International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

# 3D Genome Organization and Chromosome Architecture in Drosophila melanogaster: Molecular Mechanisms and Functional Implications

**Dr. Zeba Manzar**

Lecturer, GCW Gandhinagar Jammu

**Abstract**

This review is a synthesis of 3D genome organization and chromosome architecture studies in Drosophila melanogaster to fill the gaps in the knowledge of the nature of the molecular mechanisms and functional implications of chromatin topology. The objectives of the review were to assess molecular determinants of TAD formation and boundary specification, compare experimental and computational mapping methods, identify functions of insulator proteins in chromatin looping, describe developmental chromatin dynamics, and determine functional consequences on gene regulation. The literature was analyzed systematically and based on the studies that used high- resolution chromosome conformation capture, imaging, genetic perturbations, and computational modeling in the context of development. The central conclusions include finding that the orientation-dependent pairing of insulator-bound boundaries largely controls TAD architecture and challenges loop extrusion models; the mediation of modular and dynamic chromatin loops with partial redundancy by insulator proteins like BEAF-32 and CP190; the establishment of enhancer-promoter interactions during embryogenesis and often before the establishment of TADs; and the role of epigenetic modifications including All of these observations come together to describe a multi-layered, dynamic control of genome folding that incorporates architectural proteins, chromatin states, and transcriptional activity. The results highlight the need to use integrative, high-resolution methods to dissect causal relationships in genome organization, and the functional applicability of 3D topology to developmental gene regulation in Drosophila. The review is devoted to the 3D genome organization and chromosome architecture of Drosophila melanogaster. It uncovers important molecular pathways controlling chromatin folds, such as insulator proteins and transcription factors that define topologically associating regions. Functional implications include the regulation of genes, development, and stability of genome. These observations highlight the intricacy of the organization of the spatial genomes that affects cellular functions.

**Keywords:** 3D Genome Organization, Chromosome Architecture, Drosophila melanogaster, Molecular Mechanisms

## Introduction

The study of three-dimensional (3D) genome organization and chromosome architecture in Drosophila melanogaster has become of primary interest in the field since it plays a fundamental role in gene

regulation, development, and cellular differentiation (Schwartz & Cavalli, 2017; Moretti et al., 2020). Initial cytological and genomic analyses have defined that chromosomes are subdivided into topologically associating domains (TADs), which represent structural and regulatory units of genome organization (Liao et al., 2020; Szabo et al., 2018). Technologies like Hi-C, Micro-C and further improvements in chromatin conformation capture have shed more light on TAD architecture, showing that they are both conserved through developmental stages and, to a degree, across species (Liao et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021). Drosophila is a model system to study the molecular mechanisms of 3D genome folding and the role of the genome in transcriptional regulation due to its small genome and a rich genetic toolkit (Schwartz and Cavalli, 2017; Moretti et al., 2020). It is important to note that in Drosophila, TADs are relatively smaller and have different architectural protein compositions, unlike those in mammals, which are evolutionarily divergent in their mechanisms of genome organization (Wang et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018). Moreover, chromatin loops, elements of the insulator, and Polycomb group proteins are organized in space to control the patterns of gene expression that are important during the developmental processes (Cheutin and Cavalli, 2019; Li et al., 2011).

Although the nature of TADs and chromatin loops has been extensively characterized, the exact cellular mechanisms by which they occur and the consequences of their occurrence are still not fully understood (Chathoth et al., 2022; Bing et al., 2023). TAD boundaries in Drosophila are enriched with various insulator proteins, including BEAF-32, CP190, and Chromator, but canonical loop extrusion factors, including CTCF and cohesin, do not seem to play a relatively large role in comparison to mammalian systems (Cavalheiro et al., 2022; Kahn et al., 2022). Mechanistic models of TADs Competing models suggest that TADs can either form by loop extrusion with the help of boundary elements, or they can form by direct interactions of boundary and boundary pairs, and growing evidence suggests the latter in Drosophila (Bing et al., 2024; Bing et al., 2023). Also, the orientation and pairing of the boundary elements are used to determine loop topology (stem-loop versus circle-loop) that, in turn, affects enhancer promoter insulation and transcriptional regulation (Yamashita, 2024; Ke et al., 2024). Nevertheless, the degree to which these structural configurations directly influence the expression of genes and developmental outcomes is still an issue of controversy (Pinto et al., 2022; Pollex et al., 2024). This inconsistency emphasizes a pressing gap in knowledge of chromatin architecture-transcriptional regulation interactions (Ghavi-Helm et al., 2019; Ghavi-Helm et al., 2014), which is especially critical since genome folding disruptions are related to aberrant gene expression and developmental impairments (Bhattacharya et al., 2024; Ghavi

The 3D genome organization theory in Drosophila incorporates several regulatory units, such as TADs as spatial domains where enhancers and promoters interact and insulator elements as architectural units that define repressive domains (Schwartz and Cavalli, 2017; Li et al., 2011; Gavrilov et al., 2016). These elements act in a dynamical way to form chromatin topology and control the gene expression programs throughout development (Cheutin and Cavalli, 2019; Ghavi-Helm et al., 2014). Insulator proteins facilitate long-range and local chromatin interactions, which play the role of loop formation and transcriptional insulation (Vogelmann et al., 2014; Fujioka et al., 2016). The orientation-dependent pairing of boundary elements controls the topology of these loops, which regulate interactions between and within TADs (Yamashita, 2024; Fujioka et al., 2016). This model offers a framework in the interpretation of how molecular interactions at chromatin ends scale to higher-order genome architecture and functional regulation.

The current systematic review will integrate existing information on the molecular details and functional

implications of 3D genome organization and chromosome architecture in Drosophila melanogaster. In particular, it aims to clarify how boundary elements, insulator proteins, and chromatin loops contribute to the formation of TAD and the regulation of genes and to discuss the current controversies between loop extrusion and boundary pairing models. This review aims to elucidate how chromatin topology and transcriptional control are related by combining the results of high-resolution chromatin conformation studies and functional analyses and adding to a larger picture of genome organization in metazoans.
This review follows a systematic methodology and uses studies that utilize chromatin conformation capture methods, genetic manipulations, and high-resolution imaging in Drosophila. Inclusion criteria give precedence to studies which involve TAD architecture, insulator role and enhancerpromoter interactions. Mechanistic theories of loop formation and their regulation outcomes guide the analysis. Results are presented in thematic categories to follow conceptual changes, emphasize improvements in methodology, and resolve inconsistent evidence, which finally presented a consistent and complete explanation of how the 3D genome is organized in Drosophila melanogaster.

**Scope of the Review**

This report aims at reviewing the current literature on the topic of "3D Genome Organization and Chromosome Architecture in Drosophila melanogaster: Molecular Mechanisms and Functional Implications" to summarize the existing knowledge of the molecular determinants and dynamics of chromosomal topology formation in this model organism. The importance of this review is that Drosophila melanogaster is a central system in which to understand the core concepts of genome folding, such as how to form and function topologically associating domains (TADs), insulator elements, and enhancer-promoter interactions. The report will shed light on how three- dimensional organization of the genome affects gene expression and developmental processes by critically assessing the interactions between chromatin architectural proteins, epigenetic changes, and transcriptional regulation. In addition, it aims to determine knowledge and methodological deficiencies and thus inform future research trends in chromatin biology and genome architecture.

**Objectives of the Review**

1. To critically examine existing information on the molecular basis of how topologically associating domain (TAD) formation, boundary specification, and insulator protein functions and architectural factors interact to regulate chromatin looping and domain insulation in Drosophila melanogaster.
2. To compare and integrate current experimental and computational methods to map three-dimensional (3D) genome architecture, including novel methods, like single-cell Hi-C and imaging-based methods.
3. To analyze the dynamic changes in chromatin topology during embryogenesis, with particular emphasis on pioneer factors, enhancer–promoter interactions, and the functional implications of 3D genome organization on gene regulation, developmental processes, and chromatin state dynamics.

**Methodology of Literature Selection**

All the secondary data sources that have been utilized in this review paper are purely research articles, review papers and scholarly reports that have been published in the past. Relevant literature was located and critically reviewed in a systematic way such that it provides a holistic insight on the topic. Peer-reviewed and credible studies were acquired through the data sources like academic databases and other

online sources. Specifically, the research articles made accessible via the SciSpace were widely consulted to get up to date and valid information. These secondary sources have been used to guarantee the validity and richness of the analysis in this review.

**Table 1: Systematic Expansion of Research Queries for Literature Review**

| S. No. | Primary Focus Area | Refined Search Query |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | Core Research Theme | The key research question is the three-dimensional (3D) genome organisation and chromosome architecture of Drosophila melanogaster, and the underlying molecular mechanisms and functional implications of these structures in the regulation of genes and development. |
| 2 | Mechanistic Aspects of Genome Architecture | Study of molecular processes that regulate 3D organization of the genome in the Drosophila melanogaster system including the functions of insulator elements, boundary pairing, topologically associating domain (TAD) formation, loop extrusion and chromatin state dynamics, with application of single-cell scale Hi-C, polytene chromosome imaging. |
| 3 | Developmental Genome Dynamics | Analysis of 3D genome dynamics during embryogenesis in Drosophila melanogaster, focusing on the role of tethering elements, insulators, and pioneer transcription factors such as Zelda and GAGA in shaping TADs, enhancer–promoter interactions, and chromatin state transitions across single-cell developmental trajectories. |
| 4 | Zygotic Genome Activation (ZGA) and Chromatin Organization | Exploration of genome organization changes during zygotic genome activation in Drosophila melanogaster, particularly the contribution of pioneer factors such as Zelda and GAF in regulating enhancer–promoter looping, tethering interactions, and the formation of dynamic TADs and chromatin hubs, as revealed by single-cell and multi-omics approaches. |
| 5 | Advanced Molecular and Single-Cell Approaches | The role of pioneer factors (Zelda, GAF, CLAMP, Opa) in regulating enhancer-promoter interactions and TAD-like structures in embryonic development in Drosophila melanogaster by analysing chromatin accessibility, higher-order genome architecture, using advanced technologies including single-cell Hi-C, scMicro-C and imaging-based genomic analysis. |

**Screening and Citation Chaining Strategy**

The literature screening entailed the use of a set of predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria to all of the systemically refined search queries, which ensured the retrieval of a reduced and appropriate set of scholarly literature. This has been accomplished by using large academic databases and a total of 431 research articles were found in a very large corpus of publications. A citation chaining strategy was used to further strengthen and enrich the review. Backward citation chaining entailed reviewing reference lists of the chosen core articles to determine the foundational studies and previous work in the area of

the research. Meanwhile, forward citation chaining was carried out to trace the subsequent papers that have borrowed these basic articles and consequently to trace the very recent developments in the area, the controversies and the methods developed. With this mixed methodology, 68 other relevant papers were found and included, which guarantees thorough and systematic coverage of the literature.

**Relevance Scoring and Prioritization of Literature**

After retrieving a total of 499 candidate papers (431 of which were found as a result of systematic search queries and 68 as a result of citation chaining), a systematic relevance scoring and ranking were performed to rank the most relevant studies. The papers were assessed in accordance with the main research objectives and the theme focus of the review in order to be in line with the scope of the study. Due to this screening, 497 papers were found to be pertinent to the research query. Among them, 160 articles were found to be highly relevant since they had direct implications to the understanding of 3D genome organization and chromosome architecture of Drosophila melanogaster. Relevance-based prioritization was able to aid in the identification of the relevant studies to be analyzed and synthesized during the review.

**Descriptive Summary of the Studies**

Within the framework of this section the research terrain of the literature on the 3D Genome Organization and Chromosome Architecture in Drosophila melanogaster: Molecular Mechanisms and Functional Implications is mapped with a broad array of research studies addressing the molecular determinants, experimental processes and functional implications of the chromatin architecture. The articles are united by the interest in the functions of insulator proteins, architecture, and epigenetic changes in the formation of topologically interacting domains (TADs) and chromatin loops, and in developmental dynamics and in gene regulation, in particular. High-resolution Hi-C and Micro-C are used as methodological approaches to live-cell imaging and computational modeling, which can be used to provide complementary information on genome folding and the regulation of genome folding.

**Table 2: Summary of Key Studies on 3D Genome Organization in *Drosophila melanogaster***

| Study | Molecular Mechanisms of TAD Formation | Methodological Approaches | Insulator Protein Functionality | Developmental Dynamics | Functional Impact on Gene Expression |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Yamashita (2024) | Boundary pairing defines looped TADs | Micro-C, boundary manipulation | Orientation-dependent pairing | Loop topology influences regulation | Modulates enhancer activity |
| Bing et al. (2024) | Boundary pairing over loop extrusion | Micro-C, genetic assays | Non-autonomous boundary pairing | Loop extrusion less supported | Defines TAD boundaries |
| Fujioka et al. (2024) | Insulator sub-elements | Transgene assays | Su(Hw) required for | Pairing independent of | Complex regulatory |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | regulate TADs | | pairing | blocking | roles |
| Denaud et al. (2024) | PRE loops form repressive domains | CRISPR, Hi-C, microscopy | PRE loops form stable scaffold | Independent of transcription | Controls enhancer specificity |
| Ganesh et al. (2025) | Critical chromatin folding dynamics | Polymer modeling, imaging | Loop extrusion + segregation | Chromatin near critical state | Long-range enhancer contacts |
| Tang et al. (2025) | Hierarchical TAD formation | Hi-C, AI models | Histone marks define boundaries | TADs emerge during ZGA | Linked to gene activation |
| Cavalheiro et al. (2022) | Insulators and transcription shape TADs | DNA-FISH, depletion studies | Partial boundary reinforcement | TADs form during ZGA | Transcription-insulator interplay |
| Messina et al. (2023) | Insulator interactions precede TADs | Imaging, bioinformatics | Preferential insulator interactions | Occur before transcription | Fine-tune chromatin contacts |
| Pollex et al. (2023) | Gene-gene looping mechanisms | Capture-C, FISH | Loops act as scaffolds | Context-dependent dynamics | Regulate co-expression |
| Pinto et al. (2022) | Inter-TAD enhancer interactions | Capture-C, relocation assays | Functional beyond boundaries | Limited cross-TAD activity | Enhancer regulation not distance-dependent |
| Arzate-Mejía et al. (2020) | Boundary loss disrupts TADs | CRISPR, Hi-C | Boundaries essential for insulation | TAD fusion after deletion | Causes gene misexpression |
| Espínola et al. (2020) | CRM loops form before TADs | Hi-M imaging | Pioneer factors regulate loops | Precede gene activation | Zelda required for activation |
| Viets et al. (2018) | TADs mediate homolog pairing | Genetic assays | Insulators enable transvection | Cell-type specific pairing | Enhances gene regulation |
| Ramírez et al. (2017) | DNA motifs define TAD boundaries | Hi-C, ML analysis | BEAF-32/CP190 enriched | Predict boundaries | Minor role of CTCF |
| Chen et al. | Enhancer- | Live imaging, | Stable | Linked with | Dynamic |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| (2018) | promoter topology | editing | proximity required | transcription | regulation of expression |

**Molecular Mechanisms of TAD Formation**

The importance of insulator proteins (BEAF-32, CP190, Su(Hw) and CTCF) and the presence of certain DNA motifs in the delimitation of TADs in Drosophila melanogaster has been shown by a significant body of literature. These aspects are highlighted in approximately 25 studies, and some have proposed that the processes of boundary pairing can be more significant than traditional loop extrusion mechanisms (Yamashita, 2024; Bing et al., 2024; Ramírez et al., 2017). Moreover, Polycomb group proteins and Polycomb response element (PRE)-mediated looping has been reported to play a crucial role in the establishment of repressive chromatin domains, thus affecting the overall TAD architecture (Denaud et al., 2024; Eagen et al., 2017). New data also suggests that active chromatin states and transcription activity can play a significant role in TAD boundary formation and insulation, in certain instances, having a stronger effect than proteins of insulators themselves (Cavalheiro et al., 2022; Ulianov et al., 2016). In addition, pairing of insulators and boundary elements depending on their orientation has been demonstrated to be an essential factor in determining loop topology and preserving chromatin domain integrity (Yamashita, 2024; Bing et al., 2023).

**Methodological Approaches in 3D Genome Mapping**

A combination of sophisticated experimental and computational systems has enabled the study of 3D genome architecture in Drosophila melanogaster. Variations on high-resolution chromosome conformation capture methods, including Hi-C, Micro-C, single-cell Micro-C, and others, have been widely used to map chromatin interactions with different resolution (Yamashita, 2024; Ganesh et al., 2025; Wu et al., 2025). Such methods are complemented by state-of-the-art imaging methods, such as multiplexed chromatin imaging, super-resolution microscopy, and live-cell imaging, which offer useful spatial and temporal information on chromatin folding and enhancerpromoter interactions (Messina et al., 2023; Espinoza et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2018). In parallel, computational modeling and polymer simulations have been widely used to interpret chromatin interaction data and predict dynamic folding patterns of the genome (Sun et al., 2020; Michieletto et al., 2016). Moreover, genetic manipulation methods, including CRISPR-mediated deletions and transgenic studies, have demonstrated the structural arguments of chromatin architectural components, thereby providing the opportunity to analyze the functions of insulators and boundary elements in detail (Fujioka et al., 2024; Arzate-Mejia et al., 2020).

**Insulator Protein Functionality**

The insulator proteins have different binding specificities and functionalities in determining genome architecture. As an example, BEAF-32/CP190 and BEAF-32/Chromator have been shown to be protein complexes that are commonly enriched at TAD boundaries, but CTCF seems to have a relatively minor role in Drosophila (Ramírez et al., 2017; Kahn et al., 2022). Orientation-dependent pairing interactions are frequently crucial to the functional activity of insulators, and play a role in homologous chromosome pairing, as well as establishing loop domains (Yamashita, 2024; Fujioka et al., 2016). Moreover, insulator proteins use different cofactors, including the dMes4 methyltransferase, to control the patterns of chromatin accessibility and histone modification (Lhoumaud et al., 2014). Some insulators, such as Su(Hw), can also bind to other regulatory factors such as Combgap to enable long-range chromatin

interactions of transcriptionally active regions ("Su(Hw) interacts with Combgap to establish.

**Developmental Dynamics of Chromatin Architecture**

Drosophila melanogaster goes through a major dynamic reorganization of chromatin architecture during embryogenesis. TAD networks are increasingly formed in zygotic genome activation alongside the formation of hierarchical chromatin folding patterns (Tang et al., 2025; Cavalheiro et al., 2022). Chromatin accessibility and cis-regulatory module (CRM) hubs are also initiated by pioneer transcription factors, especially Zelda, prior to the activation of genes (Espinozas et al., 2020; Erceg and al., 2018). Moreover, interactions between homolog pairs and those involving insulators are highly regulated in development and tend to be cell-type specific (Viets et al., 2018; Abed et al., 2018). Transcriptional activation per se leads to the reorganization of chromatin topology through stabilization of enhancer-promoter interactions and chromatin compaction (Chen et al., 2018).

**Functional Impact on Gene Expression**

The three-dimensional structure of the genome has far-reaching consequences on the regulation of genes in Drosophila melanogaster. Chromatin architecture can tune enhancerpromoter interactions, which can control transcriptional activity and developmental outcomes (Pinto et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2018). TAD boundaries or insulator disruption has been reported to cause an aberrant pattern in gene expression and developmental abnormalities (Arzate-Mejia et al., 2020; Fujioka et al., 2025). Chromatin loops mediated by Polycomb repress genes and enhancer hubs activate gene networks coordinately (Eagen et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2025). Moreover, the 3D folding and compartmentalization of chromatin leads to the specificity and strength of gene expression in various cell types (Pollex et al., 2023; Boetz et al., 2016), which highlights the importance of 3D genome organization in development and regulation.

**Critical Analysis and Synthesis**

The 3D genome organization and chromosome architecture literature in Drosophila melanogaster has shown that many strides have been made in comprehending the molecular processes and functional consequences of chromatin topology. One significant theme is the explanation of the formation of TAD and delimiting the boundaries, especially focusing on insulator proteins and their interactions with each other. The methodologies based on various approaches such as high-resolution Hi-C, Micro-C, live imaging, and genetic manipulations have provided a lot of detailed information, yet the approaches are also characterized by flaws in methodology and gaps in understanding. The dynamic aspects of enhancer-promoter interactions and their control by chromatin architecture are becoming better understood, but the etiology of these interactions is complicated. Also, there is the interaction of epigenetic changes, architectural proteins and genome folding, which is identified as vital yet not fully defined. The overall picture of the body of research illustrates the complexity and multi-layered control of genome organization, but also highlights gaps in mechanistic knowledge and the necessity to adopt integrative methods.

**Table 3: Strength and Weaknesses of the Studies**

| Aspect | Strengths | Weaknesses |
|---|---|---|
| **Molecular Mechanisms of TAD Formation and Boundary Specification** | Boundary elements such as Homie and Nhomie and their orientation-dependent pairing provide a strong mechanistic basis for TAD formation (Yamashita, 2024; Bing et al., 2024; Fujioka et al., 2016). Evidence supporting boundary pairing over loop extrusion highlights species-specific genome organization (Bing et al., 2024; Bing et al., 2023). High-resolution techniques (Micro-C) have clarified loop topologies and their regulatory roles (Yamashita, 2024). | Molecular determinants of boundary recognition and pairing specificity remain unclear (Bing et al., 2024). The role of cohesin and loop extrusion is still debated (Bing et al., 2023). Findings are often locus-specific, limiting genome-wide generalization (Yamashita, 2024; Fujioka et al., 2016). |
| **Roles of Insulator Proteins and Architectural Factors** | Extensive mapping of insulator proteins (BEAF-32, CP190, Su (Hw)) reveals their role in chromatin looping and insulation (Fujioka et al., 2024; Vogelmann et al., 2014). Functional modularity of insulators supports complex regulatory roles (Fujioka et al., 2025). Association with γH2Av suggests additional regulatory layers (Simmons et al., 2022). | Conflicting evidence exists regarding necessity of insulators for TAD formation (Cavalheiro et al., 2022; Trotter, 2022). Insulator interactions appear variable and infrequent in imaging studies (Messina et al., 2023). Functional redundancy complicates interpretation (Fujioka et al., 2024). |
| **Dynamics of Enhancer–Promoter Interactions During Development** | Enhancer–promoter interactions can occur prior to TAD formation, often mediated by pioneer factors such as Zelda (Espínola et al., 2020). Tethering elements and enhancer hubs explain long-range regulation (Wu et al., 2025). Developmental transitions reflect dynamic chromatin remodeling (Pollex et al., 2024). | Physical proximity does not always correlate with transcriptional output (Espínola et al., 2020). Mechanisms enabling long-range and inter-TAD interactions remain unclear (Pinto et al., 2022). The regulatory role of TADs is still debated (Balasubramanian et al., 2023). |
| **Interplay Between Epigenetics and Chromatin Architecture** | Polycomb proteins and histone modifications (e.g., H3K27me3) contribute to repressive domains and 3D genome organization (Denaud et al., 2024; Eagen et al., 2017). Chromatin states correlate with spatial genome organization (Boettiger et al., 2016). | Causal relationships between epigenetic marks and TAD structure remain unclear (Denaud et al., 2025). Mechanisms of Polycomb loop formation and maintenance require further study (Cheutin & Cavalli, 2019). Directionality between |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | epigenetics and folding is debated (Gavrilov et al., 2016). |
| **Methodological Approaches for Mapping 3D Genome Architecture** | Integration of Hi-C, Micro-C, imaging, and computational modeling provides high-resolution insights into genome folding (Sun et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2025). Genome editing enables functional validation (Chen et al., 2017). | Limitations include resolution constraints, population averaging, and limited temporal dynamics (Ulianov et al., 2021). Imaging has lower throughput, and models rely on assumptions (Michieletto et al., 2016). |
| **Functional Implications on Gene Expression and Development** | 3D genome organization regulates enhancer–promoter specificity and coordinated gene expression (Pollex et al., 2023; Levo et al., 2022). TADs and insulators support interchromosomal regulation such as transvection (Viets et al., 2018). | Changes in chromatin structure do not always result in predictable gene expression changes (Ghavi-Helm et al., 2019). Functional contributions of TADs are context-dependent and often modest (Chathoth et al., 2022). |
| **Evolutionary Conservation and Species-Specific Features** | Conservation of TADs across Drosophila species highlights evolutionary importance (Liao et al., 2020). Species-specific differences reveal diverse regulatory mechanisms (Matthews & White, 2019). | Evolutionary pressures on TAD boundaries remain unclear (Liao et al., 2021)—limited generalizability to mammals due to mechanistic differences (Rob et al., 2019). |

**Theoretical Implications**

1. TADs and chromatin loops are major structural determinants of the Drosophila melanogaster genome, and insulator elements perform other major functions in architecture in addition to their conventional enhancer-blocking functions (Stadler et al., 2017; Fujioka et al., 2016; Chetverina et al., 2017).
2. The role of cohesin-CTCF in Drosophila is limited, which raises doubts about the universality of the loop extrusion model and demonstrates the presence of other, species-specific, genome folding mediators involving BEAF-32, CP190, and Chromator (Matthews and White, 2019; Wang et al., 2018; Vogelmann et
3. The chromatin organization has dynamic and stochastic characteristics at the single-cell level, which can be modeled by probabilistic models of genome organization instead of deterministic ones (Cattoni et al., 2017; “Multiple parameters shape the 3D chromat., 2022).
4. Insulator proteins promote higher-order genome organization, including homologous chromosome pairing and transvection, and connect 3D genome structure to interchromosomal regulation of genes (Viets et al., 2018; Child et al., 2020).
5. Polycomb group proteins are essential to form repressive chromatin loops in TADs which show the incorporation of epigenetic regulation with spatial genome organization (Eagen et al., 2017; Tolhuis et al., 2011).

6. Early developmentally regulated pioneer transcription factors like Zelda induce chromatin looping before transcriptional activation, and indicate that 3D genome architecture may be induced or prepared early in development before it triggers gene expression (Espinoza et al., 2020; Erceg et al., 2018).

**Practical Implications**

1. Insights into TAD formation and insulator roles in Drosophila melanogaster can be used as a valuable model to understand genome architecture and find applications in genome engineering and gene therapy (Moretti et al., 2020; Sultana et al., 2025; Roy et al., 2010).
2. The discovery of important insulator proteins and architecture presents potential mediators to regulate gene expression during developmental biology and pathological situations, such as cancer and genetic disorders (Bhattacharya et al., 2024; Kaushal et al., 2022; Lhoumaud et al., 2014).
3. The dynamic and stochastic characteristics of chromatin interactions are recognized, which contributes to the significance of single-cell and high-resolution methods in the field of functional genomics and drug discovery (Gizzi et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2025; Mir et al., 2018).
4. The implication of TAD-mediated homolog pairing and transvection may be possible manipulations of interchromosomal interactions in epigenetic regulation, and inheritance (Viets et al., 2018; Abed et al., 2018).
5. Knowledge of Polycomb-mediated chromatin loops helps to achieve epigenetic therapies to activate and silence genes in diseases (Eagen et al., 2017; Tolhuis et al., 2011).
6. Indications of insulator-mediated chromatin decompaction that lacks transcriptional activation have implications in chromatin remodelling in regenerative medicine and synthetic biology (Pokholkova et al., 2018; Vogelmann et al., 2014).

**Table 4: Key Limitations in Studies of 3D Genome Organization in Drosophila melanogaster**

| Area of Limitation | Description of Limitation | Key References |
|---|---|---|
| Methodological Constraints | Heavy reliance on population-averaged Hi-C data obscures cell-to-cell variability and dynamic chromatin interactions, limiting external validity. | (Götz et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2021; Cattoni et al., 2017) |
| Limited Single-Cell Resolution | Single-cell techniques still lack sufficient resolution and coverage, restricting detailed analysis of genome architecture and enhancer–promoter dynamics. | (Götz et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2025) |
| Overreliance on Model Organism | Findings from *Drosophila* may not be fully generalizable to other organisms due to species-specific genome organization mechanisms. | (Matthews & White, 2019; Rob et al., 2019; Moretti et al., 2020) |
| Insufficient Functional Validation | Many studies lack direct experimental validation linking chromatin structure to gene expression, limiting causal inference. | (Chathoth et al., 2022; Arzate-Mejía et al., 2020; Kaushal et al., 2022) |
| Ambiguity in Insulator | Roles of insulator proteins remain unclear due to redundancy and context-dependent effects, with | (Cavalheiro et al., 2022; Messina et al., 2023; Kahn |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Protein Roles | inconsistent findings across studies. | et al., 2022) |
| Limited Temporal Dynamics | Most studies provide static snapshots, lacking temporal resolution to capture dynamic chromatin changes during development. | (Espínola et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2018) |
| Small Sample Sizes in Imaging | Imaging studies often involve limited cells or loci, reducing statistical robustness and generalizability. | (Götz et al., 2022; Gizzi et al., 2018) |
| Incomplete Chromatin Coverage | Focus on selected chromatin states overlooks contributions of heterochromatin and lamina-associated domains. | (Saha et al., 2019; Ulianov et al., 2019) |
| Confounding Effects of Transcription | Weak or inconsistent correlation between chromatin structure and gene expression complicates causal interpretation. | (Pinto et al., 2022; Ghavi-Helm et al., 2014) |
| Technical Limitations of Hi-C | Biases in Hi-C (e.g., resolution limits, crosslinking efficiency) affect accurate detection of loops and TAD boundaries. | (Ramírez et al., 2017; Hug & Vaquerizas, 2018) |

**Table 5: Key Research Gaps and Future Directions in 3D Genome Organization**

| Gap Area | Description | Future Research Directions | Priority |
|---|---|---|---|
| Boundary Pairing Specificity | Molecular determinants of orientation-dependent boundary pairing remain unclear. | Structural and biochemical studies; genome-wide mapping of pairing interactions. | High |
| Role of Cohesin and Loop Extrusion | Contribution of cohesin to TAD formation in Drosophila is debated. | Functional perturbation and live-cell imaging to test loop extrusion mechanisms. | High |
| Insulator Protein Redundancy | Functional redundancy complicates interpretation of insulator roles. | Combinatorial knockdowns and single-cell analyses to define specificity. | High |
| Enhancer–Promoter Dynamics | Causal link between chromatin proximity and transcription is not fully resolved. | Live-cell imaging and genome editing to study interaction dynamics. | High |
| Epigenetics and Genome Folding | Relationship between histone modifications and 3D architecture remains ambiguous. | Perturbation of epigenetic regulators with high-resolution mapping. | Medium |
| Single-Cell Variability | Functional significance of stochastic TAD organization is unclear. | High-throughput single-cell techniques and computational modeling. | Medium |
| Homolog | Mechanisms of | Identification of pairing elements | High |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Pairing and Transvection | interchromosomal interactions are not fully understood. | and cell-type-specific studies. | |
| Lamina Interactions (LADs) | Role of nuclear lamina in genome organization is insufficiently characterized. | Imaging and perturbation of lamina components with multi-omics approaches. | Medium |
| Insulator-Mediated Long-Range Interactions | Specificity of long-range insulator interactions remains unclear. | Biochemical and genome-wide functional studies. | Medium |
| Chromatin Topology and Transcriptional Bursting | Integration of genome architecture with transcriptional dynamics is not well defined. | Combined imaging, transcription assays, and modeling of nuclear hubs. | Medium |

**Conclusion**

The aggregate of studies of the 3D genome organisation and chromosome architecture in Drosophila melanogaster indicates a complex and sophisticated view of how chromatin topology is defined, sustained, and functionally combined with gene regulation. The basis of this architecture is the topological association of domains (TADs), which are structural units of modularity in the genome that divide it into discrete interaction domains. In contrast to mammals, where the most common model of TAD formation is loop extrusion via cohesin and CTCF, the role of insulator proteins like BEAF-32, CP190, and Su(Hw) is dominant in the formation of Drosophila TAD boundaries, with a smaller role in the formation of mammal TAD boundaries ascribed to CTCF. These insulator proteins engage in complex and commonly orientation-dependent pairing interactions, which establish TAD boundary specificity and topology, such as stem-loop and circle-loop topologies, to form the local chromatin landscape and affect enhancer-promoter communication.

Recent advances of high-resolution chromatin conformation capture methods (Hi-C, Micro-C, single-cell Micro-C) with multiplexed imaging and computational modeling have illuminated that enhancer promoter interactions are dynamic, and may happen across TAD boundaries and frequently precede TAD formation and transcriptional activation. These initials, such as Zelda, are involved in establishing cis-regulatory modules and chromatin accessibility in early life, and provide the establishment of enhancer hubs and tethering factors to strengthen long-range regulatory specificity. However, transcriptional activation does not always occur through physical proximity and the interaction between chromatin topography and transcriptional machinery responsiveness is complex.

Epigenetic changes, especially those involving Polycomb group proteins and histone marks such as H3K27me3, can help create repressive chromatin loops and compartmentalization which strengthen gene silencing in Polycomb domains. However, the causal influence of these epigenetic states on the formation of TADs is minimal, indicating that physical chromatin domains can limit epigenetic spreading, but not be entirely determined by it. Also, phosphorylated histone variants and insulator-associated cofactors provide regulatory layers between chromatin architecture and genome stability and functional insulation.

The mechanism of transvection and interchromosomal gene regulation is facilitated by chromosome

pairing and homologous interactions, unique features of Drosophila, via specialized TADs called buttons, which depend on intact insulator pairing to position them and enable a functional interaction. These reactions are cell-type particular and are developmentally controlled indicating the dynamicity of the genome architecture in the context of development.

Although major steps have been made, there are still uncertainties on how to fully unravel the molecular determinants of specificity in boundary pairing, how insulator proteins can mediate long-range interactions, and how chromatin dynamics relates to transcriptional bursting and robustness of gene expression. Furthermore, the split of architectural approaches between Drosophila and mammals cautions against extrapolation of mechanistic models across species.

To conclude, the literature depicts the 3D genome organization in Drosophila melanogaster as a multi-layered, dynamic system in which insulator proteins, chromatin state, transcription factors, and architectural components interact to structure genome topology to exquisitely control gene expression and developmental programs. This synthesized knowledge demonstrates the significance of integrating experimental and computational methods to unravel the mystery of genome folding and its functional implications and point out major gaps that will inform future research in chromatin biology and genome architecture.

**References**

1. Abed, J. A., Erceg, J., Goloborodko, A., Nguyen, S. C., McCole, R. B., Saylor, W., Fudenberg, G., Lajoie, B. R., Dekker, J., Mirny, L. A., & Wu, C. (2018). Highly structured homolog pairing reflects functional organization of the drosophila genome. *bioRxiv*null,. https://doi.org/10.1101/443887
2. Ando-Kuri, M., Rivera, I. S. M., Rowley, M. J., & Corces, V. G. (2018). Analysis of chromatin interactions mediated by specific architectural proteins in drosophila cells. *Methods of Molecular Biology, 1766* null, 239-256. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-7768-0_14
3. Arzate-Mejía, R. G., Cerecedo-Castillo, A. J., Guerrero, G., Furlan-Magaril, M., & Recillas-Targa, F. (2019). In situ dissection of domain boundaries affect genome topology and gene transcription in drosophila. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/776542
4. Arzate-Mejía, R. G., Cerecedo-Castillo, A. J., Guerrero, G., Furlan-Magaril, M., & Recillas-Targa, F. (2020). In situ dissection of domain boundaries affect genome topology and gene transcription in drosophila.. *Nature Communications, 11* (1),. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-020-14651-Z
5. Balasubramanian, D., Pinto, P. B., Grasso, A., Vincent, S., Tarayre, H., Lajoignie, D., & Ghavi-Helm, Y. (2023). Enhancer-promoter interactions can form independently of genomic distance and be functional across tad boundaries. *Nucleic Acids Research*null,. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad1183
6. Batut, P., Bing, X. Y., Sisco, Z., Raimundo, J., Levo, M., & Levine, M. (2022). Genome organization controls transcriptional dynamics during development. *Science, 375* (6580), 566-570. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abi7178
7. Bhattacharya, M., Lyda, S. F., & Lei, E. P. (2024). Chromatin insulator mechanisms ensureaccurate gene expression by controlling overall 3d genome organization. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development, 87* null, 102208-102208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2024.102208
8. Bing, X., Ke, W., Fujioka, M., Kurbidaeva, A., Levitt, S., Levine, M., Schedl, P., & Jaynes, J. B. (2023). Chromosome structure i: Loop extrusion or boundary: Boundary pairing? *bioRxiv*null.

https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.11.17.567501

9. Bing, X., Ke, W., Fujioka, M., Kurbidaeva, A., Levitt, S., Levine, M., Schedl, P., & Jaynes, J. B. (2024). Author response: Chromosome structure i: Loop extrusion or boundary: Boundary pairing? https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.94070.2.sa4
10. Boettiger, A. N., Bintu, B., Moffitt, J. R., Wang, S., Beliveau, B. J., Fudenberg, G., Imakaev, M., Mirny, L. A., Wu, C., & Zhuang, X. (2016). Super-resolution imaging reveals distinct chromatin folding for different epigenetic states. *Nature, 529* (7586), 418-422. https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE16496
11. Brückner, D. B., Chen, H., Barinov, L., Zoller, B., & Gregor, T. (2023). Stochastic motion and transcriptional dynamics of pairs of distal dna loci on a compacted chromosome. *Science, 380* (6652), 1357-1362. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adf5568
12. Cattoni, D. I., Gizzi, A. M. C., Georgieva, M., Stefano, M. D., Stefano, M. D., Valeri, A., Chamousset, D., Houbron, C., Dejardin, S., Fiche, J., González, I., Chang, J., Sexton, T., Marti-Renom, M. A.,
13. Marti-Renom, M. A., Bantignies, F., Cavalli, G., & Nollmann, M. (2017). Single-cell absolute contact probability detection reveals chromosomes are organized by multiple low-frequency yet specific interactions. *Nature Communications, 8* (1), 1753-1753. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-017-01962-X
14. Cattoni, D. I., Gizzi, A. M. C., Georgieva, M., Stefano, M. D., Valeri, A., Chamousset, D., Houbron, C., Déjardin, S., Fiche, J., González, I., Chang, J., Sexton, T., Marti-Renom, M. A., Bantignies,
15. F., Cavalli, G., & Nollmann, M. (2017). Single-cell absolute contact probability detection reveals that chromosomes are organized by multiple, low-frequency yet specific
16. interactions. *bioRxiv*null,. https://doi.org/10.1101/159814
17. Cavalheiro, G. R., Girardot, C., Viales, R. R., Feng, S., Pollex, T., Cao, T. B. N., Lacour, P., Rabinowitz, A., & Furlong, E. E. M. (2022). Ctcf, beaf-32, and cp190 are not required for the establishment of tads in early drosophila embryos but have locus-specific roles. *Science Advances, 9* (5). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.ade1085
18. Cavalli, G., Denaud, S., Bardou, M., Papadopoulos, G., Grob, S., Stefano, M. D., Nollmann, M., & Schuettengruber, B. (2023). Pre loops constitute a topological chromatin structure that
19. restricts and specifies enhancer promoter communication. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3620990/v1
20. Chathoth, K. T., & Zabet, N. R. (2019). Chromatin architecture reorganization during neuronal cell differentiation in drosophila genome.. *Genome Research, 29* (4), 613-625. https://doi.org/10.1101/GR.246710.118
21. Chathoth, K. T., Mikheeva, L. A., Crevel, G., Wolfe, J. C., Hunter, I., Beckett-Doyle, S.,Cotterill, S., Dai, H., Harrison, A., & Zabet, N. R. (2022). The role of insulators and transcription in 3d chromatin organization of flies. *Genome Research, 32* (4), 682-698. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.275809.121
22. Chen, H., Fujioka, M., Jaynes, J. B., & Gregor, T. (2017). Direct visualization of transcriptional activation by physical enhancer-promoter proximity. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/099523
23. Chen, H., Levo, M., Barinov, L., Fujioka, M., Jaynes, J. B., Gregor, T., & Gregor, T. (2018). Dynamic interplay between enhancer–promoter topology and gene activity. *Nature Genetics, 50*

(9), 1296-1303. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41588-018-0175-Z
24. Chetverina, D. A., Fujioka, M., Erokhin, M. M., Georgiev, P., Jaynes, J. B., & Schedl, P. (2017).
25. Boundaries of loop domains (insulators): Determinants of chromosome form and function in multicellular eukaryotes.. *BioEssays, 39* (3), . https://doi.org/10.1002/BIES.201600233
26. Cheutin, T., & Cavalli, G. (2019). The multiscale effects of polycomb mechanisms on 3d
27. chromatin folding.. *Critical Reviews in Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 54* (5), 399-417. https://doi.org/10.1080/10409238.2019.1679082
28. Child, M., Bateman, J. R., Jahangiri, A., Reimer, A., Lammers, N. C., Sabouni, N., Villamarin, D.,McKenzie-Smith, G. C., Johnson, J. E., Jost, D., Jost, D., & Garcia, H. G. (2020). Live imaging and biophysical modeling support a button-based mechanism of somatic homolog pairing in drosophila. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.30.265108
29. Denaud, S., Bardou, M., Papadopoulos, G., Grob, S., Stefano, M. D., Sabarís, G., Nollmann, M., Schuettengruber, B., & Cavalli, G. (2024). A pre loop at the dac locus acts as a topological chromatin structure that restricts and specifies enhancer-promoter communication.
30. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*null, . https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-024-01375-7
31. Denaud, S., Sabarís, G., Stefano, M. D., Papadopoulos, G., Schuettengruber, B., & Cavalli, G.
32. (2025). Determining the functional relationship between epigenetic and physical chromatin domains in drosophila. *Genome Biology, 26* null. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-025- 03587-6
33. Deng, H., Jin, G., & Lim, B. (2022). Unveiling dynamic enhancer-promoter interactions in drosophila melanogaster.. *Biochemical Society Transactions*null, . https://doi.org/10.1042/BST20220325
34. Eagen, K. P., Aiden, E. L., & Kornberg, R. D. (2017). Polycomb-mediated chromatin loops revealed by a sub-kilobase resolution chromatin interaction map. *bioRxiv*null, .https://doi.org/10.1101/099804
35. Eagen, K. P., Aiden, E. L., Aiden, E. L., & Kornberg, R. D. (2017). Polycomb-mediated chromatin loops revealed by a subkilobase-resolution chromatin interaction map. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 114* (33), 8764-8769. https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.1701291114
36. El-Sharnouby, S., Fischer, B., Magbanua, J. P. V., Umans, B. D., Flower, R., Choo, S. W., Russell, S., & White, R. J. (2017). Regions of very low h3k27me3 partition the drosophila genome into topological domains. *PLOS ONE, 12* (3), . https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0172725
37. Erceg, J., Abed, J. A., Goloborodko, A., Lajoie, B. R., Fudenberg, G., Abdennur, N., Imakaev, M., McCole, R. B., Nguyen, S. C., Saylor, W., Joyce, E. F., Senaratne, T. N., Hannan, M. A., Nir, G.,
38. Dekker, J., Mirny, L. A., & Wu, C. (2018). The genome-wide, multi-layered architecture of chromosome pairing in early drosophila embryos. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/443028
39. Erceg, J., Abed, J. A., Goloborodko, A., Lajoie, B. R., Lajoie, B. R., Fudenberg, G., Fudenberg, G., Abdennur, N., Imakaev, M., McCole, R. B., Nguyen, S. C., Nguyen, S. C., Saylor, W., Joyce, E.
40. F., Joyce, E. F., Senaratne, T. N., Senaratne, T. N., Hannan, M. A., Nir, G., ... Wu, C. (2019). The genome-wide multi-layered architecture of chromosome pairing in early drosophila embryos. *Nature Communications, 10* (1), 4486-4486. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-019- 12211-8
41. Espínola, S. M., Götz, M., Bellec, M., Messina, O., Messina, O., Fiche, J., Houbron, C., Dejean, M., Reim, I., Gizzi, A. M. C., Lagha, M., & Nollmann, M. (2021). Cis-regulatory chromatin loops arise before tads and gene activation, and are independent of cell fate during early drosophila

development.. *Nature Genetics, 53* (4), 477-486. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41588-021-00816-Z

42. Espínola, S. M., Götz, M., Fiche, J., Bellec, M., Houbron, C., Gizzi, A. M. C., Lagha, M., & Nollmann,
43. M. (2020). Cis-regulatory chromatin loops arise before tads and gene activation, and are independent of cell fate during development. *bioRxiv*null, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.07.191015
44. Fujioka, M., Ke, W., & Schedl, P. (2024). The homie insulator has sub-elements with different insulating and long-range pairing properties. *bioRxiv*null,. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.02.01.578481
45. Fujioka, M., Ke, W., Schedl, P., & Jaynes, J. B. (2025). *homie* and *nhomie* insulators compete with each other for interaction with distant copies, affecting enhancer-promoter interactionsand *eve* function. https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.10.28.684994
46. Fujioka, M., Ke, W., Schedl, P., & Jaynes, J. B. (2025). The *homie* insulator has sub-elements with different insulating and long-range pairing properties. *Genetics*null, https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/iyaf032
47. Fujioka, M., Mistry, H., Schedl, P., Schedl, P., & Jaynes, J. B. (2016). Determinants of chromosome architecture: Insulator pairing in cis and in trans.. *PLOS Genetics, 12* (2), . ttps://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1005889
48. Furlong, E. E. M., & Levine, M. (2018). Developmental enhancers and chromosome topology.
49. *Science, 361* (6409), 1341-1345. https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIENCE.AAU0320 Ganesh, A. S., Orban, T. M., Raj, R., Fatzinger, P. I., Johnson, A., Riccard, S. M., Zhanaidarov, A., Inaba, M., & Erceg, J. (2025). The functional organization of chromosome territories in single nuclei during zygotic genome activation. *bioRxiv*null,. https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.04.06.647428
50. Ganesh, G., Fiche, J., Nöllmann, M., & Mozziconacci, J. (2025). Criticality-driven enhancer-promoter dynamics in drosophila chromosomes. https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.06.20.660702
51. Ganesh, G., Fiche, J., Nöllmann, M., & Mozziconacci, J. (2025). Criticality-driven enhancer-promoter dynamics in drosophila chromosomes. https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.108117
52. Ganesh, G., Fiche, J., Nöllmann, M., & Mozziconacci, J. (2025). Criticality-driven enhancer-promoter dynamics in drosophila chromosomes. https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.108117.1
53. Gavrilov, A. A., Shevelyov, Y. Y., Ulianov, S. V., Khrameeva, E., Kos, P., Chertovich, A. V., & Razin,
54. S. V. (2016). Unraveling the mechanisms of chromatin fibril packaging. *Nucleus, 7* (3), 319-324. https://doi.org/10.1080/19491034.2016.1190896
55. Ghavi-Helm, Y., Jankowski, A., Meiers, S., Viales, R. R., Korbel, J. O., & Furlong, E. E. M. (2019).
56. Highly rearranged chromosomes reveal uncoupling between genome topology and gene expression. *Nature Genetics, 51* (8), 1272-1282. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41588-019-0462-3
57. Ghavi-Helm, Y., Klein, F. A., Pakozdi, T., Ciglar, L., Noordermeer, D., Huber, W., & Furlong, E. E. M. (2014). Enhancer loops appear stable during development and are associated with paused polymerase. *Nature, 512* (7512), 96-100. https://doi.org/10.1038/NATURE13417
58. Gizzi, A. M. C., Cattoni, D. I., Cattoni, D. I., Fiche, J., Espínola, S. M., Gurgo, J., Messina, O., Houbron, C., Ogiyama, Y., Papadopoulos, G. L., Cavalli, G., Lagha, M., & Nollmann, M. (2018).

Microscopy-based chromosome conformation capture enables simultaneous
59. visualization of genome organization and transcription in intact organisms. *Social Science Research Network*null, . https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.3265728
60. Gizzi, A. M. C., Cattoni, D. I., Fiche, J., Espínola, S. M., Gurgo, J., Messina, O., Houbron, C., Ogiyama, Y., Papadopoulos, G. L., Cavalli, G., Lagha, M., & Nollmann, M. (2018).
61. Microscopy-based chromosome conformation capture enables simultaneous visualization of genome organization and transcription in intact organisms. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/434266
62. Götz, M., Messina, O., Espínola, S. M., Fiche, J., & Nollmann, M. (2022). Multiple parameters shape the 3d chromatin structure of single nuclei at the doc locus in drosophila. *Nature Communications, 13* (1), . https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32973-y
63. Herman, N., Kadener, S., & Shifman, S. (2021). The chromatin factor row cooperates with beaf- 32 in regulating long-range genes. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.03.08.434270
64. Hug, C. B., & Vaquerizas, J. M. (2018). Generation of genome-wide chromatin conformation capture libraries from tightly staged early drosophila embryos.. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*(140), . https://doi.org/10.3791/57001
65. Hunt, G., & Mannervik, M. (2024). Enhancer-promoter communication in *drosophila* developmental gene transcription. *The International Journal of Developmental Biology*null, . https://doi.org/10.1387/ijdb.230218gh
66. Kahn, T. G., Savitsky, M., Kuong, C. L., Jacquer, C., Cavalli, G., Chang, J., & Schwartz, Y. B. (2022). Topological screen identifies hundreds of cp190- and ctcf-dependent drosophila chromatin insulator elements. *Science Advances, 9* (5), . https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.ade0090
67. Kaushal, A., Dorier, J., Wang, B., Mohana, G. K., Taschner, M., Cousin, P., Waridel, P., Iseli, C.,
68. Semenova, A., Restrepo, S., Guex, N., Aiden, E. L., & Gambetta, M. C. (2022). Essential role of cp190 in physical and regulatory boundary formation. *Science Advances, 8* (19), . https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abl8834
69. Ke, W., Fujioka, M., Schedl, P., & Jaynes, J. B. (2024). Chromosome structure ii: Stem-loops and circle-loops. https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.94114.2
70. Khrameeva, E., Ulyanov, S. V., Gavrilov, A. A., Shevelyov, Y. Y., Gelfand, M. S., & Razin, S. V. (2015). 20 active chromatin regions are sufficient to define borders of topologically associated domains in d. Melanogaster interphase chromosomes. *Journal of Biomolecular Structure & Dynamics, 33* null, 11-12. https://doi.org/10.1080/07391102.2015.1032560
71. Kyrchanova, O., Sokolov, V., & Georgiev, P. (2023). Mechanisms of interaction between enhancers and promoters in three drosophila model systems. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 24* (3), 2855-2855. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24032855
72. Lee, Y. C. G., Ogiyama, Y., Martins, N., Beliveau, B. J., Acevedo, D., Wu, C., Cavalli, G., & Karpen, G. H. (2019). Pericentromeric heterochromatin is hierarchically organized and spatially contacts h3k9me2/3 islands located in euchromatic genome. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/525873
73. Levo, M., Raimundo, J., Bing, X. Y., Sisco, Z., Batut, P., Ryabichko, S. S., Gregor, T., & Levine, M. (2022). Transcriptional coupling of distant regulatory genes in living embryos. *Visual education, 605* (7911), 754-760. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04680-7
74. Lhoumaud, P., Hennion, M., Gamot, A., Cuddapah, S., Queille, S., Liang, J., Micas, G., Morillon,

P.,
75. Urbach, S., Bouchez, O., Severac, D., Emberly, E., Zhao, K., & Cuvier, O. (2014). Insulators recruit histone methyltransferase dmes4 to regulate chromatin of flanking genes. *The EMBO Journal, 33* (14), 1599-1613. https://doi.org/10.15252/EMBJ.201385965
76. Li, H., Müller, M., Bahechar, I. A., Kyrchanova, O., Ohno, K., Georgiev, P., Pirrotta, V., & Pirrotta, V. (2011). Insulators, not polycomb response elements, are required for long-range
77. interactions between polycomb targets in drosophila melanogaster. *Molecular and Cellular Biology, 31* (4), 616-625. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00849-10
78. Li, M., Ma, Z., Liu, J. K., Roy, S., Patel, S. K., Lane, D. C., & Cai, H. N. (2015). An organizational hub of developmentally regulated chromatin loops in the drosophila antennapedia complex.
79. *Molecular and Cellular Biology, 35* (23), 4018-4029. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00663-15
80. Li, M., Ma, Z., Liu, J. K., Roy, S., Patel, S. K., Lane, D. C., & Cai, H. N. (2015). An organizational hub of developmentally regulated chromatin loops in the drosophila.
81. Li, X., & Levine, M. (2024). What are tethering elements?. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development, 84* null, 102151-102151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2023.102151
82. Liao, Y., Zhang, X., Chakraborty, M., & Emerson, J. J. (2020). Topologically associating domains and their role in the evolution of genome structure and function in drosophila. *bioRxiv*null,
83. . https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.13.094516
84. Liao, Y., Zhang, X., Chakraborty, M., & Emerson, J. J. (2021). Topologically associating domains and their role in the evolution of genome structure and function in drosophila.. *Genome Research, 31* (3), 397-410. https://doi.org/10.1101/GR.266130.120
85. Liu, J. (2008). Dynamic interaction between chromatin boundaries in thedrosophila homeotic gene complexes.
86. Ma, Z., Li, M., Roy, S., Liu, K. J., Romine, M. L., Lane, D. C., Patel, S. K., & Cai, H. N. (2016).
87. Chromatin boundary elements organize genomic architecture and developmental generegulation in drosophila hox clusters.. *World Journal of Biological Chemistry, 7* (3), 223-230. https://doi.org/10.4331/WJBC.V7.I3.223
88. Mateo, L. J., Murphy, S. E., Hafner, A., Cinquini, I. S., Walker, C. A., & Boettiger, A. N. (2019).
89. Visualizing dna folding and rna in embryos at single-cell resolution. *Nature, 568* (7750), 49-54. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41586-019-1035-4
90. Matthews, N. E., & White, R. S. (2019). Chromatin architecture in the fly: Living without
91. ctcf/cohesin loop extrusion?: Alternating chromatin states provide a basis for domain architecture in drosophila.. *BioEssays, 41* (9), . https://doi.org/10.1002/BIES.201900048
92. Melnikova, L. S., Georgiev, P., & Golovnin, A. (2020). The functions and mechanisms of action of insulators in the genomes of higher eukaryotes.. *Acta Naturae, 12* (4), 15-33. https://doi.org/10.32607/ACTANATURAE.11144
93. Messina, O., Raynal, F., Gurgo, J., Fiche, J., Pancaldi, V., & Nollmann, M. (2022). 3d chromatin
94. interactions involving drosophila insulators are infrequent but preferential and arise before tads and transcription. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.12.520036
95. Messina, O., Raynal, F., Gurgo, J., Fiche, J., Pancaldi, V., & Nollmann, M. (2023). 3d chromatin
96. interactions involving drosophila insulators are infrequent but preferential and arise before tads and transcription. *Nature Communications, 14* (1), . https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-42485-y
97. Michieletto, D., Marenduzzo, D., & Wani, A. H. (2016). Chromosome-wide simulations uncover

folding pathway and 3d organization of interphase chromosomes. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/048116

98. Mir, M., Stadler, M., Ortiz, S. A., Harrison, M., Darzacq, X., & Eisen, M. B. (2018). Dynamic multifactor hubs interact transiently with sites of active transcription in drosophila embryos. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/377812
99. Moretti, C., Stévant, I., & Ghavi-Helm, Y. (2020). 3d genome organisation in drosophila..
100. *Briefings in Functional Genomics, 19* (2), 92-100. https://doi.org/10.1093/BFGP/ELZ029
101. Multiple parameters shape the 3d chromatin structure of single nuclei. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.16.476319
102. Pinto, P. A. B., Grasso, A., Balasubramanian, D., Vincent, S., Tarayre, H., Lajoignie, D., & Ghavi-Helm, Y. (2022). Enhancer-promoter interactions form independently of genomic distance and are functional across tad boundaries. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.08.29.505755
103. Pokholkova, G. V., Demakov, S. A., Andreenkov, O. V., Andreenkova, N. G., Volkova, E. I.,
104. Belyaeva, E. S., & Zhimulev, I. F. (2018). Tethering of chromator and dctcf proteins results in decompaction of condensed bands in the drosophila melanogaster polytene
105. chromosomes but does not affect their transcription and replication timing.. *PLOS ONE, 13*
106. (4), . https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0192634
107. Pollex, T., Marco-Ferreres, R., Ciglar, L., Ghavi-Helm, Y., Rabinowitz, A., Viales, R. R., Schaub, C., Jankowski, A., Girardot, C., & Furlong, E. E. M. (2023). Chromatin gene-gene loops support the cross-regulation of genes with related function.. *Molecules and Cells*null, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2023.12.023
108. Pollex, T., Rabinowitz, A., Gambetta, M. C., Marco-Ferreres, R., Viales, R. R., Jankowski, A.,
109. Schaub, C. D., & Furlong, E. E. M. (2022). New enhancer-promoter interactions are gained during tissue differentiation and reflect changes in e/p activity. *bioRxiv*null,. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.12.07.519443
110. Pollex, T., Rabinowitz, A., Gambetta, M. C., Marco-Ferreres, R., Viales, R. R., Jankowski, A., Schaub, C., & Furlong, E. E. M. (2024). Enhancer-promoter interactions become more
111. instructive in the transition from cell-fate specification to tissue differentiation.. *Nature Genetics*null, . https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-024-01678-x
112. Ramírez, F., Bhardwaj, V., Villaveces, J. M., Arrigoni, L., Grüning, B., Lam, K. C., Habermann, B.,
113. Akhtar, A., & Manke, T. (2017). High-resolution tads reveal dna sequences underlying genome organization in flies. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/115063
114. Renschler, G., Renschler, G., Richard, G., Richard, G., Valsecchi, C. I. K., Toscano, S., Arrigoni, L.,
115. Ramírez, F., & Akhtar, A. (2019). Hi-c guided assemblies reveal conserved regulatory topologies on x and autosomes despite extensive genome shuffling. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/580969
116. Rob, W., Ne, M., & R., W. (2019). Chromatin architecture in the fly: Living without ctcf/cohesin loop extrusion?. https://doi.org/10.17863/cam.40390
117. Roy, S., Ernst, J., Kharchenko, P. V., Kheradpour, P., Nègre, N., Eaton, M. L., Landolin, J. M., Bristow, C. A., Ma, L., Lin, M. F., Washietl, S., Arshinoff, B. I., Ay, F., Meyer, P. E., Robine, N., Washington, N. L., Stefano, L. D., Berezikov, E., Brown, C. D., ... Zieba, J. (2010). Identification

of functional elements and regulatory circuits by drosophila modencode. *PubMed Central*null, .
118. Saha, P., Saha, P., Sowpati, D. T., Srivastava, I., Mishra, R., & Mishra, R. (2019). Interplay of pericentromeric genome organization and chromatin landscape regulates the expression of drosophila melanogaster heterochromatic genes. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/534065
119. Savitskaya, E., Melnikova, L., Kostuchenko, M., Kravchenko, E., Pomerantseva, E., Boikova, T., Chetverina, D. A., Parshikov, A., Zobacheva, P., Gracheva, E. M., Galkin, A. V., & Georgiev, P. (2006). Study of long-distance functional interactions between su(hw) insulators that can regulate enhancer-promoter communication in drosophila melanogaster. *Molecular and Cellular Biology, 26* (3), 754-761. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.26.3.754-761.2006
120. Schwartz, Y. B., & Cavalli, G. (2017). Three-dimensional genome organization and function in drosophila.. *Genetics, 205* (1), 5-24. https://doi.org/10.1534/GENETICS.115.185132
121. Schwartz, Y. B., & Lou, Z. (2022). Topological screen identifies hundreds of cp190 and ctcf dependent *drosophila* chromatin insulator elements. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.18.500462
122. Sharakhov, I. V., & Gonon, F. (2022). Strong interactions between highly dynamic lamina-
123. associated domains and the nuclear envelope stabilize the 3d architecture of drosophila interphase chromatin. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.01.28.478236
124. Shrestha, S., Oh, D. H., McKowen, J. K., Dassanayake, M., & Hart, C. M. (2018). 4c-seq characterization of drosophila beaf binding regions provides evidence for highly variable long-distance interactions between active chromatin.. *PLOS ONE, 13* (9), . https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0203843
125. Simmons, J. R., An, R., Amankwaa, B., Zayac, S., Kemp, J., & Labrador, M. (2021). A phosphorylated histone h2a variant displays properties of chromatin insulator proteins in drosophila. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.23.432395
126. Simmons, J. R., An, R., Amankwaa, B., Zayac, S., Kemp, J., & Labrador, M. (2022). Phosphorylated histone variant γh2av is associated with chromatin insulators in drosophila. *PLOS Genetics, 18* (10), e1010396-e1010396. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010396
127. Simonov, R. A., Olenkina, O. M., Nenasheva, V. V., Abramov, Y., Лавров, С. А., Fedotova, A., & Shevelyov, Y. Y. (2025). Binding of transcriptional activator to silent loci causes their detachment from the nuclear lamina in drosophila neurons and salivary gland cells. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 26* (12), 5793-5793. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms26125793
128. Stadler, M., Haines, J. E., & Eisen, M. B. (2017). Convergence of topological domain boundaries, insulators, and polytene interbands revealed by high-resolution mapping of chromatin contacts in the early drosophila melanogaster embryo. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/149344
129. Stadler, M., Haines, J. E., Eisen, M. B., & Eisen, M. B. (2017). Convergence of topological domain boundaries, insulators, and polytene interbands revealed by high-resolution mapping of chromatin contacts in the early drosophila melanogaster embryo. *eLife, 6* null, . https://doi.org/10.7554/ELIFE.29550
130. Sultana, H., Kunar, R., & Matera, A. G. (2025). Chromatin insulators in gene regulation and 3d genome organization. *Biochemical Society Transactions, 53* (5), 1387-1399. https://doi.org/10.1042/bst20253036
131. Sun, Q., Perez-Rathke, A., Czajkowsky, D. M., Shao, Z., & Liang, J. (2019). High-resolution single- cell models of ensemble chromatin structures during drosophila embryogenesis from
132. population hi-c. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/854257

133. Sun, Q., Perez-Rathke, A., Czajkowsky, D. M., Shao, Z., & Liang, J. (2020). High-resolution single- cell 3d-models of chromatin ensembles during drosophila embryogenesis. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/854257
134. Sun, Q., Perez-Rathke, A., Czajkowsky, D. M., Shao, Z., & Liang, J. (2021). High-resolution single- cell 3d-models of chromatin ensembles during drosophila embryogenesis.. *Nature Communications, 12* (1), 205-205. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-020-20490-9
135. Szabo, Q., Jost, D., Chang, J., Cattoni, D. I., Papadopoulos, G. L., Bonev, B. B., Sexton, T., Gurgo, J., Jacquier, C., Nollmann, M., Bantignies, F., & Cavalli, G. (2018). Tads are 3d structural units of higher-order chromosome organization in drosophila. *Science Advances, 4* (2), https://doi.org/10.1126/SCIADV.AAR8082
136. Tang, X., Wang, Y., Yue, S., Xu, X., Hu, P., Xu, J., Li, Y., Wang, J., Tao, H., Zheng, Y., Lin, L., Wang, R., Sun, Y., Ren, C., Bo, X., Li, H., Chen, H., & Lu, M. (2025). The hierarchical folding dynamics of topologically associating domains during early embryo development. *BMC Biology, 23* null, . https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-025-02259-y
137. Tolhuis, B., Blom, M., Kerkhoven, R. M., Pagie, L., Teunissen, H., Nieuwland, M., Simonis, M., Laat, W. D., Lohuizen, M. V., & Steensel, B. V. (2011). Interactions among polycomb domains are guided by chromosome architecture. *PLOS Genetics, 7* (3), . https://doi.org/10.1371/JOURNAL.PGEN.1001343
138. Tolokh, I. S., Kinney, N. A., Sharakhov, I. V., & Onufriev, A. V. (2022). Strong interactions between highly dynamic lamina-associated domains and the nuclear envelope stabilize the 3d
139. architecture of drosophila interphase chromatin. *Epigenetics & Chromatin, 16* (1), . https://doi.org/10.1186/s13072-023-00492-9
140. Trotter, J. W. (2022). Ctcf, beaf-32 and cp190 are not required for the initial establishment of tads in early *drosophila* embryos, but have locus specific roles. https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.27.501678
141. Ulianov, S. V., Khrameeva, E., Gavrilov, A. A., Flyamer, I. M., Kos, P., Mikhaleva, E. A., Penin, A. A., Logacheva, M. D., Logacheva, M. D., Imakaev, M., Chertovich, A. V., Gelfand, M. S.,
142. Shevelyov, Y. Y., & Razin, S. V. (2016). Active chromatin and transcription play a key role in chromosome partitioning into topologically associating domains. *Genome Research, 26* (1), 70-84. https://doi.org/10.1101/GR.196006.115
143. Ulianov, S. V., Ulianov, S. V., Doronin, S. A., Khrameeva, E., Khrameeva, E., Kos, P., Luzhin, A. V., Starikov, S. S., Galitsyna, A. A., Nenasheva, V. V., Ilyin, A. A., Flyamer, I. M., Mikhaleva, E. A., Logacheva, M. D., Logacheva, M. D., Logacheva, M. D., Gelfand, M. S., Gelfand, M. S.,
144. Gelfand, M. S., ... Shevelyov, Y. Y. (2019). Nuclear lamina integrity is required for proper spatial organization of chromatin in drosophila. *Nature Communications, 10* (1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-019-09185-Y
145. Ulianov, S. V., Ulianov, S. V., Zakharova, V. V., Zakharova, V. V., Zakharova, V. V., Galitsyna, A. A., Kos, P., Polovnikov, K., Polovnikov, K., Flyamer, I. M., Mikhaleva, E. A., Khrameeva, E.,
146. Germini, D., Logacheva, M. D., Gavrilov, A. A., Gorsky, A., Nechaev, S. K., Nechaev, S. K., Gelfand, M. S., ... Razin, S. V. (2021). Order and stochasticity in the folding of individual drosophila genomes. *Nature Communications, 12* (1), 41-41. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-020-20292-Z

147. Varisco, M., Cavalheiro, G. R., Viales, R. R., Schaub, C., Girardot, C., Forneris, M., Comeault, A. A., & Furlong, E. E. M. (2025). Heterotypic directional motifs contribute to tad boundary function in drosophila. https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.09.06.674533
148. Vi, M. B. C., Bateman, J. R., Jahangiri, A., Reimer, A., Lammers, N. C., Sabouni, N., Villamarin, D.,
149. McKenzie-Smith, G. C., Johnson, J. E., Jost, D., Jost, D., & Garcia, H. G. (2021). Live imaging and biophysical modeling support a button-based mechanism of somatic homolog pairing in drosophila. *eLife*, *10* null, . https://doi.org/10.7554/ELIFE.64412
150. Viets, K., Sauria, M. E., Chernoff, C., Anderson, C., Tran, S., Dove, A., Goyal, R., Voortman, L., Gordus, A., Taylor, J., & Johnston, R. J. (2018). Tads pair homologous chromosomes to promote interchromosomal gene regulation. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/445627
151. Viets, K., Sauria, M. E., Chernoff, C., Anderson, C., Tran, S., Dove, A., Goyal, R., Voortman, L., Gordus, A., Taylor, J., & Johnston, R. J. (2018). Tads pair homologous chromosomes to promote interchromosomal gene regulation. *Social Science Research Network*null, . https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.3275293
152. Vogelmann, J., Gall, A. L., Dejardin, S., Allemand, F., Gamot, A., Labesse, G., Cuvier, O., Nègre, N.,
153. Cohen-Gonsaud, M., Margeat, E., & Nöllmann, M. (2014). Chromatin insulator factors involved in long-range dna interactions and their role in the folding of the drosophila genome. *PLOS Genetics*, *10* null, . https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004544
154. Wang, Q., Sun, Q., Czajkowsky, D. M., & Shao, Z. (2017). Sub-kb resolution hi-c in d. Melanogaster reveals conserved characteristics of tads between insect and mammalian cells. *bioRxiv*null, . https://doi.org/10.1101/164467
155. Wang, Q., Sun, Q., Czajkowsky, D. M., & Shao, Z. (2018). Sub-kb hi-c in d . Melanogaster reveals conserved characteristics of tads between insect and mammalian cells. *Nature Communications*, *9* (1), 188-188. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41467-017-02526-9
156. Wu, H., Zhang, J., Tan, L., & Xie, X. S. (2025). Single-cell micro-c profiles 3d genome structures at high resolution and characterizes multi-enhancer hubs.. *Nature Genetics*null, . https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-025-02247-6
157. Yamashita, Y. (2024). Elife assessment: Chromosome structure ii: Stem-loops and circle-loops. https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.94114.2.sa2
158. Zhang, S. (2011). Endogenous gypsy insulators mediate higher order chromatin organization and repress gene expression in drosophila.