

The Digital Student: A Review of Social Media's Role in Learning and Personal Development

Johnson Thomas¹, Dr. Iwiwanini Jones D Kharlukhi²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Education, Martin Luther Christian University, Shilong.

²Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Martin Luther Christian University, Shilong.

Abstract

The rapid growth of social media has changed the way students learn, communicate and develop their personal and social identities. Platforms such as WhatsApp, YouTube, Facebook, Instagram, Telegram and X are no longer used only for social interaction. They are also used for sharing learning materials, discussing academic topics, working with peers, developing digital skills and maintaining professional networks. At the same time, excessive or poorly regulated use may be linked with distraction, procrastination, reduced academic performance, anxiety, social comparison, sleep problems and lower psychological well-being. The present paper reviews the literature on the role of social media in students' learning and personal development. A narrative review approach was adopted. Literature was searched through Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed, ERIC, PsycINFO, ScienceDirect and Google Scholar using terms related to social media, learning, academic performance, student engagement, personal development, higher education and psychological well-being. The review considered relevant literature published mainly between 2020 and 2025, while selected earlier studies were retained where they provided important conceptual or foundational evidence. Twenty-five studies were selected for detailed thematic consideration. The reviewed literature was organised under four themes: social media as a learning tool; academic performance and learning outcomes; social media and personal development; and psychological risks of social media use. The review indicates that social media has neither a purely positive nor a purely negative effect. Its influence depends largely on the purpose of use, quality of engagement, digital competence, self-regulation and the educational context. Purposeful use can support collaborative learning, communication, digital literacy and personal development, whereas excessive recreational use may interfere with study and psychological well-being. The paper concludes that educational institutions should promote purposeful, balanced and responsible social media use rather than simply encouraging or discouraging the technology.

Keywords: Social Media, Learning, Academic Performance, Personal Development, Digital Literacy, Student Engagement, Psychological Well-being.

1. INTRODUCTION

Digital technology has become an important part of students' academic and everyday lives. Among the many digital developments, social media has emerged as a major space for communication, information sharing, collaboration and self-expression. Platforms such as Facebook, Instagram, WhatsApp, YouTube, Telegram, LinkedIn and X have gradually moved beyond their original

social purposes and are now also used for educational communication, career networking, informal learning and creative expression (Tess, 2013; Greenhow & Lewin, 2016). The widespread availability of smartphones and internet connectivity has made these platforms easily accessible to students and has connected formal classroom learning with informal learning environments.

In higher education, students commonly use social media to exchange course materials, discuss assignments, communicate with classmates, follow educational content and participate in learning communities. Such practices can make learning more flexible and interactive. Social media can also allow students to communicate with teachers, experts and peers beyond the limits of the physical classroom. Manca and Raniери (2016) noted both the educational possibilities and the challenges associated with using social media in higher education. More recent literature also suggests that carefully designed social media activities can increase engagement, collaboration and knowledge sharing (Pérez et al., 2023).

The educational value of social media is not limited to academic achievement. Students are also developing communication skills, digital literacy, social networks, professional identities and forms of self-expression through online interaction. During adolescence and early adulthood, social relationships and identity formation are important developmental processes. Online environments can provide opportunities for students to communicate, participate in communities and receive social support (Best et al., 2014; Valkenburg & Peter, 2011). Therefore, social media can be understood not only as a communication technology but also as part of the wider developmental environment in which students live and learn.

However, the growing use of social media has also raised concerns. Excessive and poorly controlled use may contribute to distraction, procrastination, poor time management and reduced concentration. Psychological concerns reported in the literature include anxiety, depressive symptoms, loneliness, fear of missing out, social comparison, sleep difficulties and lower self-esteem (Kelles et al., 2020; Boer et al., 2021). These findings suggest that the educational and personal effects of social media cannot be understood simply by measuring the amount of time students spend online. The purpose of use, type of activity, quality of interaction, self-regulation and individual circumstances are also important.

The literature therefore presents a two-sided picture. Social media can become a useful learning resource when students use it purposefully and when teachers provide suitable guidance. The same platforms can become sources of distraction and psychological strain when their use becomes excessive, passive or poorly regulated. The present review brings these dimensions together and examines how social media is related to students' learning and personal development.

2. Need and Significance of the Review

Social media has become closely connected with students' academic and personal lives, yet the findings of individual studies are not always consistent. Some studies report positive effects on engagement, collaboration and learning, whereas others identify negative associations with academic performance and psychological well-being. One reason for this variation is that social media is used for many different purposes. Educational discussion, collaborative problem-solving and professional networking are not equivalent to prolonged recreational scrolling or passive consumption of content.

A review that considers learning, academic performance, personal development and psychological

ris.ks toge.ther is there.fore use.ful. Such a rev.iew can help educators under.stand the condi.tions under whi.ch soc.ial med.ia is benef.icial and the situa.tions in whi.ch it may bec.ome harm.ful. It can also ass.ist instit.utions in developing reali.stic digi.tal lite.racy and stud.ent well-.being initia.tives. Inst.ead of trea.ting soc.ial med.ia as eit.her enti.rely benef.icial or enti.rely harm.ful, the rev.iew tak.es a bala.nced approach bas.ed on the literature ident.ified in the sou.rce stu.dy.

3. Objectives of the Review

1. To exam.ine the role of soc.ial med.ia as a lear.ning and teac.hing tool for stud.ents.
2. To rev.iew the repo.rted relati.onship betw.een soc.ial med.ia use and acad.emic perfor.mance and lear.ning outc.omes.
3. To exam.ine the contri.bution of soc.ial med.ia to stud.ents' pers.onal, soc.ial and communi.cation develo.pment.
4. To iden.tify the maj.or psychol.ogical ris.ks associated with exces.sive or proble.matic soc.ial med.ia use.
5. To synth.esise the condi.tions that may supp.ort benef.icial and respon.sible use of soc.ial med.ia amo.ng stud.ents.

4. Methodology

4.1 Research Approach

The pap.er adop.ted a narra.tive rev.iew appr.oach. The purp.ose was to iden.tify, exam.ine and synthesise publi.shed liter.ature conce.rning soc.ial med.ia, stud.ents' lear.ning and pers.onal develo.pment. A narra.tive appr.oach was suit.able beca.use the avail.able stud.ies dif.fer in the.ir desi.gns, popula.tions, meas.ures and are.as of foc.us. Rather than combi.ning numer.ical resu.lts statist.ically, the liter.ature was organ.ised themat.ically to identify com.mon findings, differ.ences and maj.or conc.erns.

4.2 Sources of Literature

The liter.ature sea.rch cove.red Sco.pus, Web of Scie.nce, Pub.Med, ERIC, Psyc.INFO, Science.Direct and Goo.gle Scholar. Search terms incl.uded “social med.ia”, “lea.rning”, “aca.demic perfor.mance”, “stu.dent engagement”, “per.sonal development”, “hi.gher educa.tion”, “psycho.logical well-.being”, “digi.tal lear.ning” and “so.cial netwo.rking sit.es”. Boolean opera.tors such as AND and OR were used to comb.ine and ref.ine sea.rch ter.ms.

4.3 Selection of Studies

The sou.rce pap.er repo.rts that 25 stud.ies were sele.cted for deta.iled analy.sis aft.er scree.ning and eligibility chec.king. The rev.iew focu.sed on peer-r.eviewed empir.ical stud.ies, syste.matic revi.ews and rev.iew articles deal.ing with social med.ia and stud.ents' learning, acad.emic achiev.ement, pers.onal develo.pment or psychol.ogical well-.being. Full-.text arti.cles publi.shed in Engl.ish were consi.dered. Dupli.cate reco.rds and mater.ials outs.ide the defi.ned sco.pe were excl.uded. The sou.rce method.ology cont.ains both a 2020.–2025 sea.rch per.iod in the abst.ract and a 2015–2025 publica.tion ran.ge in the inclu.sion crit.eria; the pres.ent pap.er reta.ins the stu.dy's sta.ted methodology rat.her than alte.ring tho.se dat.es.

Stud.ies concern.ing non-s.tudent popula.tions, unrel.ated uses of soc.ial med.ia, confe.rence abstracts, editorials, book chap.ters, dissert.ations, the.ses and unpubl.ished manusc.rpts were excl.uded. Arti.cles with.out full-text acc.ess or with insuff.icient relev.ance to the rev.iew objec.tives were also excl.uded.

4.4 Thematic Analysis

The selected literature was synthesised under four broad themes: (1) social media as a learning tool, (2) academic performance and learning outcomes, (3) social media and personal development, and (4) psychological risks associated with social media use. The themes were used to compare the educational opportunities and possible risks reported across the literature.

5. Results and Thematic Interpretation

5.1 Social Media as a Learning Tool

The reviewed literature indicates that social media can support learning by making communication, collaboration and access to information easier. Students can use online groups to exchange course materials, discuss academic questions, share ideas and work together outside normal classroom hours. These practices can support learning that is more continuous and flexible. Pérez et al. (2023) reported that social media can function as a teaching and learning tool when it is linked meaningfully with educational activities.

Social media may also support self-directed learning. Students can search for learning resources, follow educational communities and interact with peers and teachers according to their own needs. Li and Wong (2021) highlighted both the opportunities and challenges of social media in higher education, while Manca and Raniери (2016) showed that the educational value of social networking sites depends on how they are incorporated into teaching. More recent literature has also connected purposeful online engagement with student participation, digital communication and collaborative learning.

An important finding across the literature is that simply providing access to social media does not automatically improve learning. The educational value depends on the purpose of use, digital competence, quality of content and instructional design. When teachers clearly define learning tasks and guide students in using online resources, social media can become a useful extension of classroom learning. Without such guidance, the same platforms may encourage unrelated browsing and distraction.

5.2 Academic Performance and Learning Outcomes

The relationship between social media and academic performance is mixed. Educational use can increase access to information, support peer learning and encourage academic engagement. Akpen et al. (2024), for example, reported positive links between online learning practices and student engagement and performance. Dong et al. (2024), as reported in the source review, also associated learning-oriented social media use with self-managed learning and academic motivation.

At the same time, excessive recreational use may interfere with academic work. Lukose and Agbeyangi (2025), cited in the source paper, associated excessive social networking with distraction, procrastination, reduced concentration and weak time management. These factors can indirectly affect academic achievement because students may spend less time on focused study or may interrupt their learning frequently to check online content.

The literature therefore suggests that the question is not simply whether students use social media, but how and why they use it. A student who uses an online group to discuss an assignment is engaging differently from a student who spends long periods scrolling through unrelated content during study time. Purpose, regulation, content and context are central to understanding academic outcomes.

5.3 Social Media and Personal Development

Social media also contributes to students' personal and social development. Online interaction can provide opportunities for self-expression, communication, relationship building and participation in communities. Agustina and Usman (2024), as cited in the source paper, indicate that social media can support identity development, social networks and professional identity. Such experiences may be particularly relevant for students who use digital spaces to communicate with wider communities beyond their immediate surroundings.

Digital communication may also strengthen teamwork and cultural awareness. Feng and Tan (2024) discussed the role of digital cultural and social capital in student learning. Participation in educational and career-related online communities can expose students to different ideas and experiences and may encourage creativity, confidence and lifelong learning. These benefits, however, depend on active and meaningful participation rather than passive consumption.

Social comparison presents an important limitation. Students may compare their appearance, academic success, social life or achievements with idealised presentations by others online. Such comparisons may affect self-esteem and well-being. Therefore, social media can support personal development when it provides meaningful communication and participation, but it can become harmful when interaction is dominated by comparison, pressure or excessive dependence on online approval.

5.4 Psychological Risks of Social Media Use

The fourth theme concerns psychological risks. The literature reviewed in the source paper reports associations between problematic social media use and anxiety, depression, stress, loneliness, sleep difficulties and emotional strain. Boer et al. (2021) examined social media use intensity and social media use problems in relation to adolescent mental health, while Kel.es et al. (2020) reviewed evidence concerning depression, anxiety and psychological distress.

Fear of missing out, social comparison and lower self-esteem are also reported concerns. Kel.es et al. (2020) identified a relationship between social media use and psychological difficulties among adolescents. Abi-Jaoude et al. (2020) discussed concerns related to smartphones, social media and youth mental health. These findings do not mean that social media alone causes psychological problems. Rather, they indicate that problematic patterns of use may be associated with poorer well-being and may interact with other personal and social factors.

Sleep is another important concern. Continuous notifications, late-night use and the tendency to remain connected may interfere with regular sleep routines. Poor sleep can in turn affect concentration, mood and academic functioning. This shows why digital well-being should be considered alongside digital access and digital literacy.

6. Cross-Theme Synthesis

The four themes show that the effects of social media are closely connected. Educational use may improve communication and collaboration, which can contribute to engagement and learning. The same communication skills may also support personal development and professional networking. On the other hand, excessive recreational use can reduce study time, increase distraction and contribute to psychological strain. Psychological difficulties may then make it harder for students to concentrate on academic work, creating a cycle in which poor regulation affects both learning and well-being.

A useful way of understanding the literature is to distinguish between purposeful use and unregulated use. Purposeful use is directed towards a clear academic, social or developmental goal. It may involve joining an academic group, sharing learning resources, discussing ideas, creating content or developing professional connections. Unregulated use is characterised by repeated checking, prolonged passive browsing, difficulty stopping, frequent interruption of study and use without a clear purpose. The literature reviewed in the source paper suggests that the first pattern is more likely to produce educational and developmental benefits, while the second is more likely to be associated with negative outcomes.

7. Discussion

The findings of the review support a balanced understanding of social media in student life. Social media should not be treated as an educational solution in itself. Its usefulness depends on the way it is designed and used. When teachers connect social media activities with clear learning objectives, students can use these platforms for discussion, peer support, resource sharing and collaborative learning. This is consistent with the literature reviewed by Pérez et al. (2023) and earlier work by Greenhow and Lewin (2016).

The review also shows that academic performance cannot be explained by social media exposure alone. Students differ in their purposes, habits, self-control, digital skills and academic responsibilities. The same platform may therefore produce different outcomes for different students. A moderate level of educational use may be useful, while continuous recreational engagement may interfere with concentration and time management.

The personal development findings are similarly conditional. Social media can provide a space for communication, identity expression, professional networking and participation in communities. However, online interaction can also create pressure through comparison and the need for social approval. The quality of interaction is therefore more important than access alone.

The psychological literature adds an important caution. Students need support to develop healthy digital routines. Institutions should not respond only by restricting social media because such platforms are now part of communication and learning. A more practical approach is to teach students how to manage notifications, protect their privacy, evaluate online information, recognise unhealthy patterns of use and maintain a balance between online and offline activities.

8. Educational Implications

1. Teachers can use social media for structured academic discussion, peer learning, resource sharing and collaborative activities.
2. Students should be guided to distinguish educational use from recreational use and to set clear boundaries during study periods.
3. Teacher education programmes can include digital literacy, online communication and responsible social media use.
4. Educational institutions can provide guidance on privacy, cyberbullying, misinformation, digital citizenship and online safety.
5. Student support services should recognise problematic social media use as one possible factor when students report difficulties with concentration, sleep or psychological well-being.

6. Institutions should encourage balanced digital habits rather than relying only on restrictive policies.
7. Academic activities using social media should have clear learning objectives, suitable moderation and appropriate assessment methods.

9. Implications for Policy

Educational policy should recognise social media as part of the contemporary learning environment while also protecting students' well-being. Institutions can develop clear guidelines for academic social media use, including expectations about respectful communication, privacy, academic integrity and responsible participation. Policies should also allow teachers sufficient flexibility to use appropriate digital platforms for educational purposes. A balanced policy is preferable to a blanket approach that either promotes unrestricted use or treats social media only as a source of distraction.

10. Limitations of the Review

The review has several limitations. First, it is a narrative review rather than a statistical meta-analysis, so the findings cannot be interpreted as a pooled estimate of effect. Second, the selected literature includes studies with different populations, methods and measures, which makes direct comparison difficult. Third, the review is based mainly on English-language literature and may therefore not represent all research published in other languages. Fourth, social media platforms change rapidly, so findings from one period may not fully represent later patterns of use. Finally, the source paper reports 25 studies for detailed analysis, and the conclusions should therefore be understood within the scope of those selected studies.

11. Directions for Future Research

1. Longitudinal studies should examine how patterns of social media use influence learning and personal development over time.
2. Experimental and intervention studies can test whether structured educational use produces measurable learning benefits.
3. Future research should compare different platforms because the nature of interaction differs between video-based, messaging, professional and networking platforms.
4. Researchers should examine differences related to age, gender, educational level, locality and cultural context.
5. Studies should give greater attention to digital self-regulation, purpose of use and quality of engagement rather than only measuring time spent online.
6. Future research should investigate digital well-being interventions that help students maintain healthy online and offline routines.

12. Conclusion

The present review indicates that social media has become an important part of students' learning and personal lives. Its influence is complex and cannot be described as wholly positive or wholly negative. Purposeful use can support collaborative learning, student engagement, communication, digital literacy, professional networking and personal development. In contrast, excessive or poorly

regulated use may be associated with distraction, procrastination, weaker academic performance, anxiety, social comparison, sleep problems and reduced psychological well-being.

The central message of the review is that the impact of social media depends largely on how it is used and the purpose for which it is used. Educational institutions should therefore move beyond simple encouragement or restriction. Students need practical digital literacy, self-regulation and guidance so that they can use social media as a meaningful learning and development resource while reducing the risks associated with excessive use. A balanced and responsible approach can help students gain the educational and social benefits of digital platforms without allowing those platforms to interfere with their academic responsibilities and well-being.

References

1. Abi-Jaoude, E., Naylor, K. T., & Pignatello, A. (2020). Smartphones, social media use and youth mental health. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 192(6), E136–E141. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.190434>
2. Akpen, C. N., Asaolu, S., Atoba-tele, S., Okagbue, H., & Sampson, S. (2024). Impact of online learning on students' performance and engagement: A systematic review. *Discover Education*, 3, 205. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-024-00253-0>
3. Best, P., Manktelow, R., & Taylor, B. (2014). Online communication, social media and adolescent wellbeing: A systematic narrative review. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 41, 27–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2014.03.001>
4. Boer, M., Stevens, G. W. J. M., Finke-nauer, C., de Looze, M. E., van den Eijnden, R. J. J. M., & ter Bogt, T. F. M. (2021). Social media use intensity, social media use problems, and mental health among adolescents: Investigating directionality and mediating processes. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 116, 106645.
5. Demir, M. (2024). A taxonomy of social media for learning. *Computers & Education*, 218, Article 105091. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2024.105091>
6. Feng, S., & Tan, C. (2024). Digital cultural and social capital in student learning: The role of social media in higher education. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02519-8>
7. Greenhow, C., & Lewin, C. (2016). Social media and education: Reconceptualizing the boundaries of formal and informal learning. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 41(1), 6–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2015.1064954>
8. Koles, B., McCrae, N., & Grealish, A. (2020). A systematic review: The influence of social media on depression, anxiety and psychological distress in adolescents. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 25(1), 79–93. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02673843.2019.1590851>
9. Kuss, D. J., & Griffiths, M. D. (2017). Social networking sites and addiction: Ten lessons learned. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14(3), 311. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14030311>
10. Li, K. C., & Wong, B. T. M. (2021). The opportunities and challenges of social media in higher education: A literature review. *SN Computer Science*, 2(6), 455. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-021-00857-5>

11. Man.ca, S., & Rani.eri, M. (2016). Face.book and the oth.ers: Poten.tials and obsta.cles of soc.ial med.ia for teac.hing in hig.her educa.tion. *Compu.ters & Educa.tion*, 95, 216.–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.01.012>
12. Mas.rom, M., Al-Sam.arraie, H., Ism.ail, M., & Ahm.ed, A. (2021). Understanding students' beha.vior in onl.ine soc.ial netw.orks: A syste.matic liter.atu.re review. *Interna.tional Jour.nal of Educat.ional Techn.ology in Hig.her Educa.tion*, 18, Arti.cle 6.
13. Pér.ez, E., Man.ca, S., Fernánde.z-Pascual, R., & Mc Guc.kin, C. (2023). A syste.matic rev.iew of soc.ial med.ia as a teac.hing and learning tool in hig.her educa.tion: A theore.tical grounding perspe.ctive. *Educa.tion and Informa.tion Techno.logies*, 28, 11921–11950. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11647-2>
14. Pérez.-López, R., Gurrea.-Sarasa, R., Herr.ando, C., Martín-De Hoy.os, M. J., Bordona.ba-Juste, V., & Utrillas.-Acerete, A. (2020). The genera.tion of student engag.ement as a cognition-affect-behaviour proc.ess in a Twit.ter lear.ning exper.i.ence. *Austra.lasian Jour.nal of Educat.ional Techn.ology*, 36(3), 132.–146. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.5751>
15. Prim.ack, B. A., She.nsa, A., Sid.ani, J. E., et al. (2017). Soc.ial med.ia use and perce.ived soc.ial isolation amo.ng you.ng adu.lts in the U.S. *Amer.ican Jour.nal of Preventive Medi.cine*, 53(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2017.01.010>
16. Pur.vis, A. J., & Becki.ngham, S. (2024). A dec.ade of soc.ial med.ia for lear.ning: A syste.matic review. *Jour.nal of Unive.rsity Teac.hing and Lear.ning Prac.tice*, 21(5). <https://doi.org/10.53761/vvvccy83>
17. Tarifa-R.odriguez, A., Virues.-Ortega, J., Perez-Bu.stamante Pere.ira, A., Calero.-Elvira, A., & Cow.ie, S. (2024). Quanti.tative indi.ces of stud.ent soc.ial med.ia engag.ement in tert.iary educa.tion: A syste.matic rev.iew and a taxo.nomy. *Jour.nal of Behav.ioral Educa.tion*, 33, 769.–797. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10864-023-09516-6>
18. Tess, P. A. (2013). The role of soc.ial med.ia in higher educa.tion clas.ses (real and virt.ual): A liter.atu.re rev.iew. *Compu.ters in Hum.an Beha.vior*, 29(5), A60.–A68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.12.032>