* that gender is “an identity tenuously constituted in time, instituted in an exterior space through a stylized repetition of acts” rather than a fixed essence or natural quality (p. 191). According to this perspective, gender is a performative execution of societal standards that gives the appearance of stability rather than something that an individual is. By highlighting the body as a discursive surface where cultural

expectations are etched and reinforced, this theoretical shift undermined the binary categories of male and female. The performative aspect of gender draws attention to the ways in which gendered identities are created and maintained by power. Butler illustrates how gender norms are maintained by the recitation and repetition of hegemonic discourses, drawing on Michel Foucault's (1978) idea that power is productive and "produces reality; it produces domains of objects and rituals of truth" (p. 194). However, because acts can be re-signified in ways that undermine prevailing gender categories, this recurrence creates the potential for disruption. Butler (1993) subsequently explained in *Bodies That Question* that performativity is a citational activity mandated by regulatory standards rather than a question of free performance. Therefore, whereas normative frameworks confine individuals, they also have the ability to undermine and reconfigure them through resignification.

Other feminist academics have investigated the political ramifications of performativity by building on Butler's observations. Butler's contribution, according to Toril Moi (1999), is in demonstrating that gender is a social position expressed through speech rather than a biological or psychological actuality. "Identity is not something we are but something we do, a practice which is open to change," she explains, citing Butler's theory (p. 173). In a similar vein, Elizabeth Grosz (1994) emphasizes the materiality of bodies in this context, contending that although bodies are socially inscribed, they also produce excess that defies total control, guaranteeing that gender identities are unstable and subject to change. However, intersectional feminists have warned that Butler's original definition of performativity runs the risk of ignoring the ways in which colonial legacies, race, and class influence gendered performance. As Kimberlé Crenshaw (1991) notes, "the intersectional experience is greater than the sum of racism and sexism" (p. 140), gender cannot be understood in isolation. According to this critique, performativity needs to be placed within larger power structures that govern bodies in various ways depending on the cultural and historical setting. For example, Chandra Talpade Mohanty (2003) contends that feminist theory should focus on the diversity of embodied practices influenced by global disparities rather than universalizing Western ideas of gender performance (p. 42).

The idea of gender as performativity continues to be one of the most significant contributions to modern feminist theory in spite of these criticisms. It offers a critical lens through which to examine the reproduction of gender norms and the ways in which subversive behaviours, such as drag performances and trans identities, reveal the brittleness of categories that appear to be natural. "The possibilities of gender transformation are to be found precisely in the arbitrary relation between such acts, in the possibility of a different sort of repeating," as Butler (1990) tells us (p. 192). Feminist theory offers new avenues for resistance, inclusion, and rethinking feminism in the twenty-first century by reclaiming agency within the very processes of regulation by presenting gender as a performative practice that is both rooted in and susceptible to disruption within power structures.

Power, Intersectionality, and Structural Inequality

It is hard to overlook the intricate relationship between power, intersectionality, and structural injustice while contemplating feminism in the twenty-first century. A paradigm for comprehending how dominance functions not only through force but also through routine behaviours, institutions, and discourses is provided by Michel Foucault's (1978) description of power as diffuse, relational, and productive. Power "produces reality; it produces domains of objects and rituals of truth," according to Foucault (p. 194). This realization has been essential to feminist theory in order to understand how power controls identities,

forms gendered bodies, and upholds structural injustices throughout social structures. However, different people experience power in different ways, and feminist theorists have demonstrated that any one explanation of oppression is complicated by the interconnections of race, class, sexuality, and colonial legacies.

In this sense, Kimberlé Crenshaw's (1991) definition of intersectionality is still considered a seminal work. She shows how frameworks that treat gender and race as distinct categories fall short in capturing the experiences of Black women. Crenshaw asserts that legal, political, and cultural frameworks frequently obscure the complexity of several oppressed identities, explaining that "the intersectional experience is greater than the sum of racism and sexism" (p. 140). This insight has changed feminist analysis by highlighting the interconnected nature of structural inequality rather than its additive nature. The layered character of systemic dominance is demonstrated by the fact that women of colour frequently endure different types of economic and social vulnerability than do white women or males of colour. Chandra Talpade Mohanty (2003) challenges the homogenizing inclinations of Western feminism by extending this critique to a global level. She contends that by depicting "Third World women" as a single, disadvantaged group, colonial power dynamics are perpetuated and their varied experiences are obscured. According to Mohanty, "the idea of a homogenous Third World woman is problematic" (p. 21) because it obscures the distinctions between class, culture, and geopolitics. Mohanty emphasizes how global capitalism, militarism, and development ideologies impose disparities on women's bodies beyond national boundaries by placing intersectionality within a transnational framework.

Bell Hooks (1984) also emphasizes how feminist groups run the risk of strengthening the very structures they aim to challenge if they ignore issues of race and class. She criticizes conventional feminism for focusing on the experiences of white, middle-class women while ignoring those of working-class and impoverished women. Hooks notes that the women who suffer the most from sexist oppression have never been the ones to lead the charge for feminism in the US (p. 1). In addition to advocating for diversity, this critique highlights how structural inequality shapes unequal access to resources and power. The ways that intersectionality shapes power dynamics in the digital and neoliberal era are still being revealed by contemporary studies. According to Nancy Fraser (2013), there is a "crisis of care" where migrant workers and women of colour are disproportionately affected by the commodification of reproductive labour brought about by global capitalism. According to Patricia Hill Collins (2000), the "matrix of domination" describes how racial, gender, class, and sexuality systems interact to uphold structural hierarchies. These concepts show that intersectionality include systemic power relations that perpetuate inequality across institutions and cultures, not only identity.

Therefore, feminist theory's focus on the relationship between power and structural inequality emphasizes the significance of eschewing universalist or reductionist explanations of oppression. Feminist theory places the body and gender within larger systems of dominance by interacting with Foucault's analysis of power, Crenshaw's intersectionality, Mohanty's critique of Western feminism, Hooks's analysis based on racism and class, and Fraser's structural critique of neoliberalism. Rethinking feminism in the twenty-first century necessitates not only acknowledging diversity but also tearing down the structural arrangements of power that sustain inequality both locally and globally.

The Body in Contemporary Feminist Struggles

The body has become a focal point of feminist battles in the twenty-first century, serving as a location of political contestation, emancipatory possibilities, and oppression all at once. Modern feminists acknowledge that the body is intricately linked to networks of power, culture, and technology and is not just biological. According to Michel Foucault (1978), biopower processes, in which “the body is directly involved in a political field,” are how contemporary civilizations control life itself (p. 25). Feminist movements that emphasize the body as a site of vulnerability and a platform for resistance against structural injustice have been influenced by this realization. Reproductive rights campaigns are among the most obvious settings in which the body is politicized. Discussions about assisted reproductive technology, abortion, and contraception highlight the ways in which women’s bodily autonomy is nevertheless governed by cultural, religious, and legal norms. Precarity is unequally allocated among bodies, according to Judith Butler (2004), who notes that “not all lives are considered equally grievable or equally valuable” (p. 30). Women’s bodies become the sites where the unequal value of life and rights is most noticeable in situations when reproductive freedoms are curtailed, inspiring feminist campaigns for bodily sovereignty.

Beyond reproduction, the body has been central to feminist advocacy due to conflicts with sexual abuse and harassment. The global #MeToo movement serves as an example of how deeply ingrained cultures of silence and impunity are exposed by women’s stories regarding embodied harm. “To be a feminist is to be in a body that is deemed troublesome, that gets in the way of others’ happiness,” writes Sara Ahmed (2017), who conceptualizes feminism as a type of embodied politics (p. 252). Her analysis demonstrates how criticizing physical infractions is a challenge to prevailing narratives that legitimize women’s disposability as well as an act of resistance.

The scope of body politics is expanded by the intersections of contemporary feminist battles with concerns of race, disability, and trans rights. Patricia Hill Collins (2000), for instance, describes the “matrix of domination,” which illustrates how racial, class, gender, and sexual orientation variably label bodies in ways that uphold structural hierarchies. By questioning the notion that bodies and gender identities naturally correspond, trans and non-binary activists have contributed to the expansion of feminist discourse. Butler (1990) contends that gender is performative and that resistance and norm reconfiguration are made possible by the inherent volatility of gender categories. Given the increase in anti-trans laws around the world, this fight has become more pressing, and recognizing different embodiments is now a crucial area of feminist politics.

Furthermore, the digital era has created new physical battlegrounds. According to Rosalind Gill (2017), neoliberal postfeminist culture promotes ongoing body management and self-surveillance under the pretense of empowerment. The rhetoric of choice frequently conceals deeper systems of compulsion, as she observes when she writes that “power now operates through the construction of women as active, freely choosing, self-regulating subjects” (p. 614). Simultaneously, digital platforms offer feminist resistance tools as activists organize rallies, exchange embodied experiences, and develop counter-narratives that reclaim agency over how women’s bodies are portrayed. Therefore, in modern feminist battles, the body serves as a hotly contested location that is influenced by power but has the potential to be a site of change. The body functions as a vehicle through which feminist politics examine systemic inequalities and rethink novel kinds of solidarity, whether in the context of reproductive justice, anti-violence campaigns, trans rights advocacy, or digital feminism. “The body is not merely matter but a continual and incessant materializing of possibilities,” as Butler (2004) reminds us (p. 48). In this way,

reclaiming the body is essential to reimagining feminism in the twenty-first century as a dynamic site of agency, collective strength, and resistance rather than as a static category of oppression.

Rethinking Power

The way that feminist thought views power has changed significantly in the twenty-first century. It no longer sees women as helpless objects of patriarchal dominance, but rather acknowledges their agency and the potential for resistance within inequitable structures. This rethinking is based on Michel Foucault's (1978) assertion that power is both creative and repressive, influencing subjectivities and opening doors for action. "Power produces; it produces reality; it produces domains of objects and rituals of truth," as Foucault says (p. 194). This acknowledgment gives feminists the opportunity to reconsider how power functions as a tool for agency and change as well as an oppressive process. This dynamic is further demonstrated by Judith Butler's (1990) theory of performativity, which demonstrates that power forces gender identities to be repeated over and over again rather than merely imposing them. Since acts can be performed in numerous ways, this repetition has the potential to subvert the idea that gender is natural. Butler points out that "the arbitrary relation between such acts is precisely where the possibilities of gender transformation are to be found" (p. 192). In this way, power is a territory where agency can be enacted by re-signifying norms, in addition to being a force that disciplines the body.

A similar viewpoint is offered by Bell Hooks (1984), who contends that feminist movements must recognize women's ability to fight and define themselves in addition to exposing oppressive structures. According to Hooks, agency emerges precisely within and against oppressive structures as women reclaim their voices, narratives, and bodies in ways that subvert prevailing hierarchies of power, demonstrating that "marginality [is] much more than a site of deprivation; it is also the site of radical possibility" (p. 206). This method reinterprets feminist politics as a positive endeavour of empowerment and solidarity rather than only a criticism of oppression.

This change has also been highlighted by postcolonial and transnational feminists, who have contested representations of women as merely victims of patriarchy and tradition, especially in the Global South. Such representations are criticized by Chandra Talpade Mohanty (2003), who contends that "women are not cultural dupes but active agents in their own lives" (p. 40). Mohanty shows how agency must be viewed as situated within larger geopolitical contexts by emphasizing women's collective organizing against global capitalism, militarism, and neoliberal development programs. In a similar vein, Saba Mahmood (2005) challenges Western liberal presumptions by exploring how women in the Egyptian mosque movement gain agency via embodied acts of piety rather than by defying religious conventions. Mahmood writes that "agency is not simply the freedom to resist norms but the capacity to inhabit them differently" (p. 15), underlining the multitude of ways in which power can be reconfigured.

The dichotomy between tyranny and freedom is thus called into question by this rethinking of power, which substitutes a more complex view of how agency arises both inside and in opposition to systems of dominance. It recognizes that although bodies are controlled and disciplined, they may also produce new kinds of subjectivity, resistance, and solidarity. This dichotomy is best illustrated by feminist movements of the twenty-first century, such as reproductive justice, trans rights, and digital activism, where activists regain power by changing the fundamental circumstances that allow for agency, rather than only fighting against systems of inequality. "To be undone by another is a primary necessity, an agony, to be sure, but also a chance—to be addressed, claimed, bound to what is not me, but also to be moved, to be prompted

to act,” as Butler (2004) reminds us (p. 30). This realization is the key to conceptualizing power as a dynamic field where oppression and agency constantly cross rather than as a fixed structure of dominance.

Challenges for Feminism in the 21st Century

Even while 21st-century feminism has broadened its focus to include the interconnections of gender, power, and body, it still confronts many obstacles that make its goal of equality and justice more difficult to achieve. Neoliberalism’s appropriation of feminist ideology, which reinterprets empowerment in terms of consumer freedom and personal choice rather than group fight, is among the most urgent problems. According to Rosalind Gill (2017), women are portrayed as self-managing individuals who are “compelled to work on and transform the self in order to achieve happiness and success” (p. 614) in neoliberal postfeminist culture. Instead, than being a radical criticism of power, this marketing of feminism runs the risk of depoliticizing structural inequality and transforming empowerment into a marketable lifestyle. Despite the theoretical advancements achieved by Black feminist and postcolonial researchers, intersectional injustices continue to exist, which presents another issue. According to Kimberlé Crenshaw (1991), marginalized women are left exposed to “the intersectional experience [that] is greater than the sum of racism and sexism” (p. 140), even when institutions embrace the language of diversity, they frequently neglect to address the systemic and interlocking nature of oppression. Similarly, Western feminist frameworks tend to universalize women’s experiences, which reinforces the very global hierarchies they aim to challenge, as Chandra Talpade Mohanty (2003) cautions. The persistent challenge of developing feminist movements that are inclusive and sensitive to various, situated struggles is brought to light by these criticisms.

For feminism, digital culture presents both possibilities and difficulties. On the one hand, campaigns such as #MeToo show how internet platforms can challenge silence surrounding gendered violence and elevate underrepresented perspectives. However, digital environments are also “structured by racism and sexism” (p. 103), as noted by Jessie Daniels (2009), perpetuating historical injustices in new technological forms. The vulnerability of feminist politics in the digital public sphere is highlighted by the surge in misogyny, online abuse, and far-right pushback against feminism. However, the opposition to feminism continues to be a major barrier. These phenomena, according to Susan Faludi (1991), is a recurrent kind of cultural resistance that aims to undo feminist accomplishments by presenting women’s liberation as detrimental or overly radical. This response takes the form of anti-trans laws that weaponize essentialist conceptions of the body and gender, attempts to limit reproductive rights, and assaults against gender studies in modern contexts. The stakes of such attacks are highlighted by Judith Butler (2004), who contends that disadvantaged bodies are disproportionately susceptible to violence and exclusion, claiming that “the precariousness of life is differentially distributed” (p. 30).

Global crises like war, economic injustice, and climate change also make feminism more difficult since they place an unfair burden on women, especially those in the Global South. Through a phony rhetoric of empowerment, global capitalism presents itself as progressive while taking advantage of women’s reproductive and caregiving labour, as Nancy Fraser (2013) criticizes. She points out that until feminism regains its radical critique of capitalist institutions, it runs the risk of being “hijacked by neoliberalism” (p. 210). This appeal is in line with ecofeminist interpretations, which associate environmental exploitation with the exploitation of women’s bodies, emphasizing the need for cross-disciplinary and national solidarity. When combined, these difficulties show that feminism is negotiating a paradoxical environment

in the twenty-first century: it is more widely recognized than ever before, yet it is also constantly disputed, appropriated, and undercut. Feminism must continue to be “a movement to end sexism, sexist exploitation, and oppression” (p. 1), as Bell Hooks (2000) reminds us, despite the temptations of neoliberal individualism and the structural factors that perpetuate inequality. In order to overcome these obstacles, feminism must change by forming transnational, intersectional, and inclusive coalitions while maintaining its commitment to a just society based on the reality of embodied struggle and structural change.

Conclusion

Rethinking feminism in the 21st century demands staying focused on body, gender, and power as essential categories of analysis and struggle. Power is inscribed onto the body, molding subjectivity and governing life, but it also causes resistance, as Michel Foucault notes. Feminist thinking has shown that bodies are socially created locations where inequality is reproduced and resisted. Judith Butler argues that gender identity is performative and malleable. This acknowledgment gives the body political agency, enabling new unity and resistance. Current problems show that power is intersectional. Kimberlé Crenshaw’s intersectionality paradigm shows how race, class, gender, and sexuality co-constitute oppression, making gendered issues inseparable. According to Chandra Talpade Mohanty (2003), transnational feminist praxis must consider the global circuits of capital, militarism, and colonial legacies that influence women lived lives. These ideas show that feminism must be local and global, respecting differences while pursuing justice. Feminism has major obstacles. Nancy Fraser claims that neoliberalism has depoliticized structural inequalities by appropriating feminist ideologies of empowerment, whereas Rosalind Gill shows how postfeminist society encourages self-surveillance and commodified empowerment. The ongoing backlash against feminism, reproductive inequity, and anti-trans legislation shows that body conflicts continue to be disputed in modern cultures. Rethinking feminism in the 21st century requires seeing the body as a location of oppression, agency, creativity, and transformation. Feminist politics must question how power shapes bodies and affirm embodied persons’ ability to resist and reshape oppressive structures. Feminism affirms its significance as a critical enterprise that relates human experience to collective development. To embrace this vision is to acknowledge that feminism’s future lies in its ability to imagine new embodiment, gender, and power that move beyond dominance toward freedom, justice, and unity.

Bibliography

1. Ahmed, S. (2017). *Living a feminist life*. Duke University Press.
2. Beauvoir, S. de. (2011). *The second sex* (C. Borde & S. Malovany-Chevallier, Trans.). Vintage. (Original work published 1949)
3. Bordo, S. (1993). *Unbearable weight: Feminism, Western culture, and the body*. University of California Press.
4. Butler, J. (1990). *Gender trouble: Feminism and the subversion of identity*. Routledge.
5. Butler, J. (1993). *Bodies that matter: On the discursive limits of sex*. Routledge.
6. Butler, J. (2004). *Precarious life: The powers of mourning and violence*. Verso.
7. Collins, P. H. (2000). *Black feminist thought: Knowledge, consciousness, and the politics of empowerment* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
8. Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the margins: Intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43(6), 1241–1299.

9. Daniels, J. (2009). *Cyber racism: White supremacy online and the new attack on civil rights*. Rowman & Littlefield.
10. Faludi, S. (1991). *Backlash: The undeclared war against American women*. Crown.
11. Foucault, M. (1978). *The history of sexuality, Volume 1: An introduction* (R. Hurley, Trans.). Pantheon Books.
12. Fraser, N. (2013). *Fortunes of feminism: From state-managed capitalism to neoliberal crisis*. Verso.
13. Gill, R. (2017). The affective, cultural and psychic life of postfeminism: A postfeminist sensibility ten years on. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, 20(6), 606–626. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1367549417733003>
14. Grosz, E. (1994). *Volatile bodies: Toward a corporeal feminism*. Indiana University Press.
15. hooks, b. (1984). *Feminist theory: From margin to center*. South End Press.
16. hooks, b. (2000). *Feminism is for everybody: Passionate politics*. South End Press.
17. Mahmood, S. (2005). *Politics of piety: The Islamic revival and the feminist subject*. Princeton University Press.
18. Mohanty, C. T. (2003). *Feminism without borders: Decolonizing theory, practicing solidarity*. Duke University Press.
19. Moi, T. (1999). *What is a woman? And other essays*. Oxford University Press.