

Panchavayava Vakya as a Cognitive-Iterative Research Pentad: A Novel Framework for Integrative Medicine

Dr Aparna S¹, Dr Nisha Gupta²

¹PhD scholar, Department of Samhita evam Maulik Siddhanta, National Institute of Ayurveda, Jaipur (DU), Rajasthan, India

²Professor (SAG)& Head, Department of Samhita evam Maulik Siddhanta, National Institute of Ayurveda, Jaipur (DU), Rajasthan, India

Abstract:

Panchavayava Vakya, the classical five-membered logical framework described in Ayurveda and Nyaya philosophy, has traditionally been interpreted as a linear structure for inference and debate. However, this perspective may not fully reflect its role in Ayurvedic reasoning or its relevance to contemporary scientific inquiry, where iterative and systems-based approaches have become increasingly important. The present conceptual study reinterprets Panchavayava Vakya as a cognitive-iterative model of knowledge generation through classical textual analysis of the Charaka Samhita, followed by theoretical synthesis with modern concepts of complexity science and research methodology. By conceptualizing Panchavayava Vakya as a recursive research engine, this study establishes a theoretical bridge between classical Ayurvedic epistemology and modern scientific methodologies, highlighting its potential to inform adaptive, context-sensitive, and complexity-oriented research frameworks. This reinterpretation not only enhances the contemporary relevance of Ayurvedic logic but also provides a robust conceptual foundation for developing innovative research methodologies capable of addressing the multidimensional nature of integrative medicine. Further interdisciplinary and empirical investigations are warranted to validate and operationalize this framework in research and clinical practice.

Keywords: Ayurveda, Panchavayava Vakya, Cognitive reasoning, Iterative research, Complexity science, Adaptive research, Integrative medicine.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ayurveda is regarded as an eternal system of knowledge because its fundamental principles are timeless, beginningless, and unchangeable, being rooted in the eternal laws of nature rather than human invention. As mentioned in the Charaka Samhita, Ayurveda is eternal because it has no beginning, its principles are established by the inherent nature of reality, and the natural properties of all entities remain constant[1]. While scientific knowledge and healthcare practices continue to evolve, the foundational concepts of Ayurveda retain their universal validity and provide an inexhaustible source of wisdom for understanding health, disease, and well-being. This limitless scope is further emphasized by stating that the depth of Ayurvedic knowledge is boundless and encourages continuous study and exploration[2]. Thus, the eternal

relevance of Ayurveda lies in its enduring principles, adaptability to changing contexts, and its holistic approach to promoting health and longevity.

The comprehensive knowledge system dealt in Ayurveda extends beyond therapeutic interventions into the domains of philosophy, logic, and epistemology. Classical texts emphasize its eternal and unchanging principles, yet simultaneously demonstrate remarkable adaptability in application across varying contexts. This dual nature of stability in principle and flexibility in practice suggests an underlying methodological framework that is inherently dynamic.

The concept of Anusandhana (research) in Ayurveda is not restricted to empirical observation alone but encompasses a broader process involving inquiry, reasoning, validation, and continuous refinement. Unlike modern scientific paradigms, which often prioritize linear causality and controlled experimentation, Ayurvedic knowledge systems accommodate complexity, interdependence, and variability.

Within this framework, Panchavayava Vakya emerges as a central tool of reasoning. Traditionally described as a five-step logical structure consisting of Pratigya (proposition), Hetu (reason), Drishtanta (example), Upanaya (application), and Nigamana (conclusion), it has frequently been equated with the sequential steps of modern research. However, such equivalence risks oversimplifying its functional depth. The present study proposes that Panchavayava Vakya should not be understood as a static or linear construct but rather as a cognitive-iterative system, capable of generating, validating, and refining knowledge in a continuous cycle. This reinterpretation offers a novel perspective that aligns closely with emerging scientific approaches to complex systems and personalized medicine.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study employs a conceptual research design based on classical textual analysis and theoretical synthesis. The basic descriptions of Panchavayava Vakya has been probed from the Charaka Samhitavimana sthana as well as Nyaya darshana in order to identify the functional characteristics of it. The insights from this study were then critically analysed in relation to contemporary research paradigms, especially those dealing with complexity, adaptability and non-linearity.

The employed methodology is interpretative. The aim is to build a consistent theoretical model able to bridge the gap between classical Ayurvedic reasoning and modern scientific thought. No empirical data were collected and the study aims to provide a conceptual framework for further exploration.

3. RESULTS:

3.1 Panchavayava vakya as per Charaka Samhita

Panchavayava Vakya can be translated simply as the 'statement with five components'. It is a foundational logical framework in classical Indian philosophy, specifically the Nyaya school, which is used to construct a valid and universally persuasive syllogism. It translates a private inference or paratha anumana into a structured argument. By influenced by Nyaya Darshana, Ayurveda classics also adopted this idea. Charaka Samhita mentions it under the Vada marga pada in vimana sthana[3]. Pratigya (Propositions), Hetu (reasoning), Uddharana (example), Upanaya (discussion) and Nigamana (conclusion) are the five components in the pentad.

Pratigya is the statement of what is to be established (Sadhya), for example, "The Purusha is eternal." This is followed by Sthapana (establishment), as no proposition can be established unless it is first stated. Thus, the proposition "The Purusha is eternal" is supported by the Hetu (reason), namely, "because it is uncreated

(akṛtakatvat)”[4]. That means first of all this is mandatory to put forward some proposition or of proposition and then establishment because we cannot establish a statement in its absence. Pratigya completes with Sthapana (consolidation). ‘Pashchat Sthapana’ means confirmation or establishment of hypothesis. That means establishment of that same proposition is firmly on the basis of Hypothesis with the aid of rest four segments of Pentad. Hetu (reason or evidence) is the means by which the existence or nature of an object is established. According to Charaka Samhita, there are four valid forms of Hetu: Pratyakṣha (direct perception), Anumana (inference), Aitihiya (authoritative tradition or reliable testimony), and Aupamya (analogy or comparison)[5]. Drishtanta is Example or illustrations support the validity of statement. It provides better sky is for the understanding of fact to scholars as well as to stupid [6]. It presents resemblance or image of the thing to be proved. This is the compilation, comparison, discussion and analysis of the collected data and logical reasoning about its co-existence. According to Charaka samhita Upanaya means discussion about the obtained results & its interpretation according to the hypothesis. In short Upanaya means discussion & interpretation of data collected in the study. Nigamana means through logical sequence with example & correlation, conclusion is drawn from the results of such experiments or observations. Conclusion validates Pratigya[7].

3.2 A Cognitive-Iterative Reinterpretation of Panchavayava vakya

3.2.1 Moving Beyond Linear Reasoning

The traditional view of Panchavayava Vakya as mere sequence does not recognise mutual dependency of constituents. In practical Ayurvedic application, the process of reasoning is seldom linear; rather, it is a continuous feedback, reassessment and contextual adaptation. This observation requires a move from a linear to a recursive model of knowledge generation.

3.2.2 Pratigya as an Evolving Hypothesis

Pratigya is the proposition or statement to be proved in the classical descriptions. However, in a dynamic framework, it is more accurately viewed as a working hypothesis. Pratigya is not a static point of departure, but is continually being shaped by new observations, interpretations and contextual factors. That fluidity reflects the clinical reality that first impressions of a patient’s condition may evolve with new information. Pratigya is thus a living construct and is open to modification and refinement.

3.2.3 Hetu as a Multilayered Methodological Framework

The term ‘Hetu’ is generally translated as reason or cause, but the range of its meaning is far broader than mere causation. It encompasses the whole methodological apparatus of inquiry: observational apparatus, analytical techniques and criteria for evaluation. Importantly, Hetu combines different types of knowledge such as direct perception (Pratyaksha), inference (Anumana) and authoritative testimony (Aptopadesha). This multidimensionality enables a more holistic approach to evidence, accommodating both qualitative and quantitative dimensions.

3.2.4 Drishtanta as a Mechanism of Contextualization

Drishtanta, often translated as “similie”. But in Ayurveda Padartha Vigyan it plays a far more sophisticated role than mere illustration or similie. It works as a mechanism for contextualizing knowledge by linking current observations with established patterns and prior experiences.

Through analogy and pattern recognition, Drishtanta enables the practitioner to situate new findings within a broader framework of understanding. This process is comparable to contemporary approaches such as case-based reasoning and knowledge mapping, wherein meaning is derived through relational connections rather than isolated data points.

3.2.5 Upanaya as Interpretative Integration

Upanaya represents the application of reasoning to a specific case, serving as the interpretative bridge between general principles and particular instances. It is at this stage that knowledge becomes actionable. Here Upanaya assumes a central role as the integrative layer of cognition. It synthesizes inputs from the other three like Pratigya, Hetu, and Drishtanta to translating them into context-specific understanding. This aligns closely with the Ayurvedic emphasis on individualized assessment and treatment.

3.2.6 Nigamana as Provisional Knowledge

Nigamana, the conclusion, is traditionally viewed as the final step in the reasoning process. However, in a cognitive-iterative framework, it can be more accurately described as a provisional endpoint than an actual end point. Rather than terminating the process, Nigamana feeds back into Pratigya, initiating a new cycle of inquiry. This recursive nature ensures that knowledge remains open to revision, thereby enhancing its adaptability and resilience. The same idea is emphasised in Ayurveda samhitas while dealing with the concept behind the term- Siddhanta.

3.2.7 The Iterative Loop Model

The reinterpretation of Panchavayava Vakya as a cyclic process rather than a linear one represents the core contribution of this study. In this perspective, each component in Panchavayava vakya interacts dynamically with the others, forming a continuous cycle of hypothesis generation, its validation, followed by contextualization, and refinement. This iterative process reflects the realities of both clinical practice and complex scientific inquiry, where certainty is provisional and understanding evolves and refinement of ideas happens over time.

4. DISCUSSION

In contemporary science scenario, majority of the problems which are encountered by the researchers cannot be adequately explained through a simple linear sequence of cause and effect. All of its components including - Biological organisms, healthcare systems, ecosystems, and technological networks - are increasingly understood as complex adaptive systems, where numerous components interact dynamically and influence one another through continuous feedback. Because of this, scientific inquiry has gradually shifted towards a more iterative mode of thinking. Rather than assuming that every problem has a single, straightforward solution, this approach acknowledges uncertainty and treats knowledge as something that evolves through ongoing investigation and refined over time. Such iterative reasoning forms the basis of several contemporary scientific approaches, including systems biology, implementation science, artificial intelligence, design thinking, and evidence-based medicine. When we look in to the field of medical science, the diagnosis and treatment are rarely completed in a single step; instead, clinicians continuously evaluate patient responses and adapt management strategies accordingly. The same is with research as well. Scientific research progresses through repeated cycles of questioning, testing, analysis, and revision rather than through a one-time confirmation of hypotheses. By encouraging flexibility, critical reflection, and continuous learning, iterative thinking enables scientists to address the complexity and uncertainty that characterize modern scientific and healthcare challenges more effectively than conventional linear reasoning.

The cognitive-iterative model of Panchavayava Vakya provides a compelling framework for addressing the limitations of linear research methodologies, particularly in the context of complex biological systems. Ayurveda, with its emphasis on individuality, variability, and context, inherently operates within such complexity. As discussed earlier, the contemporary research dealing with complexity, adaptability, and

non-linearity shifts away from rigid, reductionist models. It suggests that properties of the whole system cannot be explained solely by its individual parts. Meaning is derived from how elements connect dynamically over time[8].

Table 1: Comparative mapping of the components of the Panchavayava Vakya

Panchavayava vakya	Cognitive function	Modern research equivalent	Iterative aspect
Pratigya	Defining a problem	Research question/Hypothesis	Can be reformulated when new evidence appears
Hetu	Collect and justify evidence	Literature review, observations, experiments	New evidence continuously modifies understanding
Drishtanta	Compare with known examples	Models, prior studies, analogies, benchmarks	New comparisons generate alternative explanations
Upanaya	Apply knowledge to the present context	Interpretation, implementation, clinical application	Results are tested in real-world settings
Nigama	Draw a conclusion	Conclusion/decision	Becomes the starting point for the next research cycle

Panchavayava Vakya is not merely a structure for debate; it anticipates these developments by offering a structured yet flexible approach to knowledge generation. As mentioned earlier, it accepts multiple sources of evidence, merges subjective and objective data meticulously, and allows refinement of understanding. This perspective also addresses a longstanding challenge in Ayurvedic research such as the difficulty of validating holistic and individualized interventions using rigid experimental designs. By adopting an iterative framework, researchers can develop methodologies that are more aligned with the principles of Ayurveda.

5. NOVELTY AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY

The present study introduces a novel conceptualization of Panchavayava Vakya as a cognitive-iterative research engine. This reinterpretation moves beyond traditional linear mappings and highlights the dynamic, recursive nature of Ayurvedic reasoning.

It offers a theoretical bridge between classical epistemology and contemporary scientific paradigms, positioning Ayurveda as a system that is not only historically significant but also scientifically relevant in the modern era.

6. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

This study is conceptual and does not include empirical validation. The proposed model requires further exploration through interdisciplinary research, including applications in clinical and experimental settings. Additionally, variations in interpretation of classical texts may influence the generalizability of the model.

7. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Future research should focus on operationalizing the iterative model in practical settings. This may include the development of adaptive research designs, integration with computational models, and empirical validation through clinical studies. Such efforts could significantly enhance the methodological robustness of Ayurvedic research.

8. CONCLUSION

Reinterpreting Panchavayava Vakya as a cognitive-iterative system reveals its profound relevance as a model of scientific inquiry. By transcending linear reasoning and embracing dynamic, context-sensitive processes, it provides a powerful framework for advancing integrative and personalized medicine. This perspective not only deepens our understanding of Ayurvedic epistemology but also contributes to the evolving landscape of global healthcare research

REFERENCES

1. Chakrapanidatta, Charaka Samhita, Editor Vaidya Jadavji Trikamji Acharya, Reprint 2011, Varanasi,Chaukhambha Surbharti Prakashan, Sootrasthana,30/27, Page No.187
2. Chakrapanidatta, Charaka Samhita, Editor Vaidya Jadavji Trikamji Acharya, Reprint 2011, Varanasi,Chaukhambha Surbharti Prakashan, Vimanasthana,08/14, Page No.265
3. Chakrapanidatta, Charaka Samhita, Editor Vaidya Jadavji Trikamji Acharya, Reprint 2011, Varanasi,Chaukhambha Surbharti Prakashan, Vimanasthana,08/27, Page No.266
4. Chakrapanidatta, Charaka Samhita, Editor Vaidya Jadavji Trikamji Acharya, Reprint 2011, Varanasi,Chaukhambha Surbharti Prakashan, Vimanasthana,08/31, Page No.267
5. Chakrapanidatta, Charaka Samhita, Editor Vaidya Jadavji Trikamji Acharya, Reprint 2011, Varanasi,Chaukhambha Surbharti Prakashan, Vimanasthana,08/33, Page No.267
6. Chakrapanidatta, Charaka Samhita, Editor Vaidya Jadavji Trikamji Acharya, Reprint 2011, Varanasi,Chaukhambha Surbharti Prakashan, Vimanasthana,08/34, Page No.267
7. Tomassi A, Falegnami A, Romano E. Unveiling simplicity: A new paradigm for understanding complex adaptive systems and driving technological innovation. Innovation (Camb). 2025;6(9):100954. doi:10.1016/j.xinn.2025.100954