

How Faith Based Higher Education Institutions Respond to Globalization and Internationalization in the Caribbean

Melinda Lake

PhD Student at the Adventist University of the Philippines.

Abstract

Faith-based universities in the Caribbean must balance their religious mission with regional needs and global changes. This theoretical paper examines how these institutions can participate in globalization and internationalization without weakening their faith-based identity or allowing financial interests to replace their educational and social responsibilities. It argues that internationalization works best when it is guided by the institution's mission, adapted to Caribbean realities, and focused on shared learning and service. Global engagement can improve teaching, research, intercultural skills, institutional capacity, and regional development.

However, it can also lead to mission drift, dependence on foreign partners, commercialization, cultural loss, and unequal access to international opportunities. To address these challenges, the paper proposes the Mission-Embedded Glocal Internationalization framework, which includes mission anchoring, contextual intelligence, reciprocal partnership, integrated academic engagement, and accountable transformation. Examples from the University of the Southern Caribbean and Northern Caribbean University illustrate how faith-based institutions can remain globally connected while serving local communities. The paper concludes that internationalization should be measured by how well it strengthens faith-based education, academic quality, service to Caribbean communities, and graduates' preparation for ethical service.

Keywords: Faith-Based Higher Education, Mission, Internationalization, Caribbean, Glocalization, Institutional Governance

Introduction

Higher education in the Caribbean is shaped by forces that operate simultaneously at local, regional, and global levels. Small national systems confront limited resources, outward migration, uneven access to technology, climate vulnerability, and dependence on external knowledge networks. At the same time, universities are expected to improve research productivity, demonstrate international quality, attract diverse students, and prepare graduates for employment across borders. These pressures affect all institutions, but faith-based universities face an additional responsibility: they must engage the world while remaining accountable to a religious mission that gives coherence to teaching, scholarship, student formation, leadership, and service.

Globalization and internationalization are related but distinct. Globalization describes the economic, technological, political, and cultural forces that intensify connections across borders. Internationalization

refers to the deliberate educational response through which institutions integrate international, intercultural, and global dimensions into their purposes and functions (Knight, 2004). Recent global evidence emphasizes that this process must move beyond isolated mobility projects toward quality, inclusion, equity, and social responsibility (Marinoni & Pina Cardona, 2024). Faith-based institutions must therefore decide which global influences to receive, resist, reinterpret, or redirect considering their mission and the needs of Caribbean societies.

The central claim of this paper is that internationalization should be treated as a mission-governed process rather than as an independent strategy for prestige, income, or visibility. A faith-based university remains credible when religious commitment shapes its curriculum, partnerships, research priorities, student experience, and public engagement rather than existing only in statements and ceremonies (Benne, 2001; Glanzer et al., 2017). Research on faith-based education in Latin America and the Caribbean examines the contributions of religious actors to educational development, particularly their services for marginalized and vulnerable children and youth (D'Agostino, 2025). For Caribbean institutions, mission fidelity also requires attention to regional communities and the preparation of graduates capable of ethical service across cultural boundaries.

This theoretical analysis brings together literature on Christian higher education, internationalization, globalization, and Caribbean education. It first considers the regional setting and the meaning of faith-based mission. It then analyzes opportunities and tensions created by globalization and internationalization, uses selected institutions as illustrations, and proposes a Mission-Embedded Glocal Internationalization framework. The framework explains how faith-based universities can remain religiously distinctive, academically credible, globally connected, and socially responsible.

The Caribbean Higher Education Context

The Caribbean is not a single educational environment. It contains English, Spanish, French, and Dutch-speaking territories with different colonial histories, political arrangements, regulatory systems, and religious traditions. Nevertheless, institutions across the region share structural concerns associated with small populations, restricted domestic markets, high operating costs, migration, and exposure to global economic and environmental shocks. Recent regional analysis identifies insufficient financing as a major constraint on internationalization (Gacel-Ávila et al., 2025). These conditions make regional and international cooperation necessary, but they also increase vulnerability to externally defined priorities.

Gacel-Ávila et al. (2025) observe that internationalization in Latin America and the Caribbean is expanding but remains constrained by weak planning and evaluation, insufficient financing, low mobility, limited curricular internationalization, and minimal international research cooperation. This pattern is important because most Caribbean students will not participate in physical mobility. If internationalization is identified mainly with travel, it will benefit a small group while leaving the general curriculum and institutional culture largely unchanged. A more comprehensive approach would bring global and intercultural learning into classrooms, online spaces, research, community projects, and professional preparation.

The University of the West Indies provides a useful regional reference even though it is not a faith-based institution. Its multicampus structure, global centers, regional research, and stated commitment to Caribbean development show that global engagement can be organized around a regional mandate rather than detached from it (The University of the West Indies, n.d.). Faith-based universities can learn from this regional orientation while contributing a distinctive moral and spiritual account of education. Their

networks may include churches, mission organizations, schools, health systems, international universities, alumni, and communities dispersed throughout the Caribbean and its diaspora.

The Caribbean context therefore changes the meaning of internationalization. Global partnerships should build local capacity rather than produce permanent dependence. Research should address questions such as climate resilience, public health, education, migration, family life, social inequality, ethical leadership, and sustainable development. Mobility should promote reciprocal learning rather than reproduce assumptions that knowledge flows only from powerful countries to smaller states. For faith-based institutions, these commitments are not additions to mission; they express a theology of service, human dignity, stewardship, and responsibility to neighbors.

Faith Based Mission and Institutional Identity

A faith-based university is distinguished not merely by ownership or denominational history but by the way faith informs institutional practice. A regional study of faith-based education examines the contributions and challenges of religious actors, with particular attention to marginalized and vulnerable children and youth (D'Agostino, 2025). In higher education, mission should influence what knowledge is valued, how persons are understood, why learning matters, and how institutional communities pursue the common good. Mission therefore functions as an interpretive framework for academic and administrative decisions rather than as a ceremonial statement.

This understanding guards against two inadequate positions. The first isolates faith within worship services, theology courses, or campus ministry while allowing the academic enterprise to follow assumptions unrelated to the institution's religious commitments. The second uses mission language primarily for marketing, creating a symbolic identity that is not evident in governance, curriculum, faculty development, or resource allocation. Both positions weaken the institution's ability to evaluate globalization. Without a functioning mission, a university may adopt international activities because competitors do so, donors prefer them, or rankings reward them, rather than because those activities advance educational purposes.

Faith-based mission also has a public dimension. Current Caribbean and Latin American scholarship positions higher education as an important setting for civic engagement, social inclusion, democratic participation, and community transformation (Munck et al., 2023). For Caribbean faith-based universities, this public role provides a basis for connecting mission with regional development and unequal access to services. Mission can connect professional education with service by preparing teachers, nurses, counselors, pastors, business leaders, scientists, and public servants whose work is informed by ethical responsibility. International partnerships become mission-consistent when they expand the institution's ability to serve rather than simply enhance its reputation.

Mission should not be treated as resistance to cultural encounter. Regional research examines faith-based education across several countries, drawing attention to its contributions, challenges, and opportunities (D'Agostino, 2025). Engagement with different cultures can expose narrow interpretations of faith, encourage intellectual humility, and deepen awareness of Christianity's diverse global expressions. The challenge is to create structures through which a confident institutional identity supports hospitable, critical, and reciprocal engagement with others.

Globalization and Its Pressures on Faith Based Institutions

Globalization expands access to knowledge, people, technologies, and institutional networks. Digital com-

munication allows Caribbean universities to offer online programs, share specialized teaching, collaborate in research, and maintain relationships with students and alumni across the diaspora. UNESCO's recent regional analysis nevertheless shows that digital transformation requires coordinated policy, infrastructure, leadership, and attention to unequal access (Sabzalieva et al., 2024). Global Christian networks can also provide faculty development, mission resources, scholarships, and service opportunities for institutions that cannot independently sustain every academic specialty or research facility.

The same processes create strong market pressures. Universities compete for students, recognition, funding, and partnerships in an environment where global rankings and commercial indicators often define success. International students may be viewed primarily as revenue, and academic programs may be selected according to market demand rather than social need. Institutions may imitate prestigious universities whose missions, resources, and national contexts differ greatly from their own. The International Association of Universities (IAU) global survey report (Marinoni & Pina Cardona, 2024) shows that inequality and fragmented implementation persist despite ambitious internationalization goals. These pressures can reshape institutional priorities in ways that weaken religious distinctiveness.

Globalization also raises questions about power. Partnerships between institutions with unequal resources may be described as mutual even when one partner controls funding, curriculum, research questions, publication, or credentialing. Caribbean knowledge may be treated as data for external scholars rather than as an intellectual contribution. Students may be encouraged to see success as departure from the region, while universities unintentionally strengthen patterns of brain drain. The adoption of external quality standards can improve performance, but it may also undervalue local languages, community knowledge, spiritual formation, and service that cannot be easily measured.

Faith-based institutions require a critical rather than defensive response. Globalization should neither be celebrated as automatically beneficial nor rejected as inherently corrupting. Mission provides criteria for discernment: Does an initiative respect human dignity? Is the relationship reciprocal? Does it strengthen educational quality and local capacity? Are benefits accessible to students who cannot travel? Does it serve Caribbean communities? Does it encourage responsible stewardship of financial, cultural, and environmental resources? These questions translate religious commitments into practical institutional judgement.

Internationalization as a Mission Governed Strategy

Recent internationalization research emphasizes integration and comprehensiveness rather than collections of disconnected activities (Gacel-Ávila et al., 2025; Marinoni & Pina Cardona, 2024). A university may sign agreements, host visitors, and recruit students without changing what most students learn or how the institution understands its responsibilities. Comprehensive internationalization connects mission, planning, curriculum, research, student affairs, faculty development, partnerships, and assessment. For faith-based institutions, it should also connect global engagement with spiritual formation and service.

The first area of integration is curriculum. Internationalization at home can expose all students to Caribbean diversity, global Christianity, comparative professional practices, intercultural communication, and ethical issues that cross national borders. Courses can use collaborative online international learning, multilingual resources, guest teaching, and regional case studies. Faith-learning integration should enable students to examine globalization through questions of justice, stewardship, peace, human dignity, and

responsibility. This approach avoids the assumption that international content is religiously neutral or that faith belongs outside professional and scientific inquiry.

The second area is research. Partnerships should link international expertise to Caribbean priorities and strengthen local researchers' ability to lead projects, manage data, publish, and influence policy. Recent bibliometric evidence reveals uneven participation and continuing gaps in Latin American and Caribbean internationalization research, reinforcing the need to develop local research leadership and more equitable collaboration networks (Vazquez & Mancilla, 2025). A mission-oriented institution should evaluate not only publication counts but also whether research improves communities and develops students and faculty as responsible scholars.

A third area is student formation. Internationalization should cultivate intercultural competence without implying that all cultural practices are equally beneficial or that one culture possesses unquestioned superiority. Students need the capacity to listen across difference, examine their assumptions, communicate respectfully, and act ethically in unfamiliar settings. Faith-based institutions can frame these capacities through hospitality, service, humility, reconciliation, and love of neighbor. Physical mobility may contribute, but inclusive campus programs and online collaboration are necessary if internationalization is to reach the entire student population rather than a mobile minority.

Tensions Between Mission and Internationalization

Mission and internationalization can reinforce each other, yet their relationship contains persistent tensions. The first is identity versus adaptation. Institutions must communicate their religious commitments clearly while welcoming students and partners from different traditions. Excessive rigidity can restrict dialogue and academic inquiry, whereas uncritical adaptation can turn faith identity into an indistinct set of values. The appropriate response is transparent hospitality: partners should understand the institution's identity, and the institution should create respectful spaces for difference without surrendering its mission.

A second tension concerns access and prestige. Internationalization is often associated with expensive mobility, elite partnerships, and visibility in global rankings. Such activities may benefit institutional reputation while excluding lower-income, working, rural, or caregiving students. Gacel-Ávila (2022) argues for a more inclusive regional approach, and Caribbean faith-based universities should therefore judge internationalization partly by distributive justice. Resources should support internationalized curricula, virtual exchange, language learning, regional mobility, and community-based projects that reach students broadly. A third tension is financial sustainability versus commercialization. Private faith-based universities often depend heavily on tuition and may see international recruitment as a solution to financial pressure. Yet treating students primarily as revenue conflicts with a mission centered on human development and service. Ethical recruitment requires accurate information, adequate student support, transparent costs, and programs of demonstrable quality. Institutions must also avoid opening programs or locations without the academic capacity to sustain them. Financial decisions are mission decisions because they determine which students, programs, and communities receive attention.

A fourth tension involves global standards and local relevance. Accreditation, professional requirements, and international benchmarking can strengthen quality, but standardization may marginalize Caribbean histories, knowledge, and needs. Glocalization offers a more useful orientation: global resources are interpreted and reconstructed within local contexts rather than simply adopted. A glocal faith-based institution can meet credible academic standards while making curriculum, research, and service

responsive to the region. The aim is not isolation from global knowledge but participation from a position of contextual intelligence and moral agency.

Institutional Illustrations from the Caribbean

The University of the Southern Caribbean offers an instructive illustration of the relationship among mission, regional engagement, and international identity. A 2023 account of its presence in Guyana connects educational access, partnership with government, regional development, and service to God and humanity (University of the Southern Caribbean, 2023). Its location in Trinidad and Tobago, regional extension sites, and culturally diverse population create opportunities for internationalization that emerge from the Caribbean itself. Diversity on campus can support intercultural learning when it is intentionally incorporated into teaching, worship, student life, and community engagement.

Northern Caribbean University provides another example. Its 2022-2027 strategic plan identifies the institution as Seventh-day Adventist and commits it to improving the human condition through Christ-centered education (Northern Caribbean University, 2024). This formulation illustrates how a Caribbean university can connect denominational identity with wider educational and social purposes. Global religious networks can support mobility, accreditation, faculty exchange, and shared programs. However, affiliation alone does not ensure mission alignment. Contemporary international initiatives must be tested against the university's stated purpose, student needs, and contribution to Jamaica and the wider region.

The range of church-related universities and theological colleges also invites consideration of internationalization beyond the conventional research-university model. Depending on their mission and capacity, these institutions may pursue international engagement through professional education, ministry preparation, and community service. Partnerships may focus on leadership development, theological education, peacebuilding, social work, teacher preparation, or community transformation. This diversity cautions against treating faith-based higher education as a uniform sector. Institutional size, tradition, governance, national regulation, and financial capacity influence how mission and internationalization are understood.

These illustrations are not presented as empirical case studies; rather, they show how the theoretical issues become institutionally visible. Mission statements, histories, networks, and geographic reach create possibilities, but actual engagement must be evaluated through evidence. Useful indicators include the international and Caribbean content of curriculum, participation across socioeconomic groups, partnership reciprocity, community outcomes, faculty development, research leadership, student spiritual and intercultural growth, and the influence of mission on resource allocation. The question is not whether an institution appears international, but whether its global relationships produce mission-consistent educational transformation.

A Mission Embedded Glocal Internationalization Framework

This paper proposes a theoretical model in which mission is the governing center through which global engagement is interpreted. The proposed Mission-Embedded Glocal Internationalization framework consists of five interacting commitments. Together they connect institutional identity with regional responsibility and global participation. The framework is normative: it identifies principles institutions can use to design and evaluate policy, rather than predicting that all faith-based universities will behave in the same manner.

The five commitments are an original synthesis proposed in this paper. Mission anchoring draws on scholarship about religious identity and institutional coherence (Benne, 2001; Glanzer et al., 2017). Contextual intelligence and reciprocal partnership respond to the uneven regional conditions and collaboration challenges described by Gacel-Ávila et al. (2025). Integrated academic engagement follows the understanding of internationalization as an institutional process (Knight, 2004), while accountable transformation responds to the need for evaluation and inclusive educational benefits (Gacel-Ávila et al., 2025). Together, the commitments connect institutional purpose, context, relationships, academic practice, and assessment. Their effectiveness would need to be examined through empirical research.

Mission anchoring is the first commitment. Governing boards and senior leaders should articulate why internationalization belongs within the institution's theological and educational purpose. Policies, budgets, partnerships, and assessment should reflect that rationale. Contextual intelligence is the second commitment. Institutions should analyze Caribbean histories, languages, migration patterns, development needs, and power relations before adopting global models. This analysis protects against both uncritical imitation and narrow localism.

Reciprocal partnership is the third commitment. Agreements should specify shared decision-making, mutual benefit, fair recognition, ethical research, capacity building, and procedures for resolving conflict. Partnerships should be periodically reviewed for mission alignment rather than renewed automatically. Integrated academic engagement is the fourth commitment. International and intercultural dimensions should be embedded in curriculum, research, faculty development, and student life. This commitment shifts attention from counting international activities to improving the educational experiences of all students, including those who cannot participate in physical mobility.

Accountable transformation is the fifth commitment. Institutions should evaluate outcomes in academic, spiritual, intercultural, financial, and community terms. Measures might include student learning, faculty participation, equitable access, research relevance, partnership reciprocity, community impact, and evidence that graduates practice ethical service. Assessment should include voices from students, employees, churches, partner organizations, and communities. The five commitments form a cycle: mission guides contextual analysis; context shapes partnerships; partnerships enrich academic work; academic engagement produces outcomes; and assessment informs renewed mission decisions. Internationalization then becomes an ongoing process of institutional learning rather than an end state or publicity claim.

Implications for Leadership and Policy

Institutional leaders must place mission and internationalization within the same governance conversation. Boards should request evidence of mission alignment before approving international agreements or new markets. Strategic plans should define internationalization in language consistent with the institution's faith commitments and Caribbean responsibilities. International offices cannot carry this work alone; academic departments, chaplaincy, student services, research units, finance, quality assurance, and community partners must participate. Faculty development is especially important because curriculum and mentoring are the places where students most directly experience the relationship between faith and global learning.

Policy should also protect reciprocity and inclusion. Partnership reviews can examine who controls decisions, whose knowledge is valued, how costs and benefits are distributed, and whether local capacity increases. Student support should address cultural transition, spiritual life, language, finances, and

belonging. Internationalization at home should be funded as a core strategy, ensuring that students who cannot travel still develop intercultural and global competence. Regional cooperation should receive attention alongside partnerships with North American and European universities, since Caribbean institutions can share knowledge and resources while addressing common problems.

Conclusions

Faith-based higher education institutions in the Caribbean stand within overlapping communities of faith, nation, region, profession, and global scholarship. Their engagement with globalization is unavoidable, but their response is not predetermined. Internationalization can expand knowledge, strengthen relationships, and improve service; it can also encourage commercialization, inequality, dependence, and mission drift. The decisive issue is whether institutions possess a clear mission and governance structure capable of directing global engagement toward educational and public purposes.

The Mission-Embedded Glocal Internationalization framework offers one way to organize that responsibility. It calls institutions to anchor decisions in mission, interpret global opportunities through Caribbean realities, build reciprocal partnerships, integrate internationalization across academic life, and assess transformation transparently. Under this model, success is not measured chiefly by foreign enrollment, travel, agreements, or rankings. It is measured by whether faith-based universities form ethically responsible graduates, strengthen scholarship, serve Caribbean communities, and participate in the world without losing the convictions that make their contribution distinctive.

References

1. Benne, R. (2001). *Quality with soul: How six premier colleges and universities keep faith with their religious traditions*. Wm. B. Eerdmans Publishing.
2. D'Agostino, T. J. (2025). *Faith-based education in Latin America and the Caribbean: Final report*. Pulte Institute for Global Development, University of Notre Dame. <https://doi.org/10.7274/29064998>
3. Gacel-Ávila, J. (2022). Internacionalización inclusiva en América Latina y el Caribe: Desafíos y factibilidad. *Educación Superior y Sociedad*, 34(1), 401–421. <https://doi.org/10.54674/ess.v34i1.570>
4. Gacel-Ávila, J., Villalón de la Isla, E. M., & Vázquez Niño, M. G. (2025). Higher education internationalisation in Latin America and the Caribbean: Away from a comprehensive approach. *European Journal of Education Policy and Practice*, 1(3), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.5117/EJEP2025.3.003.GACE>
5. Glanzer, P. L., Alleman, N. F., & Ream, T. C. (2017). *Restoring the soul of the university: Unifying Christian higher education in a fragmented age*. IVP Academic.
6. Knight, J. (2004). Internationalization remodeled: Definition, approaches, and rationales. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 8(1), 5–31. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315303260832>
7. Marinoni, G., & Pina Cardona, S. B. (2024). *Internationalization of higher education: Current trends and future scenarios (6th IAU global survey report)*. International Association of Universities. https://static1.squarespace.com/static/64ca66e5f086975ce8783d53/t/661f9bed86d6393d1ec26f75/1713347572513/2024_internationalization_survey_report_digital.pdf
8. Munck, R., Pinilla, Y., Hodges, R. A., & Bartch, C. (Eds.). (2023). *Higher education in Latin America and the Caribbean: Civic engagement and the democratic mission*. Machdohnil. <https://oui-iohe.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/Higher-Education-in-LAC.pdf>

9. Northern Caribbean University. (2024). *Strategic plan 2022–2027*. <https://www.ncu.edu.jm/NCUStrategicPlan2024FINAL.pdf>
10. Sabzalieva, E., Chacón, E., Estrela Pereira, A., Valentini, A., Gamarra Caballero, L., & Abdrasheva, D. (2024). *Transforming the digital landscape of higher education in Latin America and the Caribbean*. UNESCO IESALC & UNESCO-ICHEI. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000388361>
11. The University of the West Indies. (n.d.). *Global partnerships*. <https://www.uwi.edu/globalsystem.php>
12. University of the Southern Caribbean. (2023). *USC's continental anchor: The Guyana connection*. <https://usc.edu.tt/news/uscs-continental-anchor-the-guyana-connection/>
13. Vazquez, L. K., & Mancilla, C. (2025). The evolving landscape of internationalization of higher education in Latin America and the Caribbean: A decade of bibliometric evidence. *SAGE Open*, 15(4), Article 21582440251387053. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440251387053>