

# Governance-Driven Assessment of Digital Systems in Local Enterprise Growth: A Public Administration Perspective

Vienna Mae B. Casurra<sup>1</sup>, Marjorie S. Gabales<sup>2</sup>, Nikki Lou S. Bagolor<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Administrative Officer V- Provincial Government of Surigao del Norte

<sup>2</sup>EMB Specialist II- DENR-Environmental Management Bureau VII

<sup>3</sup>Legal Assistant II- Cebu Technological University

## Abstract

This study investigates the role of governance in enhancing institutional performance through the adoption of digital platforms across land titling and enterprise service sectors. Grounded in a governance-driven framework, it examines how public administration structures influence digital transformation, particularly within developing economies where small and medium-sized enterprises face persistent structural and technological constraints. Employing a systematic literature review, the study synthesizes multidisciplinary evidence on digital governance, ICT adoption, and public sector innovation to identify key drivers and barriers to effective digital integration.

The findings indicate that robust governance mechanisms characterized by transparency, accountability, regulatory coherence, and stakeholder collaboration significantly improve the performance and adoption of digital systems. In land administration, digitalization enhances efficiency, reduces processing time, and strengthens tenure security. In enterprise services, digital platforms improve accessibility, operational productivity, and market competitiveness. However, implementation challenges remain, including limited digital infrastructure, capacity gaps, fragmented institutional coordination, and emerging concerns on data privacy and ethical governance of digital technologies.

The study highlights the necessity of integrated digital ecosystems that align technological innovation with institutional capacity and policy direction. It proposes adaptive governance strategies, targeted capacity-building programs, and context-responsive regulatory frameworks to support sustainable digital transformation. This research contributes to the public administration and digital governance literature by advancing a multi-sectoral perspective on how governance quality shapes digital outcomes and institutional performance in developing contexts.

**Keywords:** governance, digital systems, implementation assessment, e-government

## Introduction

The pervasive impact of digital transformation on contemporary economies necessitates a rigorous examination of how public administration governance frameworks influence the adoption and efficacy of digital systems within local enterprises (Nicolescu et al., 2024). This paper posits that robust digital governance is not merely an administrative overhead but a critical enabler for fostering local economic resilience and growth through technological integration (Xu & Dai, 2024). This perspective moves beyond

traditional digital maturity models by integrating technological, process, structural, and human elements with principles of good governance and external environmental factors (Aristovnik et al., 2024). This analysis explores how government-led digital initiatives, characterized by transparency and quality service delivery, can significantly bolster the adoption of advanced digital systems by local businesses (Xanthopoulou et al., 2023). Such initiatives, particularly when framed within a strategic public administration context, often address the complex interplay of technical skills, infrastructural support, and anticipated economic benefits that drive successful digital integration (Covaci & Covaci, 2024). Despite these theoretical underpinnings, the practical application of ICT in the public sector has frequently encountered significant impediments, often stemming from an overemphasis on technological provision rather than on value-driven outcomes for citizens and enterprises (Nielsen & Goderdzishvili, 2017). Comprehensive understanding of how public administration navigates these complexities, particularly concerning big data governance, is crucial for fostering an environment conducive to enterprise-level digital growth (Latupeirissa et al., 2024). This necessitates a systematic assessment of governance structures that can mitigate challenges posed by legacy systems and optimize the diffusion of ICT within public administrations to positively impact business demography at local levels (Irani et al., 2022; Matteucci et al., 2022). This integrated approach acknowledges that strong institutional frameworks and effective governance are critical enablers for digital growth, particularly in developing economies (Afolabi, 2023). These frameworks must encompass both top-down policy directives and bottom-up initiatives that leverage local innovation and multi-stakeholder partnerships to overcome pervasive barriers such as fragmented governance, constrained budgets, and insufficient human resource capacity (Friederici et al., 2017). Local governments, as primary service providers, frequently encounter specific challenges in evaluating and implementing new technologies due to insufficient internal resources and the intricacies of engaging with global ICT suppliers (David et al., 2023; Hanna, 2018).

Complicated by the fact that many governments lack clear strategic adjustments for digital transformation, and often focus on technology provision without a clear understanding of its strategic integration into the broader business ecosystem (Tassabehji et al., 2016). This often leads to challenges in effective digital and data governance, which highlights the need for policies, regulations, and strategies that support the development of the digital economy (Yeraliyeva et al., 2023). Successful digital transformation necessitates not only infrastructural development but also adaptive governance structures and responsive regulatory frameworks that can accommodate rapid technological advancements while ensuring equitable access and utilization of such services (Lyu et al., 2024).

## **Literature Review**

This section will delve into extant scholarly works concerning digital transformation, public administration, and local enterprise development, critically examining the theoretical underpinnings and empirical evidence that delineate the intricate relationship between governance mechanisms and digital systems adoption (Dzulkifli et al., 2023). While extensive research exists on digital transformation and technology adoption, a significant gap remains regarding how these models apply to small and medium enterprises in developing countries, as much of the current literature targets large enterprises in developed regions (Díaz et al., 2024). Moreover, there is a distinct scarcity of research exploring the governmental role in facilitating the digital transition of small businesses, despite the recognized importance of public policies and initiatives in this sector (Reddy, 2023). This oversight is particularly pronounced in the context of local authorities, where research on digital transformation remains an underexplored area,

despite their critical role in integrating diverse data sources to deliver digitally enabled public services (Kabwe et al., 2024). Consequently, understanding the specific characteristics, barriers, and strengths of a country's digital transformation across various public sector domains, especially at the local level, becomes paramount for effective policy formulation and implementation (Kabwe et al., 2024).

This review will therefore synthesize insights from public administration theory, digital economy literature, and enterprise development studies to construct a holistic framework for assessing governance-driven digital system implementation in local enterprises. This includes analyzing the efficacy of whole-of-government approaches that coordinate digital transformation efforts across various agencies and levels of government, thereby ensuring a coherent and integrated policy environment (Dzulkifli et al., 2023). Such an approach is critical for addressing the multifaceted challenges inherent in digital transformation, particularly in bridging the digital divide and enhancing service delivery for local enterprises (Jejenywa et al., 2024). Furthermore, this section will critically evaluate current strategies for technology adoption within local governments, examining both the opportunities and challenges encountered in their digital transformation journeys (David et al., 2023). It will also identify best practices and potential pitfalls, drawing upon case studies and comparative analyses to illuminate effective governance models that promote sustainable digital development and enterprise growth (Reddy, 2023). This analysis will further consider the often-overlooked implications of digital readiness disparities between developed and developing nations, recognizing that context-specific strategies are essential for fostering an inclusive digital landscape (Nankoomar & Quilling, 2023). The objective is to consolidate the theoretical foundations and practical implications of public administration's engagement with digital transformation, specifically highlighting its impact on local enterprise development through a governance-centric lens. A comprehensive review of existing literature reveals a persistent focus on exploratory research regarding digitalization in the public sector, often highlighting the roles of entrepreneurship and policy entrepreneurs as agents of change (Mountasser & Abdellatif, 2023). However, a notable deficit exists in empirically substantiated frameworks detailing the specific managerial know-how required by local government officials to effectively lead and implement integrated enterprise systems for digital transformation in public service delivery (Pittaway & Montazemi, 2020). This gap underscores the necessity for research that delineates precise governance principles and ICT management strategies applicable at sub-national levels to bolster innovation capabilities and facilitate robust digital integration (Visvizi & Lytras, 2020). This paper aims to bridge this lacuna by developing a governance-driven assessment framework tailored for digital systems in local enterprise growth, drawing upon public administration perspectives to address the unique complexities faced by sub-national entities in their digital transformation journeys. Specifically, it will investigate how regulatory frameworks and administrative capacities influence the successful adoption and utilization of digital tools by local enterprises, considering both top-down policy directives and bottom-up innovation dynamics. This includes an examination of how local institutional and operational contexts influence the effectiveness of e-government initiatives and broader digital transformation efforts (“International Journal of Public Sector Management,” 2023).

This will involve scrutinizing the mechanisms through which public administration can foster digital entrepreneurship and facilitate the integration of advanced digital systems, thereby enhancing the competitiveness and sustainability of local businesses (Mazzucato, 2015). It also seeks to understand how public administrations adapt to and manage the challenges of rapid digital change, considering the evolving interaction between humans, machines, and organizations (Vigoda-Gadot & Mizrahi, 2024). Moreover, this paper will explore how governance structures impact the interoperability and scalability of

digital systems, particularly concerning data sharing and service integration across different municipal departments and with local enterprises (Rosenstand, 2021). This analysis will extend to identifying how localized digital platforms can be orchestrated to create robust ecosystem addressing societal challenges, especially given the inherent ambiguities and risks associated with digital change in governmental contexts (Addo, 2022). The study will also delve into the socio-technical dimensions of digital transformation, recognizing that successful implementation transcends mere technological adoption to encompass significant shifts in organizational culture, skill sets, and citizen engagement. The role of e-empowerment, wherein citizens are actively involved in decision-making processes through technological leverage, moving beyond their traditional role as service consumers to become active contributors to policy-making (Tsatsani et al., 2024). This research adopts a holistic perspective, recognizing the intricate interplay of institutional features and avoiding a technologically deterministic viewpoint to address the complexities of e-government and digital transformation (“International Journal of Public Sector Management,” 2023). It acknowledges that the success of digitalization initiatives in public administration is deeply intertwined with a nuanced understanding of their socio-technical dimensions (Gustafsson, 2017). This approach specifically examines how digital technologies impact public service delivery and the institutionalization processes within governmental bodies, moving beyond techno-optimistic views to scrutinize context-specific applications (Gustafsson, 2017; Maria, 2023). It particularly seeks to understand how decentralization and digitalization can collaboratively empower local governments, recognizing the increased demand for capacity that often accompanies such transformations (“International Review of Public Policy,” 2019). This necessitates an analysis of how local governments perceive and address digitalization, moving beyond a narrow "tool view" to embrace its broader socio-technical implications for administrative and policy capacities (Vial, 2019). This perspective is crucial given that many municipalities, particularly smaller and rural ones, face significant challenges in prioritizing and implementing digitalization initiatives, leading to disparities in service access and posing questions of democratic equity (Gustafsson, 2017). Therefore, this study aims to contribute to the theoretical understanding of public administration's role in governing digital transformation for local enterprise growth, offering practical insights for policymakers and administrators (Latupeirissa et al., 2024; Zulmasyhur et al., 2024). Specifically, it will explore how effective governance frameworks can mitigate risks associated with digital integration while simultaneously fostering an environment conducive to innovation and sustainable local economic development. This includes examining constitutional foundations that empower local self-governance to promote digital development, fostering enhanced administrative efficiency, citizen engagement, and overall community advancement through digital technologies (Siur et al., 2023). It will also critically assess the impact of these digital advancements on public sector employees, considering their adaptation processes and potential resistance to new digital paradigms within frontline service delivery (Afzal & Panagiotopoulos, 2024).

This necessitates an investigation into how local authorities can leverage smart technologies and computational algorithms to enhance bureaucratic efficiency while preserving core public sector values like fairness and impartiality (Vogl et al., 2020). The research will further analyze the implications of digital communication control on new public governance processes, particularly concerning how councillors adapt their leadership styles to incorporate digitally enhanced methods of consultation and decision-making within local districts (Bebia & Mallya, 2022). The research will further analyze the implications of digital communication control on new public governance processes, particularly concerning how councillors adapt their leadership styles to incorporate digitally enhanced methods of

consultation and decision-making within local districts (Bebia & Mallya, 2022). This involves a critical examination of how information asymmetries and access to digital infrastructure influence political participation and equitable representation in local governance (Gasparyan, 2024; Kristensen, 2023). This includes exploring how municipal broadband networks can mitigate broadband access inequalities, particularly in underserved rural and impoverished urban areas, and how these initiatives are shaped by co-constitutive managerial and citizen advocacy factors (Koch, 2018). This comprehensive approach is essential for understanding how local governments can effectively harness digital transformation to address pressing societal challenges, such as the need for streamlined service provision and optimized decision-making in the face of rising public demand for accountability (Kgoale & Phahlane, 2024). This study will therefore investigate the interplay of institutional, organizational, and cultural factors that influence the diffusion of digital technologies within local public sectors, acknowledging the heterogeneity across different contexts (Esposito et al., 2023). It will specifically examine how local governments can navigate the complexities of digital governance, considering the challenges of increased workloads and equitable service provision that often accompany such initiatives (Gasparyan, 2024).

### **Methodology**

This study employs a systematic literature review methodology to address these research gaps, providing a comprehensive analysis of existing scholarship concerning information and communication technology within small and medium-sized enterprises (Yuwono et al., 2024). This approach allows for the identification of prevailing themes, theoretical frameworks, and empirical findings that elucidate the facilitators and impediments to digital adoption, particularly within developing economies (Díaz et al., 2024). This approach aims to synthesize disparate findings to construct a more holistic understanding of governance-driven assessment frameworks for digital systems, thereby informing policy and practice in public administration (Guerrero & Garcia, 2024).

### **Discussion**

This discussion section synthesizes the empirical findings to elucidate the complex interdependencies between public administration governance, digital systems implementation, and their resultant impact on local enterprise growth, particularly in the context of emerging economies. It further evaluates the efficacy of various governance mechanisms, such as structured frameworks for risk management and compliance, in fostering a conducive environment for digital integration among local businesses (Alfiani et al., 2024). This includes analyzing how governmental policies, especially those pertaining to digital government, directly influence enterprise digital transformation and urban economic development (Li & Xu, 2024). This section also examines the influence of digital transformation on government functionality and the mechanisms influencing the digital transformation performance of local governments (Zhou et al., 2024). It also examines how digital governance frameworks contribute to increased transparency and citizen engagement (Mountasser & Abdellatif, 2023). These frameworks are crucial for mitigating the ethical and practical challenges associated with increased data utilization and algorithmic decision-making in public services, ultimately bolstering public trust and operational effectiveness. Additionally, the pervasive digital transformation of governmental processes, exemplified by e-governance and smart city initiatives, not only streamlines public service delivery but also establishes a digital infrastructure that inherently supports and incentivizes local enterprise digitalization (Mou et al., 2022). Moreover, the strategic integration of digital platforms within public administration has been shown to indirectly enhance public

trust through improved administrative integrity and responsiveness, thereby creating a more favorable ecosystem for economic activity (Krejnus et al., 2024).

This section will present the synthesized findings from the systematic literature review, categorized by key themes such as governance frameworks, digital infrastructure, human capital development, and policy interventions, to elucidate their collective impact on digital systems adoption and enterprise growth. This synthesis will highlight the mechanisms through which public administration can effectively foster digital transformation, particularly within SMEs, by examining existing policies and identifying best practices for enhancing digital competitiveness (Ewuga et al., 2023; Yuwono et al., 2024). Additionally, the study identifies critical challenges such as data privacy, algorithmic transparency, and ethical considerations in AI deployment within public administration (Khan et al., 2023). The analysis also reveals significant research gaps concerning the socio-economic and cultural factors that either facilitate or impede the integration of new technologies within these contexts, especially when focusing on basic information systems rather than advanced technologies like AI or cloud computing (Díaz et al., 2024). This suggests a need for further investigation into the specific barriers and accelerators influencing the adoption of more sophisticated digital tools in developing economies, moving beyond foundational ICT implementations (Díaz et al., 2024). Future research should consider the nuanced interaction between institutional readiness, stakeholder engagement, and the phased implementation of advanced digital solutions to ascertain optimal strategies for localized digital transformation (AMIL, 2024). This involves a critical examination of how innovative entrepreneurship and emerging technologies contribute to the growth and sustainability of local enterprises, particularly within the context of public administration (Madondo et al., 2024). Subsequent investigations into the digital transformation of public administration ought to explore the effective management of artificial intelligence adoption and diffusion, taking into account both the technological capabilities and the organizational context of public administration (Madan & Ashok, 2022). This includes investigating the integration of courses on artificial intelligence, machine learning, and data analytics into public administration curricula to better prepare professionals for these evolving demands (Damar et al., 2024). The study further aims to provide actionable insights for policymakers to strategically implement digital governance frameworks that address the unique challenges faced by small and medium-sized enterprises in developing nations (Haryanti et al., 2023). Such frameworks should emphasize tailored solutions that account for localized infrastructure, regulatory environments, and the specific needs of diverse business ecosystems (Bhuiyan et al., 2024). This research also aims to contribute to the theoretical understanding of how digital interactive platforms can facilitate the rapid internationalization of SMEs by examining the role of digital technologies for interactions within these enterprises (Mohamad et al., 2022). This includes a critical assessment of how digitalization strategies, particularly within public sectors, influence economic growth and competitiveness in developing countries, acknowledging the specific limitations and opportunities present in these contexts (Senyo et al., 2020). Furthermore, this analysis extends to identifying specific initiatives, such as training programs, financial incentives, and streamlined regulatory structures, that can empower SMEs to integrate new technologies and enhance their market competitiveness (Masongsong et al., 2024). This approach will enable a more nuanced understanding of the causal links between public policy, digital adoption rates, and economic development outcomes, thereby offering robust recommendations for fostering sustainable growth in local enterprises (Grumeza & Crudu, 2024). This comprehensive exploration is critical given the multifaceted contributions digitalization offers—from reinforcing theoretical understanding and refining methodologies to providing practical insights for decision-makers in public administration aiming to enhance institutional dynamics

(Popa et al., 2024). Therefore, the subsequent discussion will delineate the implications of these findings for both scholarly discourse and practical applications, proposing avenues for future research to further refine our understanding of digital governance in the context of local enterprise development. This includes a focused examination of micro-, meso-, and macro-level factors that influence digital platform adoption by small and medium-sized enterprises to support their internationalization efforts (Rocha et al., 2024). It will also explore the critical interplay between digitalization and internationalization, recognizing these as fundamental growth drivers for all firms, especially SMEs, while addressing the often-overlooked design, implementation, and governance aspects of digital internationalization platforms and ecosystems over time and across multiple geographies (Dagnino & Resciniti, 2021; “The Convergence of Digitalization and Internationalization: A Literature Review and Future Research Agenda,” 2022). This analysis will subsequently delve into the implications of digital transformation for policy and practice, identifying promising directions for future research by drawing upon a well-designed methodology to reduce bias in recommendations (Meyer et al., 2023). This approach will enable the formulation of evidence-based policies that promote equitable technology adoption and foster innovation across varying firm sizes (Santos, 2023). Moreover, this research underscores the imperative for policymakers to cultivate an enabling environment for SME digitalization, recognizing its multifaceted benefits for regional entrepreneurial activities, economic advancement, and the knowledge economy (Hassan et al., 2023).

This symbiotic relationship between robust digital governance and a thriving local enterprise sector underscores the imperative for public administrations to develop holistic strategies that transcend mere technological adoption, focusing instead on integrated digital ecosystems (Anthony et al., 2021; Yukhno, 2022). This involves a paradigm shift towards models that incorporate comprehensive regulatory roles for information and digital technologies, aiming to refine administrative processes and decision-making while addressing the ethical dimensions of data privacy and societal equity (Ishchuk et al., 2024). This situation necessitates an adaptive governance approach that balances technological innovation with robust ethical frameworks, particularly in the deployment of AI and data ecosystems within smart cities (Dong & Liu, 2023). Such an approach is essential for ensuring that digital transformations not only enhance service delivery and economic growth but also align with broader sustainable development goals, particularly by improving accessibility and inclusivity for all segments of society, including marginalized communities (Lubis et al., 2024). The ongoing digital transformation within public administration, spanning from e-governance to smart city initiatives, invariably shapes the operational landscape for local enterprises, necessitating a nuanced understanding of how these governmental digital ecosystems foster or impede private sector innovation and growth (Güç, 2024). This discussion will therefore critically examine how public administrations, through their digital governance strategies, can either catalyze or constrain the digital maturation of local enterprises, particularly by analyzing policy effectiveness and implementation challenges (Yang, 2023).

This includes exploring how governmental policies and regulatory frameworks impact the entrepreneurial ecosystem, especially for small and medium-sized enterprises that are increasingly reliant on digital platforms for market access and operational efficiency (Bettanti & Travagli, 2024). A critical examination will also be conducted regarding the extent to which centralization of governmental power, often accompanied by technological advancements, influences the digital strategies of local enterprises and their capacity for spatial competition (Central and Eastern European eDem and eGov Days 2023, 2023). This involves a deeper investigation into how public policies, particularly those promoting smart city initiatives, can either facilitate or hinder the economic and political empowerment of new actors in urban

development, such as IT companies and multi-utilities (Fertner, 2018). Furthermore, this study emphasizes the necessity for policymakers to foster a conducive environment for SME digitalization, acknowledging its diverse advantages for regional entrepreneurial initiatives, economic progress, and the knowledge economy (Hassan et al., 2023).

## Conclusion

In conclusion, the efficacy of governance-driven digital systems in fostering local enterprise growth hinges significantly on the strategic formulation and implementation of public administration policies that transcend mere technological adoption, emphasizing integrated digital ecosystems (Fazil et al., 2024). This comprehensive approach necessitates careful consideration of digital literacy within the public sector and the effective deployment of AI, alongside robust ethical guidelines and data governance frameworks, to ensure accountability and citizen engagement (Firdaus et al., 2024). Moreover, by leveraging digital technologies for fiscal decentralization and enhancing digital skills, public administrations can empower subnational entities and local businesses, fostering economic resilience and innovation (Mhlongo et al., 2024; Monkam & Mangwanya, 2024).

## References

1. Addo, A. (2022). Orchestrating a digital platform ecosystem to address societal challenges: A robust action perspective. *Journal of Information Technology*, 37(4), 359. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02683962221088333>
2. Afolabi, J. A. (2023). Advancing digital economy in Africa: The role of critical enablers. *Technology in Society*, 75, 102367. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2023.102367>
3. Afzal, M., & Panagiotopoulos, P. (2024). Coping with digital transformation in frontline public services: A study of user adaptation in policing. *Government Information Quarterly*, 41(4), 101977. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2024.101977>
4. Alfiani, H., Aditya, S. K., Lusa, S., Sensuse, D. I., Putro, P. A. W., & Indriasari, S. (2024). E-Government Issues in Developing Countries Using TOE and UTAUT Frameworks: A Systematic Review [Review of E-Government Issues in Developing Countries Using TOE and UTAUT Frameworks: A Systematic Review]. *Policy & Governance Review*, 8(2), 169. Indonesian Association for Public Administration. <https://doi.org/10.30589/pgr.v8i2.932>
5. AMIL, A. C. (2024). Integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Philippine Public Administration: Legal and Regulatory Frameworks, Challenges, and Strategies. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews*, 3(3), 82. <https://doi.org/10.56815/ijmrr.v3i3.2024/82-88>
6. Anthony, B., Petersen, S. A., Helfert, M., & Guo, H. (2021). Digital transformation with enterprise architecture for smarter cities: a qualitative research approach. *Digital Policy Regulation and Governance*, 23(4), 355. <https://doi.org/10.1108/dprg-04-2020-0044>
7. Aristovnik, A., Ravšelj, D., & Murko, E. (2024). Decoding the Digital Landscape: An Empirically Validated Model for Assessing Digitalization across Public Administration Levels. *Administrative Sciences*, 14(3), 41. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14030041>
8. Bebia, Y. J., & Mallya, E. T. (2022). Implications of Digital Communication Control on New Public Governance Processes in Tanzania. *Journal of African Politics*, 2, 1. <https://doi.org/10.58548/2022jap212.0138>

9. Bettanti, A., & Travagli, P. (2024). The influence of public governance on European supranational public policies in fostering innovation among SMEs within innovation ecosystems. Research Square (Research Square). <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-5404030/v1>
10. Bhuiyan, M. R. I., Faraji, M. R., Rashid, M., Bhuyan, M. K., Hossain, R., & Ghose, P. (2024). Digital Transformation in SMEs Emerging Technological Tools and Technologies for Enhancing the SME's Strategies and Outcomes. *Journal of Ecohumanism*, 3(4), 211. <https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i4.3594>
11. Central and Eastern European eDem and eGov Days 2023. (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3603304>
12. Covaci, M., & Covaci, B. (2024). Digital Administration in the Information Technology Landscape - A Review in the Information Technology Landscape - A Review: Insights from Mountain Economy. *Academic Review*, 2(61), 203. <https://doi.org/10.32342/2074-5354-2024-2-61-14>
13. Dagnino, G. B., & Resciniti, R. (2021). Introduction to the Special Issue: "The age of digital internationalization—Strategic capabilities, cultural distance and customer value." *Journal of Management & Governance*, 25(4), 967. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10997-021-09603-8>
14. Damar, M., Köse, H. Ö., Cagle, M., & Özen, A. (2024). Mapping the Digital Frontier: Bibliometric and Machine Learning Insights into Public Administration Transformation. *Sayıştay Dergisi*, 132, 9. <https://doi.org/10.52836/sayistay.1455036>
15. David, A., Yiğitcanlar, T., Li, R. Y. M., Corchado, J. M., Cheong, P. H., Mossberger, K., & Mehmood, R. (2023). Understanding Local Government Digital Technology Adoption Strategies: A PRISMA Review. *Sustainability*, 15(12), 9645. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15129645>
16. Díaz, J., Hochstetter, J., Bustamante-Mora, A., Sepúlveda, S., Albayay, I., & Arango-López, J. (2024). Navigating Digital Transformation and Technology Adoption: A Literature Review from Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises in Developing Countries [Review of Navigating Digital Transformation and Technology Adoption: A Literature Review from Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises in Developing Countries]. *Sustainability*, 16(14), 5946. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16145946>
17. Dong, L., & Liu, Y. (2023). Frontiers of policy and governance research in a smart city and artificial intelligence: an advanced review based on natural language processing. *Frontiers in Sustainable Cities*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frsc.2023.1199041>
18. Dzulkipli, D., Nurdin, N. H., & Purna, Z. A. (2023). Unleashing the Power of Capacity Building: Transforming Governance and Policy Implementation in the Digital Era. *KnE Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v8i17.14121>
19. Esposito, G., Terlizzi, A., Guarino, M., & Crutzen, N. (2023). Interpreting digital governance at the municipal level: Evidence from smart city projects in Belgium. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 90(2), 301. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00208523231167538>
20. Ewuga, S. K., Egieya, Z. E., Omotosho, A., & Adegbite, A. O. (2023). Comparative Review of Technology Integration in SMES: A Tale of Two Economies- The United States and Nigeria. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(6), 555. <https://doi.org/10.51594/estj.v4i6.680>
21. Fazil, A. W., Hakimi, M., Aslamzai, S., & Quch, M. M. (2024). A Review of E-Government Practices in the Age of Digitalization [Review of A Review of E-Government Practices in the Age of Digitalization]. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Approach Research and Science*, 2(2), 511. <https://doi.org/10.59653/ijmars.v2i02.568>
22. Fertner, C. (2018). Emerging digital plan data – New research perspectives into planning practice and evaluation. *Research Portal Denmark*, 101. <https://local.forskningsportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris->

- link?src=ku&id=ku-fa01e5b8-f4c7-4883-96ca-8ed0eac2c364&ti=Emerging%20digital%20plan%20data%20%202013%20New%20research%20perspectives%20into%20planning%20practice%20and%20evaluation
23. Firdaus, D. U. F. binti, Roslan, N. A. bin, Saferdin, W. F. H. binti W. M., Zulkarnain, I. F., & Samasu, N. F. binti. (2024). AI Integration in Malaysian Public Administration for Improved Governance. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 3799. <https://doi.org/10.47772/ijriss.2024.8090316>
  24. Friederici, N., Ojanperä, S., & Graham, M. (2017). The Impact of Connectivity in Africa: Grand Visions and the Mirage of Inclusive Digital Development. *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 79(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1681-4835.2017.tb00578.x>
  25. Gasparyan, A. (2024). From paperwork to pixels: workload and digital governance in Armenian local authorities. *Frontiers in Political Science*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2024.1280109>
  26. Grumeza, M., & Crudu, R. (2024). The role of external assistance in propelling the digitalization of public services in the republic of Moldova. *EURINT*, 11, 222. <https://doi.org/10.47743/eurint-2024-gru>
  27. Güç, E. (2024). Kamu Yönetiminde Dijital Dönüşüm Bağlamında Yerel Yönetimler: Vatandaşa Yakın Merkeze Uzak Mı? *DergiPark* (Istanbul University). <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/urbanjournal/issue/87847/1559494>
  28. Guerrero, L., & Garcia, L. (2024). Technology Adoption by Microentrepreneurs: A 2018-2023 Literature Review. *Journal of Ecohumanism*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i1.6653>
  29. Gustafsson, M. S. (2017). Reassembling Local E-Government: A study of actors' translations of digitalisation in public administration [Linköping University Press]. In *Linköping studies in arts and science*. <https://doi.org/10.3384/diss.diva-138859>
  30. Hanna, N. K. (2018). A role for the state in the digital age. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-018-0086-3>
  31. Haryanti, T., Rakhmawati, N. A., & Subriadi, A. P. (2023). A comparative analysis review of digital transformation stage in developing countries. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 16(1), 150. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jiem.4576>
  32. Hassan, S. S., Meisner, K., Krause, K., Bzhalava, L., & Moog, P. (2023). Is digitalization a source of innovation? Exploring the role of digital diffusion in SME innovation performance. *Small Business Economics*, 62(4), 1469. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11187-023-00826-7>
  33. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. (2023). *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijpsm>
  34. *International Review of Public Policy*. (2019). *International Review of Public Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.4000/irpp>
  35. Irani, Z., Abril, R. M., Weerakkody, V., Omar, A., & Sivarajah, U. (2022). The impact of legacy systems on digital transformation in European public administration: Lesson learned from a multi case analysis. *Government Information Quarterly*, 40(1), 101784. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2022.101784>
  36. Ishchuk, M., Ishchuk, O., Khrypko, S., Shnitser, M., Vasylychuk, T., Syhyda, H., & Hotsalyuk, A. (2024). Sustainable Digital Evolution: Transforming the Ukrainian Customs Service. *European Journal of Sustainable Development*, 13(1), 217. <https://doi.org/10.14207/ejsd.2024.v13n1p217>

37. Jejenywa, T. O., Mhlongo, N. Z., & Jejenywa, T. O. (2024). Conceptualizing e-Government Initiatives: Lessons Learned from Africa-US Collaborations in Digital Governance. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(4), 759. <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i4.1066>
38. Kabwe, K., Zhou, C., Jardim, L., & Surguladze, E. (2024). Empowering Societal Digital Transformation at the Local Level. *Digital Policy Studies*, 3(1), 22. <https://doi.org/10.36615/1y792620>
39. Kgoale, M. P., & Phahlane, M. (2024). Structuration theory perspective of Big Data in a typical South African municipality. *South African Journal of Information Management*, 26(1). <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajim.v26i1.1780>
40. Khan, J. A., Raman, A. M., Sambamoorthy, N., & Prashanth, K. C. (2023). Research Methodology (Methods, Approaches and Techniques). <https://doi.org/10.59646/rmmethods/040>
41. Koch, H. M. (2018). Digital Utilities: The Factors Impacting Municipal Broadband Decisions Among Local Leaders. *Online Journal of Rural Research & Policy*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.4148/1936-0487.1090>
42. Krejnus, M., Štofková, K. R., Štofková, J., Štofková, Z., Loučanová, E., Poliaková, A., & Šujanská, L. (2024). Measuring Efficiency and Satisfaction in the Context of Digital Transformation. *Administrative Sciences*, 14(9), 217. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14090217>
43. Kristensen, K. (2023). Why Digitalization is NOT on the Local Political Agenda: Findings from Danish Local Governments. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.58235/sjpa.2023.10663>
44. Latupeirissa, J. J. P., Dewi, N. L. Y., Prayana, I. K. R., Srikandi, M. B., Ramadiansyah, S. A., & Pramana, I. B. G. A. Y. (2024). Transforming Public Service Delivery: A Comprehensive Review of Digitization Initiatives [Review of Transforming Public Service Delivery: A Comprehensive Review of Digitization Initiatives]. *Sustainability*, 16(7), 2818. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16072818>
45. Li, H., & Xu, J. (2024). Impact of Digital Government on Digital Transformation of Enterprises from the Perspective of Urban Economic Sustainable Development. *Sustainability*, 16(7), 2667. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16072667>
46. Lubis, S., Purnomo, E. P., Lado, J. A., & Hung, C.-F. (2024). Electronic governance in advancing sustainable development goals through systematic literature review. *Discover Global Society*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44282-024-00102-3>
47. Lyu, Y., Xie, J., Meng, X., & Wang, X. (2024). Digital economy and institutional dynamics: striving for equitable public service in a digitally transformed era. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1330044>
48. Madan, R., & Ashok, M. (2022). AI adoption and diffusion in public administration: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *Government Information Quarterly*, 40(1), 101774. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2022.101774>
49. Madondo, E., Akindeji, E. B., & Ogunsola, S. A. (2024). The role of innovative entrepreneurship and emerging technologies in South Africa's Small, Medium and Micro Enterprises. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science* (2147-4478), 13(7), 585. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v13i7.3772>
50. Maria, E., Fabio. (2023). Platforming Public Administration: An Empirical Analysis on the Institutionalization of Digital Technologies. *SHILAP Revista de Lepidopterología*. <https://doi.org/10.6092/issn.2038-3460/18779>

51. Masongsong, A. C., Ulep, S. J., Abante, M. V., Cagang, Ma. L., & Vigonte, F. (2024). Digital Transformation of International Trade for SMEs in Developing Countries: Opportunities, Challenges. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4740033>
52. Matteucci, N., Santolini, R., & Fabio, S. D. (2022). ICT diffusion in public administrations and business dynamics: Evidence from Italian municipalities. *Annals of Public and Co-Operative Economy*, 94(4), 1233. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apce.12400>
53. Mazzucato, M. (2015). Building the Entrepreneurial State: A New Framework for Envisioning and Evaluating a Mission-Oriented Public Sector. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2544707>
54. Meyer, N., Said, F. B., Alkathiri, N. A., & Soliman, M. (2023). A scientometric analysis of entrepreneurial and the digital economy scholarship: state of the art and an agenda for future research. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-023-00340-w>
55. Mhlongo, N. Z., Daraojimba, D. O., Olubusola, O., Ajayi-Nifise, A. O., & Falaiye, T. (2024). Reviewing the impact of digital platforms on entrepreneurship in Africa. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 11(1), 1364. <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2024.11.1.0228>
56. Mohamad, A., Rizal, A. M., Fei, T. H. C., & Salim, F. A. A. (2022). Embracing Digital Interactive Platforms for Rapid Internationalization. *Journal of Southwest Jiaotong University*, 57(3), 89. <https://doi.org/10.35741/issn.0258-2724.57.3.8>
57. Monkam, N., & Mangwanya, M. G. (2024). Digital Tools for Boosting the Impact of Fiscal Decentralization in Africa's Local Economies. *F1000Research*, 13, 279. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.143922.1>
58. Mou, A. J., Hossain, Md. S., & Siddiqui, N. A. (2022). Digital Transformation in Marketing: Evaluating the Impact of Web Analytics and SEO on SME Growth. *American Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 3(4), 61. <https://doi.org/10.63125/8t10v729>
59. Mountasser, T., & Abdellatif, M. (2023). Digital Transformation in Public Administration: A Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, 8(10). <https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i10.2372>
60. Nankoomar, T., & Quilling, R. (2023). Impact of Coronavirus on digital transformation in private sector organisations in developing countries. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Science (2147-4478)*, 12(10), 1. <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v12i10.3071>
61. Nicolescu, A.-C., Lobonț, O., Vătavu, S., & Bozga, E. (2024). Entrepreneurship and Digitalization in EU: Twinning Insights through a Panel Threshold Regression. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 25(2), 315. <https://doi.org/10.3846/jbem.2024.21206>
62. Nielsen, M. M., & Goderdzishvili, N. (2017). Georgia on My Mind: A Study of the Role of Governance and Cooperation in Online Service Delivery in the Caucasus. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-64677-0\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-64677-0_7)
63. Pittaway, J. J., & Montazemi, A. R. (2020). Know-how to lead digital transformation: The case of local governments. *Government Information Quarterly*, 37(4), 101474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2020.101474>
64. Popa, I., Ștefan, S. C., Olariu, A. A., & Popa, Ștefan C. (2024). Integrating digitalization opportunities into innovative public organizations' management process. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 9(4), 100564. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2024.100564>

65. Reddy, S. R. B. (2023). Digital Transformations Theoretical Investigation on The Basis of Smart Government Initiatives. *Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology*, 44(4), 3894. <https://doi.org/10.52783/tjjpt.v44.i4.1562>
66. Rocha, Â. da, Fonseca, L. N. da, & Kogut, C. S. (2024). Small firm internationalization using digital platforms: an assessment and future research directions. *International Marketing Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/imr-10-2023-0280>
67. Rosenstand, C. A. F. (2021). Governance framework for performance measurement of a regional digital ecosphere. Research Portal Denmark. <https://local.forskningsportal.dk/local/dki-cgi/ws/cris-link?src=aau&id=aau-75857212-e9dc-4fe6-b9ab-ace4c9fff630&ti=Governance%20framework%20for%20performance%20measurement%20of%20a%20regional%20digital%20ecosphere>
68. Santos, E. (2023). Tech-driven transformation: Investigating digitalization dynamics across varying firm sizes. *Journal of Information Economics*, 1(3). <https://doi.org/10.58567/jie01030001>
69. Senyo, P., Effah, J., & Osabutey, E. L. C. (2020). Digital platformisation as public sector transformation strategy: A case of Ghana's paperless port. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 162, 120387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120387>
70. Siur, N., Kuzmenko, H., Pavlichenko, I., Malakhova, T., & Правдюк, А. (2023). Constitutional Principles of Local Self-Government. *Journal of Law and Sustainable Development*, 11(11). <https://doi.org/10.55908/sdgs.v11i11.2290>
71. Tassabehji, R., Hackney, R., & Popovič, A. (2016). Emergent digital era governance: Enacting the role of the 'institutional entrepreneur' in transformational change. *Government Information Quarterly*, 33(2), 223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2016.04.003>
72. The Convergence of Digitalization and Internationalization: A Literature Review and Future Research Agenda. (2022). *Advances in Business Research International Journal*, 8(2), 18. <https://doi.org/10.24191/abrij.v8i2.18412>
73. Tsatsani, O., Patergiannaki, Z., & Pollalis, Y. (2024). Unraveling the Dynamics of e-Government Digitization, Penetration and User Experience: A Case Study of Greek Municipalities. *Journal of Strategic Innovation and Sustainability*, 19(3). <https://doi.org/10.33423/jsis.v19i3.7376>
74. Vial, G. (2019). Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. *Review of Understanding digital transformation: A review and a research agenda. The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 28(2), 118. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2019.01.003>
75. Vigoda-Gadot, E., & Mizrahi, S. (2024). The digital governance puzzle: Towards integrative theory of humans, machines, and organizations in public management. *Technology in Society*, 77, 102530. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2024.102530>
76. Visvizi, A., & Lytras, M. D. (2020). Editorial. Transforming Government People Process and Policy, 14(2), 125. <https://doi.org/10.1108/tg-05-2020-128>
77. Vogl, T. M., Seidelin, C., Ganesh, B., & Bright, J. (2020). Smart Technology and the Emergence of Algorithmic Bureaucracy: Artificial Intelligence in UK Local Authorities. *Public Administration Review*, 80(6), 946. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13286>
78. Xanthopoulou, P., Antoniadis, I., & Avlogiaris, G. (2023). Unveiling the drivers of digital governance adoption in public administration. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 21(4), 454. [https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.21\(4\).2023.35](https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.21(4).2023.35)

79. Xu, X., & Dai, M. H. (2024). Evaluation of Local Government Digital Governance Ability and Sustainable Development: A Case Study of Hunan Province. *Sustainability*, 16(14), 6084. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16146084>
80. Yang, Z. (2023). Citizens' trust and digital attitudes: evidence from city digital transformation in Shanghai, China. *Public Administration and Policy*, 26(3), 258. <https://doi.org/10.1108/pap-01-2023-0014>
81. Yeraliyeva, A., Dauliyeva, G., Andabayeva, G., & Nurmanova, B. (2023). Effectiveness of public administration of the digital economy in Kazakhstan. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 21(3), 125. [https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.21\(3\).2023.10](https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.21(3).2023.10)
82. Yukhno, A. (2022). Digital Transformation: Exploring big data Governance in Public Administration. *Public Organization Review*, 24(1), 335. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-022-00694-x>
83. Yuwono, T., Suroso, A., & Novandari, W. (2024). Information and communication technology in SMEs: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-024-00392-6>
84. Zhou, W., Lyu, Z., & Chen, S. (2024). Mechanisms Influencing the Digital Transformation Performance of Local Governments: Evidence from China. *Systems*, 12(1), 30. <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems12010030>
85. Zulmasyhur, Z., Setiawan, H. D., & W., N. P. L. (2024). Enhancing Governance through Digital Transformation. *Jurnal Governansi*, 10(1), 127. <https://doi.org/10.30997/jgs.v10i1.115444>, 749. <https://doi.org/10.63682/jns.v14i2s.9220>