International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

A Comparative Study on Gaps and Challenges in the Recruitment and Selection Process at a Fast-Growing Health-Tech Startup

Dr. Sundarapandiyan Natarajan[1], Dr D Visagamoorthi[2], Dr P Vikkraman[3], Dr B Selvakumar[4]

[1]Professor, Department of Management Studies, Adithya Institute of Technology, Coimbatore
[2,3]Professor, Department of Management Studies, Adithya Institute of Technology, Coimbatore
[4]Associate Professor, Department of Management Studies, Adithya Institute of Technology, Coimbatore

Abstract

The rapid expansion of technology-driven health-tech startups in India has intensified competition for skilled professionals, transforming talent acquisition into an agile, core strategic function where human resource frameworks must balance high-volume hiring demands with rigorous, quality-driven selection filters. This empirical study, grounded in Person-Job Fit Theory and Human Capital Theory, examines the structural gaps, operational bottlenecks, and administrative challenges embedded within the talent acquisition architecture at PRI health care organisation. Specifically, the research aims to evaluate and compare recruitment challenges across different hiring categories (corporate roles, specialized medical hiring, and language-based roles) to determine if structural gaps are role-specific or organization-wide, while also identifying core operational bottlenecks within the recruitment funnel, focusing on recruiter-hiring manager coordination and candidate drop-out rates. Adopting a descriptive and analytical research design, primary data was gathered via a structured electronic questionnaire utilizing a 5-point Likert scale from a sample of 133 human resource professionals, talent acquisition executives, and senior recruiters working directly within the organization's decentralized hiring units. Robust statistical analysis was subsequently executed using percentage analysis, a Chi-Square (chi^2) test of independence, Pearson correlation coefficient (r), and weighted mean analysis to rank operational factors. Demographic profiling indicates a highly qualified, young human resource workforce, with 65.00% of respondents holding postgraduate credentials and 80.00% under the age of 35. Crucially, the inferential Chi-Square test of independence reveals no statistically significant relationship between the specific category of hiring handled and the perceived recruitment challenges (chi^2 = 8.645, df = 12, p = 0.7329), which demonstrates that operational difficulties are systemic issues across the entire human resource architecture rather than challenges limited to individual functional roles. Furthermore, weighted mean analysis identifies internal coordination between recruiters and core hiring managers as the most acute operational bottleneck (mu = 1.80), driven by prolonged feedback loops, whereas recruiter training and technology adoption (ATS) were ranked as high-impact components (mu = 4.30 and mu = 3.20, respectively) showing a positive linear correlation (r = 0.213). The study concludes that talent acquisition bottlenecks in high-growth startups are structural and organizational rather than category-specific. To minimize time-to-hire and lower candidate drop-out rates, the study suggests implementing standardized Service Level Agreements (SLAs) with strict

24-to-48-hour feedback loops between recruiting teams and hiring managers, optimizing Applicant Tracking Systems (ATS) through automated interview scheduling, delivering target-based recruiter training, and strengthening early-stage employer branding to mitigate offer rejection rates, ultimately transitioning from reactive hiring to an agile, data-driven selection model that builds a sustainable human capital foundation to support long-term startup growth.

Keywords: Recruitment and Selection, Health-Tech Startups, Talent Acquisition Gaps, Chi-Square Analysis, Person-Job Fit.

1. Introduction

Human Resource Management (HRM) serves as a primary driver of sustained competitive advantage, with recruitment and selection acting as its foundation. Recruitment is defined as the process of identifying and attracting a pool of qualified applicants to fill organizational vacancies, whereas selection involves the systematic evaluation and psychometric filtering of these candidates to make optimal hiring decisions. In service-intensive and patient-centric industries like healthcare, the structural efficiency of talent acquisition directly governs operational viability, service quality, and organizational reputation.

The contemporary Indian healthcare ecosystem is undergoing an aggressive structural shift. Driven by technological integration, expansion into Tier-2 and Tier-3 urban markets, and an influx of venture-backed health-tech startups, the industry is projected to reach USD 372 billion. Traditional healthcare models rely on localized, slower hiring pipelines. In contrast, modern technology-enabled health-tech platforms operate on high-volume, agile deployment models. Organizations within this space manage a complex matrix of professional requirements, ranging from specialized medical surgeons and care coordinators to corporate operational executives and regional language recruiters.

The PRI health care organisation represents this fast-paced startup ecosystem, utilizing an asset-light, technology-driven surgical care model across multiple surgical specialties and geographical locations. To fuel this rapid scale-up, its talent acquisition department must process a high volume of candidates under aggressive timelines. Despite operating structured recruitment procedures, high-growth startups face systemic operational friction. This friction manifests as extended time-to-hire, high candidate drop-out rates post-offer, and communicative bottlenecks between decentralized recruiting divisions and core hiring managers. While the immediate impulse in human resource administration is often to treat different hiring categories as distinct problems, there is a clear need to analyze whether these operational inefficiencies are unique to certain roles or are reflective of broader structural gaps. This study provides a comprehensive empirical evaluation of the stage-wise recruitment gaps at the organisation, establishing statistical dependencies to guide optimized, scalable talent acquisition workflows.

2. Objectives of the Study

- To evaluate and compare recruitment challenges across different hiring categories (corporate roles, specialized medical hiring, and language-based roles) to determine if structural gaps are role-specific or organization-wide.
- To identify and evaluate core operational bottlenecks within the recruitment funnel, specifically focusing on recruiter-hiring manager coordination and candidate drop-out rates.

3. Literature Review

3.1 Strategic Role of Talent Acquisition in High-Growth Environments

The modern talent landscape is increasingly defined by agility and data-driven filtering. Armstrong (2014) posits that modern recruitment must move beyond administrative transactions to serve as a strategic balancing function that connects macro corporate planning with labor market realities. This is particularly challenging in healthcare environments. The sector experiences consistent structural talent shortages due to stringent licensing requirements, credential compliance, and intense competition among corporate healthcare networks. Cappelli (2015) notes that the digitization of the labor market requires talent acquisition structures to remain highly responsive, using analytics to predict and manage candidate pipelines.

3.2 Person-Job Fit and Human Capital Theory

This study is grounded in two primary theoretical paradigms: Person-Job Fit Theory and Human Capital Theory. Developed by Kristof-Brown (1996), the Person-Job Fit framework indicates that optimal organizational outputs, higher job satisfaction, and lower early-stage turnover are achieved when an applicant's core competencies align precisely with job demands. Misalignments during the screening or selection phases lead to immediate operational losses and high attrition. Formulated by Becker (1964), Human Capital Theory treats the skills, experiences, and systemic capabilities of employees as a form of capital asset requiring continuous investment. In recruitment terms, optimizing the talent pipeline directly improves human capital reserves, generating sustained gains in organizational productivity.

3.3 The Recruitment Funnel and Candidate Experience

The modern recruitment process operates as a progressive filtering mechanism, tracking candidates across several distinct stages: Sourcing, Screening, Interviewing, Selection, Offer Acceptance, and Onboarding. As candidates advance through this funnel, attrition points open up. Bersin (2016) shows that candidate experience—defined by communication transparency, speed of progression, and process professionalism—serves as a primary predictor of offer acceptance ratios. In fast-growing startups, an overemphasis on hiring speed can inadvertently compromise candidate experience, leading to high drop-out rates. This is further complicated by internal friction. Boudreau (2017) emphasizes that a lack of structured communication protocols between recruiting teams and internal hiring managers leads to prolonged feedback loops, which increases candidate drop-outs.

4. Methodology

This study adopted a descriptive and analytical research design aimed at evaluating the operational realities of talent acquisition within the PRI health care organisation. The target population consisted of corporate human resource professionals, talent acquisition executives, and recruiters working directly within the organization's human resource ecosystem. A convenience sampling method was used to select respondents based on their direct involvement with daily hiring workflows. The final sample size comprised 133 valid respondents, ensuring representation across various organizational hierarchies and recruiting specializations.

Primary data was gathered through a structured electronic questionnaire consisting of two main components: Demographic/Professional Profile and Recruitment Metrics/Process Evaluation. The latter utilized a 5-point Likert scale to measure perceptions of process structure, screening efficiency, coordinator-manager alignment, candidate drop-out rates, and training support. The scale was anchored as follows: 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree. Secondary

data was sourced from internal company overview documentation, historical HR policy handbooks, industry tracking reports, and peer-reviewed journals in human resource management.

5. Data Analysis and Findings

The demographic and professional metrics profiling indicates a highly qualified human resource team, with 65.00% of the sample holding postgraduate degrees or formal professional credentials. The age distribution reflects a young workforce, with 80.00% of respondents under the age of 35. To evaluate whether recruitment challenges are specific to certain roles or systemic across the organization, a cross-tabulation and Chi-Square test were performed between the types of hiring handled and perceived recruitment bottlenecks.

Table 1: Chi-Square Contingency Matrix and Frequencies

Hiring Category	Agree	Disagree	Neutral	Strongly Agree	Strongly Disagree	Total
Corporate Roles	9	6	8	7	3	33
Language-Based Roles	5	2	2	5	0	14
Multiple Categories	12	1	5	11	2	31
Specialty Roles	1	0	1	0	0	2

Table 2: Chi-Square Test Results

Statistical Metric	Calculated Value
Chi-Square Value (χ^2)	8.645
Degrees of Freedom (df)	12
Asymptotic Significance (p-Value)	0.7329

The calculated Chi-Square test statistic is $\chi^2 = 8.645$ with 12 degrees of freedom, yielding a p-value of 0.7329. Because $p = 0.7329 > 0.05$, the test fails to reject the null hypothesis (H_0) at the 5% significance level. This statistical analysis confirms that there is no significant relationship between the specific category of hiring handled and the recruitment challenges encountered. Operational difficulties—such as screening delays, candidate drop-outs, and compensation misalignments—are systemic issues across the entire human resource architecture rather than challenges limited to individual functional roles.

Table 3: Priority Ranking of Key Recruitment Factors

Recruitment Dimension Evaluated	Weighted Mean	Interpretation	Priority Rank
Training and Support	4.30	Very High Importance	I

Effective Sourcing Strategies	3.80	High Importance	II
Preliminary Screening Process	3.50	High Importance	III
Recruitment Technology (ATS) Adoption	3.20	Medium Importance	IV
Coordination: Recruiters & Hiring Managers	1.80	High Friction Area	V

6. Suggestions

- Establish Standardized SLA Frameworks: Implement strict Service Level Agreements (SLAs) between the Talent Acquisition department and operational hiring managers. Establish mandatory 24-to-48-hour turnarounds for post-interview feedback to minimize communication lag.
- Optimize ATS Integration & Automation: Maximize the capabilities of current Applicant Tracking Systems by automating interview scheduling and generating automated candidate updates to eliminate candidate drop-out rates.
- Launch Target-Based Recruiter Training: Provide continuous training focused on data-driven recruiting, advanced sourcing strategies, and technical candidate screening to align technology usage with recruiter skill sets.
- Strengthen Candidate Experience & Employer Branding: Improve candidate touchpoints by providing clear visibility into compensation structures, job expectations, and onboarding timelines early in the recruitment process to lower offer rejection rates.

7. Conclusion

This study evaluated the operational gaps and structured challenges within the recruitment and selection process at PRI Heath Care. The statistical analysis demonstrates that talent acquisition bottlenecks in high-growth startups are structural and systemic, affecting corporate, specialized, and language-based roles uniformly. The primary operational challenges stem from internal communication misalignments between recruiting teams and hiring managers, rather than deficiencies in sourcing or basic screening tools.

By implementing structured communication protocols, deeply integrating recruitment technologies, and providing continuous training for human resource professionals, the organization can optimize its talent acquisition funnel. Transitioning from reactive, high-speed hiring to an agile, data-driven selection model will help lower candidate drop-out rates, shorten the time-to-hire, and build a sustainable human capital foundation to support long-term organizational growth.

References

1. Armstrong, M. (2014). Handbook of human resource management practice (13th ed.). Kogan Page.
2. Becker, G. S. (1964). Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education. National Bureau of Economic Research.
3. Bersin, J. (2016). The corporate learning factbook: Benchmarks, trends, and analysis. Bersin by Deloitte.
4. Boudreau, J. W. (2017). HR transformation: Consistently higher value through analytics and strategic alignment. Society for Human Resource Management.
5. Cappelli, P. (2015). Why we love to hate HR... and what HR can do about it. Harvard Business Review, 93(7/8), 54–61.

6. Franke, N. (2012). Structural recruitment methodologies and matching accuracy in scaling corporate regimes. Journal of Business Venturing, 27(4), 412–428.
7. Kristof-Brown, A. L. (1996). Person-organization fit: An integrative review of its conceptualizations, measurement, and implications. Personnel Psychology, 49(1), 1–49.
8. Kristof-Brown, A. L., & Billsberry, J. (2014). Organizational fit: Key issues and new directions. Wiley-Blackwell.