Integrating Artificial Intelligence into Eye Care: Diagnostic Performance, Workflow Impact, and Ethical Guardrails (2015–2025)

Malika Abbas[1], Sanjana Gupta[2], Jamshed Ali[3], Ms. Ramlah Akhtar[4]

[1,2,3,4]Assistant Professor, Optometry, Era University

Abstract

Background: Artificial intelligence (AI) has evolved into a more and more practical and influential partner in the field of ophthalmology and optometry. Because these specialties rely heavily on standardized imaging and measurable clinical data, they are particularly well suited to computational analysis. Today, AI applications extend far beyond simple diagnostics and now contribute to prognosis assessment, workflow optimization, and clinical decision support.

Methods: The review included English-language, peer-reviewed literature published over the period from 2015 to 2025. The Literature searches were conducted using PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar. Studies focusing on diagnostic accuracy, clinical implementation, and AI-based models in eye care were considered eligible for inclusion.

Results: Deep learning systems, especially convolutional neural networks (CNNs), continue to dominate image-based AI applications in eye care, often demonstrating diagnostic performance approaching that of experienced clinicians (AUC > 0.90). AI technologies are now being applied across retinal, glaucoma, corneal, pediatric, and neuro-ophthalmic care, where they contribute to improved screening efficiency and greater diagnostic consistency. More recently, transformer-based and multimodal models have shown promise in longitudinal prediction and in combining imaging with broader clinical data.

Conclusion: AI has transitioned from experimental systems to clinically relevant tools. However, successful implementation requires external validation, ethical oversight, bias mitigation, and clinician supervision. AI should augment not replace clinical judgment in modern eye care.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Ophthalmology, Optometry, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Clinical Decision Support, Ethics Validation, Tele-Ophthalmology.

1. Introduction

Ophthalmology and optometry have always been strongly data-oriented specialties. Much of routine clinical decision-making depends on imaging modalities such as fundus photography, optical coherence tomography (OCT), and visual-field assessment, which makes these disciplines particularly compatible with artificial intelligence-based analysis [1,2].

Over the last decade, developments in machine learning and deep learning have pushed automated systems much closer to expert-level performance in image-classification tasks [3,4]. That progress, though impressive, has not fully resolved the practical concerns surrounding implementation in real-world clinical settings. Questions related to generalizability, algorithmic bias, calibration drift, and regulatory oversight still remain unsettled to varying degrees [5,7].

2. Rationale and Objectives

This review brings together key developments in artificial intelligence within ophthalmology and optometry published between 2015 and 2025. The discussion focuses primarily on:

- Diagnostic performance
- Integration into clinical workflows
- Surgical and telemedicine-related applications
- Ethical concerns and implementation barriers

More broadly, the review aims to give clinicians a grounded understanding of where AI currently stands in eye care — not only its strengths, but also the practical limitations that continue to shape adoption in clinical practice.

3. Methodology

Study Design

A structured narrative review of literature published between January 2015 and December 2025 was conducted.

Data Sources

The literature search included the following databases:

- PubMed/MEDLINE
- Google Scholar

Eligibility Criteria

Inclusion Criteria

- Peer-reviewed studies published in English
- Clinical applications of AI in ophthalmology or optometry
- Diagnostic accuracy studies, clinical trials, and validated AI models

Exclusion Criteria

- Conference abstracts
- Non-peer-reviewed reports
- Technical studies lacking direct clinical relevance or patient data

Study Selection

Titles and abstracts were screened initially. Full-text articles were then evaluated according to predefined eligibility criteria.

Data Extraction

The following variables were extracted from eligible studies:

- Ophthalmic subspecialty
- Imaging modality
- Type of AI model used
- Dataset characteristics
- Validation methodology

- Diagnostic outcomes
- Workflow and ethical considerations

4. Limitations

Certain limitations should be acknowledged at the outset. The narrative review design itself carries some risk of selection bias. PubMed served as the primary source database, which may have restricted the inclusion of some relevant literature. The field is also evolving rapidly; as a result, conclusions drawn today may shift relatively quickly as newer systems and validation studies emerge.

5. Results and Discussion

Foundations of AI in Eye Care

AI systems - particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs) — have demonstrated strong performance in retinal image and OCT analysis [3,6]. More recently, transformer-based architectures have started gaining attention because they allow multimodal integration and longitudinal assessment rather than isolated image interpretation alone [4]. That shift may prove important clinically, especially where disease progression matters more than single time-point detection.

Retinal Diseases

Among ophthalmic subspecialties, retinal disease remains one of the most extensively studied areas for AI implementation. Models used for the identification of diabetic retinopathy and age-related macular degeneration have, across multiple studies, achieved levels of diagnostic accuracy approaching expert interpretation. [3,6,7].

Tele-screening initiatives supported by AI have also improved referral efficiency and accessibility, particularly in resource-constrained regions where specialist availability is limited [13]. Beyond screening, some systems are now being explored for treatment planning and longitudinal disease monitoring as well [7,12].

Glaucoma

AI models using optic disc imaging together with OCT retinal nerve fiber layer (RNFL) analysis have demonstrated high accuracy for early glaucoma detection, often achieving AUC values greater than 0.90 [6,7]. What becomes increasingly interesting here is the integration of imaging with functional visual-field data, since combining structural and functional parameters appears to improve progression prediction [10].

Corneal and Refractive Applications

Applications in corneal and refractive practice include keratoconus screening, refractive surgery planning, and intraocular lens (IOL) power calculation [8,14]. In high-volume surgical settings, these tools may help improve procedural consistency and reduce variability in decision-making [14]. At the same time, their performance still depends heavily on the quality and diversity of training datasets - something not always discussed enough in implementation studies.

Pediatric and Neuro-Ophthalmology

AI-based screening systems have demonstrated high sensitivity in detecting amblyopia and retinopathy of prematurity, allowing earlier identification and referral in pediatric populations [11,15,16]. In neuro-ophthalmology, AI applications are emerging more gradually. Current systems primarily support diagnosis through imaging interpretation and eye-movement analysis [17,18]. The field remains comparatively smaller, though the direction of research is clearly expanding.

Workflow Integration and Tele-AI

AI is increasingly being incorporated into triage systems, follow-up care pathways, and clinical decision support, especially within tele-ophthalmology models [12,13,19]. Integration with electronic health records has also enabled broader risk stratification and population-level monitoring strategies [12].

Still, workflow integration is rarely seamless in practice. Technical compatibility, clinician trust, and institutional readiness often influence implementation just as much as diagnostic accuracy itself.

Surgical Innovation and Training

AI-assisted surgical platforms are contributing to greater intraoperative precision and improved training environments through video analytics and performance tracking [21]. Simulation-based learning systems, combined with automated feedback mechanisms, are also being explored for competency development and surgical education [19].

Some of these advances remain early-stage, but they suggest that AI's role in ophthalmology may extend well beyond diagnosis alone.

Ethics, Bias, and Validation

Performance differences across populations continue to highlight the need for diverse training datasets and robust external validation [5,7]. A model performing well in one demographic or imaging environment may not necessarily maintain the same accuracy elsewhere.

Explainability - Interpretability remains another important issue. Visualization approaches such as heat maps can improve clinician confidence by showing which image regions contributed to algorithmic decisions [5,12]. Even then, explainability in many systems remains partial rather than complete.

Regulation and Monitoring

Safe clinical deployment requires continuous calibration, ongoing monitoring, and appropriate regulatory oversight [12]. AI systems are not static tools; performance may drift over time as populations, devices, and clinical environments change.

6. Discussion

Clinical Translation

AI has gradually moved from experimental image-analysis research into clinically relevant applications, particularly in retinal disease and glaucoma care [3,6,7,10]. The transition has been notable, although actual adoption still varies widely across institutions and healthcare systems.

Workflow Impact

In practical terms, AI may reduce diagnostic delays, improve screening efficiency, and support task-sharing models in underserved regions [12,13]. Its value becomes especially apparent in settings where specialist resources are limited and patient load is high.

Future Directions

Several areas are likely to shape the next phase of ophthalmic AI development:

- Federated learning
- Prospective clinical trials
- Integration of explainable AI systems
- Development of ethical frameworks within education and clinical practice

7. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence has evolved from experimental image-analysis systems into clinically relevant tools across several areas of eye care. Evidence published between 2015 and 2025 suggests that AI can achieve diagnostic performance approaching that of human experts, particularly in retinal and glaucoma disease detection, while also improving screening efficiency and access to care [3,6,7,10].

That said, widespread implementation remains limited by concerns involving generalizability across devices and populations, algorithmic bias, and calibration drift [5,7,12]. The limited transparency of some AI systems also continues to raise concerns regarding interpretability and alignment with clinical reasoning [5,12].

Future integration into routine clinical practice will likely depend on stronger external validation, continuous monitoring, and ethically grounded implementation frameworks. AI, at least for the foreseeable future, is best understood as a clinical support tool — one that strengthens clinician decision-making rather than replacing professional expertise altogether [5,7].

If implemented responsibly, AI could substantially reshape eye care delivery through earlier disease detection, improved workflow efficiency, and broader access to ophthalmic services across diverse healthcare settings [12,13].

8. Acknowledgement

We would like to sincerely thank our families and partners for their constant support, patience, and encouragement throughout this journey. As young researchers with a deep and growing interest in diverse areas of optometry, their constant encouragement has quietly shaped this journey as much as the research itself.

References

1. Choi RY, Coyner AS, Kalpathy-Cramer J, Chiang MF, Campbell JP. Introduction to machine learning, neural networks, and deep learning. *Transl Vis Sci Technol*. 2020;9(2):14.
2. Ting DSW, Pasquale LR, Peng L, Campbell JP, Lee AY, Raman R, et al. Artificial intelligence and deep learning in ophthalmology. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2019;103(2):167–175.
3. Gulshan V, Peng L, Coram M, Stumpe MC, Wu D, Narayanaswamy A, et al. Development and validation of a deep learning algorithm for detection of diabetic retinopathy. *JAMA*. 2016;316(22):2402–2410.
4. Dosovitskiy A, Beyer L, Kolesnikov A, Weissenborn D, Zhai X, Unterthiner T, et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv*. 2020;arXiv:2010.11929.
5. Kelly CJ, Karthikesalingam A, Suleyman M, Corrado G, King D. Key challenges for delivering clinical impact with artificial intelligence. *BMC Med*. 2019;17(1):195.
6. Ahn JM, Kim S, Ahn KS, Cho SH, Lee KB, Kim US. Clinical applications of deep learning in ophthalmology: A review. *Br J Ophthalmol*. 2024;108(3):297–304.
7. Hashemian H, Samimi SM, Mehravaran S, Khabazkhoob M, Yekta AA. Artificial intelligence in ophthalmology: Current status and future directions. *Eye (Lond)*. 2024;38(2):215–224.
8. Moshirfar M, West WB Jr, Marx DP. Artificial intelligence in cornea, refractive surgery, and cataract. *Surv Ophthalmol*. 2025;70(1):67–89.
9. Balyen L, Peto T. Promising artificial intelligence–machine learning–deep learning algorithms in ophthalmology. *Eye (Lond)*. 2019;33(1):41–49.

10. Nguyen HV, Lee J, Chen Y, et al. Longitudinal deep learning for glaucoma progression prediction. *Ophthalmology*. 2025;132(2):123–132.
11. Vijendran V, et al. Artificial intelligence for retinopathy of prematurity screening. *Pediatrics*. 2025;155(1):e2023065432.
12. Costin BR, et al. Real-world validation and monitoring of AI in ophthalmology. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2025;246:1–12.
13. Sahoo S, et al. AI-based tele-ophthalmology for diabetic retinopathy screening in India. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2025;73(4):215–222.
14. Rampat R, Debellemaniere G, Saad A, Gatinel D. Artificial intelligence in cornea, refractive surgery, and cataract: A review. *Prog Retin Eye Res*. 2021;85:100965.
15. Donahue SP, Johnson TM, Leonard-Martin TC, et al. Advances in pediatric vision screening with AI tools. *Pediatrics*. 2023;152(2):e2023067890.
16. Campbell JP, Kim SJ, Brown JM, et al. Evaluation of a deep learning system for retinopathy of prematurity. *Ophthalmology*. 2018;125(6):875–883.
17. Hanai T, et al. Deep learning for thyroid eye disease detection using orbital imaging. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 2022;260(5):1451–1459.
18. McAnany JJ, et al. AI applications in neuro-ophthalmology. *Neuroophthalmology*. 2024;48(3):133–144.
19. Kim S, et al. AI in telemedicine for neglected tropical eye diseases. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2019;13(7):e0007525.
20. Keane PA, Sadda SR. Artificial intelligence in ophthalmology: Current applications and future directions. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol*. 2024;35(3):188–195.
21. Verbist BM, et al. Artificial intelligence in surgical navigation and craniofacial applications. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg*. 2024;52(2):112–121.