International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

The Fractured Prince and the Silenced Maiden: A Psychoanalytic and Trauma Theory Analysis of Shakespeare's Hamlet

Ms. Deepti Yadav[1], Prof. Dr. Neeta Kulshreshtha[2]

[1]Research Scholar, English, Shri Varshney College, Aligarh
[2]Head of the Department, English, Shri Varshney College, Aligarh

Abstract
This article offers a comprehensive reassessment of William Shakespeare's Hamlet through the lens of modern trauma theory and psychoanalytic literary criticism. This reading surpasses the common understanding that the prince's pervasive delay and suicidal ideation are symptoms of either innate melancholia or philosophical abstraction and instead contends that the characters' behaviours are symptomatic responses to severe, unprocessed psychological trauma. The text explores the complex aspects of trauma literature using a rigorous analytical framework that draws on the theories of important trauma scholars, Cathy Caruth, Judith Lewis Herman and Dominick LaCapra. The study examines the original traumatic experiences of the father's death and the mother's treason, the inheritance of transgenerational trauma through spectral injunctions, and the complex post-traumatic stress disorder (C-PTSD) that governs the protagonist's mental breakdown. The article also sheds light on the gendered inequalities of traumatic experience through the lens of Elaine Showalter's feminist critique of Ophelia's somatic fragmentation and systemic silencing under the coercion of the patriarchy and Queen Gertrude's negotiation of structural violence. Finally, the study extrapolates these findings into contemporary frameworks, suggesting directions for further research at the intersection of modern psychiatric modalities, trauma cinema, and artificial intelligence.

Keywords: Hamlet, Trauma Theory, , Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Complex PTSD, Psychoanalytic Criticism, Betrayal Trauma, Ophelia, Gertrude, Dissociation, Transgenerational Trauma, Literary Analysis, Oedipus Complex.

Introduction

William Shakespeare's Hamlet is a cornerstone of Western literature, and scholars have repeatedly examined it for its deep treatment of grief, existential anxiety, and what it means to be human. For centuries, traditional literary criticism, from Neoclassical to Romantic perspectives, has often been preoccupied with the prince's well-known melancholy, his tragic indecision, and his intellectual paralysis. In earlier paradigms, Hamlet's inner world was often described as the ideal Renaissance intellectual caught in a web of moral scruple and philosophical rumination (Bloom 405). But the advent of modern psychiatric scholarship and psychoanalytic criticism offers a transformative paradigm for the understanding of the psychological landscape of Elsinore. When examined as a seminal work of trauma literature, Hamlet

becomes not just a tragedy of character flaw, political usurpation, or fate but also an astonishingly prescient clinical illustration of the devastating consequences of unbearable psychological pain.

Contemporary trauma theory links the past literature with modern clinical psychology in early modern drama. What makes an event traumatic is not necessarily its rarity, but its capacity to overwhelm ordinary adaptations to life and shatter a person's fundamental assumptions about safety, trust, and reality (Herman 33). The swift and violent series of betrayals within the family in Hamlet generates a profound epistemological and existential crisis, which destabilises the court. This article analyses the symptomatic responses of the central figures of the play and argues that the text functions as a complex meditation on the capacity of trauma to rupture temporality, fragment identity, and render conventional language inherently insufficient.

Theoretical Foundations and Analytical Framework

The study is a systematic, qualitative, interdisciplinary approach to the psychological deterioration depicted in the play, combining close textual analysis of Shakespeare's dramatic verse with the conceptual tools of foundational and contemporary trauma theorists. Instead of viewing the "madness" of the characters as a generalised poetic device or plot contrivance, the analysis diagnoses the psychological unravelling of the play through specific clinical models.

The inquiry is deeply informed by the clinical insights of Judith Lewis Herman, who's seminal 1992 work, Trauma and Recovery, outlines a tripartite model of trauma symptomatology: hyperarousal, intrusion, and constriction. This model allows for a structural analysis of the wild swings in behaviour, chronic paralysis, and deep isolation in Hamlet. Moreover, the research is guided by Cathy Caruth's theorisation of trauma as an "unclaimed experience", which highlights the belatedness (latency) of traumatic memory and its resistance to narrative integration. The distinction between the destructive repetition compulsion ("acting out") and the therapeutic pursuit of healing ("working through") is made using the psychoanalytic paradigms of Dominick LaCapra.

The analysis is further informed by Pierre Janet's pioneering theories of dissociation and traumatic memory, as well as by Sigmund Freud's concepts of introjection, melancholic incorporation, and the death drive. The Oedipal dynamics, theorised by Freud and developed by Ernest Jones in Hamlet and Oedipus, identify the subconscious conflicts that intensify the prince's trauma. Finally, the methodology employs feminist literary criticism, particularly the work of Elaine Showalter, to explore the gendered inequalities in the experience, weaponisation and silencing of trauma within a patriarchal hierarchy, in an effort to fully explore the traumatic landscape of the text.

The Foundational Rupture: Betrayal Trauma and the Primal Wound

Hamlet's profound psychological destabilisation has its secure roots in a swift, morally shocking sequence of events: the sudden death of his father, King Hamlet, followed immediately by the "o'erhasty marriage" of his mother, Queen Gertrude, to his uncle, Claudius (Shakespeare 1.2.158). This catastrophic cascade of events produces a foundational traumatic rupture that, in turn, destabilises Hamlet's existential equilibrium and initiates his first slide into a complex traumatic stress syndrome.

The best explanation for the nature of Hamlet's distress is the theory of betrayal trauma. Betrayal trauma occurs when the people or institutions that an individual relies on for survival, support, or safety violate that trust in a fundamental way. The play begins with Hamlet as a heart-stricken melancholic, alienated from the celebratory atmosphere of the Danish court. His grief is always triggered by the death of his

father, but his main "ego-dystonic" distress is really about maternal betrayal. Incestuous remarriage by his mother shatters his basic assumptions about family loyalty and maternal love. This transgression transforms his normative mourning into isolated, traumatised knowledge of deep moral criminality.
Repeatedly emphasised in Hamlet's anguished calculations that his mother remarried 'within a month', the temporal compression of these events powerfully highlights a critical aspect of the traumatic experience. Trauma disrupts normal temporal processing such that time is not experienced as a linear progression but as a collapsed, suffocating present. The cognitive dissonance created by the speed of his mother's remarriage overloads Hamlet's adaptive capacities, leading to a constricted interpretation of events. Hamlet's emotions swing violently between numbness and uncontrollable rage.

The Disruption of the Symbolic Order

In psychoanalytic terms, the collapse of symbolic order is the sudden loss of an idealised father figure. King Hamlet is the focal point of the prince's understanding of law, morality and identity. When this anchor is violently removed and replaced by the very perpetrator of the trauma (Claudius), Hamlet is left with profound ontological insecurity. His fundamental conceptions of reality are shattered, and he is left with "the self and the world as loathsome and a profound mistrust in the future". This is strikingly voiced in his first soliloquy where the world is described as "weary, stale, flat, and unprofitable" (Shakespeare 1.2.133-134), a somatic reaction to the initial psychic rupture.

Table 1. Dimensions of Betrayal Trauma and Their Psychological Consequences in *Hamlet*

The data in Table 1 points to the fact that Hamlet's mental decline cannot be ascribed to a single traumatic event. Instead, it comes from a web of betrayals that operate on the family, political and social levels. The death of King Hamlet destroys the prince's emotional and symbolic stability, Gertrude's remarriage destroys his trust in intimate relationships, and the collective denial of the Danish court invalidates his grief. These traumatic events combine to create the basis for long-term psychological suffering, supporting Judith Herman's argument that trauma is more often the result of a series of betrayals than one catastrophic event.

Dimension of Betrayal Trauma	Manifestation in *Hamlet*	Psychological Consequence
Maternal Defection	Gertrude's hasty marriage to Claudius "within a month."	Shattering of trust; generalized misogyny; cognitive distortion regarding human relationships.
Paternal Demise	The sudden, unmourned murder of King Hamlet.	Collapse of the symbolic order; unresolved grief; persistent melancholic incorporation.
Societal/Court Denial	The Danish court's rapid acceptance of Claudius and demand that Hamlet abandons his grief.	Creation of an "ecology of denial"; enforced isolation; invalidation of the survivor's reality.

Spectral Mandates: Transgenerational Trauma and Introjection

The initial rupture prepares the psyche of Hamlet for disintegration, but it is the supernatural encounter with the Ghost of his father who pushes the narrative into the realm of acute trauma literature. The wound in the literary trauma narrative often "cries out" and needs an "other" through whom the wound can be

heard (Mellon 116). The Ghost of King Hamlet embodies the concept of a crying wound, representing transgenerational trauma and unclaimed experience.

According to Cathy Caruth, trauma is characterised by latency; it is an event that escapes immediate cognition and returns belatedly, often in the form of intrusive hallucinations or nightmares (Caruth 7). But the most intrusive phenomenon of all is the visitation of the Ghost. The spectral father charges his son to "Remember me" and "Revenge his foul and most unnatural murder" (Shakespeare 1.5.31), thus bequeathing him a fatal, impossible burden. As a victim of fratricide, consigned to the tormenting fires of purgatory, the Ghost imparts a traumatic memory that compels Hamlet to witness an unspeakable crime.

The Mechanism of Introjection

The psychological mechanism that Hamlet uses to absorb this trauma is introjection. The ghost's terrifying commands cause Hamlet to use introjection as a reactionary defence mechanism in his double betrayal of his mother and the apparition. He internalises the lost father figure and establishes a punishing "superego law" in his own mind. After the encounter, Hamlet famously vows to erase "all trivial fond records, all saws of books, all forms, all pressures past" so that the Ghost's commandment may live alone in the "book and volume" of his brain (Shakespeare 1.5.99–103).

The intense process of dissociation begins with the deliberate erasure of past memories. Hamlet breaks himself apart by allowing the overwhelming presence of an idealised, traumatised father to completely overshadow his own personality. Jacques Lacan refers to the dead father as the "barred other"—one who is excluded from reality and barred from just retribution because of a twisted death. This absorption of the barred other casts a 'shadow of the object' onto Hamlet's ego, initiating a fierce inner conflict between his fundamentally philosophical and benevolent nature and the vengeful, homicidal command he has just internalised. This painful memory, which his "prophetic soul" already sensed subconsciously, puts him in a state of trauma induction, where he takes on the trauma of the perpetrator or the dead as if it were his own.

Symptomatology of the Prince: Herman's Triad and the Paralysis of Agency

The clinical model devised by Judith Lewis Herman is crucial to the precise mapping of the prince's psychological decline. Herman describes the triad of trauma symptoms as hyperarousal, intrusion and constriction (Herman 33). Hamlet's behaviour throughout the play is seamless with these clinical markers validating the text as a remarkably accurate portrayal of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) or, more accurately, Complex Traumatic Stress Syndrome (C-PTSD) that stems from prolonged exposure to a toxic, inescapable environment.

Intrusion and the Unclaimed Experience

Intrusion is unwanted re-experiencing of the traumatic event. Traumatic memories are not encoded in the usual way; they are vivid sensations and images that keep invading consciousness (van der Kolk). Hamlet is haunted not just by the literal Ghost but by intrusive, contaminating thoughts of his mother's sexuality. His mind continually replays the primal scene of his mother "hanging" on his father, creating a trauma-induced obsession with incest and physical corruption. This "literality" of memory, which disturbs his sleep and haunts him with nightmares, is typical of how an unprocessed traumatic past intrudes upon present reality.

Hyperarousal and Paranoia

Hyperarousal is characterised by increased reactivity, an exaggerated startle response, and ongoing vigilance toward potential dangers. When the murder is revealed, Hamlet becomes very paranoid, trusting nobody in the panopticon of the Danish court. His “antic disposition” (feigned madness) is initially a conscious survival tactic, hypervigilance born of trauma, which he uses to hide his true motives from his enemies. But this scheme of nervous detachment backfires quickly. The constant activity and the betrayal of his old friends Rosencrantz and Guildenstern exacerbate his hyperarousal. This leads to spontaneous and uncontrollable explosions of extreme violence, such as the impulsive murder of Polonius behind the arras, a reactionary assault driven by the overwhelming fear and lack of impulse control common to a traumatised brain.

Constriction and Traumatic Paralysis

Perhaps the most discussed element of the play—Hamlet's famous "delay" in enacting revenge—best lends itself to understanding through the clinical lens of constriction. Constriction is the emotional numbing and paralysis of effective agency; it occurs when an individual is totally overwhelmed by trauma and their self-defence mechanisms cease to function effectively. Hamlet painfully recognises his inaction, viciously castigating himself as ‘unpregnant of my cause’ and a ‘peasant slave’ (Shakespeare 2.2.560).

His paralysis exemplifies what Ruth Leys calls a "dynamic oscillation," between a "mimetic" identification with the horror with which he is emotionally flooded and an "anti-mimetic" detachment, in which he intellectualises his trauma to maintain some sense of control. It is this constitutive instability that leaves him suspended. The trauma has irreparably severed the connection between logic and human relationships, so he is unable to act. To kill Claudius in cold blood requires a psychic unification that trauma has completely shattered.

To Be or Not to Be: Suicidal Ideation and Traumatic Temporality

The play's most famous soliloquy, "To be, or not to be" (Shakespeare 3.1.55-89), is when these traumatic symptoms peak. Re-examining this address as a significant clinical articulation of suicidal ideation arising from unbearable psychological pain and traumatic exhaustion, previously considered an abstract philosophical musing on death.

Hamlet wonders whether it is more noble to bear the "slings and arrows of outrageous fortune" or to "take arms against a sea of troubles and, by opposing them, end them." This desire for cessation—"to die, to sleep"—is the ultimate expression of psychic fatigue associated with chronic traumatic stress (Herman 33-35). But this craving for the "gift of death" is simultaneously arrested by the anxious dread: "To sleep, perchance to dream. "Ay, there's the rub."

Cathy Caruth’s work shows that a traumatised imagination has extreme difficulty imagining a future free of suffering. The trauma has destroyed Hamlet's fundamental assumptions about safety and predictability. So, the 'dread of something after death' is a heightened terror. The "undiscovered country" is an epistemological void. His conscience makes him a “coward”—not because he is morally flawed but because trauma has left him with no agency whatsoever and an inability to predict or control outcomes. The soliloquy meticulously itemises the cognitive distortions that trauma brings, showing how the mind, shattered by betrayal, lives in a liminal space, unable to bear life but still terrified of the uncertainties of death.

The Psychoanalytic Knot: Oedipal Dynamics and the Death Drive

To grasp the full measure of Hamlet's psychological paralysis, one must synthesise clinical trauma theory with classical psychoanalysis. In The Interpretation of Dreams (1900) Sigmund Freud suggested that Hamlet's delay is fundamentally attributable to the Oedipus complex. Jones later expanded this theory into a full-length volume, Hamlet and Oedipus (1949).

Both Freud and Jones contend that Hamlet's task of killing his uncle for usurping his father's throne and marrying his mother appeals to the prince's own repressed, forbidden childhood desires. In a sense, Claudius is the object of Hamlet's unconscious Oedipal desire to kill his father and possess Gertrude. So, Hamlet is paralyzed—not only by the external trauma of his father's murder, but also by the internal neurotic conflict that arises from his identification with the murderer. To take revenge on Claudius, Hamlet would have to face and destroy his own repressed desires psychologically.

An Oedipal reading works nicely with the concept of the "death drive" (Thanatos). A compulsion to repeat drives Hamlet's deep melancholia and subsequent actions, leading him toward self-destruction. His vitriolic battles with his mother in the closet scene underscore a traumatic jealousy, fuelled by a visceral, almost adolescent disgust with her sexuality, that acts as a re-ignited primal scene trauma. This interaction between the external traumatic event (the murder) and the internal psychic architecture (the Oedipus Complex) creates a blockage that cannot be overcome, leaving Hamlet in a state of paralysis and traumatised inaction.

The Aporia of Representation: Language, Testimony, and Theatricality

One of the most important aspects of trauma literature is the struggle with language. Trauma resists standard representation; it resists normal linguistic encoding at the moment of occurrence, and this gap resists direct articulation (Caruth). Hamlet's pervasive use of puns, riddles, deliberate linguistic ambiguity, and biting wit is symptomatic of disintegrating himself and attempting to manage an overwhelming internal reality through language.

Jacques Derrida argues that language is itself traumatic in that it always fails to make fully truthful representations of reality. Any effort to bear witness to trauma only repeats the abyss of misrepresentation. Hamlet realises that words are insufficient to remedy his situation, famously bemoaning the fact that he has to "unpack [his] heart with words / as a drab does" (Shakespeare 2.2.586). The Ghost's wound "cries out" to be heard, demanding testimony and retribution, but Hamlet is trapped in an ethical and linguistic aporia.

To attain his purpose and make amends for the past, Hamlet must murder. But to fulfil this wish is to become the very agent of the original trauma – he must become Claudius to destroy Claudius, thus perpetuating the cycle of violence. Unable to solve this paradox through language or direct action, Hamlet turns to theatricality. The play "The Mousetrap" is a complex effort to bypass verbal testimony and visually re-create the trauma, trying to "catch the conscience of the king" with the help of mimetic representation. However, this does not provide the narrative catharsis needed for healing—only helping to further agitate the traumatised landscape of the court and externalise the trauma without resolving it.

Gendered Hierarchies of Suffering: The Traumatic Silencing of Ophelia and Gertrude

The psychological disintegration of Hamlet may be highly intellectualised and focused, but the play provides an equally devastating, if structurally different, account of trauma among its female characters. Historically, critical attitudes toward Queen Gertrude and Ophelia have been marginalising: the former is

a passive, helpless figure of weak morality, and the latter's madness is considered "erotomania" or simply the lovesickness of a delicate, innocent girl. But modern trauma theory and feminist critiques, particularly by scholars like Elaine Showalter and Carolyn Heilbrun, show that these women are deeply victimised by patriarchal coercion, psychological abuse, and traumatic silencing.

Ophelia: Structural Violence and Somatic Fragmentation

The feminist turn in Shakespearean criticism has demonstrated that female trauma in early modern drama represents not only an individual affliction but also a direct manifestation of structural violence. Ophelia lives in an ecology of absolute patriarchal control. Her character is entirely determined by the demands of her father, Polonius, and the repressive moralisation of her brother, Laertes. Her speech is heavily controlled from the start, with phrases of submission (e.g., "I shall obey, my lord") dotted throughout, pointing to the silencing of her subjectivity.

Ophelia is in a state of chronic trauma due to contradictory demands. Her family orders her to reject Hamlet but then uses her as bait to watch for signs of his madness. This leaves her in an impossible psychological bind, stripping her of all her agency. Ophelia experiences an acute traumatic rupture when Hamlet turns on her violently during the "Nunnery" scene, projecting his generalised misogyny and maternal betrayal onto her, commanding her, "Get thee to a nunnery" (Shakespeare 3.1.121). Her innocence is used against her. She is publicly humiliated and sexually degraded, and she is forced to witness the total destruction of her social and emotional world.

The murder of Polonius by her former lover is the final, devastating blow that sends Ophelia into total madness. In the application of Herman's theory, Ophelia's breakdown is the ultimate constriction and dissociation. Without family, without love, without a voice of her own, her defences just stop working altogether.

Yet, paradoxically, madness is a mechanism for traumatic testimony. As Showalter says, madness frees Ophelia's voice from the restrictions of Elizabethan gender roles. Her madness, her broken songs, and the handing out of flowers are physical and verbal expressions of her trauma. Her songs tell of broken promises, unrequited love and the corrupt realities of the Danish court, themes she was forbidden to articulate while sane. But the tragedy of female trauma in Hamlet is that even when the wound "cries out", the patriarchal order refuses to listen. The court writes off her testimony as "but half sense" (Shakespeare 4.5.7), twisting her words to fit their political agenda. Her suicide is the inevitable climax of her traumatic dispossession, a testament to the lethal intersection of psychological injury and gendered marginalisation.

Gertrude: Survival in the Panopticon

Queen Gertrude's trauma is the need to survive in a male-dominated society. Most readings have perceived her through Hamlet's misogynist gaze as a woman who swiftly betrays the memory of her dead husband. However, recent feminist readings view Gertrude's remarriage to Claudius as an act of survival in a system that allows women little room for agency (Wyman and Heilbrun).

Gertrude is caught in overlapping webs of family and political loyalty, which demand the constant suppression of her subjectivity. Despite her knowledge of the political machine's dirty tricks, she is powerless to change it. That is her trauma. She lives in a panopticon of constant surveillance, where even her private meetings with her son are spied upon by patriarchal authority (Polonius is hiding behind the arras).

The closet scene, where Hamlet compels Gertrude to confront her inner selves and criticises her for marrying her father's killer, intensifies Gertrude's traumatic distress. She is clearly distraught at the knowledge that she has been complicit and alienated from her son. But her journey to recovery, however ultimately fatal, is one of reclaiming agency. In the last scene she deliberately disobeys the particular order of Claudius not to drink the poisoned wine. She defies patriarchal authority with her last breath ("I will, my lord; I pray you pardon me") and acts to warn and save her son, becoming an active agent of truth from a passive victim.

Table 2. Comparative Trauma Responses of Hamlet, Ophelia, and Gertrude

The different expressions of trauma experienced by Hamlet, Ophelia and Gertrude All three are psychologically damaged characters, but their reactions are shaped by differences of gender, class, and political power. Hamlet externalises his trauma through philosophical reflection and violent action, Ophelia internalises her trauma until it culminates in psychological disintegration, and Gertrude survives through silence and emotional restraint before reclaiming limited agency in the final act. The comparison shows that Shakespeare depicts trauma as a complex phenomenon, its articulation mediated by patriarchal structures, social expectations, and individual psychological mechanisms.

Trauma Modality	Hamlet's Experience	Ophelia's Experience	Gertrude's Experience
Origin of Trauma	Sudden, violent death of a parent; maternal betrayal.	Chronic patriarchal suppression; loss of father; lover's abuse.	Sudden widowhood; political necessity; son's violent alienation.
Cognitive Response	Anti-mimetic intellectualization; excessive rumination; Oedipal paralysis.	Mimetic somatic collapse; cognitive fragmentation; loss of self-identity.	Suppression of subjectivity; forced compliance; quiet distress.
Linguistic Expression	Satire, puns, aggressive wordplay, calculated "antic disposition."	Fragmented song, disjointed syntax, genuine hysterical dissociation.	Brief, regulated speech; eventual defiance in final moments.
Societal Reception	Monitored with fear; viewed as a political threat; aestheticized suffering.	Dismissed as "pretty" but nonsensical; medicalized as hysteria.	Silenced; utilized as a political pawn; blamed for the court's moral rot.

LaCapra's Paradigms: Acting Out vs. Working Through

The overall trajectory of Hamlet's trauma can be systematically evaluated according to Dominick LaCapra's psychoanalytic idea of "acting out" versus "working through". What LaCapra terms 'acting out'

is the neurotic, compulsive repetition of the traumatic past. The victim is caught in a melancholy loop, unable to differentiate the past from the present, constantly reliving the trauma without resolution.

Throughout the majority of the play, Hamlet engages in destructive "acting out". His cruelty to Ophelia, his violent clash with Gertrude, and his endless brooding are all instances of repetition compulsion. He is haunted by spectres, tasked with an impossible double injunction: to remember what cannot be consciously assimilated and to tell a fundamentally unspeakable secret. The trauma paralyses the mourning process as its perturbations of memory interfere with the ability to recognise the true extent of his losses.

“Working through” is rather a therapeutic repetition that allows the victim to create a critical distance from the trauma, mourn the loss in a constructive manner, and envision the future. For LaCapra the distinction hinges on distinguishing loss (a specific historical event) and absence (a generalised, endless melancholia). What makes Hamlet tragic is his absolute inability to move from acting out to working through. He is trapped in impossible mourning.

Only at the very end of the play, when he is fatally poisoned and mathematically eliminated from the future of Denmark, does he attain a terrifying detachment. Now he is free of concern for the result and at last takes his revenge, giving the 'gift of death', which destroys the economic structure of trauma but at the expense of his own life. The play is therefore a symbolic representation of an insoluble crisis, showing that a trauma without a therapeutic mechanism of working through inevitably leads to laceration and death.

Modern Resonances: Trauma Cinema and Speculative AI Interventions

Hamlet is a text grounded in its history, but its accurate representation of trauma symptomatology lends itself to intriguing intersections with modern cultural adaptations and technological advances. The enduring presence of the Hamlet story in contemporary trauma literature and performance art testifies to its universal resonance with the human condition.

Modern performance studies have seen adaptations such as the documentary The Hamlet Syndrome use the play as a framework to stage the trauma of modern warfare (e.g., in Ukraine). The film employs a mode of testimonial delay in which psychic wounds circulate through silence and interruption. Characters like Slavik and Roman embody Caruth’s idea of the “missed encounter with death” as their attempts to speak of combat trauma falter and speech only arises to dissolve into a communal field of affective vulnerability. This is in line with Hamlet’s own linguistic crises, where the performance space becomes a space of “performance remains", an embodied trace of trauma that refuses therapeutic closure and exposes the limits of narrative repair.

Moreover, recent academic investigations explore how contemporary artificial intelligence (AI) and digital therapeutics might theoretically alter the processing of trauma in the story of Elsinore. The characters' tragic arc would undergo a profound transformation if we were to subject them, retroactively, to the modern modalities of trauma recovery. For instance, Virtual Reality Exposure Therapy (VRET) can tackle the concept of the “unassimilated” traumatic memory, as described by Cathy Caruth. Instead of being incapacitated by the intruding, terrifying memory of the Ghost’s command, a speculative AI-assisted therapeutic model might enable Hamlet to re-engage with the traumatic node of his father’s death in a controlled simulation, facilitating systematic desensitisation and the incorporation of the memory into a coherent narrative schema.

Also, the use of AI applications with natural language processing (NLP) and forensic linguistics could analyse the speech markers of the Ghost or Claudius and provide an objective verification of truth. This would immediately resolve the epistemological uncertainty that fuels Hamlet's paranoia. Affective

computing and AI-assisted suicide-prevention algorithms might have identified the intense linguistic disintegration and hyperarousal that caused Ophelia's breakdown, offering therapeutic intervention in a situation where the patriarchal structures of Elsinore offered only manipulation and neglect. This speculative dialogue between early modern literature and 21st-century technology underscores the relevance of Shakespeare's psychology and points to the persistent universality of trauma's mechanics despite the development of means of remediation.

Conclusion

Shakespeare's Hamlet is reread as a clinical treatise on the ravages of the human mind, not as a revenge tragedy, employing a rigorous analytical framework built on contemporary trauma theory. The text artfully maps the devastation of complex traumatic stress, charting the fallout of betrayal trauma through the lens of Judith Lewis Herman's triad of hyperarousal, intrusion, and constriction. It is not philosophical eccentricity that Hamlet's paralysing delay and suicidal ideation expose, but the agonising reality of a traumatised mind wrestling with the aporia of representation, Oedipal repression and the latency of unclaimed experience.

The tragedy also lays bare the deadly dynamics of gendered trauma. Ophelia's madness and death, as well as Gertrude's silent desperation, are emblematic of the deadly effects of systemic patriarchal violence, where female trauma is silenced, misinterpreted, and ultimately obliterated. In sum, Hamlet shows how trauma is a force for destruction that shatters assumptions, disrupts time, and breaks up the self. The play, by the failure of its characters to achieve LaCapra's "working through", remains an enduring warning about the catastrophic effects of unprocessed grief and the lasting power of the unhealed psychological wound.

Further Research

The early modern drama, integrating psychoanalytic criticism and trauma theory, is a fertile ground for future academic investigation. The following trajectories should be prioritised in future research:

1. Neurobiological and Somatic Readings: Extending the principles of Bessel van der Kolk's The Body Keeps the Score: Additional research could involve a rigorous analysis of the somatic encoding of trauma in Shakespearean texts. A study of the physical manifestations of distress (Hamlet's visceral reaction to Yorick's skull, Ophelia's physical decline) from the perspective of modern neurobiology could yield a richer understanding of the mind-body connection in Renaissance literature.
2. Computational linguistics and affective mapping: Researchers could use contemporary computational approaches, such as NLP and sentiment analysis, to map the linguistic fragmentation in the speeches of traumatised characters. One can quantify syntactic breakdown in Ophelia's songs or frequency of trauma markers in Hamlet's soliloquies to provide empirical data for qualitative psychoanalytic claims.
3. Comparative Cultural Trauma and Post-Colonial Studies: Future scholarship should investigate the transgenerational transmission of trauma in Hamlet in comparison with post-colonial trauma narratives. Exploring the concurrent forms of political expropriation and systemic obliteration in various cultural contexts can promote the deployment of Dominick LaCapra's concepts of collective mourning and historical trauma.
4. The Effectiveness of Testimony and Theatre: A more in-depth investigation of the failure of testimony in the play, applying the philosophies of Jacques Derrida on language, could explore the ways in which

secondary witnesses (such as Horatio) absorb and unintentionally distort traumatic stories, questioning the ethics of recording history and the therapeutic boundaries of trauma performance.

References (SQ Style)

1. Asif, M., Manahil, *et al.* 2024, 'Trauma and collective mourning in Shakespearean tragedies: From cultural trauma to post-traumatic consciousness', *Contemporary Journal*, vol. 14.
2. Aydın, A. & Akgöl, S. 2024, 'The fractured prince: Trauma, temporality, and the suicidal impulse in Shakespeare's *Hamlet*', *Eurasian Journal of English Language and Literature*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 72–86.
3. Caruth, C. 1996, *Unclaimed experience: Trauma, narrative, and history*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
4. Freud, S. 2010, *The interpretation of dreams*, trans. J. Strachey, Basic Books, New York. (Original work published 1900)
5. Herman, J. L. 1992, *Trauma and recovery: The aftermath of violence—from domestic abuse to political terror*, Basic Books, New York.
6. Jones, E. 1949, *Hamlet and Oedipus*, Victor Gollancz, London.
7. Kearney, R. 2014, 'Writing trauma narrative: Catharsis in Homer, Shakespeare and Joyce', *Richard Kearney Official Website*.
8. LaCapra, D. 2001, *Writing history, writing trauma*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore.
9. Li, C. & Zhao, Q. 2025, 'Surviving *Hamlet*: Female trauma through the lens of Judith Lewis Herman's theory', *Text Matters: A Journal of Literature, Theory and Culture*, no. 15.
10. Shakespeare, W. 1982, *Hamlet*, ed. H. Jenkins, Methuen, London.
11. Showalter, E. 1985, 'Representing Ophelia: Women, madness, and the responsibilities of feminist criticism', in P. Parker & G. Hartman (eds), *Shakespeare and the question of theory*, Methuen, London, pp. 77–94.
12. Tîrban, E. 2024, 'Psychological trauma and impossible love in *Hamlet*', *Journal of Romanian Literary Studies*, no. 38, pp. 726–736.
13. Van der Kolk, B. A. 2014, *The body keeps the score: Brain, mind, and body in the healing of trauma*, Viking, New York.