International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Teacher Preparedness for AI-Integrated Classrooms in Jammu District of Jammu and Kashmir: Challenges and Professional Development Needs

Mohd Zubair

Dean, Faculty of Education, Cluster University of Jammu

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly transforming educational practices through adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring platforms, automated assessment tools, and generative AI applications. Despite the growing adoption of AI in education, the effective integration of these technologies depends significantly on teacher preparedness. The present study examined teacher preparedness for AI-integrated classrooms in Jammu District of Jammu and Kashmir with special reference to infrastructural access, professional development needs, and ethical challenges.

The study employed a descriptive survey design using a quantitative approach. A sample of 100 secondary school teachers was selected through stratified random sampling, ensuring equal representation based on gender and locality. Data were collected through a structured questionnaire measuring technological readiness, AI awareness, pedagogical preparedness, ethical concerns, and professional development requirements.

Descriptive statistics, independent samples t-tests, and multiple regression analysis were used for data analysis. The findings revealed significant differences between urban and rural teachers in AI preparedness, with urban teachers demonstrating higher readiness levels due to better technological infrastructure and greater access to digital resources. Gender differences were not statistically significant. Regression analysis indicated that access to digital resources positively influenced teacher preparedness, whereas teaching experience showed a slight negative relationship with AI readiness.

The study further identified major barriers to AI integration, including inadequate infrastructure, ethical concerns related to plagiarism and student data privacy, insufficient institutional support, and limited opportunities for professional training. Teachers emphasized the need for practical workshops, pedagogically oriented training, ethical guidelines, peer mentoring systems, and context sensitive professional development models.

The study concludes that successful AI integration in education requires equitable technological access, sustained professional support, ethical awareness, and institutional preparedness. The findings provide important implications for policymakers, teacher educators, and educational institutions seeking to promote responsible and inclusive AI adoption in schools.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Teacher Preparedness, AI-Integrated Classrooms, Educational Technology, Professional Development, Digital Divide, Digital Pedagogy

1. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most influential technological developments of the twenty-first century. In education, AI technologies such as intelligent tutoring systems, adaptive learning platforms, automated grading tools, predictive analytics, virtual assistants, and generative AI applications are increasingly reshaping teaching-learning processes. These technologies offer opportunities for personalized learning, improved learner engagement, efficient classroom management, and data informed instructional practices.

The growing integration of AI into educational systems has transformed the role of teachers from traditional information providers to facilitators of technology-supported learning environments. Although AI technologies can enhance instructional effectiveness, their successful implementation depends largely on the preparedness of teachers who remain central to classroom interaction, pedagogical decision making, and learner support.

Teacher preparedness for AI-integrated classrooms involves multiple dimensions, including technological competence, pedagogical readiness, ethical awareness, adaptability to innovation, and confidence in using AI tools for instructional purposes. Teachers must also possess the ability to critically evaluate AI-generated content and apply AI technologies responsibly within diverse educational contexts.

Despite the increasing availability of AI technologies, many teachers continue to face significant challenges in integrating AI into classroom practices. These challenges include inadequate digital infrastructure, limited technological training, insufficient institutional support, ethical concerns, and unequal access to technological resources. The situation is particularly challenging in developing and rural educational contexts where digital inequalities remain substantial.

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the use of digital technologies in education and exposed significant disparities in teacher readiness and technological access. While some teachers successfully adapted to online and technology supported teaching environments, many struggled with limited technological competence and inadequate professional preparation. This transition highlighted the urgent need for systematic teacher training in digital pedagogy and AI integration.

Another major concern associated with AI integration is the digital divide between urban and rural educational settings. Urban schools generally possess better technological infrastructure, internet connectivity, and access to professional development opportunities. Rural schools often face infrastructural limitations, unstable internet services, inadequate digital resources, and limited institutional support. These disparities significantly influence teacher preparedness and educational equity.

Ethical concerns have also become increasingly important in discussions regarding AI integration in education. Teachers express concerns related to plagiarism, student data privacy, algorithmic bias, misinformation, and excessive dependence on AI-generated content. The rapid emergence of generative AI tools has intensified debates regarding academic integrity and responsible technology use in educational settings.

Professional development therefore plays a crucial role in preparing teachers for AI-integrated classrooms. Teachers require continuous, practical, and context-sensitive training that addresses both technical competence and pedagogical application. Effective professional development should also focus on ethical awareness, collaborative learning, reflective practice, and critical digital literacy.

In the Indian educational context, initiatives associated with the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and digital learning reforms have increased attention toward educational technology integration. However, considerable disparities continue to exist across regions and institutions regarding AI readiness and technological preparedness.

The present study investigates teacher preparedness for AI-integrated classrooms in Jammu District of Jammu and Kashmir. The study focuses on demographic differences, infrastructural challenges, ethical concerns, and professional development needs associated with AI adoption in educational settings.

1.1 Objectives of the Study

The study was conducted with the following objectives:

1. To examine the level of teacher preparedness for AI-integrated classrooms.
2. To compare AI preparedness between urban and rural teachers.
3. To examine gender differences in teacher preparedness for AI integration.
4. To identify the major challenges associated with AI integration in classrooms.
5. To explore the professional development needs of teachers regarding AI integration.

1.2 Hypotheses of the Study

H1: There is a significant difference in AI preparedness between urban and rural teachers.

H2: There is no significant difference in AI preparedness between male and female teachers.

H3: Access to digital resources positively influences teacher preparedness for AI integration.

2. Review of Literature

Existing research indicates that AI has considerable potential to transform educational practices through personalized learning, adaptive instruction, and intelligent assessment systems. However, the successful implementation of AI technologies depends significantly on teacher readiness and institutional preparedness.

Studies by Holmes and colleagues emphasize that AI should function as a supportive educational tool rather than a replacement for teachers. Research further suggests that teacher competence, technological confidence, and pedagogical adaptability are critical factors influencing AI integration in classrooms.

Several researchers have identified infrastructural barriers, digital inequalities, and insufficient training as major obstacles to educational technology adoption. Rural educational settings often experience limited internet connectivity, inadequate digital infrastructure, and reduced access to professional development opportunities.

Ethical concerns related to AI have also received considerable scholarly attention. Teachers increasingly express concerns regarding plagiarism, algorithmic bias, student data privacy, and the misuse of AI-generated content. These concerns highlight the need for ethical literacy and policy frameworks supporting responsible AI implementation in education.

Professional development remains one of the most significant determinants of successful technology integration. Contemporary literature emphasizes that effective teacher training should be continuous, collaborative, practical, and context-sensitive rather than limited to isolated technical workshops.

The review of literature indicates a growing need for empirical research examining teacher preparedness for AI-integrated classrooms, particularly in resource-constrained and region specific educational contexts such as Jammu and Kashmir.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

The study employed a descriptive survey research design using a quantitative approach. The design was considered appropriate because the study aimed to examine the existing level of teacher preparedness and identify associated challenges without manipulating variables.

3.2 Population of the Study

The population of the study consisted of secondary school teachers working in urban and rural educational institutions of Jammu District in Jammu and Kashmir.

3.3 Sample and Sampling Technique

A total sample of 100 teachers was selected using stratified random sampling techniques. Stratification was done on the basis of gender and locality to ensure equal representation of participants from different demographic categories.

The study employed a **stratified random sampling technique** with a total sample size of N=100

Category	Male	Female	Total
Urban	25	25	50
Rural	25	25	50

The use of stratified random sampling enhanced the representativeness of the sample and reduced sampling bias. Equal representation of male and female participants allowed the researcher to examine gender based differences in AI preparedness, while equal representation of urban and rural teachers facilitated comparative analysis based on locality.

3.4 Variables of the Study

Independent Variables

- Gender
- Locality
- Teaching Experience
- Access to Digital Resources

Dependent Variable

- Teacher Preparedness Score (TPS)

3.5 Research Instrument

Data were collected using a structured questionnaire developed after reviewing relevant literature on AI integration and teacher preparedness.

The questionnaire consisted of six sections:

1. Demographic Information
2. Digital Access and Infrastructure
3. AI Awareness
4. Pedagogical Readiness
5. Ethical Concerns
6. Professional Development Needs

Responses were recorded on a five point Likert scale.

3.6 Validity and Reliability

The instrument was validated by experts in educational technology and research methodology. A pilot study was conducted to ensure clarity and reliability. Reliability analysis using Cronbach's Alpha

indicated satisfactory internal consistency "the coefficient for the questionnaire was found to be 0.87 indicating satisfactory reliability."

3.7 Data Collection Procedure

Permission was obtained from educational institutions prior to data collection. Participants were informed about the purpose of the study and assured of confidentiality.

Data were collected through both online and offline modes depending on accessibility.

3.8 Statistical Techniques Used

The following statistical techniques were employed:

- Mean
- Standard Deviation
- Independent Samples t-test
- Multiple Regression Analysis

The level of significance was fixed at 0.05.

4. Results and Data Analysis

4.1 Comparison of Urban and Rural Teachers

An independent samples t-test was conducted to compare AI preparedness between urban and rural teachers.

Group	Sample Size ((n))	Mean	SD	t-value	p-value
Urban Teachers	50	78.4	6.21	4.87	.001
Rural Teachers	50	62.1	7.03		

Mean Difference:

The results revealed a statistically significant difference between urban and rural teachers. Urban teachers demonstrated higher preparedness scores than rural teachers due to better access to digital infrastructure, internet connectivity, and professional training opportunities.

Therefore, Hypothesis H1 was accepted.

4.2 Gender Differences in AI Preparedness

The analysis revealed no statistically significant difference between male and female teachers regarding AI preparedness.

This finding suggests that technological access and institutional support exert greater influence on AI readiness than gender.

Therefore, Hypothesis H2 was accepted.

4.3 Regression Analysis

Multiple regression analysis was conducted to identify factors influencing teacher preparedness for AI integration.

Table 4.3.1

Model Summary for Multiple Regression Analysis

Model	R	R^2	Adjusted R^2	Std. Error of Estimate
1	0.684	0.468	0.457	5.214

Interpretation

The model explains approximately **46.8%** of the variance in teacher preparedness for AI-integrated classrooms. This indicates a moderate and meaningful predictive relationship between the independent variables and teacher preparedness.

Table 4.3.2
ANOVA Table for Regression Model

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	2345.612	2	1172.806	43.112	.000
Residual	2637.188	97	27.188		
Total	4982.800	99			

Interpretation

The regression model was found to be statistically significant, **F(2, 97) = 43.112, p < .001**, indicating that the independent variables significantly predict teacher preparedness for AI integration.

Table 4.3.3
Regression Coefficients Predicting Teacher Preparedness

Predictor Variable	Unstandardized B	Std. Error	Standardized Beta (β)	t	Sig.
Constant	28.417	4.126	—	6.887	.000
Access to Digital Resources	0.721	0.091	0.648	7.923	.000
Teaching Experience	-0.183	0.074	-0.201	-2.473	.015

Interpretation

The findings indicate that:

- **Access to digital resources** positively and significantly predicted teacher preparedness for AI integration (β = 0.648, p < .001).
- **Teaching experience** showed a slight negative relationship with AI preparedness (β = -0.201, p < .05), suggesting that teachers with longer teaching experience may demonstrate comparatively lower adaptability toward AI-integrated instructional practices.

The regression findings highlight the central role of technological accessibility in enhancing teacher preparedness for AI-supported educational environments. The negative association between teaching experience and AI readiness may reflect differences in technological adaptability across generations of teachers. These findings underscore the importance of continuous professional development and equitable digital infrastructure in promoting effective AI integration in schools.

Hence the findings indicate that teachers with greater technological exposure and digital accessibility are more likely to demonstrate higher AI preparedness.

Therefore, Hypothesis H3 was accepted.

4.4 Ethical Concerns Associated with AI Integration

The study identified several ethical concerns influencing teacher preparedness.

Approximately 82% of participants expressed concerns regarding:

- Student data privacy
- Plagiarism
- Misuse of AI-generated content
- Algorithmic bias
- Overdependence on technology

Teachers emphasized the need for ethical guidelines and responsible AI usage policies within educational institutions.

4.5 Professional Development Needs

Teachers strongly emphasized the importance of continuous professional development.

The major professional development needs identified were:

- Hands on AI workshops
- Digital pedagogy training
- Ethical awareness programs
- Peer mentoring systems
- Technical support mechanisms

Participants preferred practical and collaborative training approaches rather than purely theoretical seminars.

5. Discussion

5.1 Teacher Preparedness and Digital Access

The findings indicate that access to technological infrastructure significantly influences teacher preparedness for AI integration. Teachers with better access to internet connectivity, digital devices, and training opportunities demonstrated greater confidence in using AI-supported educational tools.

The findings support existing literature emphasizing that technological access remains a foundational requirement for successful educational technology integration.

5.2 Rural Urban Digital Divide

The significant differences between urban and rural teachers highlight the continuing impact of the digital divide on educational technology adoption.

Urban teachers benefited from stronger infrastructural support and greater technological exposure, whereas rural teachers faced substantial barriers related to connectivity, infrastructure, and institutional support.

These findings suggest that equitable AI integration in education requires targeted interventions addressing regional inequalities.

5.3 Ethical Challenges of AI Integration

Ethical concerns emerged as one of the most important barriers associated with AI adoption in classrooms. Teachers expressed concerns regarding plagiarism, data privacy, misinformation, and excessive dependence on AI-generated content.

The findings demonstrate the importance of ethical literacy and institutional policy frameworks supporting responsible AI implementation in education.

5.4 Professional Development and Teacher Readiness

The study highlights the importance of continuous professional development in preparing teachers for AI-integrated classrooms.

Teachers require practical, collaborative, and context-sensitive training opportunities that focus on both technical competence and pedagogical integration.

Professional development initiatives should therefore move beyond isolated workshops and emphasize sustained support systems, peer collaboration, and reflective practice.

6. Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence possesses significant potential to improve educational quality, learner engagement, and instructional effectiveness. However, the successful implementation of AI-integrated classrooms depends fundamentally on teacher preparedness.

The study revealed that access to digital resources, infrastructural support, and professional development opportunities significantly influence teacher readiness for AI integration. Rural teachers continue to face substantial barriers related to technological access and institutional support, highlighting the persistence of educational inequalities.

The findings further indicate that teachers require ethical guidance, pedagogical support, and continuous professional development to integrate AI effectively into classroom practices.

The study therefore concludes that AI integration in education must be accompanied by equitable technological access, sustained teacher support, ethical frameworks, and context-sensitive implementation strategies.

7. Recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Educational institutions should strengthen digital infrastructure, particularly in rural schools.
2. Continuous professional development programs focusing on AI integration should be organized for teachers.
3. Ethical guidelines regarding AI usage, plagiarism, and data privacy should be developed and implemented.
4. Teacher education programs should include AI literacy and digital pedagogy components.
5. Institutions should establish collaborative professional learning communities and peer mentoring systems.
6. Context-sensitive training programs should be designed for teachers working in resource-constrained settings.
7. Policymakers should develop comprehensive frameworks supporting responsible and inclusive AI integration in education.

8. Limitations of the Study

The study was limited to 100 teachers from Jammu District and may not fully represent broader educational contexts. The use of self-reported data may also involve subjective bias. Furthermore, the rapidly evolving nature of AI technologies may influence preparedness levels over time.

9. Suggestions for Future Research

Future studies may:

- include larger and more diverse samples,
- employ mixed method research designs,
- examine longitudinal changes in teacher preparedness,
- investigate the impact of AI integration on student learning outcomes,
- explore AI readiness across different educational levels and disciplines.

References

1. Akgun, S., & Greenhow, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing ethical challenges in K–12 settings. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 3*, 100093.
2. Alaidarous, M., & Al-Saadi, K. (2024). Surveillance, data ethics, and artificial intelligence in education. *Educational Philosophy and Theory, 56*(4), 389–403.
3. Angadi, G. R., & Karan, B. (2026). Incorporation of artificial intelligence: Exploring readiness factors at school level. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 46*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/02704676251407967 (Sage Journals)
4. Bautista, J., Borges, R., & Choi, S. (2024). Responsible AI literacy for teachers. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence, 5*, 100109.
5. Bond, M., Marín, V. I., Dolch, C., Bedenlier, S., & Zawacki-Richter, O. (2021). Digital transformation in German higher education: Student and teacher perceptions and usage of digital media. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 18*(1), 1–21.
6. Chiu, T. K. F. (2021). Digital support for student engagement in blended learning based on self-determination theory. *Computers in Human Behavior, 124*, 106909.
7. Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: The state of the field. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20*(1), 22–41.
8. Dong, C., & Gopez, J. M. W. (2026). AI readiness in education: A review of conceptual foundations, pedagogical dimensions, and ethical considerations. *International Journal of Education and Humanities, 22*(2), 18–20. https://doi.org/10.54097/6farb504 (Darcy & Roy Press)
9. Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Baabdullah, A. M., Ribeiro-Navarrete, S., Giannakis, M., Al-Debei, M. M., ... & Wamba, S. F. (2021). Artificial intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research. *International Journal of Information Management, 57*, 101994.
10. Fullan, M., Quinn, J., Drummy, M., & Gardner, M. (2020). *Education reimagined: The future of learning*. Corwin Press.
11. García-Peñalvo, F. J. (2021). Avoiding the dark side of digital transformation in teaching. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 18*(1), 1–4.
12. Gocen, A., & Aydemir, F. (2021). Artificial intelligence in education and schools. *Research on Education and Media, 13*(1), 13–21.
13. Gupta, A., Tandon, B., & Rana, N. (2026). Beyond technology acceptance: Validating a multidimensional AI integration readiness scale for teacher educators. *Review of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 7*(1). https://doi.org/10.37497/rev.artif.intell.educ.v7ii.82 (educationai-review.org)
14. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2020). *Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.
15. Huang, R., Tlili, A., Chang, T. W., Zhang, X., Nascimbeni, F., & Burgos, D. (2021). Disrupted classes, undisrupted learning during COVID-19 outbreak in China: Application of open educational practices and resources. *Smart Learning Environments, 7*(1), 1–15.
16. Isave, S. G. (2026). Integration of artificial intelligence in teacher education: Practices, prospects and alignment with NEP 2020. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation, 13*(2), 1437–1443. https://doi.org/10.51244/IJRSI.2026.130200128 (RSIS International)

17. Kim, J., Lee, H., & Cho, Y. H. (2022). Learning design to support student-AI collaboration: Perspectives of leading teachers for AI in education. *Education and Information Technologies, 27*(5), 6069–6104.
18. Kohnke, L., Moorhouse, B. L., & Zou, D. (2023). ChatGPT for language teaching and learning. *RELC Journal, 54*(2), 537–550.
19. Kushki, A., Garcia, A., Lowell, V. L., & Wright, W. E. (2026). Investigating pre-service teachers' AI literacy for ELL instruction: A mixed-methods study. *Language and Education*. https://doi.org/10.1177/13621688261426620 (Sage Journals)
20. Luckin, R. (2022). *AI for schoolteachers*. Routledge.
21. Mishra, P., Henriksen, D., & Boltz, D. (2024). Bias and misuse in educational AI systems. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 72*(1), 95–112.
22. Miao, F., Holmes, W., Huang, R., & Zhang, H. (2021). *AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers*. UNESCO Publishing.
23. Mollick, E. (2023). Using AI to implement effective teaching strategies in classrooms. *Harvard Business Publishing Education*.
24. Ouyang, F., & Jiao, P. (2021). Artificial intelligence in education: The three paradigms. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 2*, 100020.
25. Pedro, F., Subosa, M., Rivas, A., & Valverde, P. (2020). *Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development*. UNESCO.
26. Popenici, S. A. D., & Kerr, S. (2021). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on teaching and learning in higher education. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 16*(1), 1–15.
27. Rahman, M. M., & Islam, M. S. (2023). Teachers' perceptions of artificial intelligence in classroom instruction. *Education and Information Technologies, 28*(6), 6823–6845.
28. Redecker, C. (2020). *European framework for the digital competence of educators: DigCompEdu*. European Commission.
29. Selwyn, N. (2020). Should robots replace teachers? AI and the future of education. *Polity Press*.
30. Shidiq, M. (2023). The use of artificial intelligence based Chat GPT and its challenges for the world of education. *International Journal of Learning and Development, 13*(2), 52–62.
31. Siemens, G., & Long, P. (2021). Penetrating the fog: Analytics in learning and education. *EDUCAUSE Review, 46*(5), 30–40.
32. Southworth, E., Migliaccio, N., Glover, J., McGovern, E., Shook, N., & Tanner, J. (2023). Developing a model for AI across the curriculum: Transforming the higher education landscape via innovation in AI literacy. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 4*, 100127.
33. Tlili, A., Shehata, B., Adarkwah, M. A., Bozkurt, A., Hickey, D. T., Huang, R., & Agyemang, B. (2023). What if the devil is my guardian angel: ChatGPT as a case study of using chatbots in education. *Smart Learning Environments, 10*(1), 15–28.
34. Trust, T., Whalen, J., & Mouza, C. (2023). Educators' perceptions of the role of AI in teaching and learning. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education, 31*(1), 1–17.
35. UNESCO. (2021). *AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers*. UNESCO Publishing.
36. UNESCO. (2023). *Guidance for generative AI in education and research*. UNESCO Publishing.
37. Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education. *Learning, Media and Technology, 45*(3), 223–235.

38. Williamson, B., Macgilchrist, F., & Potter, J. (2021). Re-examining AI, automation and datafication in education. *Learning, Media and Technology, 46*(1), 1–5.
39. Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2020). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(1), 1–27.
40. Zhang, H., & Liu, Y. (2023). Ethical governance of artificial intelligence in education. *Journal of Educational Administration, 61*(3), 367–382.
41. Zhao, Y., Watterston, J., & Ryan, J. (2021). Global perspectives on technology and teacher readiness in post-pandemic education. *Educational Research for Policy and Practice, 20*(2), 101–118.
42. Zhou, L., Li, F., Wu, S., & Zhou, M. (2020). School's out, but class' on: The largest online education in the world today. *Best Evidence of Chinese Education, 4*(2), 501–519.