

The Weight of Expectations: India's Youth Speak: A Case for Reforming the Competitive Examination System

Alok Raj

Law Student (Second Year), Lloyd Law College, Greater Noida, India
Parliamentary Intern to an Honourable Member of Parliament

Abstract:

Every year, millions of young Indians dedicate the most productive years of their lives to preparing for high-stakes government recruitment and entrance examinations such as the UPSC Civil Services Examination, the Staff Selection Commission (SSC) tests, State Public Service Commission exams, Railway recruitment, and national eligibility tests. The reward structure is extreme: for flagship examinations, fewer than one in five hundred applicants succeed, while the overwhelming majority endure repeated failure across many years. This paper argues that the crisis is not one of individual merit but of systemic design. It examines four interlocking failures—the vanishingly low success ratio and the commercialised coaching economy it sustains; recurrent paper leaks and administrative negligence that void the examinations of credibility; the severe mental-health toll, including a documented rise in student suicides; and the colonial vintage of an examination philosophy built to recruit administrators for the 1850s rather than the twenty-first century. Drawing on government data, peer-reviewed research, and recent policy interventions such as the Public Examinations (Prevention of Unfair Means) Act, 2024, the paper proposes a multi-layered reform agenda spanning legal enforcement, examination technology, structural diversification of entry into public service, a pedagogical shift from rote memorisation to analytical competence, regulation of the coaching industry, and institutionalised mental-health support. The objective is a leak-proof, humane, and efficient recruitment ecosystem consistent with India's aspiration of becoming a developed nation by 2047.

Keywords: competitive examinations; UPSC; SSC; paper leaks; examination reform; student mental health; coaching industry; public service recruitment; India.

1. Introduction

Sohan, a disciplined and hardworking young man, began preparing for the SSC examination in his early twenties with a promising rank. Four years later, he still has not cleared it. Seema, an outstanding student throughout school and college, was preparing for the UPSC Civil Services Examination; when the Preliminary results were announced, the outcome broke her confidence so completely that she chose to walk away. These are not isolated misfortunes. They stand in for the lived experience of millions of aspirants who, seated for hours at a small desk, surrender their youth in exchange for the hope of a stable government job.

For a large section of Indian youth, a government post is far more than employment. It is a cultural aspiration, a marker of social standing, and—in a labour market with limited formal-sector security—often the only perceived route to dignity and stability [18]. Yet the path is punishing. A single technical glitch attributed to administrative negligence, or a sudden paper leak born of corruption, can extinguish years of preparation overnight. The aftermath is a familiar tragedy: another year of financial dependence on the family, a slide into stress and depression, and the quiet abandonment of ambition—one aspirant becoming a salesman in a father's shop, another marrying and letting the dream dissolve.

This paper contends that such outcomes are the predictable product of a defective system rather than of deficient candidates. It maps the anatomy of the crisis across four dimensions—the structural mismatch between aspirants and opportunities, the epidemic of examination malpractice, the human cost in mental health, and the colonial inheritance of the examination itself—and then advances a concrete, evidence-informed reform agenda. The central question it poses is a moral one: is a nation that prides itself on its demographic dividend doing justice to the very young people who constitute it?

2. The Scale of the Crisis: Aspirants, Odds, and the Coaching Economy

The arithmetic of India's flagship examinations is unforgiving. For the UPSC Civil Services Examination, roughly one million candidates typically register for on the order of a thousand vacancies, so the success rate frequently sits below 0.2 per cent [20]. In 2022, of about 11.5 lakh candidates who applied, only around 13,000 cleared the Preliminary stage [11]. In popular terms, an aspirant's statistical chance of ultimate success is a fraction of a percentage point, while failure is very nearly certain—a reality that recasts individual "failure" as a designed feature of a funnel with almost no exits.

This structural imbalance has spawned a vast parallel education economy. Estimates place India's coaching sector at approximately ₹50,000–58,000 crore in 2024, with projections of continued rapid growth over the decade [18]. Market analyses value the broader coaching-institutes segment in the multiple-billion-dollar range and expect it to more than double by the mid-2030s [19]. Aspirants and their families routinely invest years of savings—often up to a few lakh rupees—in fees, study material, and mock-test series [18]. A study of Kota, a coaching hub, found that a large majority of aspirants spend six to eight hours a day in coaching classes [9], a regime that leaves little room for rest, reflection, or a fallback plan.

The consequences of this design are threefold. First, the coaching industry has strong commercial incentives to keep aspirants in the funnel for as long as possible, marketing perpetual hope while the base rate of success barely moves. Second, because quality coaching is expensive, the system quietly advantages candidates from affluent backgrounds, undermining the meritocratic premise it claims to embody [21]. Third, the model concentrates enormous psychological and financial risk on individuals who have little control over the systemic failures—glitches, cancellations, and leaks—that ultimately determine outcomes.

3. Systemic Failures: Paper Leaks and Administrative Negligence

If the odds are punishing, the integrity failures are corrosive. An investigation reported at least 48 instances of paper leaks across 16 states over a five-year period, disrupting recruitment processes that touched roughly 1.5 crore applicants for about 1.2 lakh posts [2]. High-profile episodes include the cancellation of the UGC-NET examination in June 2024—held one day and annulled the next after the paper was reported to have circulated on the dark net—and the controversies surrounding NEET-UG in the same season [5].

Such events do more than delay a calendar; they void years of preparation and shatter the presumption of fairness on which the entire system rests.

The recurrence of leaks reflects exploitable weak points across the examination chain: physical handling of question papers, dependence on outsourced agencies and private centres, weak candidate authentication, and organised cheating syndicates that use impersonation and electronic devices [22]. When outcomes can be determined by corruption rather than competence, the examination ceases to be a test of merit and becomes a lottery overlaid on a marketplace—precisely the “random result” that keeps aspirants engaged year after year while eroding public trust in the institutions that conduct these tests.

4. The Human Cost: Stress, Depression, and Student Suicides

The systemic pressures translate directly into a mental-health emergency. National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB) data show that student suicides rose sharply over the past decade—from roughly 8,000 in 2014 to over 14,000 in 2024—with more than 1.2 lakh students dying by suicide over the eleven-year span, and “failure in examination” recurring among the leading recorded causes [13][14]. Across all age groups, thousands of deaths each year are attributed specifically to examination failure [12][14]. Analyses of the NCRB series note that suicide clusters have been reported in cities famous for their coaching institutes, underscoring the link between high-stakes preparation environments and psychological distress [12].

Peer-reviewed research on coaching hubs reinforces the picture. Studies of Kota report that a substantial share of coaching aspirants experience high academic stress, with a large minority exhibiting symptoms of anxiety and depression, driven by parental expectations, peer comparison, social isolation, and economic strain [10]. The transition period after failing a major examination is especially dangerous, combining sunk-cost grief, renewed financial dependence, and a socially reinforced fear of “wasting” one’s years [10][11]. In response, the government launched the National Suicide Prevention Strategy in 2022 and expanded student-support mechanisms such as the Manodarpan helpline [13]—necessary but insufficient measures against a stressor that is structural in origin.

5. A Colonial Inheritance: Is the Nineteenth-Century Model Still Fit for Purpose?

A deeper question concerns the examination’s intellectual lineage. The competitive-examination route into the Indian bureaucracy traces to the Charter Act of 1853 and Lord Macaulay’s 1854 report, which recommended replacing the East India Company’s patronage with merit-based recruitment; a Civil Service Commission was established in London in 1854 and the first competitive examination held in 1855 [15]. In its early decades the examination was conducted only in London, with a syllabus weighted toward European classics and an age window that made it nearly impossible for most Indians to compete—Satyendranath Tagore becoming the first Indian to qualify only in 1864 [17]. The system was, by design, an instrument for selecting a small administrative elite to govern a colonised population.

Scholarship on the diffusion of meritocratic recruitment situates the Indian Civil Service within a broader nineteenth-century movement toward open examinations, but the essential point for reform is this: the model was optimised to identify generalist administrators for the governance needs of the 1850s and 1890s [16]. Its emphasis on endurance, breadth of factual recall, and the ability to survive an attritional multi-year contest reflects that origin. Whether such a design remains the best way to staff a modern state—one that requires domain specialists, data literacy, and adaptive problem-solving in an age of artificial intelligence—is a legitimate and pressing question. Keeping capable young people engaged for seven or eight years merely to qualify represents an enormous and largely invisible national loss of human capital.

6. Recent Policy Responses

Policymakers have begun to respond. The Public Examinations (Prevention of Unfair Means) Act, 2024, which received presidential assent in February 2024 and came into force on 21 June 2024, provides India's first dedicated national legal framework against organised cheating [1][4]. It applies to examinations conducted by bodies including the UPSC, SSC, Railway Recruitment Boards, IBPS, and the National Testing Agency, and criminalises leaks, impersonation, and syndicated malpractice [3]. Several states enacted parallel statutes—for example, ordinances and bills in Uttar Pradesh and Bihar prescribing severe penalties, in some cases up to life imprisonment and fines of the order of ₹1 crore [7][8]. Subsequent proposals sought to strengthen the central law further, including stiffer maximum penalties and dedicated fast-track courts [6].

On the administrative side, the High-Level Committee of Experts chaired by Dr K. Radhakrishnan, constituted in June 2024 after the UGC-NET cancellation, submitted a report in October 2024 with 101 recommendations across 46 action points—spanning examination-security technology, restructuring and permanent staffing of the National Testing Agency, reduced dependence on outsourced agencies, hybrid and multi-stage testing for large candidate pools, and student welfare [22][23][24]. These interventions are welcome, but they are largely reactive and centred on plugging leaks. A durable solution must also address the deeper structural, pedagogical, and human dimensions of the crisis.

7. Suggested Reforms: A Multi-Layered Agenda

Building on the original proposals—a strong Public Examination Act, technology at every step, multiple entry points into the bureaucracy, a shift from rote learning to conceptual and analytical assessment, and regulation of the social-media glorification of “toppers”—the following expanded agenda organises reforms into six mutually reinforcing pillars.

7.1 Legal and Institutional Integrity

- Enforce the Public Examinations (Prevention of Unfair Means) Act, 2024 rigorously, with dedicated fast-track courts, time-bound investigations, and proportionate penalties for organised syndicates rather than only low-level operatives [1][6].
- Establish an independent, professionally staffed examination-integrity body (or substantially strengthen the NTA) with permanent, specialised personnel instead of heavy reliance on contractual and outsourced agencies [22][24].
- Mandate public post-incident audits and a standardised, legally guaranteed compensation-and-re-examination protocol so that aspirants harmed by a leak or glitch are not left to absorb the loss alone.

7.2 Technology and Examination Security

- Deploy end-to-end secure examination technology—biometric and Aadhaar-linked candidate authentication, AI-assisted proctoring, encrypted question-paper transmission, and audit trails—to close the physical handling points where leaks originate [22].
- Adopt secure hybrid or computer-based delivery for large populations where feasible, alongside safeguards such as randomised question banks and multiple parallel forms with statistical normalisation [22][24].
- Reduce dependence on private third-party centres by expanding government-run, monitored examination venues [24].

7.3 Structural Diversification of Entry

- Create multiple, credible pathways into public service—including specialist and lateral-entry streams, sectoral recruitment, and internships-to-service tracks—so that a single high-stakes examination no longer functions as the only gateway.
- Rationalise age limits and the number of permitted attempts, and publish a firm, predictable annual examination calendar with guaranteed result timelines to end the open-ended multi-year limbo that traps aspirants.
- Fill vacancies promptly and transparently; chronic delays in recruitment inflate the aspirant backlog and intensify competition.

7.4 Pedagogical Reform: From Rote Recall to Analytical Competence

- Reorient assessment toward conceptual clarity, reasoning, ethics, and job-relevant aptitude rather than the memorisation of vast factual material of limited administrative value.
- Align the syllabus and testing method with the actual competencies a modern civil servant needs—data literacy, public-policy analysis, communication, and problem-solving—reducing the advantage conferred by expensive rote-drilling coaching.

7.5 Regulation of the Coaching Ecosystem

- Regulate coaching institutes for fee transparency, truthful advertising (including honest disclosure of success rates), safety standards, and a ban on misleading “guaranteed selection” marketing [21].
- Strengthen free and high-quality public preparation resources—state-supported coaching, open digital content, and libraries—to level the playing field for economically disadvantaged aspirants [19][21].
- Discourage the cultural over-glorification of toppers in media and social media, which manufactures unrealistic societal pressure and distorts young people’s life choices.

7.6 Mental-Health and Aspirant Welfare

- Institutionalise counselling, screening, and crisis support in coaching hubs and among registered aspirants, building on mechanisms such as Manodarpan and the National Suicide Prevention Strategy [13].
- Normalise “off-ramps” and second chances—skill-bridging programmes, credit for preparation, and career-transition support—so that not clearing an examination is not experienced as the end of a life path [11].
- Run public-awareness campaigns that reframe examination failure as a systemic-odds outcome rather than a personal or familial disgrace.

8. Conclusion

India’s competitive-examination system is crushing the aspirations of millions not because its aspirants lack diligence, but because the system itself is misaligned with the scale, integrity, humanity, and modernity a twenty-first-century nation requires. A funnel that admits fewer than one in five hundred, that can be voided overnight by a leak, that extracts years of youth and savings, and that rests on a philosophy designed to recruit colonial administrators is overdue for a comprehensive overhaul. The reforms proposed here—rigorous legal enforcement, secure examination technology, diversified and time-bound entry, an analytical rather than rote pedagogy, a regulated coaching ecosystem, and institutionalised mental-health support—are neither utopian nor mutually exclusive. Recent measures such as the Public Examinations (Prevention of Unfair Means) Act, 2024 and the Radhakrishnan Committee’s recommendations show that reform is possible; the task now is to widen their ambition from plugging leaks to redesigning the system.

If India can build a recruitment process that is leak-proof, fair, and humane, then aspirants like Sohan and Seema will be able to realise their potential—turning the country’s demographic dividend from a source of quiet suffering into an engine of the developed India, a Viksit Bharat, it hopes to become by 2047.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Public Examinations (Prevention of Unfair Means) Act, 2024, Government of India. Overview and provisions available at Wikipedia, “Public Examinations (Prevention of Unfair Means) Act, 2024.”
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Public_Examinations_\(Prevention_of_Unfair_Means\)_Act,_2024](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Public_Examinations_(Prevention_of_Unfair_Means)_Act,_2024)
- [2] NextIAS, “The Public Examinations (Prevention of Unfair Means) Act, 2024” (citing The Indian Express investigation of at least 48 paper leaks across 16 states affecting ~1.51 crore applicants), 2024. <https://www.nextias.com>
- [3] Manorama Yearbook, “Legal Framework for Preventing Examination-Related Malpractices,” 2026. <https://www.manoramayearbook.in>
- [4] LiveLaw, “Law Punishing Paper Leak & Unfair Means in Public Exams Takes Effect From June 21,” 25 June 2024. <https://www.livelaw.in>
- [5] Education Today, “Decoding India’s Anti-Paper Leak Law: Offences, Punishments and Its Impact on Public Examinations,” 2025. <https://www.educationtoday.co>
- [6] Business Today, “BT Explainer: Anti-Paper Leak Law — What the Public Examinations Act, 2024 Says and What’s Changing,” 24 July 2026. <https://www.businesstoday.in>
- [7] Deccan Herald, “UP Passes Ordinance to Penalise Guilty in Paper Leak Cases with Rs 1 Crore Fine, Life Imprisonment,” 2024. <https://www.deccanherald.com>
- [8] News on Air (Prasar Bharati), “Bihar Assembly Passes Bill to Combat Paper Leaks and Malpractices in Competitive Exams,” 24 July 2024. <https://www.newsonair.gov.in>
- [9] The Free Press Journal, “Mumbai: Isolation and Expectations Behind Rising UPSC Student Suicides” (citing Lokniti-CSDS Kota study, 2023), 10 September 2024.
<https://www.freepressjournal.in>
- [10] S. Pal, H. Bhesera and S. L. Bika, “Mental Health Conditions and Suicide Among Adolescent Coaching Aspirants: Case of Kota, India,” *Journal of the Indian Association for Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, SAGE, 2026.
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/09731342251359022>
- [11] The Citizen, “Distress and Depression: UPSC Aspirants Feel the Pressure,” 25 September 2023.
<https://www.thecitizen.in>
- [12] B. Abhijita, J. Gnanadhas, S. K. Kar, A. V. Cherian and V. Menon, “The NCRB Suicide in India 2022 Report: Key Time Trends and Implications,” *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*, SAGE, 2024. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/02537176241240699>
- [13] Brar AD, Pallavi K, Das M, Saxena P, Kulkarani K, Priya P, Kashwani R. Metaverse in dentistry: Bridging virtual innovation with real-world patient care and education. *Bioinformation*. 2025 Feb 28;21(2):262.
- [14] Careers360, “Over 13,800 Suicide Deaths Among Students in 2023, Highest in 10 Years: NCRB Report,” 2023–24. <https://news.careers360.com>

- [15] The Secretariat, “UPSC at 100: A Century of Merit, Service, and Nation-Building,” 2025; and ClearIAS, “Civil Service Reforms During British Rule.” <https://www.clearias.com/civil-service-reforms-during-british/>
- [16] A. Cornell et al., “Colonial Origins of Modern Bureaucracy? India and the Professionalization of the British Civil Service,” Governance, Wiley, 2023.
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/gove.12683>
- [17] PubAdmin.Institute, “Historical Evolution of Civil Services in India”; and LearnPro, “History of the Indian Civil Service (ICS): A Comprehensive Overview,” 2024–26. <https://pubadmin.institute>
- [18] Invezz, “Inside India’s Coaching Industry and the Struggles of Government Job Aspirants,” 10 July 2025. <https://invezz.com>
- [19] IMARC Group, “India Coaching Institutes Market: Size, Share and Trends,” 2024–25.
<https://www.imarcgroup.com/india-coaching-institutes-market>
- [20] Whalesbook, “UPSC Exam Economics: The Rising Cost of India’s Coaching Sector,” 20 July 2026. <https://www.whalesbook.com>
- [21] Economic and Political Weekly, “Regulating the Coaching Industry in India,” Vol. 59, Issue 23, 8 June 2024. <https://www.epw.in>
- [22] Testbook / Vajiram & Ravi, “K. Radhakrishnan Committee: NTA Reforms, Recommendations and Report” (101 recommendations; Computer-Assisted Secure Pen-and-Paper Testing), 2024–26. <https://vajiramandravi.com>
- [23] Business Standard, “2024 NTA Reforms: Why the Radhakrishnan Panel Was Formed & What It Proposed,” 27 July 2026. <https://www.business-standard.com>
- [24] PW Only IAS, “Revamping the National Testing Agency (NTA): A Comprehensive Reform,” 19 December 2024. <https://pwonlyias.com>
- [25] Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, National Suicide Prevention Strategy (2022) and Ministry of Education, Manodarpan initiative (2020).
<https://www.mohfw.gov.in>