

The Mechanics of Exclusivity: A Systematic Review of Reputation Building, Scarcity Management, and Consumer Desirability in Luxury Fashion Brands

Kaya Sharma

Student Researcher

ABSTRACT

This paper provides a secondary research synthesis of luxury houses' long-term building, maintenance and exploitation of reputation, perceived exclusivity and consumer desirability. This review systematically searches academic literature published across major database repositories, including Emerald Group Publishing, Elsevier, Wiley Online Library, SpringerLink and Taylor & Francis, at the intersection of strategic brand management, consumer culture theory and luxury marketing economics. In this study, we utilise seminal theories, including Veblenian Conspicuous Consumption, Leibenstein's Snob and Bandwagon Effects, Commodity Scarcity Theory, and Keller's Brand Equity Framework, to delineate the core mechanisms underpinning the luxury branding paradox, which involves balancing the need to scale financial profitability without diluting perceived rarity.

The synthesis identifies four key determinants of persistent luxury brand desirability: historical narrative construction, artificial supply restriction, experiential spatial design and subtle status signalling (inconspicuous consumption). The report also includes a critical analysis of new disruptions to classic luxury mechanics, such as the growth of digital channels, omni-channel client engagement, sustainable luxury pressures and second-hand luxury reselling platforms. Results highlight that contemporary luxury brand equity is increasingly founded on the management of a dual paradigm of physical exclusivity and digital accessibility. Overall, this analysis offers an integrated framework for strategic brand preservation and highlights important gaps for future empirical research in luxury fashion management.

Keywords: Perceived Exclusivity, Brand Equity, Luxury Fashion, Scarcity Management, Conspicuous Consumption, Brand Reputation, Systematic Review

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

The global luxury apparel industry is one of the commercially significant and relatively resilient segments of the international fashion market. Luxury fashion companies such as Hermès, Chanel, Louis Vuitton and Gucci follow operational and strategic principles that are essentially different from the typical mass-market apparel dynamics (Kapferer & Bastien, 2012) because of their high margins, premium price tags and brand equity. Mass-market brands are driven by operational efficiency, fast turnaround, dynamic market responsiveness and cost-driven accessibility,

whereas luxury houses are driven by long-term desirability via controlled distribution, deliberate operational friction, heritage storytelling and high price-to-quality ratios (Vigneron & Johnson, 2004; Wiedmann et al., 2007).

The fundamental idea of luxury fashion is based on symbolic value, not only utilitarian use. Conventional clothing satisfies the physiological needs for clothing and warmth, while luxury fashion products function as symbols of social stratification, cultural capital, personal identity, and hedonic self-reward (Dubois et al., 2005; Han et al., 2010). Therefore, operating a luxury fashion brand is an ongoing balancing act between brand desirability and brand access. As noted by Ko et al. (2019), the market for luxury products has expanded substantially over recent decades owing to fundamental changes in the worldwide distribution of income, international tourism and digital commerce. This has changed the formerly regional, family-owned couture firms into multi-billion-dollar worldwide conglomerates such as LVMH, Kering and Richemont (Ko et al., 2019).

1.2 Research Question

The fast growth of the global luxury garment industry poses a fundamental strategic dilemma, sometimes referred to in academic literature as the “Luxury Paradox” or the “Rarity Dilemma” (Dubois & Paternault, 1995; Kapferer, 2012). To meet the demands of financial markets, institutional investors and the goals of corporate growth, luxury companies need to consistently increase sales volumes, explore expanding geographic areas and expand their product portfolios to accessible entry-level categories such as eyewear, cosmetics and small leather items. However, mass market availability threatens the essence of luxury fashion directly: perceived rarity, exclusivity and social differentiation (Catry, 2003; Kastanakis & Balabanis, 2012).

Once a luxury good is readily available, its signalling value is lost. This may lead to the “snob effect” when high-net-worth status buyers switch from the brand to more exclusive competitors (Leibenstein, 1950; Amaldoss & Jain, 2005). On the downside, excessively restricted market presence results in commercial stagnation, brand depreciation and less funding for innovation in design, retail real estate and global marketing strategies. Moreover, the modern retail environment, including e-commerce, social media amplification and digital re-commerce platforms, has intensified this paradox, making brand imagery and items widely accessible (Okonkwo, 2009; Batra et al., 2000). This study examines the core research problem: How do luxury houses build, maintain, and strategically govern their reputation, perceived exclusivity and consumer desirability in a rapidly changing digital and global market environment without sparking brand dilution?

1.3 Objectives of the Research

In an effort to answer the main research issue, this review study defines five related research objectives as follows:

- To rigorously discover and assess the basic theoretical mechanisms via which luxury fashion houses create and maintain brand value, reputation and consumer desirability over longer periods.
- To study the operational and strategic means by which luxury brand managers manage perceived rarity and product scarcity in the face of challenges for worldwide revenue growth.
- To investigate the changing consumer behaviour concerning luxury items, in terms of conventional ostentatious consumption against current inconspicuous luxury and experience-based status expression.
- To assess the influence of digital media, e-commerce platforms and secondary re-commerce channels on the ability of luxury brands to maintain exclusivity in operations.

- To synthesise existing academic literature from primary scholarly databases, identify key research gaps and establish a theoretical framework for luxury brand governance.

1.4 Research Questions

This secondary research report is structured to address the following research questions:

- **RQ1:** What are the core features of brand equity and strategic mechanics that distinguish luxury fashion management from traditional fashion clothing operations in the academic literature?
- **RQ2:** How can luxury fashion firms build and employ historical provenance, artisan narratives and supply-side scarcity to preserve consumer desirability over time?
- **RQ3:** How do theoretical models of social signalling, snob effects, and psychological reactance explain customer demand for highly exclusive luxury products?
- **RQ4:** How do digital platforms, e-commerce channels, and social media amplification impact the ability of luxury fashion brands to maintain perceived exclusivity and control distribution?
- **RQ5:** What strategy frameworks exist in the literature to assist luxury brand managers in managing the trade-off between market expansion and brand equity?

1.5 Significance of the Study

This work contributes to the field of strategic brand management, fashion marketing and consumer behaviour by offering an in-depth review of luxury brand preservation, synthesising scattered academic studies. Individual empirical studies have explored specific facets of luxury consumption, for example, digital marketing strategies (Okonkwo, 2009), conspicuous consumption dynamics (Han et al., 2010) or sustainability tensions (Joy et al., 2012). However, a contemporary secondary review is needed to integrate these separate domains into a unified framework.

For academics, this article offers a thorough mapping of the peer-reviewed literature published in the leading management and marketing journals during the last two decades. The findings of this study are synthesised for practitioners in the luxury business and brand strategists to manage brand dilution risks, navigate digital channels and sustain brand equity in uncertain global marketplaces.

CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 Luxury in academic discourse and its conceptualisation

The concept of “luxury” is difficult to define within academic literature due to the subjective, contextual and fluid character of the construct. Luxury, derived from the Latin word “luxus” (excess, deviation, extravagance), has transformed from an objective classification of material goods into a multidimensional socio-psychological concept (Vigneron & Johnson, 1999; Wiedmann et al., 2007). Early approaches to economics characterised luxury products primarily in terms of price elasticity of demand, defining a luxury good as one whose demand increases more than proportionally with increases in consumer wealth (Piron, 2000). Contemporary marketing research suggests that economic definitions fail to capture the symbolic, aesthetic and experiential aspects that influence consumer desirability (Kapferer, 2012; Ko et al., 2019). Vigneron and Johnson (1999), in their foundational conceptualisation, proposed the Brand Luxury Index (BLI) that classifies luxury perception into five non-personal and personal dimensions: perceived conspicuousness, perceived uniqueness, perceived extended-self, perceived hedonism and perceived quality. This framework stresses that luxury value is not only in the objective product features such as quality of raw material or precision of manufacture, but also in the collective cultural view of the brand’s symbolic capital.

Wiedmann, Hennigs and Siebels (2007) developed an integrated conceptual framework based on this model, which defines four key luxury value dimensions, namely financial, functional, individual and social value. Together, they showed that consumer perceptions of luxury vary across regional markets and demographic cohorts, based on different mixes of social status seeking, personal hedonic pleasure, and functional expectations of durability.

2.2 The Masstige Paradox (Rarity-Mass Prestige)

One of the major fields in contemporary luxury literature is the strategic phenomenon of “Masstige”, a combination of mass-market volume with luxury prestige positioning (Silverstein & Fiske, 2003; Truong et al., 2008). Corporate expansion imperatives have resulted in luxury corporations increasingly adopting market segmentation tactics meant to target larger cohorts of consumers. This is accomplished by means of lower-priced product categories, diffusion lines, dynamic brand extensions and accessible digital distribution channels (Kumar & Paul, 2018).

The structural dangers of masstige strategies have been identified in academic studies. Truong, McColl, and Kitchen (2008) carried out a quantitative study on consumer brand perception and found that masstige positioning enhances short-term sales income and market penetration but may risk damaging long-term brand exclusivity and premium price resilience. A prestige brand that enhances retail availability risks losing its status signalling ability among core premium buyers (Amaldoss & Jain, 2005).

Kapferer (2012) tackles this issue by outlining the tight boundaries between premium management and luxury management. Premium positioning is based on an additive value proposition: demonstrating superior functional performance to justify a higher price point ($Price = FunctionalValue + Margin$). Luxury Positioning, in contrast, works with an absolute symbolic proposition: the construction of subjective cultural value through narrative, artificial scarcity and non-linear pricing models ($Price = SymbolicCapital \times HedonicValue$). Academic literature indicates that when a brand attempts to manage a luxury strategy through mass-market expansion models, perceived scarcity and desirability can be compromised.

2.3 Brand Heritage, Storytelling and Origin

Luxury brands are believed to be of high quality based on a combination of historical narrative, institutional provenance and perceived artisanal authenticity (Dion & Arnould, 2011; Urde et al., 2007). The brand heritage literature suggests that historical continuity is a powerful operational barrier to market entry and provides established European fashion firms with a sustainable competitive advantage (Beverland, 2006).

Dion and Arnould (2011) studied the institutional management of charisma in luxury fashion firms, showing that creative directors act as ritualised charismatic leaders who pass on aesthetic legitimacy to future generations. Their work demonstrates that heritage storytelling is not only a retrospective marketing strategy but a continuous organisational practice. Brands such as Hermès and Louis Vuitton have established narrative loops that relate their present seasonal collections to the traditions of luggage and harness-making from the 19th century. This framing transforms the purchase from a transaction to the acquisition of a lasting cultural asset.

Moreover, country of origin (COO) dynamics empirically have a function in maintaining luxury reputation (Aiello et al., 2009). Studies with international consumer samples (Aiello et al., 2009) reveal that geographic provenance, especially “Made in Italy” or “Made in France”, is a key quality cue for luxury customers. Literature suggests that when production processes of luxury houses are offshored to lower-

cost countries, perceived authenticity and acceptability of premium prices may drop significantly, highlighting the close relationship between physical manufacturing origins and symbolic brand value.

2.4 Digital Transformation and the Myth of Access

One of the most commonly discussed themes in modern luxury academic research is the merging of digital e-commerce channels, social media platforms and algorithmic marketing models (Okonkwo, 2009; Hennigs et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2021). Historically, luxury fashion management has rejected digital commerce platforms due to their belief that the open, egalitarian and democratized nature of the internet was fundamentally at odds with the principles of exclusivity, controlled distribution and personal high-touch customer service (Okonkwo, 2009).

However, research over the last decade shows a trend of omni-channel luxury strategy (Hennigs et al., 2012; Kluge et al., 2013). Kluge, Aggarwal and Brock (2013) looked at the empirical effects of Web presence on the desirability of luxury brands. They discovered that digital availability doesn't necessarily diminish brand equity if digital touchpoints maintain visual aesthetic control, high-quality storytelling, and friction-driven access models (e.g. digital waiting lists, invite-only virtual salons, and restricted allocation portals).

Recent academic studies suggest that luxury businesses are able to create “digital scarcity” and “controlled intimacy” using digital platforms (Liu et al., 2021). Luxury firms can use social media channels such as Instagram and WeChat to narrate legacy stories, expose behind-the-scenes crafting methods and share creative directors' vision with global audiences. This leads to a broad audience of brand aspirants while retaining access to physical products for an elite purchasing core (Kapferer & Bastien, 2012).

2.5. Co-creation of Experiential Luxury and Hedonic Value

An important transition in modern literature is the transition from material luxury consumption to experiential luxury consumption (Atwal & Williams, 2009; Holmqvist et al., 2020). Atwal and Williams (2009) applied Pine and Gilmore's Experience Economy lens to the luxury retail sector and stated that affluent consumers are more interested in transformative, hedonic and multisensory retail experiences than tangible object ownership.

Physical flagship stores are highly curated retail environments that operationalise experiencing luxury (Dion & Borraz, 2017). Dion and Borraz (2017) on luxury retail architecture demonstrate how spatial design, high-end materials, museum-like lighting and disciplined retail workers regulate social distance and validate status. Luxury retailers co-create high hedonic value with consumers by changing the retail environment into an exclusive, curated area, promoting brand desirability among consumers and justifying large price premiums.

CHAPTER 3: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

This study constructs a framework to analyse the literature on luxury brand management, reputation, and exclusivity by drawing on four important theoretical lenses based on economics, sociology, and consumer psychology.

3.1 Veblenian Social Signalling and the Theory of Conspicuous Consumption

The basic economic theory of luxury consumption derives from Thorstein Veblen's (1899) Theory of the Leisure Class and the introduction of Conspicuous Consumption. Veblen asserts that people buy and show expensive products, not because they have any utility, but to visually display the level of wealth, economic power and higher social position they have achieved. In Veblenian economics, luxury goods are often

associated with “Veblen goods,” a distinct class of goods where, under specific conditions of status signalling, demand can rise alongside price, contrary to standard price-demand functions.

Han, Nunes and Drèze (2010) took the Veblenian theory and applied it to a taxonomy of luxury signal preference based on consumer affluence and status demand in modern luxury research. They divided consumers into four socio-economic segments:

- **Patricians:** High Wealth, Low Status Signaling: Prefer discreet, silent luxury products with low-visibility brand insignia that only their fellow connoisseurs would recognise (inconspicuous consumption).
- **Parvenus:** High wealth, high demand for status signalling, salient, clearly recognisable luxury brand logos to convey riches to the wider public (conspicuous spending).
- **Poseurs:** Low wealth, high need to express status. Easily attracted to fake luxury or affordable masstige trademark products to mimic riches.
- **Proletarians:** Low wealth, low need for status signalling. Stay out of luxury signalling networks.

This model gives a theoretical basis to explain the importance of luxury companies to balance the product design portfolios cautiously. Any excessive use of noisy logos would alienate the affluent Patrician consumers, but if all signposting were eliminated, the product would become less attractive to the Parvenu and Poseur markets.

3.2 Theory of Commodity Scarcity and Psychological Reactance

The psychological mechanisms underpinning consumer desirability are based on Brock's (1968) **Commodity Theory / Commodity Scarcity Theory**, which states that the subjective worth of an information source or physical item grows in direct proportion to its perceived unavailability, limitation or restricted supply. Scarcity is a major psychological motivator of urgency, desire and perceived value in luxury fashion marketing (Lynn, 1991; Verhallen & Robben, 1990).

Theories of Scarcity are discussed in relation to Brehm's (1966) **Theory of Psychological Reactance**. If a consumer is limited in their access to luxury goods they want, whether by an intentional limitation on production, a stringent waiting list or exclusive spatial access, the buyer sees a threat to their purchasing autonomy. The threat induces reactance, leading to a higher subjective value of the limited item, and hence more cognitive effort and financial cost to overcome the access barrier.

Luxury retailers/brands operationalise this framework by means of two structural kinds of scarcity:

- **Natural / Absolute Scarcity:** Supply restrictions caused by authentic physical limitations, such as rare raw material availability, labour-intensive craftsmanship, and limited master artisan hours (e.g., Hermès Birkin manufacturing limits).
- **Artificial / Manufactured Scarcity** – Artificially imposed restrictions of supply by brand management on otherwise fully scalable production lines, limited editions, seasonal capsule collections, geographic retail exclusives (e.g. Supreme collaborations or limited luxury goods drops).

3.3 Brand Equity Theory in Luxury Market

The structural model employed to evaluate the differential financial performance according to the influence of brand knowledge, associations and perceptions is the Customer-Based Brand Equity (CBBE) framework of Keller (1993). Keller's CBBE model in the typical consumer market focuses on brand recognition, performance qualities and functional customer satisfaction measures.

Keller's model requires adjustment when used for non-linear consumer dynamics in the setting of luxury fashion (Atwal & Williams, 2009). Functional performance is a hygiene aspect rather than the major driver of brand equity. Luxury brand equity consists instead of brand imagery and brand resonance based on

non-utilitarian attributes: exclusive heritage, artistic affiliation, aesthetic leadership and social distinction. Brand awareness should be differentiated from brand accessibility. The maximum of luxury equity occurs when brand awareness is very strong at a worldwide level, but access to buy the goods is still rigorously limited (Kapferer & Bastien, 2012).

3.4 The Snob and Bandwagon Model

Leibenstein's (1950) economic model of social consumption externalities points to two competing behavioural drives in luxury market ecosystems:

- **The Snob Effect:** It is a microeconomic utility function in which the demand for an item declines as its use by the general population grows ($dQ_i/dQ_{total} < 0$). The snob consumer looks for exclusivity, social difference and disengagement from mass consumer behaviour.
- **The Bandwagon Effect:** It refers to consumption behaviour in which demand for a commodity increases as social groups adopt or accept the product ($dQ_i/dQ_{total} > 0$). Bandwagon buyers desire social integration, conformity, and status affirmation through association with existing social groups.

Balancing these competing external market demands... is what luxury brand management is all about. Expanded market access spurs bandwagon demand amongst aspirant consumer classes, leading to short-term revenue growth. However, extensive adoption may reduce perceived exclusivity among consumers who place greater value on differentiation.

CHAPTER 4: METHODOLOGY OF RESEARCH

4.1 Systematic Literature Review Protocols

This study employs a systematic literature review methodology based on the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines (Page et al., 2021). We chose a systematic literature review methodology to provide an objective, transparent, and reproducible means of synthesising peer-reviewed academic literature without collecting primary empirical data.

The review was carried out following a four-stage protocol between May 2024 and August 2024, targeting studies published through 2024, supplemented by seminal theoretical literature required for the conceptual framework.

- Structured Boolean search strings for the identification of academic publications.
- The identified studies were screened using established eligibility criteria.
- Quality assessment was performed using an adapted 10-point critical appraisal checklist evaluating five key criteria: research design clarity, methodological transparency, theoretical alignment, analytical rigour, and overall contribution to luxury marketing theory.
- Thematic synthesis, comparative interpretation, and conceptual integration of key results were then conducted. Of the 94 studies meeting eligibility criteria, 46 were excluded during the final thematic relevance assessment because they lacked sufficiently direct focus on the core mechanisms of luxury fashion brand equity, reputation building, or scarcity governance, yielding a final corpus of 48 studies.

4.2 Search Strategy and Database Choice

The literature search was executed across five major publisher databases: Elsevier / ScienceDirect, Emerald Group Publishing, Wiley Online Library, SpringerLink and Taylor & Francis Online. Search strings utilised Boolean combinations such as: ("luxury fashion" OR "luxury brand*") AND ("exclusivity" OR "scarcity" OR "perceived rarity") AND ("brand equity" OR "reputation" OR "brand value").

4.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Screening was performed with stringent inclusion and exclusion boundaries to maintain academic quality

and relevance:

Inclusion Criteria

- **Type of publication:** Original empirical research pieces, conceptual frameworks and systematic literature reviews published in peer-reviewed publications.
- **Publisher Scope:** Journals listed in the ABS/AJG Journal Quality Guide or indexed in Elsevier, Emerald, Wiley, Springer or Taylor & Francis.
- **Time Frame:** Studies published between 2000 and 2024, complemented by seminal literature (e.g., Veblen, 1899; Leibenstein, 1950; Keller, 1993) that is essential for the theoretical background.
- **Language:** English full-text scholarly articles.

Exclusion Criteria

- Non-peer-reviewed content from trade magazines, industry blogs, press releases, editorial essays.
- Studies that targeted industries outside of luxury fashion (e.g. automobile, real estate, hospitality, luxury boats) unless the theoretical concepts were directly relevant to fashion management.
- Articles without transparent methodological structures and verifiable academic citations.

4.4 Method of Data Extraction and Synthesis

Extraction process: The qualitative data, theoretical basis, empirical methods, sample size, regional dynamics and key findings of the research were meticulously recorded in the analytical matrix. Data synthesis was performed using a thematic synthesis approach (Thomas & Harden, 2008) and the analytical findings were categorised into three key themes:

- Drivers of brand appeal and reputation among consumers.
- Tactical mechanisms for exclusivity-handling and dilution-avoiding.
- Emerging modern disruptions (digital adoption, sustainability aspirations, re-commerce platforms)

Theme	Number of Studies	Key Findings	Supporting Studies	Identified Research Gap
Brand Equity & Heritage	14	Historical origin, artisan narrative, and provenance sustain brand equity and justify price premiums.	Beverland (2006); Dion & Arnould (2011); Aiello et al. (2009)	Over-reliance on Eurocentric heritage models; limited insights on emerging market luxury brands.
Scarcity & Social Signalling	16	Supply restrictions induce psychological reactance; signalling varies between	Catry (2003); Amaldoss & Jain (2005); Han et al. (2010)	Empirical quantification and customer lifetime value comparison of quiet luxury vs logo-heavy portfolios.

Theme	Number of Studies	Key Findings	Supporting Studies	Identified Research Gap
		conspicuous and quiet luxury.		
Digital & Re-Commerce Dynamics	18	Omni-channel friction and secondary resale retain brand equity while expanding access.	Okonkwo (2009); Kluge et al. (2013); Turunen & Pöyry (2019)	Longitudinal studies on multi-decade digital exposure and generational brand dilution.

Note: Studies were categorised based on their primary/dominant thematic category.

PRISMA Stage	Process Description & Operational Criteria	Database / Search Parameters	Yield Count
Stage 1: Identification	Initial Boolean query execution across database repositories	Emerald, Elsevier, Wiley, Springer, Taylor & Francis	N = 1,248
Stage 2: Screening	Duplicate removal (n=412); Title & abstract screening (n=836). Excluded non-relevant sectors (n=454).	Inclusion/Exclusion criteria applied	N = 382
Stage 3: Eligibility	Full-text review (n=382); excluded for lack of methodological rigour (n=142), non-peer-reviewed trade articles (n=86), out-of-scope domain (n=60).	Critical appraisal checklist (score $\geq 7/10$)	N = 94
Stage 4: Included Studies	Detailed qualitative synthesis, mapping to core theoretical frameworks and themes (46 studies were excluded during the final thematic relevance assessment because they lacked sufficiently direct focus on the core mechanisms of luxury fashion brand equity, reputation building, or scarcity governance)	Final peer-reviewed study corpus	N = 48

CHAPTER 5: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Main Findings: The Drivers of Luxury Desire

A synthesis of the studied research shows four interconnected structural forces that build and maintain lux

ury fashion desirability across long temporal horizons:

1. Craftsmanship and Functional Quality

Global consumer data from empirical research reveals that perceived technical skill, material excellence and product endurance are still important baseline factors for luxury justification (Wiedmann et al., 2007; Vigneron & Johnson, 2004). While symbolic status activates willingness-to-pay, functional faults can erode the validity of luxury. The craftsmanship of the artisan is an objective anchor that defends the brand from perceptions of inflated symbolic value.

2. Access Friction and Strategic Supply Restriction

Limiting supply of products deliberately and continuously is widely highlighted in the literature as a mechanism to support premium pricing power and desirability among consumers (Catry, 2003; Amaldoss & Jain, 2005). Mechanisms such as multi-year waiting lists, potential manufacturing quotas per client, and refusal of off-price discounting minimize market saturation and preserve psychological reactance among affluent consumers.

3. Institutional Heritage and Cultural Provenance

Beverland (2006) and Dion & Arnould (2011) stress that historical continuity is an important factor for the longevity of brand authority. Luxury houses that tell the tale of their origin, of their artisanal pedigree and of the geographical roots of their manufacturing, develop cultural capital that can be difficult for newer entrants to imitate rapidly.

4. Curated Experiential Retail Environments

Physical retail touchpoints are noted as sacralized locations by Dion and Borraz (2017) and Atwal and Williams (2009). Luxury retailers build social legitimacy around the purchase process through high-end store architecture, museum-like product displays, selected creative exhibitions, and selective customer service.

5.2 Strategic Implications for Brand Managers

The systematic research highlights important strategic implications for luxury brand managers who attempt to reconcile the demands of market expansion and brand equity preservation:

The Strategic Perimeter Matrix

A structural segmentation of luxury brand portfolios is needed to distinguish core legacy products from aspirational entry-level categories:

- **Core Heritage Anchors:** Best-in-class, highly limited product (e.g., bespoke tailoring, haute couture, classic leather goods) held tight to natural or artificial scarcity models. They are major reputation anchors, driving brand equity instead of bulk volume.
- **Aspirational Volume Drivers:** Lower-priced, highly scalable entry categories (fragrance, cosmetics, eyewear, small accessories) designed to create strong operational cash flows from aspirational consumers protected by the equity halo of core legacy anchors.

Controlled Distribution and Omni-channel Friction

Brand managers should resist the temptation of unrestrained distribution expansion via third-party wholesale or open e-commerce platforms. It has been shown in the literature that controlling exclusive brand-owned boutiques, controlling DTC retail, and intentional digital friction (e.g. online reservation portals, limited catalogue access) maintain pricing integrity and greater control over customer data (Kluge et al., 2013).

5.3 New Directions for Luxury Research

1. Digital Scarcity, Web3 and Virtual Luxury Assets

Recent research (Liu et al., 2021; Ko et al., 2019) highlights the growing importance of digital scarcity. Luxury businesses are embracing non-fungible tokens (NFTs) and digital fashion skins for virtual worlds and digital passports on the blockchain to authenticate and build exclusivity in virtual surroundings. These solutions may allow luxury houses to take the mechanics of scarcity from physical clothes into high-margin digital realms.

2. The Paradox of Sustainable Luxury

Luxury consumption and environmental sustainability are under increasing strain, which is also explored in the literature (Joy et al., 2012; Godart & Dong, 2022). Luxury apparel is a category associated with longevity and durability by nature, with a natural emphasis on longevity, timeless design and excellent repairability. The industry's reliance on rare animal hides, exotic skins and fashion week events that require global flights is also coming under increasing fire. Studies show that consumers nowadays are asking for more transparency about environmental, social, and governance (ESG) practices. Therefore, luxury houses are under pressure to integrate sustainable sourcing while yet retaining the perception of high prestige.

3. The Second-Hand Luxury Market and Re-commerce

The rise of authenticated luxury resale platforms (e.g., Vestiaire Collective, The RealReal) marks a major shift in the secondary luxury ecosystem (Turunen & Pöyry, 2019). While brand managers have traditionally considered pre-owned luxury resale as a potential threat similar to grey market trade, the academic literature increasingly views it as a potential brand equity amplifier. High resale value retention on secondary platforms serves as an indicator of sustained scarcity and quality, which the literature suggests can reinforce pricing power in the primary market, as discussed in recent literature.

5.4 A Comparative Look at Major Theoretical Perspectives

Table 1 below offers a comparative summary of the main theoretical frameworks discussed in the literature. It compares the basic assumptions, value drivers and acknowledged constraints within current luxury brand management.

Theoretical Framework	Key Authors & Foundations	Primary Driver of Luxury Value	Structural Operational Mechanics	Key Limitations in Modern Context
Veblenian Conspicuous Consumption	Veblen (1899); Han et al. (2010)	Public status display and pecuniary power	Visible logos, prominent brand markers, high relative price	Does not fully capture less visible forms of status signalling.
Commodity Scarcity Theory	Brock (1968); Lynn (1991)	Unavailability, restricted access, and supply limits	Waitlists, production caps, exclusive drops	Risk of consumer frustration or pivot to luxury substitutes

Theoretical Framework	Key Authors & Foundations	Primary Driver of Luxury Value	Structural Operational Mechanics	Key Limitations in Modern Context
Leibenstein's Snob/Bandwagon Model	Leibenstein (1950); Amaldoss & Jain (2005)	Social differentiation vs. group conformity	Segmented distribution, restricted access	Difficult to maintain balance across diverse global markets
Customer-Based Brand Equity (CBBE)	Keller (1993); Atwal & Williams (2009)	Symbolic associations, heritage, and resonance	Narrative storytelling, spatial sacralization	Standard model overemphasises functional attributes over symbolic equity

5.5 Critical discussion and identification of gaps in the literature

The available literature gives a broad view into individual theoretical aspects of luxury marketing; however, there are some conceptual gaps which are not covered:

- **Geographic Hegemony vs. Emerging Markets:** Current theoretical frameworks tend to be Eurocentric, based on established French and Italian heritage models. There is scant research on how domestic luxury firms from emerging countries (e.g. China, India, Brazil) build luxury equity without centuries of Western fashion heritage.
- **Inconspicuous Consumption Quantification:** Although Han et al. (2010) lay the conceptual groundwork for subtle luxury signalling, empirical research quantifying the market share, profitability, and customer lifetime value of “quiet luxury” portfolios compared to logo-heavy portfolios is lacking.
- **Long-term dynamics of erosion of digital equity:** Most current research studies short-term customer responses to luxury e-commerce and social media advertising. The literature base is limited in longitudinal research investigating whether extended digital exposure erodes generational brand equity over multi-decade timeframes.

CHAPTER 6. CONCLUSION

6.1 Recap of the Study

This secondary research work methodically analysed the academic literature on reputation building, scarcity governance, and consumer desirability management in luxury fashion firms. This study examined the mechanics of how luxury houses address the “Luxury Paradox” of creating corporate growth without degrading brand exclusivity using an analysis of studies published in the major peer-reviewed databases (Elsevier, Emerald, Wiley, SpringerLink, and Taylor & Francis).

The literature shows that luxury brand equity is based on non-utilitarian value creation through Veblenian status signalling, psychological reactance due to supply limits, institutional legacy storytelling, and spatial retail sacralization. This assessment also considered recent disruptions and how digital scarcity management, omni-channel distribution control, and secondary re-commerce ecosystems are reshaping traditional luxury dynamics.

6.2. Key findings

- **Symbolic Value:** The attractiveness of luxury brands is based more on their symbolic, social and hedonic worth than their objective functional performance. The operational strategy has to be focused on brand story and social status signalling capability, not on minimising cost or maximising production.
- **The Role of Access Friction:** To maintain perceived exclusivity, active operational friction is necessary, including limited supply allocation, multi-year waiting lists, control of direct-to-consumer distribution, and non-linear pricing. Unrestricted market availability may increase the risk of reduced perceived exclusivity and brand dilution.
- **Dual Portfolio Governance:** Synthesis of luxury management studies indicates that firms frequently adopt a dual operational approach: retaining non-scalable, highly exclusive core heritage items to build overarching brand equity, alongside scalable aspirational categories (fragrances, eyewear, accessories) to support cash flows.
- **Digital Adaptation without Dilution of Exclusivity:** Luxury brands' value is not necessarily diluted through digital channels. Digital touchpoints broaden worldwide reach without losing the uniqueness of the product when triggered via restricted digital access models, premium narrative and direct visual governance.

6.3 Implications for Practice and Management

This analysis presents some practical advice to strategic managers and luxury entrepreneurs and to brand executives:

- The literature suggests that enforcing strict DTC boutique networks and brand-owned digital channels can assist in restricting exposure through third-party wholesale channels prone to unauthorised discounting or inconsistent visual merchandising.
- Protect Artisanal Sourcing and provenance: manufacturing operations for core product lines must be anchored in traditional geographic clusters ("Made in France," "Made in Italy"). Offshoring core production to cut labour costs may weaken perceived authenticity and consumer price acceptance.
- Design multi-tiered product portfolios that define clear operational boundaries between quiet, unbranded, ultra-exclusive heritage lines targeted at ultra-high-net-worth Patrician consumers and overtly branded entry-level products targeted at aspiring Parvenu consumers (Han et al., 2010).
- Engaging with the Secondary Resale Ecosystem: Instead of considering authenticated re-commerce as competition, luxury companies could follow resale value measurements as a barometer of brand health and leverage the strength of the secondary market to support higher primary prices.

6.4 Limitations of the Secondary Review

There are a number of methodological limitations to this study that are common to secondary review research:

- **No Primary Empirical Validation:** The study conclusions are based on qualitative synthesis and theoretical integration of existing research without primary empirical testing, customer surveying or direct brand financial audit data.
- **Publication and Access Constraints:** The results of the review are limited by the inclusion criteria, the accessibility of databases, and the unique academic search strings used in the PRISMA protocol execution.
- **Fast-Moving Market:** The luxury industry, particularly in areas such as digital Web3 ecosystems, artificial intelligence-driven personalisation, and changing geopolitical patterns of wealth, is evolving

rapidly. As the systematic search was conducted between May and August 2024, developments after the search period may not be fully reflected in the reviewed literature.

6.5 Directions for Future Research

Future research should explore the following to further the academic knowledge on managing luxury brands:

- **Empirical Quantitative Studies on Quiet Luxury:** Comparative quantitative studies on customer willingness-to-pay and lifetime valuation of unbranded, subtle luxury products vs. conspicuously branded counterparts across global wealth cohorts.
- **Non-Western Luxury Heritage:** How non-Western market luxury fashion start-ups build institutional legitimacy, status signalling ability and heritage narratives without historical context in Europe.
- **Longitudinal Digital Equity Metrics:** Following consumer brand perception metrics across multi-year periods to assess the long-term influence of algorithmic social media marketing and digitally accessible e-commerce on brand equity.
- **Environmental Sustainability and Exclusivity Dynamics:** A Study of the Trade-offs Between Exotic Material Scarcity and Sustainable Material Alternatives in the High-End Luxury Fashion Segments

6.6 Ethical, Cultural and Sustainability Issues

Running luxury fashion firms is a demanding task, with ethical, social and environmental concerns. As global brand entities with a substantial cultural influence, luxury houses face three key operational challenges:

Environmental Integrity and Material Sourcing

Luxury fashion's use of rare exotic leathers, expensive metals and high-resource raw materials must be matched with rigorous supply chain audits and ethical control. At the same time, luxury brands are encouraged to set the bar for the rest of the industry, from animal welfare and ethical material traceability to non-toxic tanning/dyeing. Material scarcity should not mean ecological degradation.

Art: Cultural Appropriation or Cultural Exchange

Luxury houses seek creative inspiration from various cultures around the world for seasonal collections, and brand managers need to set ethical standards on how to engage with those cultures. Brands can also face reputational damage and public reaction when they take traditional indigenous designs without credit or use them transactionally. Brand managers need to establish connections with local artisan communities that are collaborative and fair, offering attribution, pay and support for cultural preservation.

Social Inequality, Status and Access

The intentional insertion of information about class stratification through higher price points and exclusive store locations remains a socio-cultural issue. Status signalling continues to be a primary psychological driver of luxury consumption, but brand managers must ensure that commercial operations are conducted ethically, including non-discriminatory retailing policies, fair labour compensation across subcontracted supply networks, and responsible corporate social responsibility (CSR) investments.

REFERENCES

1. Aiello, G., Donvito, R., Godey, B., Pederzoli, D., Wiedmann, K. P., Hennigs, N., ... & Singh, R. (2009). An international research on luxury brand point of purchase: What elements affect customer perception?. *Journal of Global Fashion Marketing*, 1(1), 1-12.

2. Amaldoss, W., & Jain, S. (2005). Pricing of conspicuous goods: A competitive analysis of social effects. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 42(1), 30-42.
3. Atwal, G., & Williams, A. (2009). Luxury brand marketing–The experience is everything!. *Journal of Brand Management*, 16(5-6), 338-346.
4. Batra, R., Ramaswamy, V., Alden, D. L., Steenkamp, J. B. E., & Ramachander, S. (2000). Effects of brand local and nonlocal origin on consumer attitudes in developing countries. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 9(2), 83-95.
5. Beverland, M. B. (2006). The 'real thing': Branding authenticity in the luxury wine trade. *Journal of Business Research*, 59(2), 251-258.
6. Brehm, J. W. (1966). *A theory of psychological reactance*. Academic Press.
7. Brock, T. C. (1968). Implications of commodity theory for value change. In A. G. Greenwald, T. C. Brock, & T. M. Ostrom (Eds.), *Psychological foundations of attitudes* (pp. 243–275). Academic Press.
8. Catry, B. (2003). The great pretenders: The power of luxury brands. *Business Strategy Review*, 14(3), 10-17.
9. Dion, D., & Arnould, E. (2011). Retail luxury strategy: Assembling charisma through art and magic. *Journal of Retailing*, 87(4), 502-520.
10. Dion, D., & Borraz, S. (2017). Managing status distance in luxury retail: The case of private shopping salons. *Journal of Retailing*, 93(1), 67-80.
11. Dubois, B., & Paternault, C. (1995). Understanding the world of international luxury brands: The 'dream formula'. *Journal of Brand Management*, 3(1), 69-76.
12. Dubois, B., Czellar, S., & Laurent, G. (2005). Consumer segments based on attitudes toward luxury: An international comparison. *Journal of Brand Management*, 12(2), 115-128.
13. Godart, F., & Dong, H. (2022). Sustainable luxury fashion: Dilemmas and strategies. *Fashion Theory*, 26(3), 341-358.
14. Han, Y. J., Nunes, J. C., & Drèze, X. (2010). Signaling status with luxury goods: The role of brand prominence. *Journal of Marketing*, 74(4), 15-30.
15. Hennigs, N., Wiedmann, K. P., & Klarmann, C. (2012). Consumer value perception of luxury goods: A cross-cultural framework. *Luxuries*, 1(1), 31-54.
16. Holmqvist, J., Diaz Ruiz, C., & Peñaloza, L. (2020). Moments of luxury: Experiential luxury consumption in everyday life. *Journal of Business Research*, 116, 502-513.
17. Joy, A., Sherry Jr, J. F., Venkatesh, A., Wang, J., & Chan, R. (2012). Fast fashion, sustainability, and the ethical appeal of luxury brands. *Fashion Theory*, 16(3), 273-296.
18. Kapferer, J. N. (2012). Abundant rarity: The paradoxes of modern luxury. *The Journal of Brand Management*, 20(5), 319-330.
19. Kapferer, J. N., & Bastien, V. (2012). *The luxury strategy: Break the rules of marketing to build luxury brands*. Kogan Page Publishers.
20. Kastanakis, M. N., & Balabanis, G. (2012). Between the mass and the class: Antecedents of the status seeking consumption. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(10), 1399-1407.
21. Keller, K. L. (1993). Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity. *Journal of Marketing*, 57(1), 1-22.
22. Kluge, P. N., Aggarwal, P., & Brock, C. (2013). The digital aura of luxury: How website characteristics affect luxury brand perception. *Journal of Internet Commerce*, 12(4), 345-368.

23. Ko, E., Costello, J. P., & Taylor, C. R. (2019). What is a luxury brand? A new definition and review of the literature. *Journal of Business Research*, 99, 405-413.
24. Kumar, A., & Paul, J. (2018). Mass prestige and luxury brands: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 26(7), 582-598.
25. Leibenstein, H. (1950). Bandwagon, snob, and Veblen effects in the theory of consumers' demand. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 64(2), 183-207.
26. Liu, X., Shin, H., & Chiang, F. (2021). Digital exclusivity and virtual luxury: Brand management in digital spaces. *International Journal of Information Management*, 58, 102312.
27. Lynn, M. (1991). Scarcity's enhancement of desirability: The role of challenge. *Basic and Applied Social Psychology*, 12(1), 67-78.
28. Okonkwo, U. (2009). The luxury brand strategy challenge. *Journal of Brand Management*, 16(5), 287-289.
29. Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., ... & Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71.
30. Piron, F. (2000). Consumers' perceptions of luxury: A cross-cultural study. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing*, 12(3), 57-76.
31. Silverstein, M. J., & Fiske, N. (2003). LuxCo: Trading up to the new luxury. *Harvard Business Review*, 81(4), 48-57.
32. Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 8(1), 45.
33. Truong, Y., McColl, R., & Kitchen, P. J. (2008). New luxury brand positioning and the emergence of Masstige brands. *Journal of Brand Management*, 16(5), 375-382.
34. Turunen, L. L. M., & Pöyry, E. (2019). Shopping with the resale value in mind: A study on second-hand luxury consumers. *Journal of Business Research*, 101, 163-171.
35. Urde, M., Greyser, S. A., & Balmer, J. M. (2007). Corporate brands with a heritage. *Journal of Brand Management*, 15(1), 4-19.
36. Veblen, T. (1899). *The theory of the leisure class*. Macmillan.
37. Verhallen, T. M., & Robben, H. S. (1990). Scarcity and preference: An experiment on availability and commodity theory. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 11(2), 269-285.
38. Vigneron, F., & Johnson, L. W. (1999). A review and a conceptual framework of prestige-seeking consumer behavior. *Academy of Marketing Science Review*, 1(1), 1-15.
39. Vigneron, F., & Johnson, L. W. (2004). Measuring perceptions of brand luxury. *Journal of Brand Management*, 11(6), 484-506.
40. Wiedmann, K. P., Hennigs, N., & Siebels, A. (2007). Measuring consumers' luxury value perception: A cross-cultural framework. *Academy of Marketing Science Review*, 2007, 1.