

Electoral Realignment in Andhra Pradesh After 2014

A. Khaja Vice Khirni¹, Dr. D Punyavathi²

¹ Department of Political Science, Government Degree College Rayachoty, A.P

² Dr.D.Punyavathi, Dept. of Pol. Science, Govt. of Degree College, Khairatabad, Hyderabad

Abstract

The bifurcation of united Andhra Pradesh in 2014, which led to the creation of Telangana, produced a major shock to the political system of the successor state and catalyzed a profound electoral realignment that unfolded across the 2014, 2019, and 2024 electoral cycles. This paper analyzes the patterns, causes, and consequences of that realignment—mapping shifts in party vote shares and seats, changes in party strategies and organization, and the social and institutional drivers that reshaped regional politics. Drawing on official election results, party-level vote-share data, and recent scholarly and policy analyses, the study argues that the realignment resulted from an interplay of structural factors introduced by bifurcation (territorial reconfiguration and altered caste geography), leadership dynamics (personalization and welfare populism), and strategic factors (alliances and national party positioning). Quantitative data reveal that the YSR Congress Party's (YSRCP) vote share rose from 44.6% in 2014 to 49.9% in 2019 before declining to 40.1% in 2024, while the Telugu Desam Party's (TDP) share moved inversely over the same period. These shifts illustrate how bifurcation redefined the contours of political competition and voter behavior, offering broader insights into how territorial shocks reshape regional party systems and democratic accountability in India.

Keywords: Andhra Pradesh, Bifurcation, Telangana Formation, Electoral Realignment, Regional Party Politics, YSR Congress Party (YSRCP), Telugu Desam Party (TDP), Welfare Politics, Leadership Personalization, Political Alliances, Voter Behavior, Party Competition, Electoral Volatility, State Politics in India.

1. Introduction

State bifurcation is not merely an administrative act; it reshapes political space, reorders resource distribution and alters incentives for political actors. The bifurcation of Andhra Pradesh in 2014 — the creation of Telangana and the retention of a reduced Andhra Pradesh — produced immediate institutional and political repercussions. The newly constituted Andhra Pradesh faced the task of rebuilding state institutions (capital, administrative apparatus) and redefining political identities in the absence of Hyderabad. Political parties reacted differently: some reoriented their organization and messaging to the new territorial and social map, while new entrants sought to capitalize on emerging cleavages. The electoral consequences of these transformations are evident in the state's successive assembly results (2014, 2019, 2024), which show a dramatic reshuffling of party fortunes and voter allegiances. This paper explores how and why electoral realignment occurred in Andhra Pradesh after the bifurcation, and what the consequences have been for regional party dynamics.

Electoral Overview (2014–2024)

The electoral overview of Andhra Pradesh during 2014 – 2024 has been presented in the Table 1.

Table 1

Electoral Overview in Andhra Pradesh during 2014 - 2024

Election Year	Major Party/Alliance	Seats Won	Vote Share (%)
2014	TDP + BJP	106	45.4
2014	YSRCP	67	44.6
2019	YSRCP	151	49.9
2019	TDP	23	39.2
2024	TDP + JSP + BJP	164	55.0
2024	YSRCP	11	40.1

LITERATURE REVIEW

A growing body of scholarship examines the political effects of territorial reorganization, with particular attention to how reconfigured states produce new electoral geographies and party strategies. Studies on Andhra Pradesh's post-bifurcation politics emphasize three broad mechanisms of change: 1. Territorial and demographic restructuring: Bifurcation changed the distribution of caste groups, economic bases and urban centres across the successor states, which in turn altered parties' traditional vote banks and required recalibration of electoral appeals. Recent analyses note that parties that quickly adapted to the revised caste and regional map fared better. 2. Leadership and personalization: In a political environment marked by institutional flux, charismatic leadership and personal networks became crucial. Parties that were able to mobilize around strong regional leaders or leverage dynastic ties altered voter perceptions of credibility and delivery. 3. Clientelism and welfare politics: The literature shows that in several Indian states welfare promises and populist schemes can reshape voting patterns more effectively than ideological appeals — a dynamic that accelerated in Andhra as parties used welfare measures to construct new support coalitions. While existing studies offer important conceptual frameworks, there remains a need for systematic analysis that links vote-share trajectories to specific institutional and strategic changes after 2014. This paper responds to that gap by combining quantitative electoral outcomes with qualitative explanations drawn from party strategies and socio-political shifts.

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

This research uses three types of data, i.e. 1. Electoral data: Official assembly-level results for Andhra Pradesh for 2014, 2019 and 2024 (seat counts and party-wise vote shares) from the Election Commission of India and aggregated datasets (Lokniti / pre-compiled result summaries). These data provide the empirical basis for establishing patterns of change in party fortunes. 2. Secondary literature and reports: Peer-reviewed and policy analyses, along with state-level studies and working papers that contextualize the electoral figures with respect to caste, welfare policy and organizational changes. 3. Qualitative indicators: Documented shifts in party messaging, alliances, leadership transitions, and high-profile policy initiatives (e.g., welfare schemes introduced by competing governments), derived from party manifestos, public speeches and reputable media reporting. Methodologically, the paper follows a mixed-methods approach. Quantitative descriptions of vote and seat changes across the three electoral cycles are paired with qualitative causal inference: temporally mapping key events (bifurcation, organizational change, alliance formation, welfare rollouts) against observed electoral shifts to infer

plausible causal mechanisms. Where possible, comparisons are drawn across regions (district- and constituency-level patterns) to show heterogeneity in realignment.

ELECTORAL TRAJECTORY (2014 → 2019 → 2024)

The immediate post-bifurcation settlement (2014)

The 2014 Andhra Pradesh assembly election was the first election after the bifurcation. The Telugu Desam Party (TDP), in alliance with the BJP and supported informally by Pawan Kalyan's Jana Sena in select areas, positioned itself as the architect of a new developmental trajectory for the truncated state, emphasizing infrastructure, technology and a Hyderabad-independent vision (including proposals for a new capital). The alliance emerged as the largest force in the 2014 assembly with a combined seat majority. The YSR Congress Party (YSRCP), led by Y. S. Jagan Mohan Reddy, performed strongly in many districts but fell short of a majority. Aggregate vote-share figures from 2014 show a competitive landscape with the NDA alliance and YSRCP splitting substantial portions of the vote.

Consolidation around welfare and anti-incumbency (YSRCP Landslide) (2019)

By 2019 the political map had changed dramatically. The YSRCP won an overwhelming victory, capturing 151 out of 175 assembly seats and securing close to half of the state's total votes (approximately 49.9% of votes cast), while the incumbent TDP fell to 23 seats (roughly 39.2% vote share). Multiple factors explain this seismic shift: (a) anti-incumbency against the TDP government, especially over contentious issues like promises linked to bifurcation and perceptions of uneven development; (b) Jagan Mohan Reddy's strategic rollout of welfare-oriented messaging and promises of targeted schemes resonating with key voter blocs; and (c) organizational mobilization and systematic voter outreach by YSRCP at the grassroots level. The 2019 results thus indicate a pronounced consolidation of pro-YSRCP sentiment across the state.

Reversal and the return of TDP-led coalition (2024)

The 2024 assembly election once again reordered party fortunes. The TDP-led alliance (locally referred to in some coverage as the Kutami or opposition coalition) achieved a landslide victory, winning approximately 164 of 175 seats (TDP 135, Jana Sena 21, BJP 8) while YSRCP was reduced to 11 seats. This reversal reflects several dynamics: electoral fatigue with the incumbent, alliance-building that consolidated anti-YSRCP votes behind TDP and Jana Sena, and targeted campaigns focusing on governance failures and law-and-order narratives. The 2024 official trends and final tallies reported by the Election Commission reflect this substantial swing. Interpreting the Realignment: Causes and Mechanisms: The electoral swings across 2014–2024 are unlikely to be explained by a single cause. Instead, the evidence indicates multiple interacting mechanisms:

1. Altered territorial and caste map post-bifurcation

Bifurcation changed the composition of the electorate in the residual Andhra state — Hyderabad and its cosmopolitan electorate moved to Telangana, and the remaining state had a different mix of dominant caste groups, agricultural interests and urban-rural balances. Parties that were more flexible in reconfiguring their caste coalitions and local alliances were better positioned to reap electoral benefits. District-level patterns show continuity in pockets (e.g., Kadapa remaining a YSR stronghold in 2014–2019) but also substantial shifts where local leaders and castes recalibrated alignments in response to

new development promises and perceived neglect. Scholarly reviews of post-bifurcation dynamics emphasize this territorial-caste rebalancing as a foundational driver of subsequent party strategies.

2. Leadership and personalization: Naidu vs. Jagan vs. Pawan Kalyan

The post-2014 period accelerated the personalization of politics in Andhra. Chandrababu Naidu's Nara family legacy, Jagan Mohan Reddy's dynastic appeal (son of Y. S. Rajasekhara Reddy), and Pawan Kalyan's celebrity-political persona (Jana Sena) offered voters distinct leadership choices. Personal charisma combined with organizational control translated into distinct mobilization capacities. Jagan's ability to exploit a welfare-centric narrative and cast himself as a redistributor in 2019 produced a decisive mandate; conversely, coalition-building and anti-incumbency narratives allowed Naidu's alliance to recapture momentum in 2024.

3. Welfare politics and programmatic appeals

Parties increasingly relied on clientelist and programmatic appeals. The YSRCP's messaging emphasized welfare continuation and new entitlements targeted to rural and economically vulnerable populations — a strategy that converted into electoral gains in 2019. By contrast, TDP's development-oriented, infrastructure-heavy pitch resonated differently over time; where voters perceived tangible returns from earlier development policies, TDP found traction. The competition between welfare and development narratives created shifting electoral incentives, with short-term delivery and perception management shaping vote choices.

4. Alliance strategies and vote consolidation

Alliances were decisive in both the upswing and the reversal. In 2014 TDP allied with BJP and benefited from national-level support; in 2019 fragmented opposition allowed YSRCP to consolidate its position. In 2024, the formation of a more coherent anti-YSRCP coalition (including TDP, Jana Sena and allies) materially altered the effective vote distribution by minimizing anti-incumbent vote-splitting and consolidating resources for campaigning. The seat-rich nature of the first-past-the-post system amplified alliance effects into sweeping seat gains for the dominant coalition.

5. Governance performance, scandals and anti-incumbency

Perceptions of governance, corruption, and performance had electoral salience. Anti-incumbency is a powerful force in Indian state politics; perceived policy failures or controversies can lead to substantial voter punishment. The 2019 verdict against TDP suggests that cumulative dissatisfaction and effective opposition mobilization produced decisive results. Conversely, in 2024, governance criticisms of YSRCP and narratives of mismanagement were effectively exploited by the opposition to drive voters away from the incumbent.

6. Role of new entrants and the fragmentation/reconsolidation cycle

Jana Sena's emergence introduced a new element into the political marketplace: a party with a distinct voter profile (film-star charisma plus regional grievance politics). Initially, such newcomers can fragment opposition votes; later, through strategic seat-sharing or post-election alliances, they can become kingmakers. The 2024 results — with Jana Sena winning all contested seats it ran — illustrate how newcomers can evolve into decisive coalition partners.

REGIONAL AND SOCIAL HETEROGENEITY IN REALIGNMENT

The realignment was not uniform across districts. Coastal regions, Rayalaseema, and southern Andhra each displayed distinct dynamics shaped by agrarian economics, urbanization, caste configurations and local leadership. For instance, Rayalaseema (e.g., Kadapa): Historically a YSR family stronghold; sustained local organizational networks and caste alignments sustained the YSR base for longer (notwithstanding 2024 reversals). Coastal Andhra: Urbanizing pockets with different economic priorities responded variably to development narratives; infrastructure promises resonated in specific constituencies. North Andhra and tribal/SC/ST dominated areas: Welfare schemes and localized outreach programs had strong traction. These spatial variations point to the limits of any single, state-wide explanation and reinforce the need for constituency-level analysis when assessing realignment. Aggregated vote shares mask important local heterogeneity in how voters responded to bifurcation-driven change.

POLICY AND INSTITUTIONAL DRIVERS OF VOTER BEHAVIOUR

Beyond partisan strategies and leader effects, specific policy and institutional developments influenced voter choices, viz. 1. Capital and administrative reorganization: Debates and delays over the new capital and administrative relocation were salient issues; perceptions of equity in resource allocation influenced voter trust. 2. Welfare delivery and targeting: The pace and perceived fairness of welfare rollout (e.g. direct benefit transfers, pension schemes, farm support) shaped support among beneficiaries. 3. Centre-state relations: The alignment or conflict between the state government and the central government (especially where central transfers, project approvals, and political patronage mattered) influenced political narratives and voter perceptions of effectiveness. These drivers interacted with pre-existing social cleavages, producing complex behavioural responses among voters.

THEORETICAL IMPLICATIONS: REALIGNMENT VS. VOLATILITY

Political science distinguishes between deep realignment (long-term, structural shifts in party systems) and transient volatility (short-term swings driven by personalities or events). Andhra Pradesh's post-2014 trajectory contains elements of both: Structural change: Bifurcation created durable changes in territorial and demographic structures that reframed party strategies — suggesting a structural component to the realignment. Volatility and reversibility: The dramatic oscillation from YSRCP dominance in 2019 to TDP-led landslide in 2024 indicates volatile voter behaviour responsive to leadership, welfare delivery, and alliance configurations. Thus, Andhra's experience is best characterized as an initial structural shock that generated heightened electoral volatility as parties, leaders and voters experimented with new alignments. Over time this may settle into a new equilibrium, but the 2014–2024 decade shows the state navigating between structural reconfiguration and short-run political cycles.

IMPLICATIONS FOR PARTIES AND DEMOCRATIC ACCOUNTABILITY

The observed realignment has several implications like 1. Strategic emphasis on welfare and local delivery: Parties will continue to prioritize targeted welfare goods and constituency-level services, increasing clientelist pressures but also potentially delivering tangible benefits. 2. Strengthened role of alliances and coalition politics: Given how easily vote-splitting can magnify electoral swings, parties will keep building pre-electoral alliances to stabilize outcomes. 3. Organizational adaptability as survival

strategy: Parties with robust grassroots organization and cadre penetration will be better placed to withstand cycles of anti-incumbency and exploit local opportunities.⁴ Electoral accountability via swings: The repeated big swings indicate that voters are willing to punish incumbents; this is a form of democratic accountability, albeit mediated through personality-driven and short-term performance narratives.

LIMITATIONS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

This paper uses aggregated electoral results and secondary literature to infer causal mechanisms; it cannot fully substitute for constituency-level micro data (surveys, panel data) or systematic interviews with party operatives and voters. Future research could improve causal inference by: Conducting voter panel surveys across the three election cycles to track partisan switching at the individual level. Undertaking ethnographic fieldwork in representative constituencies to explore how local leaders and institutions shaped vote change, Analysing candidate-level finances and expenditure to test the influence of money in the observed swings, Modelling the role of social media and digital campaigning quantitatively to assess its contribution to mobilization.

COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE: ANDHRA AND TELANGANA

While Telangana maintained relative political stability under the Bharat Rashtra Samithi (BRS, formerly Telangana Rashtra Samithi—TRS), Andhra Pradesh experienced alternating cycles of power and pronounced electoral volatility. The difference lies primarily in the nature of political identity and statehood narratives. In Telangana, the prolonged statehood movement under K. Chandrasekhara Reddy (KCR) forged a cohesive regional identity and stable voter base, allowing the BRS to dominate state politics for nearly a decade. In contrast, post-bifurcation Andhra lacked such a unifying narrative; the state's politics became driven by competing visions of development, welfare, and leadership personalities. The uncertainty surrounding capital formation, economic reconstruction, and federal resource allocation deepened political contestation. Thus, while Telangana's politics consolidated around identity and continuity, Andhra Pradesh's political arena evolved into a dynamic competition shaped by performance-based and welfare-oriented electoral appeals.

CONCLUSION

The bifurcation of Andhra Pradesh in 2014 set in motion a complex process of electoral realignment driven by territorial reconfiguration, leadership personalization, welfare politics, and alliance strategies. The empirical arc from a competitive 2014 to YSRCP landslide in 2019 and then to a TDP-led reversal in 2024 reveals both structural change and strong electoral volatility. Parties that adapted organizationally and strategically to the new political geography — by recalibrating caste coalitions, mobilizing at the grassroots, and crafting resonant welfare or development messages — succeeded electorally at different moments. For students of Indian politics, Andhra Pradesh offers a valuable case of how institutional shocks (like bifurcation) interact with local social structures and party strategies to produce complex patterns of realignment. Whether the state settles into a stable new party system or continues to oscillate depends on the durability of policy delivery, the evolution of party organizations, and the pace at which voters' expectations and identities crystallize in the post-bifurcation era.

References

1. **Election Commission of India** (2014–2024). *General Elections to the Legislative Assembly of Andhra Pradesh: Statistical Reports and Trends*, Election Commission of India, <https://eci.gov.in>
2. **Lokniti–Centre for the Study of Developing Societies (CSDS)** (2019), *State of Democracy Data: Andhra Pradesh Assembly Election Report*, Lokniti-CSDS, New Delhi.
3. **India Votes** (2024), *Constituency-Level Results and Vote Share Summaries for Andhra Pradesh (2014–2024)*, Retrieved from <https://www.indiavotes.com>
4. **Yadav, Y.** (1999), Electoral Politics in the Time of Change: India’s Third Electoral System, 1989–99, *Economic and Political Weekly*, 34(34–35), 2393–2399.
5. **Jaffrelot, C.** (2012), *India’s Silent Revolution: The Rise of the Lower Castes in North India*, Permanent Black.
6. **Mahoney, J. and Thelen, K.** (2010), *Explaining Institutional Change: Ambiguity, Agency, and Power*, Cambridge University Press.
7. **Dalton, R. J.** (2014), *Citizen Politics: Public Opinion and Political Parties in Advanced Industrial Democracies* (6th ed.), CQ Press.
8. **Suri, K. C.** (2020), Regional Parties and Electoral Politics in South India: The Case of Andhra Pradesh, *Journal of South Asian Development*, 15(3), 285–312.
9. **Palshikar, S. and Kumar, S.** (2017). *Electoral Politics in India: Resilience and Transformation*, Oxford University Press.
10. **Reddy, M. V.** (2021), The Politics of Welfare in Andhra Pradesh: Populism and Redistribution in a Bifurcated State, *Economic and Political Weekly*, 56(25), 47–55.
11. **Reddy, A.** (2015), Bifurcation of Andhra Pradesh: The Political and Economic Consequences, *Social Change*, 45(3), 378–390.