

Factors Affecting Implementation and Automation of Inventory Management Systems

Rutuja Bharat Gaikwad

Abstract

The automation of inventory management systems represents both a compelling operational opportunity and a persistent implementation challenge for Indian industrial enterprises, particularly SMEs operating within MIDC industrial clusters. Despite the demonstrated capabilities of barcode, RFID, IoT, ERP, and AI

technologies to substantially improve inventory accuracy, visibility, and cost efficiency, adoption remains constrained by a complex and interdependent configuration of technological, financial, organisational, human, and environmental factors that no existing framework adequately captures or addresses. This research addresses that gap through a comprehensive empirical investigation of the multi-dimensional factors affecting IMS automation adoption and through the development of the Cost-Benefit Adoption Framework as a structured decision-support tool grounded in established theoretical traditions. By integrating Technology Acceptance Model, Diffusion of Innovations Theory, and Resource-Based View, the CBAF provides a theoretically coherent and practically applicable model for understanding and improving automation adoption readiness in resource-constrained industrial settings. The findings of this research will contribute to a more systematic understanding of why the implementation gap between technological capability and operational adoption persists in the Indian manufacturing context,

1. Introduction

The management of inventory constitutes one of the most operationally critical and financially significant functions within any manufacturing, retail, or logistics enterprise. For decades, organisations relied predominantly on manual methods — paper ledgers, periodic physical counting, and labour-intensive reconciliation processes — that were inherently susceptible to human error, time delays, and systemic inefficiencies. As Ballou (2004) observed, inventory inaccuracies of even two to three percent can cascade into significant stockout events and customer service failures with disproportionate operational consequences.

The emergence of barcode scanning, Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) platforms, Internet of Things (IoT) sensor networks, and artificial intelligence (AI)-driven demand forecasting has fundamentally altered the technological landscape of inventory management. Within the Industry 4.0 paradigm, these technologies collectively enable real-time stock visibility, predictive replenishment, automated reorder triggering, and seamless multi-echelon supply chain coordination — capabilities documented to yield substantial reductions in holding costs, stockout frequencies, and order cycle times (Attaran, 2020; Hensgen et al., 2021).

Despite this technological progress, a pronounced and persistent implementation gap characterises the Indian industrial context. Survey evidence indicates that over sixty-five percent of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) operating within Maharashtra Industrial Development Corporation (MIDC) clusters continue to rely on semi-manual or basic spreadsheet-based inventory methods, even where technically

superior and commercially available automation solutions exist (Jain & Chaurasia, 2022). This paradox — wherein proven technologies remain underadopted — raises a fundamental research question: what are the principal factors that determine whether organisations successfully implement and automate their inventory management systems?

The question carries particular urgency in the Indian manufacturing context, where competitive pressure from global supply chains, increasing OEM requirements for electronic documentation, and regulatory mandates in sectors such as pharmaceuticals are simultaneously accelerating the demand for automation while financial and organisational constraints continue to constrain its adoption. Understanding the precise configuration of factors that facilitate or impede this transition is therefore essential for practitioners, policymakers, and academic researchers alike.

This study addresses this challenge through a comprehensive investigation of the technological, financial, organisational, human, and environmental factors that collectively shape inventory automation adoption, with particular attention to the SME industrial context of MIDC-based manufacturers in Maharashtra, India. The study contributes a novel Cost-Benefit Adoption Framework (CBAF) that integrates these multi-dimensional factors into a structured decision-support model applicable to resource-constrained industrial settings.

2. Review of Literature

2.1 Evolution of Inventory Management Systems

The theoretical foundations of inventory management originate in operations research, tracing from the economic order quantity model of Harris (1913) through the probabilistic demand models of Arrow et al. (1951) and the systems-level frameworks of Forrester (1961). Contemporary scholarship has progressively expanded this foundation to incorporate digital technology dimensions. Attaran (2020) provides a systematic account of how digital technology enablers — cloud computing, IoT, blockchain, and AI — are reshaping supply chain operations, with inventory management identified as the domain most immediately and substantially affected. Hensgen et al. (2021) conducted a systematic review of Industry 4.0 integration in warehouse management, confirming that IoT-enabled systems consistently demonstrate accuracy improvements exceeding twenty percent relative to manual approaches, though implementation complexity varies substantially across organisational contexts.

2.2 Technological Factors in Automation Adoption

Technological compatibility and systems integration are the most consistently cited determinants of successful IMS automation. Kumar et al. (2021) investigated RFID deployment across fourteen Indian pharmaceutical firms, finding that while RFID reduced stockout events by thirty-four percent, legacy ERP integration required an average of 7.2 months of customisation effort. Gupta and Sharma (2022) documented that fifty-eight percent of surveyed manufacturers cited incompatible data architectures as the primary technical impediment to automation adoption. Zhang et al. (2021) demonstrated a twenty-four percent efficiency improvement through IoT-based bin tracking, while Patel et al. (2022) found barcode systems achieved 97.3% inventory accuracy in Pune MIDC SMEs at substantially lower implementation cost than RFID alternatives. Chen and Liu (2022) demonstrated that LSTM-based demand forecasting reduced forecast error by forty-one percent compared to traditional ARIMA methods in automotive manufacturing contexts.

2.3 Financial Factors and Cost-Benefit Considerations

Capital investment requirements and return-on-investment timelines represent the most consequential

barriers to automation adoption among SMEs. Mehta and Kapoor (2022) examined adoption decisions across 156 SMEs in Maharashtra, finding that the median acceptable payback period was 18 months while actual RFID deployments yielded payback periods of 28 to 42 months — a gap that substantially explains adoption reluctance. Roy and Mishra (2021) documented that post-implementation maintenance, training, and customisation expenses elevated five-year total cost of ownership by an average of 180 percent beyond initial ERP implementation estimates. Li and Wang (2022) proposed a structured TCO model and found that only twenty-three percent of firms conducted formal cost analysis prior to adoption decisions. Government programmes including the PLI scheme and MSME technology upgrade initiatives have partially addressed financial barriers, though awareness and accessibility remain limited (Ministry of MSME, 2023).

2.4 Organisational Factors

Top management commitment is consistently identified as the dominant organisational predictor of IMS automation success. Joshi and Bhat (2022), applying structural equation modelling across 212 manufacturing firms, found that management support explained forty-seven percent of variance in adoption intention, exceeding the explanatory power of technology attributes. Davis's Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and its extensions by Venkatesh et al. (2003) have been widely applied to IMS adoption research, with perceived usefulness and ease of use identified as proximate adoption predictors mediated by management championship. Zhao and Park (2022) found that organisations with lean manufacturing cultures demonstrated 2.3 times higher likelihood of successful IMS automation, while Iyer and Krishnamurthy (2022) documented that sixty-eight percent of failed implementations in Indian SMEs were attributable to inadequate vendor support during the critical first ninety days post-deployment.

2.5 Human Factors

The human dimension of IMS automation encompasses workforce skill availability, resistance to change, training effectiveness, and leadership capability. Rao and Sundarajan (2022) revealed that seventy-one percent of industrial firms lacked internal personnel capable of configuring and maintaining RFID or IoT-based systems. Sharma and Trivedi (2023) identified three resistance archetypes — capability resistance, procedural resistance, and trust resistance — and found that structured change management programs combining technical training with participatory system design reduced resistance indices by fifty-eight percent over six months. Nakamura et al. (2022) demonstrated that comprehensive training investment yielded 3.2 times higher system utilisation rates compared to minimal training approaches in automotive supplier contexts.

2.6 Environmental and Regulatory Factors

Regulatory compliance requirements increasingly function as both drivers and constraints on IMS automation. DCGI pharmaceutical serialisation mandates have effectively compelled barcode and RFID adoption in drug supply chains where voluntary business cases had failed to produce adoption (Gupta & Agarwal, 2022). Conversely, data protection regulations and cybersecurity requirements impose additional compliance costs on IoT-based architectures. Sharma et al. (2023) found that OEM pressure requiring electronic ASNs and RFID-tagged shipments was the most significant adoption driver for automotive component suppliers in the Pune-Nashik corridor, overriding financial reservations in sixty-one percent of studied cases. Wang and Zhou (2022) demonstrated that digital twin-enabled inventory systems reduced stock discrepancy from 4.2 to 0.7 percent over twelve months in an electronics manufacturer.

2.7 Research Gap

Despite substantial literature examining individual dimensions of IMS automation, several critical gaps persist. First, the overwhelming majority of empirical studies focus on large enterprises or single-technology implementations with limited applicability to SME contexts in developing economies; of studies reviewed, fewer than twenty-five percent explicitly targeted firms with fewer than 500 employees and fewer than fifteen percent considered the specific constraints of Indian industrial environments. Second, no existing study proposes an integrated, multi-factor adoption framework simultaneously accounting for technological readiness, financial viability, organisational capability, human capital, and environmental pressures. Third, the pathway from manual inventory operations to fully AI-augmented autonomous inventory management in resource-constrained settings lacks empirical investigation. Fourth, longitudinal studies tracking adoption outcomes beyond initial implementation are rare, leaving long-term sustainability and system evolution dynamics poorly understood. This study directly addresses these gaps.

3. Objectives of the Study

1. To identify and categorise the key technological, financial, organisational, human, and environmental factors that influence the implementation and automation of inventory management systems in Indian industrial enterprises.
2. To analyse the relative impact of each factor category on automation adoption decisions, with specific reference to SMEs operating within MIDC industrial clusters in Maharashtra.
3. To assess the role of emerging technologies — including RFID, IoT, ERP integration, and AI-driven forecasting — in overcoming implementation barriers and enhancing inventory accuracy and operational performance.
4. To identify and examine the major challenges encountered during IMS implementation, including high investment costs, integration difficulties, resistance to change, and inadequate technical expertise.
5. To develop and propose a Cost-Benefit Adoption Framework (CBAF) that integrates the identified multi-dimensional factors into a structured decision-support model for inventory automation adoption in resource-constrained industrial settings.

4. Theoretical Framework and Conceptual Model

This study is grounded in three complementary theoretical traditions that collectively explain the multi-dimensional nature of technology adoption in organisational contexts.

Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), originally formulated by Davis (1989) and subsequently extended by Venkatesh et al. (2003) into the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT), provides the foundational lens through which individual and organisational technology adoption decisions are analysed. TAM's core constructs of perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use are operationalised within this study as components of the technological readiness and human capital dimensions of the proposed framework.

Diffusion of Innovations Theory (Rogers, 2003) complements TAM by explaining how technological innovations spread across organisational and social systems. Rogers' adopter categories — innovators, early adopters, early majority, late majority, and laggards — provide a typological basis for classifying the MIDC industrial firms examined in this study, while his five innovation characteristics (relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability) correspond directly to the technological and financial factor dimensions of the CBAF.

Resource-Based View (RBV) of the firm (Barney, 1991) provides the organisational-level theoretical grounding, positioning technology adoption as a function of organisational resource endowments and dynamic capabilities. In the IMS automation context, RBV explains why firms with superior financial resources, technical capabilities, and organisational routines demonstrate higher adoption rates even when confronted with identical external technological environments.

The proposed Cost-Benefit Adoption Framework (CBAF) integrates constructs from all three theories into a three-layer architecture: a Factor Assessment Layer operationalising five dimensional indices (Technology Readiness Index, Financial Viability Index, Organisational Preparedness Index, Human Capital Index, and Environmental Alignment Index); a Cost-Benefit Calculation Layer translating factor scores into Automation Cost Index, Expected Benefit Score, and Net Adoption Value metrics; and an Adoption Readiness Decision Layer classifying organisations into four readiness quadrants from High Readiness to Preparatory, with technology-specific adoption recommendations for each.

Variables:

- Independent Variables — Technological Factors, Financial Factors, Organisational Factors, Human Factors, Environmental Factors
- Mediating Variable — Adoption Readiness (operationalised through the Technology Readiness Index and Organisational Preparedness Index)
- Dependent Variable — Inventory Management System Automation Adoption (scope, depth, and sustainability of implementation)

5. Research Methodology

A mixed-method, sequential explanatory research design will be employed. In the first phase, a quantitative survey will establish the prevalence and configuration of adoption factors across a representative sample of manufacturing and logistics enterprises. In the second phase, qualitative case studies with selected firms will provide contextual depth and process-level explanation of the quantitative findings. This sequential design is appropriate for a research domain where measurement instruments are still developing and contextual interpretation of statistical relationships is essential for theoretical contribution (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2018).

5.1 Sampling Technique

Proportionate stratified random sampling will be employed. The target population comprises manufacturing, automotive, pharmaceutical, warehousing, retail, FMCG, and logistics enterprises operating within MIDC industrial clusters across Maharashtra, India. Organisations will be stratified by industry sector and firm size (micro, small, medium, and large), with proportionate samples drawn from each stratum to ensure representativeness. For the qualitative phase, theoretical purposive sampling will identify organisations representing diverse automation readiness profiles and implementation trajectories.

5.2 Sample Size

A minimum sample of 400 respondents is proposed for the quantitative phase, calculated based on structural equation modelling requirements of ten observations per indicator variable with adequate statistical power (Hair et al., 2019). A pilot study of 50 respondents will be conducted to assess instrument reliability and validity prior to full-scale data collection. For the qualitative phase, 8 to 12 in-depth case study organisations will be selected following the principle of theoretical saturation.

5.3 Data Collection

Primary data will be collected through a structured questionnaire instrument targeting inventory managers,

supply chain directors, IT managers, and operations heads within sampled organisations. The questionnaire will incorporate established measurement scales for technology adoption (Venkatesh et al., 2003), organisational readiness for change (Holt et al., 2007), and financial evaluation of technology investments (Dos Santos, 1991), contextually adapted and validated for the Indian industrial automation context. Secondary data will be drawn from government industrial surveys, MIDC cluster reports, industry association publications, and audited financial statements.

5.4 Data Analysis

Quantitative data will be analysed using IBM SPSS for descriptive statistics, reliability analysis (Cronbach's alpha), and exploratory factor analysis. Confirmatory factor analysis and structural equation modelling (SEM) using AMOS or SmartPLS will be employed to test the hypothesised relationships among the five factor dimensions, adoption readiness, and automation adoption outcomes. Qualitative case study data will be analysed through thematic analysis using NVivo, with findings triangulated against the quantitative results to ensure construct validity and contextual richness.

6. Significance of the Study

From an academic perspective, this study makes three contributions. First, it extends technology adoption theory to the specific domain of industrial inventory automation in developing economies, a context substantially underrepresented in existing scholarship. Second, it proposes and operationalises the Cost-Benefit Adoption Framework as a novel theoretical construct integrating TAM, Diffusion of Innovations, and Resource-Based View into a unified adoption model. Third, it contributes a validated multi-dimensional measurement instrument for assessing automation adoption readiness that can be applied across diverse industrial contexts.

From a practitioner perspective, the CBAF and its associated diagnostic instruments will provide inventory managers, supply chain directors, and business owners with structured analytical tools for evaluating automation investment decisions, sequencing technology adoption, and identifying the specific organisational interventions most likely to improve adoption readiness in their context. For policymakers, the study will provide empirical evidence to inform the design of targeted support programmes — including subsidy structures, training initiatives, and cluster-level automation support services — that address the documented barriers constraining automation adoption among Indian SMEs.

7. Scope and Limitations

The geographic scope of this study is limited to manufacturing, logistics, and distribution enterprises operating within MIDC industrial clusters in Maharashtra, India, with primary fieldwork conducted in the Pune, Mumbai, Nashik, and Aurangabad industrial regions. While this geographic focus enables depth and contextual specificity, findings may not be directly generalisable to other states or international industrial contexts without further validation.

The technological scope encompasses barcode systems, RFID, IoT-enabled inventory platforms, ERP-integrated modules, and AI-driven forecasting applications. Emerging technologies such as blockchain-based supply chain traceability and autonomous mobile robotics are acknowledged but not empirically investigated in this study, representing a boundary condition and opportunity for future research.

As data are primarily self-reported by organisational representatives, the possibility of social desirability bias and post-hoc rationalisation of adoption decisions cannot be entirely eliminated. The cross-sectional quantitative component will not capture the dynamic evolution of adoption decisions over time, a

limitation that the longitudinal qualitative case studies will partially address. Additionally, the rapidly evolving technological landscape means that specific technology cost benchmarks and performance parameters documented in this study may require periodic recalibration.

8. Conclusion

The automation of inventory management systems represents both a compelling operational opportunity and a persistent implementation challenge for Indian industrial enterprises, particularly SMEs operating within MIDC industrial clusters. Despite the demonstrated capabilities of barcode, RFID, IoT, ERP, and AI technologies to substantially improve inventory accuracy, visibility, and cost efficiency, adoption remains constrained by a complex and interdependent configuration of technological, financial, organisational, human, and environmental factors that no existing framework adequately captures or addresses.

This research addresses that gap through a comprehensive empirical investigation of the multi-dimensional factors affecting IMS automation adoption and through the development of the Cost-Benefit Adoption Framework as a structured decision-support tool grounded in established theoretical traditions. By integrating Technology Acceptance Model, Diffusion of Innovations Theory, and Resource-Based View, the CBAF provides a theoretically coherent and practically applicable model for understanding and improving automation adoption readiness in resource-constrained industrial settings.

The findings of this research will contribute to a more systematic understanding of why the implementation gap between technological capability and operational adoption persists in the Indian manufacturing context, and will generate actionable recommendations for the practitioners, policymakers, and academic researchers working to close that gap. In an era in which Industry 4.0 and emerging Industry 5.0 paradigms are reshaping global manufacturing competitiveness, enabling Indian SMEs to effectively adopt inventory automation is not merely an operational improvement opportunity but a strategic imperative for sustained economic participation in global value chains.

References.

1. Arrow, K. J., Harris, T., & Marschak, J. (1951). Optimal inventory policy. *Econometrica*, 19(3), 250–272.
2. Attaran, M. (2020). Digital technology enablers and their implications for supply chain management. *Supply Chain Forum: An International Journal*, 21(3), 158–172.
3. Ballou, R. H. (2004). *Business logistics/supply chain management* (5th ed.). Pearson Prentice Hall.
4. Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.
5. Chen, X., & Liu, Y. (2022). LSTM-based demand forecasting for automotive inventory management. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 33(8), 3546–3558.
6. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
7. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340.
8. Dos Santos, B. L. (1991). Justifying investments in new information technologies. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 7(4), 71–90.
9. Forrester, J. W. (1961). *Industrial dynamics*. MIT Press.

10. Gupta, P., & Sharma, N. (2022). ERP integration challenges in Indian manufacturing SMEs: A mixed-method investigation. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 168, 108122.
11. Gupta, R., & Agarwal, P. (2022). Regulatory-driven RFID adoption in pharmaceutical supply chains: Evidence from India. *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy*, 48(6), 234–245.
12. Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, 31(1), 2–24.
13. Harris, F. W. (1913). How many parts to make at once. *Factory: The Magazine of Management*, 10(2), 135–136.
14. Hensgen, J. B., Scholz-Reiter, B., & Freitag, R. (2021). Industry 4.0 integration in warehouse management: A systematic review. *International Journal of Production Research*, 59(11), 3273–3291.
15. Holt, D. T., Armenakis, A. A., Feild, H. S., & Harris, S. G. (2007). Readiness for organisational change. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 43(2), 232–255.
16. Iyer, S., & Krishnamurthy, V. (2022). Vendor support quality and IMS implementation failure in Indian SMEs. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 35(5), 1234–1258.
17. Jain, S. P., & Chaurasia, M. (2022). Barriers to automation adoption in MSME clusters: Evidence from Maharashtra, India. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 60(3), 512–538.
18. Joshi, R., & Bhat, S. (2022). Top management support as a predictor of ERP adoption: A structural equation modelling study. *Information & Management*, 59(2), 103578.
19. Kumar, R., Sharma, A., & Gupta, P. (2021). RFID-enabled pharmaceutical inventory management: An empirical study of Indian supply chains. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 68(4), 1021–1035.
20. Li, W., & Wang, H. (2022). A structured TCO model for inventory automation investment decisions. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 245, 108393.
21. Mehta, R., & Kapoor, S. (2022). ROI expectations vs. reality in SME technology adoption: A Maharashtra case study. *Journal of Business Research*, 142, 88–100.
22. Ministry of MSME, Government of India. (2023). Technology Upgradation and Quality Certification Scheme: Annual Report 2022–23. MSME Publications.
23. Nakamura, H., Tanaka, K., & Ito, Y. (2022). Training investment and system utilisation rates in ERP-enabled inventory management: A longitudinal study. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 167, 102903.
24. Patel, A., Desai, S., & Mehta, R. (2022). Barcode-based inventory systems in Pune MIDC SMEs: Adoption patterns and performance outcomes. *International Journal of Production Management and Engineering*, 10(2), 65–78.
25. Rao, V., & Sundarajan, G. (2022). Digital skills gap in Indian manufacturing: Assessment and implications for Industry 4.0 readiness. *Vikalpa: The Journal for Decision Makers*, 47(2), 78–95.
26. Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
27. Roy, A., & Mishra, S. (2021). Total cost of ownership analysis for ERP implementation in Indian SMEs. *International Journal of Information Management*, 58, 102289.
28. Sharma, M., & Trivedi, A. (2023). Resistance to automation in warehouse operations: Typology and intervention effectiveness. *International Journal of Manpower*, 44(1), 45–68.
29. Sharma, N., Verma, R., & Singh, P. (2023). OEM pressure as an automation adoption driver in the Pune-Nashik automotive corridor. *Production Planning & Control*, 34(8), 756–772.

30. Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425–478.
31. Wang, F., & Zhou, X. (2022). Digital twin-enabled inventory management: Reducing discrepancy and waste in electronics manufacturing. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 64, 234–245.
32. Zhang, L., Chen, X., & Liu, Y. (2021). IoT-enabled warehouse management: Design, implementation, and performance analysis. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 8(12), 9718–9732.
33. Zhao, Y., & Park, J. (2022). Lean culture as a predictor of inventory automation success: Cross-industry empirical evidence. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, 13(4), 789–812.