

Superstitious Beliefs and Emotional Well-Being: Exploring the Psychological Pathways of Uncertainty, Perceived Control, and Coping

**Dr. MLS Prasad Babu¹, Ms. B. Prumeela Raani²,
Mr. Jonnalagadda Prasad³**

¹Family Counsellor, Vijayawada

²Faculty, Department of Psychology, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur,

³District Career and Mental Health Counsellor, Samagra Shiksha, NTR District, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract

Superstitious beliefs are widespread across cultures and continue to influence everyday thoughts, emotions, decisions, and behaviours despite the development of scientific and rational modes of thinking. Superstitions may include beliefs in good or bad luck, omens, lucky objects, rituals, numbers, signs, and perceived connections between unrelated events. Although traditionally regarded as irrational beliefs, psychological research suggests that superstition may serve several emotional and behavioural functions, particularly when individuals experience uncertainty, anxiety, vulnerability, or a reduced sense of personal control. This descriptive research paper examines the psychological pathways connecting superstitious beliefs with emotional well-being, with particular emphasis on uncertainty, perceived control, self-efficacy, anxiety regulation, and coping. The paper synthesizes psychological perspectives suggesting that superstitious behaviour may emerge when individuals attempt to create meaning or control in situations characterized by randomness and unpredictability. Research indicates that uncertainty and performance-related goals can increase reliance on lucky objects and superstitious behaviours, while positive superstition may temporarily enhance perceived self-efficacy, confidence, persistence, and task performance in some circumstances. However, these effects are not uniformly established, and replication research has produced mixed findings. Superstitious beliefs may therefore function as short-term emotional coping mechanisms without necessarily producing objective changes in external outcomes. At the same time, negative or rigid superstitious beliefs may reinforce anxiety, external locus of control, threat perception, dependence on rituals, and maladaptive decision-making. The paper proposes a conceptual pathway in which uncertainty and perceived lack of control increase emotional discomfort, which may encourage superstitious interpretations or behaviours. These behaviours can provide temporary psychological reassurance through perceived control, meaning, self-efficacy, or emotional regulation. Depending on the intensity and context, this process may contribute either to adaptive short-term coping or to maladaptive dependence. Understanding this distinction is important for psychologists, counsellors, educators, and mental-health professionals working across culturally diverse populations.

Keywords: Superstitious beliefs, emotional well-being, uncertainty, perceived control, coping, anxiety, self-efficacy, locus of control, psychological adjustment, magical thinking

Introduction

Superstitious beliefs are among the most persistent forms of human belief and behaviour. They occur across societies, cultures, socioeconomic groups, and educational backgrounds. Common examples include believing that particular numbers bring good or bad luck, avoiding specific activities before important events, carrying lucky objects, following rituals before examinations or competitions, knocking on wood, crossing one's fingers, or interpreting coincidences as meaningful signs. The persistence of superstition presents an interesting psychological question. Modern individuals often possess scientific knowledge that contradicts the causal assumptions underlying superstitious beliefs, yet many continue to engage in superstitious practices. The literature therefore suggests that superstition should not be understood exclusively as a failure of rational thinking. Instead, it may also be examined as a psychological response to uncertainty, perceived vulnerability, emotional discomfort, and situations in which outcomes are difficult to control. The uploaded article *The Everyday Magic of Superstition*, published by the British Psychological Society's *The Psychologist*, highlights the continuing presence of superstition in everyday life and discusses psychological explanations involving uncertainty, perceived control, anxiety, intuitive thinking, self-efficacy, and coping. It notes that superstition may become particularly salient when individuals experience a lack of control over important outcomes. Psychological research has similarly examined the relationship between superstition and perceived control. Zebb and Moore found that superstitiousness was associated more broadly with perceptions of control than with a single specific form of psychological distress, although associations differed by gender in their undergraduate sample. Superstition can therefore be understood as a multidimensional phenomenon involving cognitive, emotional, behavioural, cultural, and social processes. The present paper focuses specifically on three interconnected psychological pathways:

- **Uncertainty and unpredictability**
- **Perceived control and self-efficacy**
- **Coping and emotional regulation**

The central proposition is that superstitious beliefs may become psychologically attractive when people face outcomes that are important but uncertain and difficult to control.

Conceptual Understanding of Superstitious Beliefs: There is no universally accepted definition of superstition. The term has historically been used to describe beliefs in luck, omens, supernatural influence, magical causation, rituals, and meaningful coincidences. The British Psychological Society review notes that superstition can encompass beliefs concerning luck, signs and omens, occult powers, beginnings, and the assumption that coincidences possess greater significance than would be expected from random events. From a psychological perspective, superstition overlaps with related constructs such as:

- magical thinking;
- paranormal beliefs;
- illusion of control;
- pattern detection;
- causal attribution;

- external locus of control;
- intuitive thinking;
- ritual behaviour; and
- uncertainty management.

This conceptual overlap creates methodological difficulties. Lindeman and Aarnio's work, discussed in the uploaded article, emphasizes the conceptual difficulty of distinguishing superstition from magical and supernatural beliefs. Fluke, Webster, and Saucier subsequently developed an improved Belief in Superstition Scale consisting of three components: belief in bad luck, belief in good luck, and belief that luck can be changed. Their studies also identified chance locus of control as an important predictor of these dimensions. This suggests that superstition should not be treated as a single, uniform psychological construct.

Objectives of the Study: The present descriptive research paper aims to:

- Examine the psychological nature of superstitious beliefs.
- Explore the relationship between uncertainty and superstitious behaviour.
- examine the role of perceived control in the development and maintenance of superstition.
- Describe the relationship between superstition, anxiety, and emotional well-being.
- Examine the potential role of superstition as a coping mechanism.
- Discuss the relationship between superstition and self-efficacy.
- Identify potentially adaptive and maladaptive consequences of superstitious practices.
- Develop a conceptual psychological pathway linking uncertainty, perceived control, coping, and emotional well-being.
- Identify implications for counselling, education, and psychological intervention.

Research Methodology

Research Design: This paper adopts a **descriptive narrative literature-review design**. It does not report original participant-level data or claim statistical relationships from a newly collected sample. The analysis integrates findings from psychological research concerning superstition, uncertainty, perceived control, anxiety, coping, self-efficacy, and locus of control.

Sources of Information: The paper draws upon:

1. peer-reviewed psychological research;
2. research articles indexed through PubMed and academic databases;
3. psychological literature concerning superstition and control;
4. research on achievement goals and uncertainty;
5. literature concerning anxiety and psychological distress; and
6. the uploaded British Psychological Society article discussing the everyday psychology of superstition.

Analytical Approach: The literature was organized thematically around five major psychological pathways: **Uncertainty → Emotional discomfort → Perceived lack of control → Superstitious belief/behaviour → Temporary psychological reassurance** The paper then considers circumstances under which this pathway may become adaptive, neutral, or maladaptive.

Superstition and Human Responses to Uncertainty

Uncertainty is a fundamental psychological challenge. Individuals frequently encounter situations in which outcomes cannot be predicted with confidence. Examinations, employment decisions, relationships, illness, financial decisions, competitions, travel, and other significant life events may contain varying degrees of uncertainty. When outcomes are important but unpredictable, individuals may search for explanations, patterns, signs, or behaviours that provide a sense of predictability. Research on achievement goals provides an important illustration. Hamerman and Morewedge found across six studies that performance goals were more likely than learning goals to elicit superstitious behaviour. Superstitious behaviour increased when uncertainty about goal achievement increased among individuals pursuing performance goals. The findings suggest that superstition may be particularly attractive when three conditions are present: **Important outcome + uncertainty + limited perceived control**. The uploaded BPS article similarly describes research indicating that people became more attracted to lucky objects when concerned with external performance outcomes, particularly when uncertainty was introduced. Thus, uncertainty may act as an important psychological trigger for superstitious thinking.

Perceived Control as a Central Psychological Pathway

One of the most important concepts for understanding superstition is **perceived control**. Perceived control refers to an individual's belief that they can influence, manage, predict, or affect events in their environment. When people believe that they have control over an outcome, they may be less motivated to rely upon supernatural explanations. Conversely, when outcomes appear uncontrollable, superstitious beliefs may provide an alternative psychological mechanism for restoring a sense of order. Zebb and Moore's research examined superstitiousness together with perceived anxiety control and psychological distress. Their findings suggested that superstitiousness was associated particularly with perceptions of control rather than representing a specific form of psychological distress. Research conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic provides additional evidence for this relationship. Across studies conducted in Belgium and the United States, superstitious beliefs were positively associated with perceived risk, while internal locus of control was negatively associated with perceived risk. In Belgium, internal locus of control also weakened the association between superstition and perceived risk. These findings support the importance of perceived control as a psychological variable connecting superstition with emotional responses to uncertain circumstances.

The Illusion of Control

Superstitious behaviour can create an **illusion of control**.

For example, a person may believe:

- carrying a particular pen increases examination success;
- wearing a particular item improves sporting performance;
- following a specific ritual prevents negative outcomes;
- avoiding a particular number protects against misfortune; or
- performing a particular action increases the probability of success.

The external outcome may remain objectively unaffected, but the person's subjective experience can change. This distinction between **objective control** and **subjective control** is fundamental. The individual may not actually gain control over the event, but may experience increased psychological

control. The BPS article describes this process as "illusory control" and reports Stuart Vyse's view that superstitions can provide comfort in circumstances where actual control is limited. Consequently, superstition may operate as a psychological buffer against feelings of helplessness.

Superstition and Anxiety

Anxiety is closely associated with uncertainty and perceived threat. When individuals cannot predict or control an important outcome, anxiety may increase. Superstitious behaviour can then become a strategy for managing the resulting emotional discomfort. The relationship is not necessarily simple or causal. Superstition may sometimes be associated with anxiety because anxious individuals are more motivated to seek certainty or control. Conversely, repetitive negative superstitious behaviour may reinforce anxiety by encouraging individuals to interpret ordinary events as threatening. Research by Zebb and Moore found associations between superstitiousness and several indicators of psychological distress, while emphasizing the importance of perceived control. Research involving a non-clinical Italian sample also found that highly superstitious participants differed from less-superstitious participants in several obsessive-compulsive cognitions and worry-related measures, although the relationship was not reducible simply to anxiety or depression. Therefore, superstition should not automatically be interpreted as psychopathology. Cultural beliefs, ordinary rituals, and clinically significant compulsive behaviour must be distinguished carefully.

Superstition as a Coping Mechanism

Coping refers to the cognitive and behavioural processes individuals use to manage stressful situations and emotional demands. Superstition may function as a coping mechanism when individuals experience:

1. uncertainty;
2. fear;
3. vulnerability;
4. unpredictable outcomes;
5. performance pressure;
6. perceived helplessness; or
7. loss of control.

For example, an individual preparing for an important examination may carry a lucky object. The object does not objectively determine the examination result, but the ritual may provide emotional reassurance and reduce immediate psychological discomfort. Similarly, athletes may use pre-performance rituals to establish familiarity, routine, and psychological readiness. A recent systematic review of superstitious behaviour in sports and exercise identified psychological comfort, anxiety control, and perceived performance benefits as recurring themes across the literature, while also highlighting substantial cultural and methodological diversity in the evidence. Thus, superstitious behaviour may sometimes be understood as an emotion-focused coping strategy.

Self-Efficacy and Superstitious Behaviour

- Self-efficacy refers to an individual's belief in their capacity to successfully perform a particular task.
- One important line of research has examined whether positive superstition can influence self-efficacy.

- Damisch, Stoberock, and Mussweiler reported that activating good-luck-related superstitions improved performance across several experimental tasks and identified increased self-efficacy and task persistence as possible mechanisms.
- The uploaded BPS article discusses these findings and explains that participants exposed to lucky cues sometimes demonstrated improved performance, apparently through increased perceived self-efficacy. However, it also reports a later replication attempt that failed to reproduce one of the golf findings.
- This is an important methodological consideration.
- The evidence does **not** justify the conclusion that superstition objectively creates good luck. Instead, a more cautious interpretation is that some superstitious cues may influence psychological variables such as confidence, persistence, attention, or emotional readiness, which may subsequently affect behaviour.

Positive and Negative Superstitions: Superstitions are not psychologically identical.

Positive Superstitions: Positive superstitions may involve:

1. lucky charms;
2. lucky clothing;
3. lucky numbers;
4. rituals before performance;
5. positive symbols;
6. crossing fingers;
7. touching wood; and
8. carrying familiar objects.

These practices may sometimes provide reassurance, confidence, familiarity, or emotional comfort.

Negative Superstitions: Negative superstitions involve beliefs that particular events, objects, numbers, people, or behaviours will produce misfortune.

Examples include:

- fear of specific numbers;
- fear of particular animals;
- avoidance of particular days;
- fear of breaking objects;
- interpreting ordinary events as warnings; and
- believing that failure to perform a ritual will cause harm.

Negative superstition may be more psychologically problematic when it increases avoidance, anxiety, dependence, or impairment. The BPS article explicitly distinguishes positive luck-enhancing superstitions from negative superstitions and notes that some negative beliefs may generate anxiety.

Cognitive Mechanisms Underlying Superstition: Several cognitive mechanisms may contribute to superstitious belief.

- **Pattern Detection:** Human cognition is highly sensitive to patterns. Individuals may detect relationships between events even when those relationships are coincidental. The BPS article describes superstition as potentially involving a cognitive illusion in which causal relationships are perceived between events that are not actually causally connected.

- **Confirmation Bias:** Once an individual believes that an object or behaviour is lucky, successful outcomes may be remembered more strongly than unsuccessful ones. For example: "I wore my lucky shirt and succeeded." The individual may overlook occasions when the same shirt was worn without success.
- **Selective Attention:** Individuals may pay greater attention to events that confirm an existing superstition while ignoring contradictory evidence.
- **Illusory Causation:** A coincidental relationship between two events may be interpreted as a causal relationship.
- **Intuitive Thinking:** Superstitious beliefs may be linked to rapid, intuitive forms of thinking. The BPS article discusses dual-process approaches in which intuitive responses may sometimes be accepted even when individuals recognize that they are not logically justified.

Why People May Continue Superstitious Practices Despite Knowing They Are Irrational

- An important psychological paradox is that individuals may intellectually recognize that a superstition is irrational while continuing to follow it.
- This phenomenon has been described as **superstitious acquiescence**.
- According to the account discussed in the BPS article, individuals may recognize the logical error associated with a superstition but nevertheless continue the behaviour because the intuitive association remains psychologically compelling.
- For example, a person may say:
- "I know this pen does not actually make me successful, but I don't want to take the risk of not using it."
- This illustrates the distinction between **belief** and **behaviour**.
- A person does not necessarily need to fully believe in the supernatural mechanism to experience emotional discomfort when abandoning a ritual.

Superstition and Locus of Control

- Locus of control refers to the extent to which individuals perceive outcomes as resulting primarily from their own actions or from external forces such as chance, fate, or powerful others.
- Research has repeatedly connected superstition with external or chance-oriented perceptions of control.
- Fluke, Webster, and Saucier found that chance locus of control was the strongest theoretical predictor of the three dimensions of their Belief in Superstition Scale.
- This relationship can be represented conceptually as:
- **External/chance orientation → reduced perceived personal control → greater attraction to luck-based explanations**
- However, the relationship should not be considered deterministic. People with strong internal control beliefs may also engage in rituals or culturally meaningful superstitions.

Cultural Context of Superstitious Beliefs

Superstition cannot be understood entirely without considering cultural context.

Beliefs about:

- auspicious and inauspicious days;
- numbers;
- colours;
- animals;
- dreams;
- rituals;
- signs;
- astrology;
- religious practices; and
- traditional customs
- may vary considerably across societies.

A belief considered superstitious in one cultural environment may be interpreted as a religious, traditional, symbolic, or socially meaningful practice in another. Consequently, psychological research should avoid automatically labelling culturally meaningful behaviour as irrational or pathological. The appropriate psychological question is not simply: **"Is the belief scientifically true?"**

It is also: **"What psychological function does the belief serve, and does it enhance or impair the individual's functioning?"** This distinction is particularly important for multicultural counselling and international psychological research.

Superstition and Emotional Well-Being

- Emotional well-being involves the individual's capacity to experience, regulate, and respond adaptively to emotional experiences.
- Superstitious behaviour may influence emotional well-being through several pathways.
- **Potential short-term pathway**
- **Uncertainty → Anxiety → Superstitious ritual → Perceived control → Reassurance → Reduced immediate distress**
- This pathway may explain why individuals continue rituals even when they know that the ritual has no objective causal power.
- **Potential maladaptive pathway**
- **Uncertainty → Anxiety → Superstitious interpretation → Increased threat monitoring → Ritual dependence → Greater anxiety**
- The same behaviour can therefore have different consequences depending on its intensity, flexibility, and psychological meaning.

17. A Proposed Psychological Pathway Model

Based on the reviewed literature, the following conceptual model is proposed:

- **Stage 1: Trigger:** An individual encounters an uncertain or uncontrollable situation.
↓
- **Stage 2: Emotional Response:** The situation produces anxiety, fear, vulnerability, or psychological discomfort.
↓

- **Stage 3: Cognitive Search:** The individual searches for patterns, explanations, signs, or possible sources of control.
↓
- **Stage 4: Superstitious Attribution:** A relationship is perceived between a particular object, action, ritual, number, event, or outcome.
↓
- **Stage 5: Perceived Control:** The superstition creates a subjective sense that the individual can influence or prepare for the outcome.
↓
- **Stage 6: Coping Response:** The individual experiences reassurance, confidence, reduced uncertainty, or increased psychological readiness.
↓
- **Stage 7A: Potential Adaptive Outcome:** In some contexts: **Greater confidence → persistence → concentration → improved task engagement**
- **Stage 7B: Potential Maladaptive Outcome:** In other contexts: **Dependence → avoidance → increased anxiety → compulsive ritualization → impaired decision-making**

This model emphasizes that the psychological consequences of superstition depend not merely on whether a person holds a superstitious belief, but on how strongly the belief is held, the context in which it is activated, and the degree to which it interferes with adaptive functioning.

Implications for Education

Educational institutions can address superstition without disrespecting cultural traditions.

Psychological education may focus on:

- critical thinking;
- probability and randomness;
- cognitive biases;
- emotional regulation;
- stress management;
- problem-solving;
- self-efficacy;
- uncertainty tolerance; and
- evidence-based decision-making.

Students can be taught that uncertainty is a normal component of life and that psychological confidence can be developed through preparation, skill development, and adaptive coping rather than dependence on luck. This approach does not require students to abandon cultural traditions. Rather, it encourages them to distinguish symbolic or cultural practices from claims about objective causation.

Limitations

- This paper has several limitations.
- First, it is a descriptive and narrative synthesis rather than a systematic review or meta-analysis.
- Second, much of the experimental literature on superstition has used student or relatively small samples, which may limit generalizability.

- Third, cultural differences can influence the meaning and expression of superstition.
- Fourth, superstition is not a unitary construct. Positive luck beliefs, negative omens, rituals, paranormal beliefs, and culturally transmitted practices may have different psychological functions.
- Fifth, some experimental findings concerning performance-enhancing effects of superstition have not replicated consistently. The BPS article itself reports an unsuccessful replication of one earlier golf-ball finding.
- Finally, correlational findings cannot establish that superstition causes anxiety, reduced control, or poorer emotional well-being. Bidirectional and third-variable explanations remain possible.

Recommendations for Future Research

Future research should examine superstition using larger and culturally diverse samples.

Particular attention should be given to:

- **Indian Cultural Context:** Research should examine how traditional beliefs, family socialization, education, religion, community norms, and contemporary lifestyles interact in shaping superstitious beliefs.
- **Age Differences:** Comparisons among adolescents, young adults, middle-aged adults, and older adults could identify developmental differences.
- **Gender and Superstition:** Gender differences should be investigated using adequately powered and culturally diverse samples rather than relying on small convenience samples.
- **Digital Superstitions:** Research should examine whether social media and digital environments generate new forms of superstition.
- **Mental Health:** Longitudinal studies should determine whether superstition predicts later anxiety or whether anxiety and uncertainty increase subsequent superstitious behaviour.
- **Coping Mechanisms:** Future research should distinguish between adaptive ritual use and maladaptive dependence.
- **Experimental Research:** Experimental studies can investigate whether perceived control, uncertainty, self-efficacy, or anxiety mediate the relationship between superstitious cues and behavioural outcomes.
- **Cross-Cultural Research:** International studies should examine whether the psychological function of superstition is universal or culturally specific.

Conclusion

Superstitious beliefs represent a complex psychological phenomenon that cannot be adequately explained by simply describing them as irrational or scientifically inaccurate. The literature suggests that superstition may emerge particularly strongly when individuals confront uncertainty, unpredictable outcomes, vulnerability, and perceived lack of control. The central psychological pathway proposed in this paper is: **Uncertainty → Emotional discomfort → Perceived lack of control → Superstitious belief or ritual → Perceived control and coping → Emotional or behavioural consequences**. In some circumstances, superstitious practices may provide short-term psychological comfort, confidence, familiarity, or persistence. Research on lucky cues and performance has identified possible roles for self-efficacy and persistence, although the evidence is not uniformly replicated. At the same time, excessive or rigid superstition may contribute to anxiety, avoidance, externalized control, and dependence.

Research examining superstition, psychological distress, and locus of control indicate that perceived control is an important construct for understanding these relationships. The psychological significance of superstition therefore lies not only in whether a belief is objectively true but also in **what the belief does psychologically**. A lucky object may function as a harmless source of confidence for one individual but become a source of anxiety and dependence for another. Understanding this distinction can help psychologists, counsellors, educators, and researchers develop culturally sensitive approaches to uncertainty, emotional regulation, and psychological well-being. Ultimately, studying superstition provides an opportunity to understand a fundamental human tendency: when faced with uncertainty, people seek patterns, meaning, predictability, and control. The challenge for psychological science is to understand when these strategies support adaptive coping and when they begin to interfere with evidence-based decision-making and healthy functioning.

References

1. Damisch, L., Stoberock, B., & Mussweiler, T. (2010). Keep your fingers crossed! How superstition improves performance. *Psychological Science*, 21(7), 1014–1020. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797610372631>
2. Fluke, S. M., Webster, R. J., & Saucier, D. A. (2014). Methodological and theoretical improvements in the study of superstitious beliefs and behavior. *British Journal of Psychology*, 105(1), 102–126. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjop.12008>
3. Hamerman, E. J., & Morewedge, C. K. (2015). Reliance on luck: Identifying which achievement goals elicit superstitious behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 41(3), 323–335.
4. Lindeman, M., & Aarnio, K. (2007). Superstitious, magical, and paranormal beliefs: An overview of conceptual and empirical approaches. [Discussed in the British Psychological Society source supplied for this paper.]
5. Rhodes, E. (The Psychologist/British Psychological Society). *The everyday magic of superstition*. The Psychologist. The supplied article discusses research on the origins, cognitive mechanisms, uncertainty, perceived control, self-efficacy, coping, and potential benefits and risks of superstition.
6. Schippers, M. C., & Van Lange, P. A. M. (2006). The psychological mechanisms of superstition and perceived control. [Referenced in subsequent literature on superstition and uncertainty.]
7. Zebb, B. J., & Moore, M. C. (2003). Superstitiousness and perceived anxiety control as predictors of psychological distress. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 17(1), 115–130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0887-6185\(02\)00176-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0887-6185(02)00176-7)
8. *Superstitious beliefs, locus of control, and feeling at risk in the face of COVID-19*. (2022). *Personality and Individual Differences*, 196, 111718. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2022.111718>
9. *Culture and psychopathology: Superstition and obsessive-compulsive cognitions and symptoms in a non-clinical Italian sample*. (2002). *Personality and Individual Differences*, 32(6), 1001–1012.