

Agroforestry as a Pathway to Sustainable Rural Livelihoods in India: Ecosystem Services, Economic Benefits and Adoption Challenges

Dr. Maninder Kaur

Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamuna Nagar (Haryana)

Abstract

Agroforestry integrates trees with crops and/or livestock and can simultaneously contribute to farm production, environmental protection and livelihood diversification. This review examines the economic, environmental and social dimensions of agroforestry in India, with particular attention to rural livelihoods, ecosystem services, climate resilience and constraints to wider adoption. The paper uses a narrative review approach based on peer-reviewed literature and selected institutional sources. The evidence indicates that agroforestry can diversify farm outputs through timber, fruit, fodder, fuelwood and other products while also providing ecosystem services such as soil protection, carbon storage, biodiversity support and improved microclimatic conditions. However, adoption is influenced by landholding characteristics, farmers' knowledge, access to planting material, extension services, markets, credit, regulations and the time required before tree products generate returns. The review argues that the economic value of agroforestry should therefore be assessed as a combination of market and non-market benefits rather than as timber income alone. Strengthening extension, market linkages, quality planting material, value addition and enabling policy conditions could support wider adoption. The paper also identifies research gaps concerning farmer-level economic evidence, carbon incentives, gender dimensions and long-term livelihood outcomes.

Keywords: Agroforestry; rural livelihoods; ecosystem services; sustainable agriculture; climate resilience; India; adoption

1. Introduction

Agriculture in India faces a combination of economic and environmental pressures, including fragmented holdings, resource degradation, climate variability and the need to sustain rural incomes. Agroforestry offers an approach in which woody perennials are deliberately integrated with crops and/or livestock on the same land-management unit. Because trees can provide products and ecological services over different time horizons, agroforestry may complement rather than simply replace annual crop production.

The Indian agroforestry literature has developed across a wide range of systems and agro-climatic regions. Recent research continues to emphasise its potential for livelihood diversification, land restoration, economic resilience and ecosystem services. A 2026 review of agroforestry promotion in India highlights links with food security, rural livelihoods, soil health, biodiversity and climate resilience, while also identifying the importance of policy support and investment.

At the same time, agroforestry adoption cannot be assumed to occur automatically because ecological benefits do not remove farmers' economic constraints. A global systematic review identified technical-agronomic, socioeconomic and policy-legislative barriers to adoption, showing that farmers may face multiple constraints simultaneously.

This review therefore examines agroforestry from an integrated economic perspective. It focuses on four questions: what economic benefits can agroforestry provide; what ecosystem services are relevant to rural livelihoods; what factors constrain adoption; and what research and policy directions could strengthen sustainable expansion in India?

2. Objectives

- To examine the contribution of agroforestry to rural livelihood diversification in India.
- To review the major ecosystem services associated with agroforestry systems.
- To identify economic, technical and institutional constraints affecting adoption.
- To discuss the role of agroforestry in climate resilience and sustainable land management.
- To identify research gaps for future economic studies of agroforestry.

3. Methodology

This article follows a narrative literature-review approach. Peer-reviewed research on agroforestry, ecosystem services, rural livelihoods, adoption and climate resilience was examined together with selected institutional and policy sources. Recent literature was prioritised, while foundational publications were retained where they provided important concepts or established evidence. The main themes were: (i) economic and livelihood benefits; (ii) ecosystem services; (iii) climate resilience; (iv) adoption constraints; (v) policy and institutional support; and (vi) research gaps. This structure is appropriate for a concise review article because it synthesises evidence without creating artificial numerical estimates.

Recent systematic literature provides a useful methodological precedent for reviewing agroforestry adoption barriers and ecosystem-service evidence.

4. Agroforestry and Rural Livelihoods

The economic value of agroforestry arises partly from diversification. A farm that combines annual crops with trees can generate outputs at different times and may obtain timber, fruit, fodder, fuelwood, poles or other products. This diversification can broaden the range of household resources available for consumption and income generation. Indian agroforestry literature has long recognised diversification as one of the important advantages of tree-based farming systems. Trees can also contribute indirectly to farm economics. Wind protection, soil conservation, nutrient cycling, shade, water regulation and habitat provision may influence the productivity or stability of associated agricultural activities. Such services are not always traded in markets, which means that a narrow comparison based only on sale revenue can undervalue agroforestry.

The timing of returns is an important economic consideration. Annual crops generally provide relatively frequent returns, whereas timber and some tree products may require several years before harvest. Consequently, farmers may face a liquidity constraint even when the long-term return from a tree-based system is attractive. Access to credit, secure land tenure, market information and risk-management mechanisms can therefore influence adoption decisions.

5. Ecosystem Services of Agroforestry

Agroforestry can generate provisioning, regulating, supporting and cultural ecosystem services. Provisioning services include food, fodder, fuel, fibre, timber and other products. Regulating services include erosion control, microclimate regulation, water-related functions and carbon storage. Supporting services include nutrient cycling and habitat provision. Recent reviews of agroforestry and ecosystem services emphasise this multifunctionality and its relationship with agricultural resilience.

Carbon sequestration has become particularly important because tree biomass and soils can store carbon. Carbon-related benefits may create opportunities for future incentive systems, although the economic feasibility of carbon payments depends on measurement, verification, permanence requirements, transaction costs and the price received by farmers. Recent research specifically identifies carbon farming and agroforestry as an expanding area of Indian research and notes gaps in the integration of biodiversity and other sustainability outcomes.

Soil and biodiversity benefits are also relevant to long-term farm productivity. Tree roots can help protect soil from erosion, while diversified vegetation can create habitat and support ecological processes. These benefits may be particularly important in areas exposed to climatic or land-degradation risks.

6. Agroforestry and Climate Resilience

Climate variability can affect crop yields, input requirements and farm income. Agroforestry may contribute to resilience by diversifying production and modifying the physical environment of farms. Trees can provide shade and wind protection, reduce erosion and contribute to water and nutrient cycling. The resulting resilience should not be interpreted as universal: outcomes depend on tree species, density, management, local climate, crop combinations and competition for water or light.

The economic interpretation is therefore one of risk management as well as average productivity. A system that provides several products and ecological functions may reduce dependence on a single annual crop, although it may also involve higher establishment costs, delayed returns and management complexity. Research should therefore consider both expected returns and variability of returns.

7. Major Constraints to Adoption

- Delayed returns and the opportunity cost of land occupied by trees.
- Limited access to quality planting material and suitable technical advice.
- Uncertainty about timber and other agroforestry-product markets.
- Insufficient knowledge of suitable tree–crop combinations and management practices.
- Credit constraints, particularly where tree production requires several years before income is realised.
- Regulatory or harvesting and transport requirements that can increase uncertainty.
- Limited value addition and weak links between farmers and processing industries.
- Perceived competition between trees and crops for light, water and nutrients in poorly designed systems.

The literature suggests that adoption barriers are multidimensional rather than purely financial. The 2024 systematic review by Tranchina et al. grouped barriers into technical-agronomic, socioeconomic and policy-legislative categories, supporting the need for integrated interventions rather than a single subsidy-based solution.

8. Economic Perspective: Why Market Income Alone Is Insufficient

Conventional farm profitability analysis often focuses on observable revenues and costs. For agroforestry, this approach can miss important environmental services. A more complete economic assessment can consider private farm returns together with ecosystem-service values where credible valuation methods and data are available.

For a farmer-level study, a useful conceptual framework is to distinguish: (a) direct market benefits, (b) avoided or reduced costs, (c) environmental benefits, and (d) risks and delayed returns. This framework allows future research to compare agroforestry with monocropping without assuming that every ecological benefit has an immediate cash value.

Economic analysis should also recognise distributional issues. Small and marginal farmers may face greater liquidity constraints than larger farms, even when the long-run returns are similar. Policies that reduce establishment risk or improve access to credit and markets can therefore affect adoption differently across farm-size groups.

9. Policy and Institutional Considerations

India has recognised agroforestry at the national policy level. The National Agroforestry Policy created a framework for promoting tree-based systems, and subsequent initiatives have sought to support agroforestry development. Recent Indian literature continues to identify policy support, investment, extension and market development as important conditions for scaling agroforestry. Policy implementation needs to be connected to farmers' practical decisions. Access to seedlings alone may not produce sustained adoption if farmers cannot obtain information, finance or remunerative markets. Institutional coordination among agriculture, forestry, horticulture, rural-development and private-sector actors is therefore important.

Carbon-related incentives are another emerging area. Payments for ecosystem services or carbon programmes could potentially create additional revenue streams, but they should be designed around transparent measurement and realistic transaction costs. Research should avoid assuming that a theoretical carbon price automatically becomes farm income.

10. Research Gaps

- More farmer-level evidence is needed on the relationship between agroforestry and household income stability.
- Long-term comparisons between agroforestry and monocropping remain important because tree systems have delayed returns.
- More research is needed on the economic feasibility of farmer-level carbon incentives.
- Gender and intra-household decision-making are relatively underexplored dimensions of agroforestry economics.
- Research should examine market and value-chain conditions for timber, fruit, fodder and other tree products.
- More studies should combine economic outcomes with ecosystem-service indicators rather than analysing them separately.

Recent research on carbon farming in Indian agroforestry systems also points to gaps in thematic coverage and the need to connect carbon objectives with biodiversity and broader sustainability outcomes.

11. Conclusion

Agroforestry represents a multifunctional land-use approach with relevance to agricultural economics, rural livelihoods and environmental sustainability. Its economic importance extends beyond the sale of tree products because tree-based systems can diversify farm production and generate ecosystem services that support long-term land productivity and resilience.

The evidence reviewed here indicates that the expansion of agroforestry depends not only on ecological suitability but also on farmers' economic incentives, knowledge, access to planting material, finance, markets and enabling institutions. Future research should therefore combine farm-level economic analysis with credible assessment of ecosystem services and climate-related benefits.

For India, an important research direction is to move from broad claims about the benefits of agroforestry toward location-specific evidence on who adopts, why they adopt, what returns they obtain, what risks they face and how policy can reduce the barriers. Such evidence can strengthen both academic understanding and practical policy design.

References

1. Castle, S. E., Miller, D. C., Merten, N., Ordonez, P. J., & Baylis, K. (2022). Evidence for the impacts of agroforestry on ecosystem services and human well-being in high-income countries: a systematic map. *Environmental Evidence*, 11, 10.
2. Dagar, J. C., Singh, A. K., & Arunachalam, A. (Eds.). (2014). *Agroforestry Systems in India: Livelihood Security & Ecosystem Services*. Springer.
3. FAO. (2013). *Advancing agroforestry on the policy agenda: A guide for decision-makers*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
4. FAO. (2024). *Agroforestry and sustainable land management resources*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
5. Tranchina, M., Reubens, B., Frey, M., Mele, M., & Mantino, A. (2024). What challenges impede the adoption of agroforestry practices? A global perspective through a systematic literature review. *Agroforestry Systems*, 98, 1817–1837.
6. Saakshi, Sharma, D., Bodh, R., et al. (2026). Agroforestry promotion for land restoration, livelihoods and economic resilience in India. *Discover Forests*, 2, 46.
7. Recenti, M., et al. (2023). The ESSU concept for designing, modeling and auditing ecosystem service provision in intercropping and agroforestry systems: A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*.
8. World Agroforestry (ICRAF). (2024). *Agroforestry knowledge resources and research materials*.