

Jallianwala Bagh Massacre as A Turning Point in Indian National Movement: A Critical Analysis of Its Political, Social and Psychological Impact

Ms. Yashita Mahendra Ambre

Student, Law, Thakur Ramnarayan College of Law

Abstract

The Jallianwala Bagh Massacre of 1919 remains one of the most significant and tragic events in the history of British India. The Massacre occurred on 13th April 1919 in Amritsar, Punjab where British soldiers led by General Dyer fired at a crowd of civilians. This research paper examines the political, social and psychological impact of the massacre on Indian society and the Indian independence movement. Politically the massacre deepened the nationalist sentiments among the Indians and weakened their trust in the British administration. Socially, it brought together Indians from various sectors against the British. Psychologically, the massacre created fear, trauma and collective anger among Indians while strengthening the desire for freedom. The paper argues that the Jallianwala bagh massacre became a turning point in India's struggle for independence and significantly influenced anti-colonial movements across the country.

Introduction

The Jallianwala Bagh Massacre was one of the most tragic and influential events in the history of British colonial rule in India. During the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, the British government exercised considerable political and economic power over the country. Indians suffered from economic oppression, racial discrimination, heavy taxation and limitations on their civil liberties due to colonialism. Nationalist sentiments had spread all over the country, leading to increasing opposition against British colonialism among Indians from different backgrounds.

Following the end of the First World War, Indians had higher hopes for political reforms and provide greater freedom because Indians had actively participated in the war efforts of the British. However, instead of granting reforms, the British government passed the Rowlatt Act in 1919. The Act gave the colonial authorities powers to arrest and detain people without putting them on trial and to limit political activities. This law was strongly criticized because it violated civil rights and increased public anger against British administration. Mahatma Gandhi also opposed the Act and encouraged protests against it. The situation in Punjab had become extremely volatile because of political unrest and tight governmental control. The British rulers had been apprehensive about growing nationalistic movements among the people and took severe actions in order to crush any opposition. Several local leaders who had become popular because of their stand against British rule were arrested. People were extremely upset and protests were organized in various cities like Amritsar against British oppression. On 13th April 1919, large crowds of men, women and children had assembled at Jallianwala Bagh in Amritsar on the day of Baisakhi for a peaceful meeting and to discuss political matters.

During the gathering, British troops under the command of General Reginald Dyer started firing on the unarmed crowd of men, women and children that were trapped within the walls. Hundreds of innocent civilians were killed and many other were injured. The Jallianwala Bagh Massacre shocked all of India and brought into light the barbaric nature of the British colonial rule.

The importance of the Jallianwala Bagh Massacre was not limited only to the deaths caused during the incidents. The massacre had deep political, social and psychological effects on Indian society and played an important role in strengthening the freedom movement. This research paper examines the political, social and psychological impact of the massacre and its influence on India's struggle for independence.

Chapter 1

Historical Background of the Jallianwala Bagh Massacre

The Jallianwala Bagh Massacre occurred during an era when there were growing resentment towards British rule in India. By the beginning of the twentieth century, the British government had already gained significant control over various regions in India. While the British administration maintained that their rule brought about development and stability in the region, many Indians felt that colonization policies did more harm than good to India and primarily benefited Britain. The economic exploitation of the people, discrimination and lack of political rights were some factors which made Indians unhappy with the British rule in the region.

One of the major causes of public anger during British rule was economic exploitation. Indian industries and local businesses suffered because British policies supported the production and distribution of British products and the expense of Indian goods. High taxes were levied on farmers and other common people while many Indians still lived in poverty. The administration of the region was dominated by British officials whereas Indians had very limited participation in governance. Racial discrimination was also common in colonial society, as Indians were often treated as inferior to Europeans. These conditions slowly increased anti-British feelings among the people.

During the late nineteenth century, political awareness also began to grow in India. Educated Indians demanded for political rights and representation in administration. Organizations such as Indian National Congress played an important role in spreading nationalist ideas and encouraging political discussion among the people. Initially, many Indian political leaders believed that reformative changes could be achieved through peaceful means and cooperation with British authorities. However, gradually, frustration grew up due to disappointment regarding colonial politics and policies as British officials refused to listen to Indians demands and desires for freedom and equality.

The situation became more serious after the First World War which took place between 1914 and 1918. During the war, India had contributed troops and resources to help British authorities in fighting against other countries. Thousands of Indian soldiers fought in different parts of the world on behalf of Britain. Many Indian leaders and citizens expected that the British government would reward India's loyalty by introducing political reforms after the war. Indians believed that they would receive greater self-governance and more civil liberties in return for their contribution.

However, the expectations of Indians were not fulfilled. Instead of introducing reforms that increased political freedom, the British government became more concerned about growing nationalist activities in the country. British authorities feared that revolutionary groups and political protests could threaten colonial rule. As a result, they adopted stricter laws and stronger methods to control political movements.

One of the most controversial laws introduced during this period was the Rowlatt Act of 1919. The act was formally titled as Anarchical and Revolutionary Crimes Act. This Act granted British administration extensive powers of arrests and detention of suspect without trial. Restrictions on freedom of expression, newspaper, and public meetings were also imposed. Many Indians considered the Act unfair because it violated basic civil rights and gave excessive power to the colonial government.

The Rowlatt Act was strongly opposed by Indian political figures and common people. Mahatma Gandhi criticized the legislation and described it as a symbol of colonial oppression. He demanded protest rallies, strikes, and non-cooperation movement as a response to such legislation. Demonstrations were organized in several parts of the country. There was growing resentment among Indians towards the British administration. The protests united people from different communities who shared dissatisfaction with colonial policies.

Punjab became one of the main centers of unrest during this period. Punjab was strategically important to the British because of its location and military significance. At the same time, political activities in Punjab were increasing rapidly. British officials feared that protests in the region could lead to large-scale rebellion. As a result, the administration in Punjab adopted strong measures to subdue any form of political opposition and maintain strict control over the population.

The political atmosphere in Punjab became more tense after the arrest of those leaders who had criticized the British for their policies and encouraged anti-colonial protests. These arrests created anger among ordinary citizens, especially in cities such as Amritsar. Public meetings and demonstrations were organized, where people were becoming more rebellious against British policies. The tension between the people and the administration continued to grow. British authorities responded by imposing restrictions on public gatherings and increasing military presence in the region.

Amritsar was one of the major cities affected by this growing unrest. Many people participated in political meetings despite the restrictions imposed by the government. On 13th April 1919, Thousands of people gathered at Jallianwala Bagh. This meeting was called on the occasion of Baisakhi, a festival which is widely celebrated in Punjab. Many villagers had come to Amritsar for the festival, while others attended meeting to protest against British repression and discuss political developments.

Jallianwala Bagh was a ground surrounded by walls and buildings with only a few narrow exits. A large number of men, women and children were assembled there peacefully. Many people in the crowd were not even fully aware of the restrictions imposed by the British administration on public gatherings. The atmosphere at the gathering was largely peaceful and people had assembled without weapons.

British authorities viewed the gathering as a challenge to their control. Reginald Dyer, who was responsible for maintaining order in Amritsar, decided to take immediate military action. On the afternoon of 13 April 1919, Dyer entered Jallianwala Bagh with armed soldiers. Without giving any warning to the crowd or asking protesters to leave the place, he ordered his troops to open fire on the unarmed civilians. The firing continued for several minutes. Since the area had limited exits, many people could not manage to escape. Some individuals were trampled in the panic, while others jumped into a well dug into the ground in order to avoid being shot at. Many people died and several Hundreds got severely injured. The exact number of deaths remains disputed, the official death count by the British administration claimed that there were about 379 deaths, and over thousand were wounded by the shooting. Nevertheless, the Indian political leadership and other sources estimated that the number of deaths would be far greater, since some of those had been wounded eventually died, while others remained unreported cases.

After the massacre, the British administration imposed even stricter controls in Punjab. People started getting humiliated through various martial laws and faced severe punishment for smallest of violations. Public anger against British rule increased rapidly after news of the massacre spread throughout the country. Indians, who used to believe in reforms, started losing their trust in the British authorities.

The Jallianwala Bagh Massacre became a turning point in India's struggle for independence. It revealed the aggressive and oppressive attitude towards the Indian population by the colonial administration and changed the relationship between Indians and the British empire. Gradually, the massacre became symbolic of the pain that Indians had undergone during subjugation to colonialism and remained an important event in the history of India's freedom struggle.

Chapter 2

Jallianwala Bagh Massacre left a huge political legacy for India, making it a turning point in India's quest for freedom. Before the incident, there were people who firmly held the view that it was possible for political reforms to be attained through peaceful cooperation with the British government. While there had been growing disillusionment with colonial rule, a section of educated Indians hoped that the British administration would gradually provide greater political rights and self-governance however the massacre completely changed this belief and created widespread anger across the country.

This massacre caused loss of trust in the British administration's justice system since it showed how desperate colonialists were at maintaining control in India using utmost brutality. The incident exposed the repressive nature of British rule and increased anti-colonial sentiments among ordinary citizens as well as political leaders. News of what happened spread quickly throughout India, fueling the public outrage even further.

One of the most important political consequence of the massacre was the strengthening of the nationalist movement. Beginning from 1919, while people wanted political reforms, the demand for complete independence from British rule grew. Moreover, even those who were politically inactive before began taking part in various nationalist movements and joined the Indian political arena. Public participation in protests meetings, and political campaigns increased significantly after the massacre

The Indian leaders, such as Mahatma Gandhi, condemned the oppressive measures taken by the British Government and saw the Jallianwala Bagh Massacre as a colonial oppression. Initially, Gandhi believed in working hand in hand with the British administration; however, after the Jallianwala Bagh Massacre he realized that justice could not prevail under colonial rule. The massacre also influenced Gandhi's decision to launch the non-cooperation movement in 1920. Which encouraged Indians to boycott British institutions, goods, and services.

The massacre had an immense effect on the Indian National Congress as well. Prior to this time, many members in Congress followed moderate political policies and concentrated on constitutional reforms. However, after the events of 1919, political attitudes within the organization became more critical of British rule. Members of Congress openly spoke of at atrocities committed by British forces in Punjab and asked for accountability for their actions. This incident helped transform the Congress from relatively moderate political organization into a stronger nationalist movement that demanded greater independence. Another important political reaction came from Rabindranath Tagore, a Bengali poet and philosopher, who refused his knighthood that had been awarded to him by the British Crown. This act of protesting attracted national and international attention and served as an indicator of the growing resistance of Indian intelligentsia to colonial rule. Tagore believed that accepting honors from a government responsible for

such violence would be morally wrong. His protest inspired many Indians and strengthened anti-British feelings among educated sections of society.

The massacre resulted in more activity among revolutionary nationalist throughout various areas in India. Some young Indians lost faith in peaceful methods after witnessing barbaric acts of the British Government. Revolutionary organization and secret political groups were formed during the period due to the shock of the event among youths. Although, not all nationalist supported violent resistance but as a consequence of the massacre, the revolutionary movement received more support.

The British government faced criticism not only in India but also internationally. Many people, upon learning the details of the Amritsar massacre, felt outrage toward the British rulers and their policies in India. Doubts were raised regarding the moral justification of colonialism as well as the manner in which it was practiced in India. In response to public criticism, the British government appointed the Hunter commission to investigate the incident. The commission examined actions of Reginald Dyer and other officials involved in the events at Amritsar.

Whereas the Hunter commission condemned Dyer's conduct the Indians felt that he was punished to mildly. As a result, this added to the anger prevailing society, as they believed that the British government lacked sincerity towards providing justice to the victims of the massacre. At the same time, some British groups openly supported Dyer and described his actions as necessary for maintaining peace. These were enough to convince many Indians that the life of an Indian had no value before the colonial authorities.

The political impact of the massacre was also visible in the growing unity among Indians against colonial rule. Many Indians united against their colonial overlords after this event. Indians from different parts of the country, belonging to different religious communities and representing diverse classes were engaged in various protest activities following the massacre. There was feeling of injustice which united many different Indian communities. Political debates started taking place among common people.

The events of Jallianwala Bagh also influenced future political movements in India. The massacre represented colonial repression and often referred to during nationalist rallies, writings, and discourses. It reinforced the feeling that independence was required to protect the rights and dignity of Indians. Overtime, the incident continued to inspire political activism and resistance against British authority.

In conclusion, the political impact of the Jallianwala Bagh Massacre was far-reaching and long-lasting. This event led to a loss of trust by Indians toward British authority, increased the strength of nationalist movements, encouraged more people to participate in politics, and stimulated resistance against the colonial powers. Besides, the events at Jallianwala Bagh had their influence on prominent politicians.

Chapter 3

Social Impact of the Jallianwala Bagh Massacre

The social consequences of the Jallianwala Bagh Massacre were tremendous in India as they were felt by the people beyond the city of Amritsar. The incident created fear, anger and sorrow among ordinary citizens and changed the way many Indians viewed British rule. Although the massacre was primarily a political event, its effects were deeply felt in everyday social life. The violence at Jallianwala Bagh influenced public opinion, strengthened unity among Indians and increased opposition to colonial rule across different sections of society.

One of the immediate social effects of the massacre was the spread of fear among the people. The firing on an unarmed crowd showed that the British authorities were willing to use extreme force against civilians. News of the incident spread rapidly throughout the country and shocked people from different

regions and communities. Many families became anxious about participating in political gatherings or public events. Especially in Punjab, the atmosphere after the massacre was filled with tension and insecurity.

On the other hand, the massacre also created widespread anger against British rule. Many Indians were deeply disturbed by the killing of innocent men, women and children who had gathered peacefully during the festival of Baisakhi. This incident made ordinary Indians citizens, who were earlier uninterested in any political activity, develop anti-colonial sentiments. As a result, people started voicing their opinions about the oppression carried out by the colonizers.

The social unity among Indians also became stronger during this period. Before, the massacre, differences based on religion, caste and region often limited collective political action. However, the events at Jallianwala Bagh affected people from different communities in similar ways. Hindu, Muslims and Sikhs expressed grief and anger together, which helped create a stronger sense of unity against colonial rule. The massacre encouraged many people to see the freedom struggle as a common national cause rather than the concern of only political leaders or educated groups.

Punjab society was especially affected by the incident. Families lost relatives, many people suffered injuries and entire communities experienced emotional pain after the massacre. The strict measures imposed by the British administration after the incident increased public suffering further. In some areas, martial law restrictions limited normal social activities and created humiliation for local residents. These actions damaged the relationship between the colonial government and the people even more.

The massacre also increased political awareness among ordinary citizens. Prior to 1919, political activism was confined to educated nationalists and elites in India. After this incident greater section of society took interest in politics and nationalists activities. Ordinary people such as workers, peasants, businessmen, and students became politically active through participating in protests and political discourses. This event played an important role in transforming the freedom movement into a mass-based movement.

Educational institutions and young people were also influenced by the social effects of the massacre. Students from various regions in India became more politically conscious and began to participate in nationalist movements. Several young Indians were impacted emotionally by the event and became opposed to colonialism. Public meetings, newspaper, and speeches discussing the massacre played an important role in spreading awareness among the younger generation.

Women were also influenced by the social consequence of the massacre. The massacre had a direct impact on families, and many women gained insight about the nature of colonialism. Later on, women became more active participants in nationalist movements and public demonstrations. Despite the fact that there were certain social limitations for women in many regions of India at this time, the Jallianwala Bagh Massacre served as motivation for their greater participation in politics and society.

An equally important social impact of the massacre was the rise in the sense of nationalism amongst the people. Boycotting of the goods produced by the Britishers and opting for Indian goods became common as a way of protesting against the colonial rule. Public gatherings, cultural activities, and nationalist discussion became more common in different parts of the country. Thus, the massacre had its impact not only on political movements but also on social aspects of life.

The event had an effect on the image of the British government amongst the Indian population as well. Until the time of the massacre there were sections of society that held that the British government symbolized order, law, and justice. However, the violence at Jallianwala Bagh weakened this belief

significantly. The colonial rule came to be viewed as being oppressive in nature. This factor proved crucial in boosting the support for the freedom movements.

The memory of the massacre continued to influence Indian society for many years. Narratives about the event were passed down from one generation to another through families and communities. The event became a symbol of sacrifice and suffering on behalf of the freedom struggle. Public remembrance of the massacre helped maintain nationalists' feelings and kept awareness about colonial oppression alive among future generations.

In conclusion, the Jallianwala Bagh Massacre had numerous impacts on the social scenario of India. The incident created fear and anger, strengthened unity among Indians, increased political awareness, and changed public attitudes toward British Rule. It also encouraged wider participation in nationalist movement and influenced different sections of society. The massacre therefore played an important role in shaping the social atmosphere of India during the freedom struggle.

Chapter 4

Psychological Impact of the Jallianwala Bagh Massacre

The Jallianwala Bagh Massacre had a deep psychological impact on the people of India and left emotional scars that continued for many years. The massacre not only traumatized those who witnessed it and the relatives of the victims, but also the mindset of the Indian society as a whole. The shooting at Jallianwala Bagh instilled fear, trauma, rage, and humiliation in the people. It altered the emotional connection between Indians and the British rulers, as well as shaped their attitude towards colonialism/

Fear was one of the first psychological consequences of the massacre. The violence at Jallianwala Bagh showed that the British administration was willing to resort to brutal measures even when dealing with peaceful and unarmed civilians. News of the incident spread rapidly across the country and created anxiety among ordinary people. People began to fear attending gatherings and joining protests due to fear of encountering violence on part of the colonial regime. In Punjab, the environment was tense and emotionally charged following the massacre.

The survivors of the massacre suffered psychologically due to the intense emotions they experienced. Many people witnessed the deaths of family members, friends, and neighbors during the firing. The fear and violence involved made the event scar many of the survivors. There were people who sustained injuries but there were also people who had to endure long term emotional problems after experiencing the events of that day. The massacre had lasting emotional impacts on many Indian families for several years to come.

The massacre also left many Indians feeling humiliated. It is believed that the event was an expression of racial arrogance by the British administration towards Indians. The killing of innocent civilians during a peaceful gathering was seen as an attack on the dignity and self-respect of the Indian people. This feeling of humiliation increased resentment against colonial rule and encouraged stronger emotional support for the freedom movement.

At the same time, the massacre generated widespread anger throughout Indian society. Public discussions about the incident often focused on the cruelty of British actions and the suffering of innocent victims. The emotional reaction to the massacre strengthened anti-British feelings among many Indians who had previously remained politically neutral. Anger against colonial rule became more intense, especially among young people and students who were deeply affected by reports of the violence.

The psychological effect of the massacre played an important role in the development of Indian nationalism. The massacre provided a common emotional experience that helped people unite despite their social background. Indians started to feel themselves a part of the greater cause of national liberation. The idea of Indian nationhood was supported by patriotic feelings caused by the memory of the massacre. Leaders of the nationalist movement were also emotionally affected by the incident. Mahatma Gandhi viewed the massacre as evidence of the moral failure of British rule in India. The event influenced his political thinking and strengthened his commitment to mass movements against colonialism. Similarly, many writers, intellectuals and political activists expressed grief and anger through speeches, articles, poems and public protests. The massacre therefore had a major influence on the emotional and intellectual climate of the country.

The psychological effects of the massacre were especially strong among younger generations. Many young Indians grew up hearing stories about the violence at Jallianwala Bagh and the suffering experienced by innocent civilians. These memories influenced their attitudes toward colonial rule and inspired many of them to join nationalist activities in later years. For several freedom fighters, the massacre became a symbol of injustice and sacrifice that motivated them to continue resisting British authority.

The incident also damaged the psychological image of the British government among Indians. Before 1919, some sections of society still believed that the British administration represented justice, discipline and civilized governance. However, the massacre weakened this perception significantly. Many Indians no longer trusted the colonial government and began to see British rule as oppressive and inhuman. This loss of trust became an important psychological turning point in the relationship between rulers and subjects in colonial India.

The memory of the massacre remained deeply rooted in public consciousness even decades later. Annual commemorations, public speeches and historical writings continued to remind people about the suffering experienced at Jallianwala Bagh. The event became a symbol of collective sacrifice and emotional resistance against colonial oppression. Even after independence, the massacre continued to hold psychological importance in Indian society as a reminder of the struggles faced during the freedom movement.

In conclusion, the psychological impact of the Jallianwala Bagh Massacre was severe and long-lasting. The incident created fear, trauma, humiliation and anger among Indians while also strengthening nationalist consciousness and emotional unity against colonial rule. The massacre affected both individual lives and the collective mindset of Indian society. Its emotional consequences played an important role in shaping public attitudes toward British rule and strengthening support for India's struggle for independence.

Conclusion

The Jallianwala Bagh Massacre remains one of the most significant and tragic events in the history of British India. The massacre highlighted the brutal nature of colonial rule and acted as a catalyst for the fight for independence in the country. Although the massacre caused the deaths of hundreds of innocent civilians, its impact extended far beyond the immediate loss of life.

Politically, the massacre made Indians lose faith in their British rulers. It further emboldened the nationalists within The country and strengthened anti-British movement. Indians who were previously in favor of reforms became increasingly vocal about the need for freedom from colonial rule. Leaders like

Mahatma Gandhi took up their anti-colonial movements in earnest and the involvement of ordinary citizens in politics were greatly enhanced after the incident.

The massacre also had an important social impact on the society. It created anger and sorrow among people from different communities and encouraged greater unity against British oppression. Ordinary citizens became more politically aware, and support for the freedom movement spread among different sections of society. The incident therefore played an important role in transforming the nationalist movement into broader mass movement.

In terms of psychology, the massacre created a psychological impact on Indian society. Fear, trauma, and humiliation were the primary emotions experienced by both survivors and the witnesses. On the other hand, the massacre was remembered as another source of strengthening the anti-British sentiments among the Indians all across India. At the same time, the event encouraged the growth of nationalist consciousness and inspired many Indians to participate actively in the struggle for independence.

The significance of the Jallianwala Bagh Massacre continues even today because it represents the suffering, sacrifice, and resistance of Indians during colonial rule. The incident remains as important reminder of the human cost of oppression and the determination of the Indian people in their fight for freedom. Today, Jallianwala Bagh serves as a national memorial dedicated to the victims of the massacre and remains an important symbol of India's freedom struggle.

Bibliography/ References

Books

1. India's struggle for independence
2. The Case That Shook the Empire
3. Jallianwala Bagh
4. Freedom Struggle in India
5. Modern India

Journals and articles

1. Singh Kanwalpreet "The Political Impact of The Jallianwala Bagh Massacre" Indian historical Review, (vol. 45, no.2, 2018)
2. Sharma Rakesh "Colonial Violence and Indian Nationalism" journal of modern Indian History, (vol. 12, no, 1, 2019.)
3. Kaur, Harleen "Psychological Effects of Colonial Repression in Punjab" South Asian Studies Review, (vol. 8, no. 3, 2020)