

Assessing the Effectiveness of Knowledge and Attitudes on Household/Urban Pesticides Use: Basis for a Health Advocacy Intervention

Kean Ruzzel M. Corales¹, Analiza P. Malalay²

^{1,2}Graduate School, University of Perpetual Help System Laguna Sto, Niño, Biñan, Laguna, Philippines

Abstract

Dengue continues to pose a serious public health challenge in the Philippines, particularly in urban communities where year-round mosquito presence and frequent household/urban pesticide use increase both disease transmission and chemical exposure risks. While pesticide application is commonly used for mosquito control, improper handling and limited consumer chemical literacy undermine dengue prevention efforts and expose households to health hazards. This study examined the effectiveness of a Health Advocacy Intervention in improving residents' knowledge and attitudes toward safe household/urban pesticide use and dengue prevention in Santa Rosa City, Laguna. An experimental randomized controlled trial design was employed involving sixty (60) adult residents from selected barangays, who were randomly assigned to either an Intervention Group or a Control Group. Both groups completed validated knowledge and attitude assessments prior to the intervention. The Intervention Group received a structured Information, Education, and Communication (IEC)-based health advocacy program focused on pesticide safety, label comprehension, and the Department of Health (DOH) 5S dengue prevention strategy, while the Control Group received no intervention. Post-tests were administered three weeks after the pre-test. Results indicated that baseline knowledge levels in both groups were generally low, while baseline attitudes were positive but not optimal. Following the intervention, participants in the Intervention Group demonstrated statistically significant improvements in both knowledge and attitudes ($p < 0.05$), achieving high knowledge levels and very positive attitudes toward safe pesticide use and dengue prevention. No significant changes were observed in the control group. The findings confirm the effectiveness of the Health Advocacy Intervention and underscore the value of integrating chemical safety education into community-based dengue prevention programs to promote safer and more sustainable public health practices.

Keywords: pesticide use, dengue prevention, health advocacy, knowledge, attitude

Introduction

Dengue remains a major public health concern in tropical and subtropical regions, particularly in the Philippines, where year-round mosquito activity sustains frequent outbreaks. The World Health Organization estimates that 100–400 million dengue infections occur annually worldwide, placing nearly half of the global population at risk (WHO, 2024). In the Philippines, over 208,000 dengue cases were reported as of September 2024, representing a 68% increase from the previous year (DOH, 2024). Within

the CALABARZON region, Santa Rosa City, Laguna consistently records one of the highest dengue incidences, a trend linked to rapid urbanization and dense residential development.

Household and urban pesticide use remains a common dengue control practice; however, national surveillance data show that these products are also among the leading causes of poisoning, particularly among children and young adults (UP-PGH NPMCC, 2024). Improper handling, excessive use, and limited consumer understanding of pesticide safety pose significant health risks and may contribute to insecticide resistance, thereby reducing the effectiveness of chemical-based vector control (FDA, 2024; Carrera et al., 2024). Although national programs such as the DOH 5S Strategy emphasize environmental management and mosquito control, relatively little attention is given to household-level chemical safety and consumer literacy.

Existing studies support community-based dengue interventions, yet few focus on consumer chemical literacy and safe household pesticide use as primary outcomes, particularly using rigorous experimental designs. Moreover, local research remains largely descriptive, providing limited evidence on the causal impact of health advocacy interventions on knowledge and attitudes.

To address these gaps, this study evaluates the effectiveness of an IEC-based Health Advocacy Intervention in improving residents' knowledge and attitudes toward safe household and urban pesticide use and dengue prevention in Santa Rosa City, Laguna. Using a randomized controlled trial design, the study aims to generate evidence to support the integration of chemical safety education into community-based dengue prevention strategies and inform public health policy and practice.

Methods

This study employed a randomized controlled trial design to evaluate the effectiveness of an IEC-based Health Advocacy Intervention on residents' knowledge and attitudes toward safe household and urban pesticide use in Santa Rosa City, Laguna. A total of 60 adult residents (≥ 18 years) from three dengue-prone barangays were selected through multi-stage random sampling and randomly assigned to either an Intervention Group ($n = 30$) or a Control Group ($n = 30$). Both groups completed a pretest assessing baseline knowledge and attitudes, after which the Intervention Group received the health advocacy intervention while the Control Group received no intervention. Post-testing was conducted after a three-week interval. Data were collected using a content-validated, researcher-developed questionnaire consisting of a 10-item knowledge test and a 4-point Likert-scale attitude measure (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.915$). Descriptive statistics summarized outcomes, while paired and independent t-tests were used to assess within- and between-group differences at a 0.05 significance level. Ethical approval and barangay permissions were secured, informed consent was obtained, and confidentiality was maintained throughout the study.

Results

At baseline, both the Intervention Group and Control Group demonstrated low to moderate levels of knowledge regarding safe household and urban pesticide use and dengue prevention, with the majority of respondents classified under low or moderate knowledge categories. Baseline knowledge levels were comparable between groups. Baseline attitudes in both groups were generally positive, with stronger ratings for self-protection and proper pesticide use and weaker emphasis on environmental control measures such as fogging and search-and-destroy activities.

Three weeks after the intervention, the Intervention Group showed substantial improvements in both knowledge and attitudes. Most participants attained high knowledge levels, and none remained in the low category. Attitudes improved to a very positive level across all indicators, with the highest gains observed in self-protection practices and safe pesticide use. In contrast, the Control Group showed minimal to no change in knowledge and attitudes over the same period.

Statistical analysis confirmed these patterns. Knowledge scores in the Intervention Group increased significantly from pre-test to post-test (mean 4.43 to 7.00; $p < 0.001$), while no significant change was observed in the Control Group. Similarly, attitude scores in the Intervention Group improved significantly (mean 3.17 to 3.80; $p < 0.001$), whereas changes in the Control Group were not statistically significant. These findings indicate that the observed improvements in knowledge and attitudes were attributable to the Health Advocacy Intervention.

Discussion

This study evaluated the effectiveness of an IEC-based Health Advocacy Intervention in improving residents' knowledge and attitudes toward safe household and urban pesticide use and dengue prevention in a high-risk urban setting. At baseline, both groups demonstrated limited knowledge and unevenly positive attitudes, indicating substantial gaps in household-level understanding of pesticide safety despite ongoing dengue prevention efforts. These findings are consistent with existing literature showing that community awareness of chemical risks often remains inadequate even in dengue-endemic areas.

Following the intervention, the Intervention Group exhibited significant improvements in both knowledge and attitudes, while the Control Group showed no meaningful changes. These results suggest that structured health advocacy, when delivered through targeted IEC materials, can effectively address deficiencies in chemical literacy and strengthen receptiveness to safe practices. The marked improvement in attitudes toward self-protection and proper pesticide use indicates that interventions focusing on personally actionable behaviors may be particularly effective in promoting behavior-relevant cognitive change.

The absence of improvement in the Control Group reinforces the conclusion that passive exposure to general dengue messaging or the mere passage of time is insufficient to produce measurable gains in knowledge or attitudes. The observed between-group differences further support the value of experimental, advocacy-based interventions in generating causal evidence for public health education strategies.

Overall, the findings highlight the importance of integrating chemical safety education into dengue prevention programs and underscore the potential of health advocacy interventions to enhance household-level prevention efforts in rapidly urbanizing, dengue-prone communities.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that an IEC-based Health Advocacy Intervention is effective in improving residents' knowledge and attitudes toward safe household and urban pesticide use and dengue prevention in a high-risk urban community. Participants exposed to the intervention showed significant gains in knowledge and more positive attitudes compared with those who did not receive the intervention, while no meaningful changes were observed in the control group. These findings indicate that structured, targeted health advocacy can address gaps in consumer chemical literacy and enhance receptiveness to safe and preventive practices. Integrating chemical safety education into community-based dengue

prevention programs may therefore strengthen household-level protection and support more sustainable vector control efforts in dengue-prone urban settings.

References

1. Ademola, S. M., et al. (2024). Assessment of pesticide knowledge, safety practices and postharvest handling among cocoa farmers in South Western Nigeria. *Heliyon*, 10(11), e31724.
2. Alessandri, G., Zuffianò, A., & Perinelli, E. (2017). Evaluating intervention programs with a pretest–posttest design: A structural equation modeling approach. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 223. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00223>
3. Aslam, S., Hussain, B., Riaz, S., Yousaf, M., Sohail, B., Ullah, F., & Hussain, S. (2025). Empowering farmers through science communication: A framework for pesticide risk awareness and adoption. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 11, 101491. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101491>
4. Bamou, R., Tchuinkam, T., Kopya, E., Awono-Ambene, P., Njiokou, F., Mwangangi, J., & Antonio-Nkondjio, C. (2022). Knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding malaria control among communities living in the south Cameroon forest region. *IJID Regions*, 5, 169–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijregi.2022.11.003>
5. Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Prentice Hall.
6. Becker, M. H. (1977). *The Health Belief Model and personal health behavior*. Charles B. Slack.
7. Bisallah, C. I., Rampal, L., Lye, M.-S., Mohd Sidik, S., Ibrahim, N., Iliyasu, Z., & Onyilo, M. O. (2018). Effectiveness of health education intervention in improving knowledge, attitude, and practices regarding tuberculosis among HIV patients: A randomized controlled trial. *PLOS ONE*, 13(2), e0192276. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192276>
8. Boateng, K. O., Dankyi, E., Amponsah, I. K., Awudzi, G. K., Amponsah, E., & Darko, G. (2023). Knowledge, perception, and pesticide application practices among smallholder cocoa farmers in four Ghanaian cocoa-growing regions. *Toxicology Reports*, 10, 46–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2022.12.008>
9. Carrera, L. C., Piedra, L., Torres Cosme, R., Castillo, A. M., Bruno, A., Ramírez, J. L., ... Bisset, J. A. (2024). Insecticide resistance status and mechanisms in *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* from different dengue endemic regions of Panama. *Tropical Medicine and Health*. [Accessed via studocu.com]
10. Cordero, D. A. (2024). Initiatives and challenges of dengue incidence in the Philippines. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 229(5), 1597–1598.
11. David, J. L., Thomas, S. L., Randle, M., & Daube, M. (2020). A public health advocacy approach for preventing and reducing gambling related harm. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 44(1), 14–19. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-6405.12949>
12. Elliott, R. S. (2025). Every nurse can become an advocate: Pathways for meaningful policy change. *Journal of Radiology Nursing*, 44(1), 36–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jradnu.2024.10.004>
13. Endalew, M., Gebrehiwot, M., & Dessie, A. (2022). Pesticide use knowledge, attitude, practices and associated factors among floriculture workers in Bahirdar City, Ethiopia. *Environmental Health Insights*, 16, 11786302221076250. <https://doi.org/10.1177/11786302221076250>
14. Fariás, P. (2020). Promoting the absence of pesticides through product labels. *Sustainability*, 12(21), 8912. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12218912>

15. Food and Drug Administration. (2024). FDA Advisory No. 2024 1001: Reminders to the general public on the safe use of household pesticide products. [Accessed via frontiersin.org]
16. Gaab, N., & Duggan, N. (2024). Leveraging brain science for impactful advocacy and policymaking. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 66, 101376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dcn.2024.101376>
17. Gouider, R., Henscheid Lorenz, D., Craven, A., Grisold, W., & Dodick, D. W. (2023). Advocacy for patients with headache disorders. *eNeurologicalSci*, 31, 100466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensci.2023.100466>
18. Guillen, M. D. V., & Dela Santa, E. (2025). Walking tours as a tool for advocacy and tourism appreciation. *Asian Transport Studies*, 11, 100160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eastsj.2025.100160>
19. Healy, P., You, K.-L., Xu, A., Thomas, T. H., & Babichenko, D. (2022). A narrative serious game to teach self advocacy skills in advanced cancer. *Procedia Computer Science*, 206, 162–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2022.09.095>
20. Hedreyda, C. (2025). Laguna activates ‘5S Strategy’ amid surge in dengue cases. Philippine Information Agency.
21. Hernandez, P. (2024). Dengue cases in CALABARZON reach 14,000. GMA News Online. [Accessed via gmanetwork.com]
22. Hosni, H., Segovia, M., Zhao, S., Palma, M., & Skevas, T. (2024). Pesticide labels do not effectively communicate toxicity risks. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3874072/v1>
23. Il'ko, I., & Peterková, V. (2024). Household pesticide use: Attitudes, behaviors, and health risks. *International Journal of Agriculture Environment and Food Sciences*, 8, 846–854. <https://doi.org/10.31015/jaefs.2024.4.13>
24. Istriningsih, et al. (2022). Farmers’ knowledge and practice regarding safe pesticide usage in Indonesia. *Heliyon*, 8(1), e08708.
25. Kalde, K., Wolf, K., Freeman, B., Leavy, J. E., Leaver, T., Chih, H., ... Jancey, J. (2025). Using social media for health promotion and advocacy: Protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*, 153, 107920.
26. Klugman, B. (2023). Effective social justice advocacy: A theory of change framework. *Sexual and Reproductive Health Matters*, 31(3), 2267199. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26410397.2023.2267199>
27. Konde, R. V. (2025). A study on consumer behavior of pesticide users in rural India. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention*, 14(2), 30–33.
28. Kotcher, J., Feldman, L., Luong, K. T., Wyatt, J., & Maibach, E. (2021). Advocacy messages about climate and health. *The Journal of Climate Change and Health*, 3, 100030. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joclim.2021.100030>
29. Launiala, A. (2009). How much can a KAP survey tell us about people’s knowledge, attitudes and practices? *Anthropology Matters*, 11(1).
30. Lazuka Nicoulaud, E., Naidoo, K., Gross, K., Marcano Williams, J., & Kirsten Coleman, A. (2022). The power of advocacy. *International Journal of Public Health*, 67, 1604595. <https://doi.org/10.3389/ijph.2022.1604595>
31. Masumbuko, D. R., et al. (2024). Market gardeners’ knowledge, attitudes and practices on chemical pesticide use in Eastern D.R. Congo. *Heliyon*, 10(12), e32917.
32. McCausland, K., Wolf, K., Freeman, B., Leavy, J. E., Leaver, T., Chih, H., ... Jancey, J. (2025). Social media for health promotion and advocacy: Course protocol. *Contemporary Clinical Trials*, 153, 107920.

33. Perez, A. (2024). Philippines logs 208,000 dengue cases as of September 2024. ABS CBN News. [Accessed via gipdex.com]
34. Porusia, M., Chotklang, D., Mohd Yatim, S. R., Ramadhani, D. S., Cahyani, P., ... Sahimin, N. (2025). Household pesticide use in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Integrated Pest Management*, 16(1), pmaf039. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jipm/pmaf039>
35. Rosenstock, I. M. (1974). Historical origins of the Health Belief Model. *Health Education Monographs*, 2(4), 328–335.
36. Rosenstock, I. M., Strecher, V., & Becker, M. H. (1994). The Health Belief Model and HIV risk behavior change. In R. J. DiClemente & J. L. Peterson (Eds.), *Preventing AIDS* (pp. 5–24). Springer.
37. Sharifzadeh, M. S., & Abdollahzadeh, G. (2021). Educational strategies and farmers' pesticide use. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*, 20(5), 312–323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2021.03.003>
38. Shi, X. (2023). International advocacy and China's fisheries policies. *Marine Policy*, 152, 105635. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2023.105635>
39. Tesfaye, L., Aklilu, E., Tolossa, K., & Animut, A. (2025). Knowledge and practices on plant based mosquito control. *Parasite Epidemiology and Control*, 31, e00463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parepi.2025.e00463>
40. Walker, S. J., Dawson, O., Sheehan, Y., Shrestha, L. B., Lloyd, A. R., Sheehan, J., ... Akiyama, M. J. (2024). Co designing the INHSU Prisons Hepatitis C Advocacy Toolkit. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 134, 104628. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2024.104628>
41. Wiedemann, R., Stamm, C., & Staudacher, P. (2022). Participatory knowledge integration for safe pesticide use in Uganda. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 128, 154–164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2021.11.012>
42. World Health Organization. (2025). Dengue and severe dengue: Key facts. WHO Fact Sheets. [Accessed via mb.com.ph]
43. Wongta, A., Sawarng, N., Tongchai, P., Yana, P., & Hongsibsong, S. (2024). Evaluating farmers' safety behavior related to dengue. *Safety and Health at Work*, 15(4), 435–440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.shaw.2024.09.005>