

Delimitation of Constituencies in India

Kunal Raj

Student LAW

ABSTRACT

Delimitation is a constitutional and electoral process through which the territorial boundaries of legislative constituencies are determined or revised. In a representative democracy, constituency boundaries are closely connected with the distribution of political representation because each constituency elects a representative for a defined geographical population. In India, delimitation operates within a distinctive constitutional framework that combines population-based representation with federal considerations and reserved representation for historically disadvantaged communities.

Articles 81, 82 and 170 provide the principal constitutional foundation, while Articles 330 and 332 connect delimitation with reservation for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. The Indian experience demonstrates that delimitation cannot be understood only as a mathematical exercise based on population. Population changes can create differences in the size of constituencies and therefore raise questions of electoral equality. At the same time, a major redistribution of parliamentary seats among States can alter their relative representation in the Union. Constitutional amendments have therefore attempted to balance these competing considerations by restricting changes in interstate seat allocation while permitting territorial readjustment within States during specified periods.

This paper examines the historical development, constitutional structure and institutional procedure of delimitation in India. It also studies the relationship between delimitation, federalism, SC/ST representation and the constitutional framework for women's political representation. The paper argues that future delimitation should combine reliable Census data with transparent legal criteria, independent institutional decision-making, public participation and modern geographical mapping. Such an approach can help reconcile electoral equality with federal stability and inclusive representation.

Keywords: Delimitation, Electoral Representation, Constituencies, Federalism, Census, Lok Sabha, State Legislative Assemblies, SC/ST Representation, Women's Representation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Representative democracy depends upon a continuing relationship between citizens, geographical territory and political institutions. Legislatures are elected from territorial constituencies, and the legitimacy of this system partly depends upon whether the constituencies continue to provide reasonably comparable representation. When population patterns change significantly over time, an electoral map prepared under earlier demographic conditions may no longer reflect the distribution of citizens. Delimitation responds to this problem by determining or revising the territorial boundaries of constituencies.

In India, the subject has an additional constitutional dimension because representation exists within a federal Union. Parliament represents citizens at the national level, while State Legislatures represent citizens within individual States. Changes in the distribution of parliamentary seats can therefore affect

not only individual electoral equality but also the relative representation of States. The Indian constitutional framework has consequently developed a balance between demographic change and federal stability rather than adopting a completely automatic system of continuous population-based redistribution.

2. STATEMENT OF THE RESEARCH PROBLEM

The central research problem arises from the changing relationship between population and political representation. Over time, some constituencies may experience rapid population growth while others grow more slowly. If all constituencies continue to have the same number of representatives despite substantial population differences, the effective voting weight of citizens may become unequal.

However, if parliamentary seats are redistributed entirely according to current population, the relative representation of States may change considerably. This creates a constitutional tension between two forms of representation. The first concerns equality among individual voters and the principle that constituencies should contain reasonably comparable populations. The second concerns the federal balance among States and the constitutional consequences of changing their relative representation. The problem is further complicated by the need to maintain meaningful SC/ST representation and to implement the constitutional framework for women's reservation in legislative bodies.

3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The primary objective of this study is to examine the constitutional basis of delimitation in India and explain its historical development. The study seeks to understand how Articles 81, 82 and 170 structure the process and how constitutional amendments have altered the relationship between population, territorial constituencies and interstate seat allocation. A further objective is to examine delimitation as a question of electoral equality and federalism. The study also considers the implications of delimitation for SC/ST reserved constituencies and the future implementation of women's political representation. Finally, it evaluates institutional safeguards such as transparency, public consultation, independent decision-making, reliable population data and digital mapping that can strengthen the legitimacy of future exercises.

4. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The study asks how the Constitution organizes delimitation and why India has historically placed limits on the redistribution of seats among States. It also examines how constituency-level population equality can be improved without ignoring the federal structure of the Union. The research further asks how delimitation interacts with reservation for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes and with the constitutional framework for women's reservation. It considers what institutional safeguards can reduce the possibility of partisan influence and what role public participation, Census data and transparent criteria should play in a future delimitation exercise.

5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This paper follows a doctrinal and analytical research methodology. The principal sources include the Constitution of India, constitutional amendment Acts, the Delimitation Act, official Election Commission materials and government publications. Secondary legal literature is used to understand the broader relationship between electoral representation, federalism and constitutional design.

The study is qualitative and does not attempt to forecast the electoral performance of political parties. Instead, it examines constitutional provisions, historical developments and institutional practice to identify the legal principles that should guide delimitation. The analysis also uses a comparative perspective to identify institutional features in other democracies that may provide useful points of reference for India.

6. HISTORICAL EVOLUTION OF DELIMITATION IN INDIA

Delimitation has been part of India's electoral architecture since the early years of the Republic. The first Delimitation Commission was constituted in 1952, followed by later commissions in 1963, 1973 and 2002. These exercises reflected the constitutional expectation that electoral representation should respond periodically to demographic change.

The Constitution (Forty-Second Amendment) Act, 1976 introduced an important change by freezing the interstate distribution of seats for a specified constitutional period. The policy objective was connected with concerns that States which had pursued population-control measures should not experience a corresponding reduction in political representation. The later Constitution (Eighty-Fourth Amendment) Act, 2001 extended the larger framework of the freeze while permitting specified readjustments, and the Constitution (Eighty-Seventh Amendment) Act, 2003 enabled constituency boundaries to be readjusted using the 2001 Census without altering the overall allocation of seats among States.

The fourth Delimitation Commission was established under the Delimitation Act, 2002. Its work resulted in the territorial framework that governs much of the present electoral map. The institutional process included publication of proposals and public hearings, demonstrating that consultation has formed an important part of the delimitation process.

7. CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

Article 81 concerns the composition of the House of the People and is central to the organization of parliamentary representation. It must be read together with the constitutional provisions governing readjustment and with subsequent constitutional amendments that have affected the timing and manner of changes in representation. Article 82 provides the principal constitutional basis for readjustment following a Census, subject to the limitations established by the Constitution and legislation.

Article 170 performs a comparable role for State Legislative Assemblies by providing the constitutional basis for their composition and territorial constituencies. Articles 330 and 332 add a social-representation dimension because they provide for reservation of seats for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in the Lok Sabha and State Legislative Assemblies. Consequently, delimitation is concerned not only with territorial boundaries but also with the distribution of constitutionally protected representation.

8. INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE AND PROCEDURE

The Delimitation Commission is created through legislation and is intended to function as a specialized institutional mechanism rather than as an ordinary political committee. Its role is to determine constituency boundaries within the constitutional and statutory framework. The institutional design seeks to reduce direct partisan control over boundary decisions and to provide a structured process for considering demographic and geographical factors.

The procedural legitimacy of delimitation depends upon more than the final map. Draft proposals, public notices, objections, hearings and reasoned final decisions allow affected citizens and institutions to participate in the process. Public consultation cannot remove every disagreement, but it creates an institutional pathway through which concerns can be recorded and considered before final boundaries are established.

9. ELECTORAL EQUALITY AND MALAPPORTIONMENT

Electoral equality is commonly associated with the principle that citizens should have reasonably comparable voting strength. Where one constituency contains substantially more voters than another while both elect a single representative, the practical weight of individual votes can differ. Delimitation can therefore serve as a mechanism for reducing malapportionment by bringing constituency populations closer to a reasonable range.

Exact mathematical equality is not always possible because constituencies must also take account of geography, terrain, administrative boundaries, contiguity and practical accessibility. The Indian constitutional system therefore treats population as a major consideration but not as an isolated formula. The challenge is to maintain meaningful equality while respecting the territorial and constitutional realities of a large and diverse country.

10. DELIMITATION AND INDIAN FEDERALISM

Federalism creates a second dimension of representation. The allocation of Lok Sabha seats among States affects their relative participation in national legislative institutions. A redistribution based more closely on present population could increase the relative representation of States with higher population growth and reduce the relative share of States with slower growth. From the perspective of individual electoral equality, such a change may appear connected with demographic representation. From a federal perspective, however, it raises questions about the consequences for States that have experienced different demographic trajectories. The constitutional history of the seat freeze demonstrates that representation in India has not been treated as a purely mathematical question. Population policy, federal stability and electoral equality have all influenced constitutional design. The future challenge is therefore to develop a framework that recognizes demographic change while providing constitutionally justified safeguards for federal balance.

11. THE NORTH–SOUTH REPRESENTATION DEBATE

Public discussion about future delimitation frequently uses a North–South framework because States have experienced different population-growth patterns. Several southern States have experienced lower fertility and slower population growth than a number of northern and central States. These demographic differences may become politically significant if future seat distribution is more closely connected with population. The underlying constitutional question, however, is broader than a regional contest. It concerns how population equality should operate among States within a federal Union. Population change is influenced by fertility, mortality, migration, urbanization and economic conditions. A responsible delimitation framework must therefore examine demographic evidence carefully and address the constitutional consequences of converting demographic change into changes in political representation.

12. SC/ST REPRESENTATION AND SOCIAL JUSTICE

Delimitation is directly connected with the reservation of legislative seats for Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. Reserved constituencies are intended to provide political representation to communities that have historically experienced structural exclusion. When the geographical distribution of these communities changes, earlier constituency arrangements may no longer correspond to their present distribution.

The delimitation process must therefore use reliable demographic information and transparent criteria when determining the location of reserved constituencies. Changes from general to reserved status, or changes in the geographical location of reserved constituencies, can have significant consequences for local political organization. Public participation and clear explanations are particularly important in such circumstances.

13. WOMEN'S POLITICAL REPRESENTATION AND THE 106TH AMENDMENT

The Constitution (One Hundred and Sixth Amendment) Act, 2023 created the constitutional basis for reservation of seats for women in the Lok Sabha and State Legislative Assemblies. The implementation of this framework is closely connected with delimitation because legislative seats are organized through territorial constituencies.

The interaction between women's reservation, SC/ST reservation and constituency boundaries raises questions about the identification and rotation of reserved seats within the applicable constitutional framework. Future delimitation should therefore be understood as part of a broader representation structure in which population, territory, gender and social representation interact.

14. ADVANTAGES OF DELIMITATION

The principal advantage of delimitation is the possibility of bringing electoral representation closer to demographic reality. When constituency populations diverge substantially, revised boundaries can reduce disparities and make representation more comparable. This is particularly relevant in rapidly growing urban and peri-urban areas where older electoral maps may not reflect current settlement patterns.

Delimitation can also improve territorial coherence by considering administrative boundaries, geographical features, transportation links and contiguity. Updated boundaries may further improve the relationship between reserved constituencies and the relevant demographic distribution. A carefully designed exercise can therefore make the electoral system more understandable and representative.

15. LIMITATIONS AND CHALLENGES

Large-scale delimitation can produce significant changes in the relative representation of States and constituencies even when neutral criteria are applied. A State whose relative parliamentary representation decreases may regard the change as a reduction in its influence, while another State may experience a corresponding increase. These effects make the federal consequences of delimitation especially important. The administrative complexity is also substantial. A national exercise requires demographic analysis, geographical mapping, publication of draft proposals, hearings, consideration of objections, final orders and changes to electoral administration. Another challenge is the possibility of

perceived or actual partisan manipulation. Institutional independence can reduce this risk, but it must be supported by transparent criteria, public scrutiny and clear legal requirements.

16. POLITICAL IMPLICATIONS

Electoral boundaries influence the geographical units within which political competition takes place. A new constituency may combine communities that previously belonged to different constituencies, divide an established area of political support or incorporate a rapidly growing urban population into a new electoral unit. These changes may affect political parties and candidates, but the direction of those effects cannot be determined from boundary changes alone. A neutral institutional analysis should therefore focus on the rules governing delimitation rather than attempting to predict which political actor will benefit. Population distribution, party organization, candidate selection, voter preferences and future electoral circumstances can all influence political outcomes. Transparency in maps, population figures and reasons for major boundary changes can help reduce uncertainty and strengthen institutional legitimacy.

17. COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE

Democratic countries use different institutional models for drawing electoral boundaries. The United Kingdom relies on independent boundary commissions. The United States combines federal reapportionment with state-level redistricting and has continuing debates concerning partisan gerrymandering. Australia and Canada also employ institutional processes that combine population with geographical and regional considerations. These systems cannot simply be transferred to India because India has its own constitutional structure, federal arrangements and electoral history. Nevertheless, comparative experience demonstrates the value of independent boundary institutions, clear statutory criteria, accessible demographic information, public consultation and procedures that permit the reasoning behind decisions to be understood.

18. THE CENSUS AND THE FUTURE OF REPRESENTATION

Population data form a major foundation of electoral representation. The applicable Census figures and constitutional rules determine the legal basis on which representation and constituency boundaries may be reviewed. For this reason, the quality and transparency of population data have direct constitutional significance. Future delimitation should therefore be accompanied by careful attention to Census methodology, publication of data, spatial classification and consistency between demographic and electoral records. Where underlying data are incomplete, delayed or insufficiently transparent, confidence in the resulting representation may also be weakened.

19. FUTURE INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

A future delimitation exercise should begin with clear constitutional and statutory criteria. Population should remain an important consideration for constituency equality, while geographical contiguity, administrative convenience and other legitimate factors should also be identified in advance. Publicly disclosed criteria would allow affected communities to understand the basis on which boundaries are proposed.

Modern Geographic Information Systems can improve the technical accuracy of boundary design and make proposed changes easier for the public to understand. Interactive maps can display boundaries,

population figures and administrative units. Public hearings should provide meaningful opportunities for citizens, political parties and local institutions to submit objections. Federal consultation is also important because changes in parliamentary representation can affect the constitutional relationship among States.

20. CRITICAL DISCUSSION

The Indian experience shows that delimitation is a constitutional compromise rather than a purely technical exercise. A system based entirely on equal population could respond directly to demographic changes but might alter the relative representation of States. A system focused entirely on federal stability could preserve interstate representation but allow constituency populations to become increasingly unequal. The constitutional seat freeze demonstrates that India has previously given significant weight to federal stability and population-policy considerations. At the same time, territorial readjustments within States show that constituency-level equality can be pursued without immediately changing the interstate distribution of seats. Future reform should therefore be principled and transparent, balancing population equality with federal concerns and the constitutional objectives of social representation.

21. FINDINGS

The study finds that delimitation is constitutionally embedded in India's representative system and cannot be reduced to administrative boundary drawing. The constitutional framework combines individual electoral representation with the federal structure of the Union and with reserved representation for specified communities. The study further finds that the principal future challenge is the coexistence of equality among voters and stability in the relative representation of States. It also finds that procedural legitimacy is essential. Reliable demographic information, independent decision-making, transparent criteria, public hearings and accessible maps can help affected communities understand and evaluate the process.

22. CONCLUSION

Delimitation is an important constitutional mechanism for organizing political representation in a changing society. India's experience demonstrates that population, territory, federalism and social representation cannot be considered separately. Articles 81, 82 and 170 establish the larger constitutional structure, while Articles 330 and 332 connect delimitation with SC/ST reservation. The constitutional amendments governing seat allocation further demonstrate the importance of federal considerations. The future of delimitation should therefore seek a legally structured balance rather than relying on ad hoc political negotiation. Accurate Census data should form a reliable foundation for representation, while constitutional safeguards should address federal stability and inclusive representation. Independent institutional decision-making, transparent criteria, public consultation and modern mapping can make the process more understandable and credible. The ultimate objective is to ensure that electoral boundaries reflect demographic realities while remaining consistent with the constitutional organization of the Indian Union.

EASY SUMMARY

The main problem discussed in this research is that constituency populations do not remain equal over ti

me. Some areas grow quickly because of urbanization, migration and demographic change, while other areas grow more slowly. If constituency boundaries remain unchanged for a long period, citizens in larger constituencies may have comparatively less voting weight than citizens in smaller constituencies. This creates a problem of electoral equality. At the same time, if parliamentary seats are redistributed entirely according to current population, States with higher population growth may obtain a larger share of representation while States with slower population growth may obtain a smaller relative share. This creates a second problem involving the federal balance of India. The issue also affects the geographical distribution of SC/ST reserved constituencies and the future implementation of women's reservation in legislative bodies.

The research therefore suggests that the solution should not be based on population alone or on federal protection alone. Future delimitation should use the legally applicable Census data as the principal demographic foundation while following the constitutional rules governing representation. The process should be conducted by an independent and impartial statutory institution using criteria that are announced clearly before decisions are made. Population, geographical contiguity, administrative convenience and other legitimate factors should be considered consistently. Modern GIS and digital mapping should be used to improve accuracy and allow citizens to understand proposed boundaries. Public hearings should provide genuine opportunities for citizens, political parties, local bodies and affected communities to submit objections. Major changes should be accompanied by clear reasons explaining how population, geography and administrative considerations were applied. In simple terms, the research problem is how India can make constituencies reasonably equal in population without disturbing the constitutional balance among States and without weakening inclusive representation. The proposed solution is a transparent, independent and constitutionally guided delimitation mechanism based on reliable Census data, clear rules, modern mapping, public participation and safeguards for SC/ST and women's representation. Such a framework can reduce unequal voting strength while respecting India's federal structure and strengthening public confidence in the electoral process.

Kunal Raj | Delimitation of Constituencies in India