International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Biomedical Waste Management in India: Current Practices, Challenges, Regulatory Framework and Sustainable Strategies: A 2026 Narrative Review

Akshita Bhoi[1], Sandip Bariya[2]

[1]ITM College Of Nursing, Itm Sls Baroda University
[2]AMCT Nursing Insitute Dabhoi,Shri Govind Guru University

Abstract

Background: The management of biomedical waste is crucial to the provision of safe healthcare, infection control, workplace safety, and environmental preservation. During diagnosis, treatment, immunization, laboratory testing, research, and other medical operations, healthcare facilities produce several types of waste. While the majority of medical waste is not hazardous, a significant amount may be chemical, pharmacological, infectious, sharps-related, or otherwise harmful. Healthcare personnel, waste handlers, patients, and communities may be at risk for infection, occupational injuries, hazardous materials, and environmental contamination due to improper segregation, handling, transportation, treatment, and disposal.

Aim: The current state of biomedical waste management in India, including segregation, collection, transportation, treatment, and disposal; the regulatory framework; occupational and environmental risks; healthcare workers' responsibilities; recent data; technological advancements; and sustainable strategies pertinent to 2026, will be examined in this narrative review.

Methods: A narrative literature review was conducted utilizing reputable regulatory papers and current peer-reviewed research. Studies published in 2026 were given special consideration, while literature published mostly between 2020 and 2026 was taken into consideration. The Central Pollution Control Board and the Ministry of Environment, Forests, and Climate Change's national regulation documents were also examined.

Results: The Bio-Medical Waste Management Rules, 2016 and later changes serve as the main legislative framework for India's biomedical waste management system. The framework emphasizes safe collection, transportation, treatment, and disposal and mandates segregation into four color-coded groups. There are still issues with segregation, awareness, monitoring, and workplace safety, according to recent data from 2026. A mixed-method study from a tertiary-care hospital in Puducherry found that healthcare personnel had 86% compliance and 72% good awareness, with delays in outsourced trash collection being cited as a significant obstacle. A biomedical waste management knowledge, attitude, and practice questionnaire for Ayurvedic healthcare personnel was created and psychometrically verified in a 2026 Kerala study. By include AYUSH members in pertinent state and district monitoring institutions, the 2026 regulatory modification significantly enhanced institutional representation. Bar

code systems, competency-based teaching, digital tracking, and sustainable waste management techniques are becoming more and more crucial.

Conclusion: India's biomedical waste management has made significant strides because to improved regulations, color-coded segregation, shared treatment facilities, and monitoring systems. However, there are still deficiencies in infrastructure, training, supervision, occupational safety, and practical compliance. Continuous competency-based training, digital traceability, efficient supervision, waste reduction, ecologically friendly treatment technologies, and more robust multidisciplinary monitoring should all be incorporated into future strategies.

Keywords: Biomedical waste; healthcare waste; waste management; biomedical waste segregation; healthcare workers; nurses; occupational safety; CPCB; sustainability; AYUSH; India.

1. Introduction

Healthcare organizations safeguard and enhance human health, however the provision of healthcare invariably results in waste. Patient diagnosis, treatment, vaccination, laboratory testing, surgery, research, pharmaceutical services, and community health initiatives can all result in waste. This garbage might be anything from common non-hazardous materials to pharmaceutical, chemical, infectious, sharps, and pathological waste.

According to estimates from the World Health Organization, 15% of healthcare waste is deemed hazardous due to the possibility that it is infectious, poisonous, chemical, or otherwise hazardous, while the remaining 85% is general, non-hazardous waste.

Therefore, biomedical waste needs a management system that ensures ecologically responsible treatment and disposal while preventing needless exposure. Needle stick injuries, exposure to infectious organisms, soil and water contamination, air pollution, improper reuse of contaminated materials, and occupational health issues are all consequences of poor management.

The Bio-Medical Waste (Management and Handling) Rules, 1998 and the Bio-Medical Waste Management Rules, 2016 represent the evolution of biomedical waste management in India. The 2016 Rules, which apply generally to healthcare facilities and other producers of biological waste, were created to enhance segregation, collection, processing, treatment, and disposal.

The framework from 2016 has since been modified. Amendments were implemented in 2018 and 2019 to increase requirements for segregation, treatment, disposal, and reporting, according to CPCB documentation.

In 2026, a significant additional development took place. By adding AYUSH participation to the State/UT advisory committee and the district-level monitoring committee, the Bio-Medical Waste Management (Amendment) Rules, 2026, which were announced in April 2026, modified the institutional monitoring framework.

The 2026 amendment is especially important because there are many different conventional and AYUSH healthcare facilities in India's healthcare system. Increased representation might make monitoring and implementation easier to coordinate.

As a result, biomedical waste management should be seen as an integrated part of infection prevention, occupational health, environmental health, healthcare quality, and public health rather than just a housekeeping task.

2. Aim of the Review

This narrative review aims to suggest methods for enhancing safe, sustainable, and evidence-based biomedical waste practices in 2026 by synthesizing existing evidence and regulatory changes regarding biomedical waste management in India.

3. Objectives

The following are the goals:

- Explain the idea and origins of biomedical waste.
- Describe the system of classification and color-coded segregation.
- Examine storage, transit, and collection procedures.
- Describe the main approaches to treatment and disposal.
- Talk about India's regulatory environment.
- Examine dangers related to the environment and workplace.
- Examine the role of healthcare professionals, including nurses.
- Summarize current data from 2020 to 2026.
- Talk about technology advancements and digital surveillance.
- Determine research gaps and obstacles.
- Make recommendations for sustainable approaches to the management of biomedical waste going forward.

4. Review Methodology

The evidence regarding biological waste management in India was compiled using a narrative review methodology.

4.1 Resources for information

The following sources of literature were identified:

MEDLINE and PubMed "Google Scholar" Publications from the Indian government

Documentation from the Central Pollution Control Board Publications from the Ministry of Environment, Climate Change, and Forests The World Health Organization's resources Peer-reviewed publications that are relevant

4.2 Conceptual searches

Combinations of these were among the search concepts: The management of biomedical waste "Healthcare Waste in India" "Biomedical waste segregation" "Healthcare personnel biomedical waste" "Nursing biomedical waste" "Biomedical waste hazards at work" "India 2026: Biomedical Waste Management" "AYUSH biomedical waste." "Healthcare waste that is sustainable" "Management of digital biomedical waste"

4.3 Approach to eligibility

The research that were published between 2020 and 2026 were given priority. When earlier publications offered fundamental details regarding India's legislative framework or established biomedical waste management standards, they were kept.

Regardless of the year of publication, official technical documents and government rules that were still applicable to modern practice were included.

This article should not be referred to as a systematic review or PRISMA review until a proper systematic search, screening, and PRISMA procedure have been finished because it is a narrative review.

5. Biomedical Waste Concept

Waste produced during human or animal diagnosis, treatment, or vaccination, as well as during associated research, production, testing, and health camps, is referred to as biomedical waste. A wide variety of healthcare and associated enterprises are subject to the Indian regulatory framework.

The following might be biomedical waste:

The human anatomical waste Waste from animals' bodies Blood and
polluted materials Waste from lab and microbiological processes

The use of sharp objects Polluted polymers Waste from medications Waste that contains chemicals Contaminated glassware Metallic implants Additional trash designated by relevant regulations

The most crucial rule is that trash must be separated at the point of creation.

6. Biomedical Waste Sources

Biomedical waste can be produced in:

Hospitals
Colleges of medicine
Nursing homes
Clinics

Primary health centers Community health centers and laboratories Blood banks Dental clinics Dialysis facilities Facilities for veterinary care AYUSH medical facilities Research establishments Immunization facilities Health camps and morgues Forensic labs In-home medical services Educational establishments that offer medical services

People who produce, gather, receive, store, transport, process, dispose of, or otherwise manage biological waste are subject to the Bio-Medical Waste Management Rules.

7. Color Coding and Classification of Biomedical Waste

Yellow, red, white, and blue are the four main color groups used in India to separate biological waste. Healthcare institutions must transfer segregated biological waste to approved common biomedical waste treatment facilities in accordance with these four categories, according to CPCB documentation.

Table 1. Colour-coded segregation of biomedical waste

Category	General examples	Main management approach
Yellow	Anatomical waste, soiled waste, blood-contaminated materials, selected pharmaceutical and chemical waste	Incineration, deep burial where permitted, or other prescribed treatment
Red	Contaminated recyclable plastics such as tubing, IV sets, catheters and selected plastic items	Disinfection/appropriate treatment followed by recycling or disposal
White	Sharps such as needles, blades and lancets	Puncture-proof, leak-proof and tamper-proof container; appropriate treatment
Blue	Contaminated glassware and metallic implants	Disinfection/appropriate treatment and recycling or

Category	General examples	Main management approach
		disposal

Because combining hazardous and non-hazardous trash increases the amount of waste that needs specialist treatment and raises the possibility of occupational exposure, proper segregation is crucial.

8. The Significance of Source Segregation

Effective biomedical waste management is thot to start with segregation.

If waste is properly separated: It is possible to properly handle infectious trash.

Inadequate training, a shortage of containers, bad labeling, employe turnover, a heavy workload, and insufficient monitoring can all lead to incorrect segregation.

As a result, direct observation should be used to evaluate segregation rather than just knowledge testing.

9. Transportation Internal and Collection

Within the healthcare institution, biomedical waste needs to be collected on a regular basis and transported properly.

Dedicated trolleys that are used for internal transit should be:

- It resists leaks.
- Easy to maintain
- Labeled appropriately
- Utilized just for biomedical waste
- Frequently washed and sanitized

It is important to design transportation routes that limit contact with patients, guests, food service areas, and clean supplies.

Segregation, collection, intramural transit, on-site processing where necessary, storage, and final disposal are all essential elements of biological waste management, according to the CPCB recommendations.

10. How to Store Biomedical Waste

For biological waste, healthcare facilities should keep special storage facilities.

The space for storage ought to:

- Limit access that isn't allowed.
- Keep pests and animals out.
- Easily cleaned.
- Prevent leaks.
- Get the labeled
- right label.Give authorized collectors safe access.
- Avoid needless build-up.

Healthcare personnel should refrain from letting trash containers fill up too much since doing so raises the possibility of spills and injury from sharp objects.

11. Common Treatment Facilities and External Transportation

Segregated biomedical waste is frequently transported by healthcare facilities to approved common biomedical waste treatment facilities (CBWTFs).

Healthcare facilities who are unable to independently set up and run innovative treatment systems will find the CBWTF model very helpful.

Healthcare institutions and CBWTF operators are assigned obligations under the Rules, and State Pollution Control Boards and Pollution Control Committees play a crucial role in authorization and implementation.

12. Methods of Treatment and Disposal

12.1 Incineration

Incineration is the process of burning suitable biological waste at a regulated high temperature.

Benefits consist of:

A decrease in the amount of waste

The elimination of pathogens

The proper handling of some flammable and anatomical waste

However, hazardous contaminants can be produced by improper emission control or insufficient combustion. Therefore, it is crucial to always adhere to emission regulations.

12.2 Autoclaving

Steam under pressure is used in autoclaving to sterilize suitable waste.

Certain recyclable products and infectious trash can benefit from it.

12.3 microwaving

Microwave treatment heats and sanitizes appropriate biological waste by using electromagnetic radiation.

12.4 Chemical processing

When an established and tested procedure is suitable, chemical disinfection can be applied to certain liquid waste and other materials.

12.5 Tearing up

Certain plastic debris may be shredded after the proper treatment to make recycling easier and stop illegal reuse.

12.6 Burial in depth

Deep burial is only allowed in circumstances when the relevant regulations allow it and if there are no suitable centralized treatment options.

12.7 Recycling

Recycling should only take place in compliance with existing regulations and after the relevant trash has received the proper treatment.

13. The Indian Regulatory System

The Bio-Medical Waste Management Rules, 2016, which were published in accordance with the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, serve as the main regulatory framework.

The framework delineates obligations with respect to:

- Partitioning
- Obtaining
- Storing

- How to get around
- Management
- Discharge
- Authenticity
- Educating
- Maintaining records
- Documenting
- Workplace security
- Examining

The CPCB still maintains specialized resources for biological waste, including regulations, technical standards, inventories, shared treatment facilities, and information on technology.

Since 2016, the Rules have undergone modifications. The 2018 and 2019 revisions that improved segregation, treatment, disposal, and reporting requirements are included in the CPCB's annual report.

14. Significant Regulatory Development in 2026

The Bio-Medical Waste Management (Amendment) Rules, 2026 are among the most significant improvements for a 2026 review.

According to the official announcement, the change adds a person chosen by the State or UT Department of Ayush to the district-level monitoring committee and incorporates "Ayush" into the State/UT-level advisory framework.

Importance

This development could:

Boost interdisciplinary cooperation.

Increase AYUSH healthcare facilities' representation.

Encourage more thorough observation.

Promote more uniformity in biological waste procedures among various healthcare systems.

Boost monitoring at the state and district levels.

Since this modification is a recent regulatory development rather than just historical context, it should be specifically included in a 2026 biomedical-waste review.

15. Bar code and digital tracking systems

One significant new aspect of managing biological waste is digitalization.

The use of barcode-based tracking to increase accountability and make it possible to monitor biomedical waste from its source to treatment and final disposal is described in CPCB documents. The goal of the proposed centralized system is to facilitate real-time monitoring and information integration between healthcare facilities, shared treatment facilities, and regulatory bodies.

Among the possible digital technologies are:

Tracking barcodes

Tracking QR codes

GPS-enabled automobiles

Electronic waste registers

Digital dashboards

Applications for mobile devices

Automated systems for weighing
Audits of digital compliance
Alerts in real time
Digital traceability can assist in providing answers to significant queries like:
By whom was the garbage produced?
What was the amount generated?
It was gathered when?
It was taken to where?
It was treated when?
Where was it ultimately disposed of?
These solutions can decrease the unauthorized flow of biological waste and increase accountability.

16. Hazards to Occupational Health

Handlers of biomedical waste may encounter a variety of workplace risks.

16.1 Biological risks

Among the possible exposures are:
Blood-borne infections,
bacteria,
viruses,
fungi
Additional pathogenic organisms

16.2 Injuries from sharp objects

Workers may be exposed to blood-borne diseases and puncture wounds from needles and other sharp objects.

16.3 Chemical risks

Employes might be exposed to:
Disinfectants
Chemicals used in laboratories
Pharmaceuticals
Cytotoxic substances
Chemicals for cleaning

16.4 Physical risks

Possible risks include of:
Cuts
Burns
Slips
Falls
Musculoskeletal injuries
Exposure to heat

16.5 Psychological risks

Due to social stigma and occupational exposure, waste workers may feel anxious or stressed.
Occupational health education is important, as evidenced by a 2026 research of Class IV healthcare professionals that found a substantial correlation between training in proper biomedical waste disposal

and knowledge of health concerns.

17. Hazards to Environmental Health

The following can be impacted by improper biomedical waste management:

- The quality of the air
- Surface water
- Groundwater
- Soil
- Wildlife
- Communities that surround medical facilities

Hazardous emissions may result from uncontrolled or open burning. Water and soil can get contaminated by improper disposal of chemicals and medications. Plastics may stay in the environment for a long time.

Therefore, a larger environmental health program should incorporate biomedical waste management.

18. Recent Indian Literature as Evidence

Table 2. A selection of current data about the treatment of biomedical waste

Study	Year	Design/setting	Major finding	Implication
Jalaja et al.	2026	Mixed-method study, tertiary hospital, Puducherry	Compliance was 86%; 72% of healthcare workers had good awareness; outsourced collection timing was a major challenge	Supervision and collection systems require strengthening
Archana et al.	2026	Psychometric study, Ayurveda healthcare workers, Kerala	Developed and validated a KAP questionnaire	Standardized assessment can support future educational interventions
Kalpana et al.	2026	Hospital-wide educational intervention	Evaluated a hospital-wide campaign involving 215 healthcare workers	Institutional interventions can be used to improve BMW practices
Ghai et al.	2026	Community-based assessment, urban Nagpur	Examined biomedical waste generated by households	Biomedical-waste management needs attention beyond hospitals

Study	Year	Design/setting	Major finding	Implication
Kamble et al.	2026	Multicentre healthcare/infection-control setting	Biomedical-waste training was inconsistently implemented across facilities	Training coverage needs systematic monitoring
Gopathoti et al.	2026	Multicentre infection-prevention study	Examined organization of infection prevention and control systems	BMW management should be integrated into broader IPC systems
Mannocci et al.	2020	Systematic review	Highlighted the importance of standardized KAP assessment tools	Validated instruments improve comparability
Datta et al.	2018	Review	Identified persistent challenges in Indian biomedical-waste management	Regulatory implementation remains important
Patil & Shekdar	2001	Review	Established foundational concerns regarding healthcare waste in India	Provides historical context

Because it integrated a clinical audit, a healthcare worker awareness evaluation, and a qualitative investigation of operational difficulties, the 2026 Puducherry research is very pertinent. It discovered 86% compliance and 72% excellent awareness, but a significant issue was the schedule of the outsourced garbage collection.

A KAP questionnaire for biological waste management among Ayurvedic healthcare personnel was created and psychometrically verified in a 2026 Kerala research, highlighting the increasing significance of trustworthy measuring instruments.

An further hospital-wide intervention research conducted in 2026 evaluated a campaign engaging 215 healthcare professionals and recommended the adoption of institution-wide instructional initiatives as opposed to isolated individual training.

19. Current Evidence: Knowledge to Practice

A key discovery in biomedical waste research is that proper practice is not always the outcome of knowledge.

While properly identifying color groups on a questionnaire, healthcare professionals may nevertheless make mistakes in actual clinical settings.

Some explanations are:

- The strain of time
- Strong workload
- Lack of employes
- Bins at the point of care are absent.
- Signage is insufficient.
- Insufficient oversight
- Staff turnover is frequent.
- Waste collection issues that are outsourced
- Insufficient training for refresher

Future studies should so measure:

Environmental availability + institutional variables + knowledge + attitude + observed practice rather than just knowledge.

20. The Function of Nurses in the Management of Biomedical Waste

Because they usually work directly at the place where waste is created, nurses are among the most significant healthcare workers involved in biological waste segregation.

1. Important duties include of:
2. Accurately identify biomedical waste.
3. Sort garbage right away.
4. Make use of the proper color-coded containers.
5. Safely dispose of sharp objects.
6. Refrain from handling used needles in a dangerous manner.
7. Make use of the proper PPE.
8. Verify that trash cans are not overflowing.
9. Report spills and exposures at work.
10. Take part in audits of biomedical waste.
11. Teach junior employes and students.
12. Take part in infection control initiatives.
13. Encourage hospital waste management practices.
14. Encourage ecologically conscious behavior.
15. Record and report any noncompliance.

By seeing frequent segregation mistakes and alerting the hospital administration and infection control teams, nurses may also serve as change agents.

21. The Management of Biomedical Waste in Nursing Education

Instead of being taught as a theoretical subject, biomedical waste management ought to be included into nursing education.

Among the suggested instructional strategies are:

- A demonstration
- The simulation
- A case study
- Play roles
- Clinical monitoring
- Color-coded exercises for segregation
- Audits for waste management
- Video-based instruction
- Checklists of competencies
- The objective structured clinical examination
- Students studying nursing should be proficient in:
- Segregation based on color
- Sharps elimination
- PPE use
- Spill control
- Preventing injuries caused by needles
- Risk-free transit
- Prevention of infections

Students join healthcare settings where improper waste management can lead to occupational exposure, therefore this is particularly crucial.

22.Education and Skill Building

There should be ongoing training.

Suggested model for training

Step 1: Induction

Before starting clinical responsibilities, all new healthcare workers should undergo biomedical waste training.

step 2: demonstrate

Proper segregation needs to be shown.

Step 3: The proof of return

Employes should do their own demonstrations.

Step 4: Evaluation of competencies

To find out if the employe can carry out the process appropriately, a checklist should be employed.

Step 5: Refresher training

Periodically, training should be redone.

Step 6: Reviews and audits

Errors should be reported to the staff and fixed.

Compared to lecture-only programs, this methodology is more likely to result in long-term behavioral change.

23.The Management of Biomedical Waste in India

23.1 Unsuitable segregation

Inaccurate color-coded segregation continues to be a frequent operational problem.

23.2 Insufficient instruction

Training could be sporadic or exclusive to new hires.

23.3 Turnover of employes

Consistency may be impacted by frequent changes in contract, housekeeping, and nursing personnel.

23.4 Insufficient infrastructure

There may be a lack of suitable containers, PPE, storage space, or transportation equipment at certain sites.

23.5 Services outsourced

Delays or incorrect collection may arise from a lack of cooperation between healthcare institutions and outside collecting organizations.

Poor scheduling of outsourced garbage collection was especially noted as a significant concern in the Puducherry research.

23.6 Remote and rural locations

Access to centralized treatment infrastructure may be restricted in smaller institutions.

23.7 Poor knowledge of the law

Practical segregation may be more understandable to healthcare professionals than the underlying legal requirements.

23.8 Observing gaps

Inspections may make monitoring more intense, while regular operations may make it weaker.

23.9 Biomedical waste in homes

Biomedical waste may be produced outside of traditional healthcare institutions as home-based care, injections, and other healthcare activities increase.

The necessity to take into account healthcare waste outside of institutional settings was highlighted by a 2026 community-based research in metropolitan Nagpur that especially looked at biological waste produced by families.

24. Sustainable Management of Biomedical Waste

Integrating sustainability without sacrificing infection control is important.

Important tactics include:

- Reduce waste at its source.
- Reasonable purchasing.
- Steer clear of needless throwaway items.
- After treatment, proper recycling.
- Energy-saving measures.
- Tracking emissions.
- Electronic documents.
- Training of staff.
- Sustainable policies for procurement.
- Ecological assessments.

The healthcare industry should gradually shift to a paradigm where normal quality improvement incorporates environmental preservation.

25. The Circular Economy Strategy

Reducing waste production and safely recovering resources are key components of a circular economy.
The fundamental idea is
Prevent → Reduce → Reuse when appropriate → Recycle following treatment → Recuperate → Treat → Get rid of
But infection prevention must always come first.
Reusing contaminated materials just to cut waste is never a good idea. Reuse and recycling should only take place when it is safe, authorized, and supported by suitable care.

26. Smart and Digital Biomedical Waste Management

In the upcoming years, biological waste management may be revolutionized by digital technology.
Potential uses include of:
Barcode monitoring
monitors trash containers and bags from the point of origin to the point of treatment.
GPS navigation
keeps an eye on transit vehicles.
Electronic dashboards
Permit hospital managers to keep an eye on the production and collection of garbage.
Apps on mobile devices
Permit employes to report delays in collection, overflowing trash, or segregation issues.
Intelligent machines
Although clinical adoption necessitates sufficient validation and regulatory control, future technologies may help determine waste types from photos.
Analytics that predict
Data on waste creation may be utilized to forecast high-volume locations and improve pickup times.
Improving traceability and monitoring from waste creation to ultimate treatment/disposal is the specific goal of CPCB's centralized barcode effort.

27. Compliance and Environmental Monitoring

Facilities that process biomedical waste must adhere to the relevant environmental regulations.
Documents from CPCB enforcement show that regulatory action may follow noncompliance. For instance, the CPCB provided guidelines about biological waste regulatory breaches, such as treatment facility compliance and emission norms.
This reaffirms the requirement for
Consistent examinations
Observation of emissions
Maintain documentation
Corrective measures
Mechanisms for environmental compensation where appropriate
Reporting that is transparent

28. Current Enforcement of Regulations in 2026

In 2026, courts and regulators are still paying attention to biomedical waste compliance.

Following reports of infractions in many states and Union Territories, the National Green Tribunal ordered stricter enforcement of biomedical-waste disposal regulations in August 2026.

This trend shows that maintaining uniform implementation and enforcement of regulations is much more difficult than just creating them.

29. Suggestions

For medical facilities

1. Create documented regulations for biological waste.
2. Make sure there are enough color-coded containers.
3. Continually train employes.
4. Perform regular checks of segregation.
5. Keep an eye on contracted collection firms.
6. Keep accurate records.
7. Make sure PPE is available.
8. At the point of care, provide sharps containers.
9. Create reporting systems for occupational exposure.
10. When possible, implement digital tracking.

For caregivers

1. Adhere to segregation protocols regularly.
2. Engage in audits.
3. Teach pupils and coworkers.
4. Discuss risky behavior.
5. Avoid injury from sharp objects.
6. Apply PPE correctly.
7. Engage in continued education.
8. Advocate for reducing trash.

For administrators

1. Provide enough resources.
2. Keep an eye on compliance metrics.
3. Regularly review data related to trash management.
4. Assure contractual responsibility.
5. Encourage digital tracking.
6. Combine hospital quality systems with biological waste management.

For legislators

1. Increase observation.
2. Enhance rural implementation.
3. Raise the bar for training.
4. Promote sustainable technology.
5. Enhance digital traceability.
6. Encourage interdisciplinary teamwork.
7. Continue updating regulations based on evidence.

30. Gaps in Research

Subsequent research ought to look into:
Effectiveness of biomedical waste training in the long run.
Interventions to alter behavior.
GPS tracking and digital barcode.
Managing biomedical waste in basic care
AYUSH facilities' waste management.
Biomedical waste from homes.
Waste handlers' occupational health results.
The environment surrounding treatment facilities is contaminated.
Sustainable technologies for treatment.
Centralized digital monitoring is cost-effective.
AI-powered waste sorting.
Waste management in the event of an infectious disease epidemic.
Results from a single hospital could not accurately reflect healthcare services in various parts of India, which is why multi-center studies are so important.

31. Consequences for Nursing in Community Health

Hospitals are not the only facilities that handle biomedical waste.
Biomedical waste may be encountered by community health nurses during:
Vaccination
Visits at home
Health care for mothers and children
Management of chronic illnesses
Handling wounds
Drugs that are injectable
Medical camps
Health services in schools
Families should be instructed by community health nurses on how to handle sharps and other medical waste produced at home safely.
The necessity for community-based treatments is further supported by the rise of studies on home biomedical waste in India.

32. Proposed Framework for 2026

A practical framework for biomedical-waste management can be represented as:

Generation
↓
Identification
↓
Segregation at source
↓
Safe collection
↓

Internal transportation
↓
Secure storage
↓
Bar-code/digital tracking
↓
Authorized external transportation
↓
Treatment
↓
Recycling/recovery where appropriate
↓
Final disposal
↓
Documentation and audit
↓
Continuous quality improvement

This framework integrates regulatory compliance, occupational safety, environmental protection and digital monitoring.

33. Conclusion

Biomedical waste management is a fundamental component of safe and sustainable healthcare. India's Bio-Medical Waste Management Rules, 2016 established a structured framework for segregation, collection, treatment and disposal, while subsequent amendments and CPCB guidance have strengthened implementation.

The 2026 regulatory amendment is particularly important because it expands AYUSH representation in state and district biomedical-waste monitoring structures.

Recent evidence demonstrates that biomedical-waste management remains an active healthcare-quality issue. The 2026 Puducherry study reported 86% compliance and 72% good awareness among healthcare workers, while identifying operational problems in outsourced waste collection. Research from Kerala has also demonstrated the value of validated tools for measuring healthcare-worker knowledge, attitudes and practices.

The future direction should move beyond basic awareness toward **competency, observed practice, digital traceability, occupational safety, environmental sustainability and continuous monitoring**.

Nurses have a central role because they work at the point where biomedical waste is frequently generated. Strengthening nursing education, clinical supervision and multidisciplinary collaboration can significantly improve biomedical-waste practices.

Ultimately, effective biomedical-waste management requires a shared commitment from healthcare workers, administrators, waste handlers, regulators and communities. A sustainable 2026 model should combine **safe segregation, appropriate treatment, digital tracking, environmental responsibility and evidence-based quality improvement**.

References

1. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India. Bio-Medical Waste Management Rules, 2016. New Delhi: MoEFCC; 2016.
2. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India. Bio-Medical Waste Management (Amendment) Rules, 2026. G.S.R. 293(E). New Delhi: MoEFCC; 2026.
3. Central Pollution Control Board. Guidelines for Management of Healthcare Waste as per Biomedical Waste Management Rules, 2016. New Delhi: CPCB; 2018.
4. Central Pollution Control Board. Implementation Guidelines for Management of Healthcare Waste as per Biomedical Waste Management Rules, 2016. New Delhi: CPCB.
5. Central Pollution Control Board. Annual Report on Biomedical Waste Management. New Delhi: CPCB.
6. World Health Organization. Health-care waste. Geneva: WHO; 2024.
7. Jalaja I, Cheriyath PK, Thulasingam M, Agarwal P. Clinical audit of biomedical waste management, awareness, and challenges among health care workers in segregation of biomedical waste in a tertiary care hospital, Puducherry—A mixed-method study. Indian J Community Med. 2026;51(1):97-103. doi:10.4103/ijcm.ijcm_622_24.
8. Archana S, et al. Development and psychometric validation of a KAP questionnaire on biomedical waste management among Ayurveda healthcare workers. BMC Health Serv Res. 2026. doi:10.1186/s12913-026-14970-9.
9. Kalpana SPJ, et al. Evaluating the impact of a hospital-wide intervention/campaign for biomedical waste management. Dtsch Gesundheitswesen? 2026. doi:10.3205/dgkh000660.
10. Ghai G, et al. Pattern and disposal of biomedical waste generated by households in urban Nagpur: A community-based assessment. Indian J Community Med. 2026;51(2):350-.
11. Kamble S, et al. Airborne infection control measures at HIV settings in India and biomedical waste training. BMC Infect Dis. 2026;26(1):1108. doi:10.1186/s12879-026-13349-9.
12. Gopathoti P, et al. A multi-centric study on the organization of infection prevention and control in healthcare settings. J Hosp Infect. 2026;169:123-130. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2025.11.047.
13. Datta P, Mohi GK, Chander J. Biomedical waste management in India: Critical appraisal. J Lab Physicians. 2018;10(1):6-14.
14. Patil AD, Shekdar AV. Health-care waste management in India. J Environ Manage. 2001;63(2):211-220.
15. Mannocci A, et al. Assessment of healthcare workers' knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding healthcare waste management: a systematic review. 2020.
16. Mathur P, Patan S, Shobhawat AS. Need of biomedical waste management system in hospitals: a critical review. Iran J Environ Health Sci Eng. 2012;1:13.
17. Ramteke S, Sahu BL. Novel coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic: considerations for the biomedical waste sector in India. Case Stud Chem Environ Eng. 2020;2:100029.
18. Central Pollution Control Board. Implementation of centralized barcoding system for tracking of biomedical waste. New Delhi: CPCB.
19. Central Pollution Control Board. Direction for non-compliance with Biomedical Waste Management Rules, 2016. New Delhi: CPCB; 2025.
20. Central Pollution Control Board. Direction under Environment (Protection) Act for non-compliance with Biomedical Waste Management Rules, 2016. New Delhi: CPCB; 2025.