

Public Policy and Local Governance in India Issues, Challenges and Prospects

Dr. Dhanbir Singh¹, Dr. Sharmila Lochab²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, MERI PLI Asanda, Sampla, Haryana,

²Assistant Professor, Department of Political Science, Baba Mastnath University, Rohtak

Abstract

Public policy and local governance connect government priorities with the everyday needs of citizens. In India, the 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments established a constitutional foundation for rural and urban local bodies. However, effective decentralisation continues to depend on the devolution of functions, funds and functionaries, administrative capacity, citizen participation and accountability. This paper examines the relationship between public policy and local governance through a descriptive and analytical review of constitutional provisions, official reports and selected academic literature. Its framework draws on decentralisation, participatory democracy, good governance and institutional approaches. The analysis highlights fiscal dependence, limited staffing, uneven participation and administrative fragmentation as important constraints. It argues that local bodies should be treated not merely as implementing agencies but as democratic institutions that identify local needs, shape priorities, monitor services and contribute to policy learning. Stronger fiscal capacity, transparent administration, inclusive participation and outcome-based evaluation are essential for improving local governance.

Keywords: Public policy; local governance; democratic decentralisation; Panchayati Raj; urban local bodies; fiscal autonomy; accountability; sustainable development.

1. Introduction

Public policy refers to decisions and actions through which public authorities respond to collective problems. Local governance comprises the institutions and processes through which communities participate in decisions and services affecting their surroundings. Policy establishes broad goals, while local institutions help interpret, implement and monitor programmes within specific social and geographical contexts.

India's size, social diversity and uneven development make local institutions important for services such as drinking water, sanitation, village roads, waste management, public health and urban planning. The 73rd Constitutional Amendment Act, 1992, added Part IX to the Constitution, while the 74th Amendment Act, 1992, added Part IX-A. These amendments created a constitutional framework for Panchayats and Municipalities. Yet the extent of their practical autonomy varies according to state laws, fiscal resources, staff and the actual transfer of responsibilities.

2. Research Problem and Objectives

A central challenge in Indian local governance is the gap between responsibilities assigned to local bodies and the resources and authority available to fulfil them. Dependence on higher levels of government for

funding, technical staff and approvals can limit local priority-setting and blur accountability.

The study aims to: (i) explain the concepts and significance of public policy and local governance; (ii) examine the constitutional foundations of rural and urban local government; (iii) analyse local institutions' role in implementation, feedback and evaluation; (iv) identify fiscal, administrative and participatory challenges; and (v) suggest measures to improve decentralisation and policy outcomes.

3. Review of Literature and Theoretical Framework

Lasswell (1951) placed public problems, knowledge and democratic values at the centre of policy inquiry, while Dye (2013) examined public policy through government action and inaction. Ostrom (1990) showed how communities can develop durable rules for managing shared resources when institutions, monitoring and collective incentives are appropriately designed. Sen (1999) broadened the concept of development to include substantive freedoms and people's ability to lead lives they value.

These perspectives are relevant to local governance because they emphasise institutional design, community knowledge, participation and outcomes. Decentralisation focuses on the distribution of authority and resources; participatory democracy highlights citizen involvement between elections; good governance emphasises transparency, accountability, responsiveness and rule of law; and institutional approaches examine how rules, responsibilities and incentives shape policy outcomes.

4. Research Methodology

The study adopts a descriptive and analytical design based on secondary sources, including constitutional provisions, government publications, institutional reports and selected academic books. The analysis is organised thematically around constitutional design, fiscal autonomy, administrative capacity, participation, accountability and policy outcomes. No original survey, interviews or state-by-state statistical analysis were conducted. The conclusions are therefore analytical interpretations of the reviewed sources rather than findings from primary fieldwork.

5. Public Policy and Local Governance in India

The policy process includes problem identification, formulation, implementation, monitoring and evaluation. Local bodies can identify needs that may be overlooked in aggregated data, assess whether proposed interventions fit local conditions, coordinate community assets and communicate implementation barriers. Monitoring tracks activities and expenditure, while evaluation considers whether programmes achieve their objectives and improve service quality, equity and citizens' experience.

5.1 Constitutional framework for rural local government

The 73rd Constitutional Amendment established a framework for Panchayats, including regular elections, representation provisions, State Election Commissions and State Finance Commissions. The Eleventh Schedule lists 29 subjects that may be devolved to Panchayats. The extent of actual devolution depends substantially on state legislation and implementation.

5.2 Constitutional framework for urban local government

The 74th Constitutional Amendment established a framework for Municipalities, including Nagar Panchayats, Municipal Councils and Municipal Corporations. The Twelfth Schedule lists 18 subjects, including urban planning, roads, water supply, public health, sanitation and solid-waste management. Effective urban governance depends on the practical transfer of functions, finances and administrative capacity.

5.3 Participation, inclusion and policy learning

Gram Sabhas, ward committees, public consultations and social audits can help residents identify priorities and scrutinise service delivery. Participation is meaningful when public input can influence decisions and authorities explain how suggestions were considered. Local experience can also inform state and national policy through structured reporting, public hearings and outcome evaluation.

6. Major Challenges

Fiscal dependence is a major constraint, particularly where local bodies rely heavily on transfers and grants and have limited own-source revenue. The Reserve Bank of India's 2024 Report on Municipal Finances highlights the importance of improving municipal revenue systems. Property-tax administration, transparent budgeting and asset management may strengthen local capacity, provided reforms remain fair and affordable.

Other challenges include incomplete devolution, shortages of technical personnel, unclear division of responsibilities, uneven citizen participation, social inequalities and administrative fragmentation. Digital platforms can improve access to services and information, but unequal connectivity, digital literacy and language accessibility may exclude some residents. Assisted and offline service channels remain important.

Recent policy sources add context to these challenges. The Ministry of Panchayati Raj's Annual Report 2024–25 describes ministry programmes and institutional priorities. The RBI's 2024 report examines municipal finances, while UNDP's 2024 analysis of SDG localisation in India stresses institutional ownership, capacity-building, collaboration and whole-of-society engagement. These sources differ in scope and method and are treated as complementary perspectives, not as proof of a single causal relationship.

7. Findings and Discussion

The analysis suggests that constitutional recognition is necessary but insufficient for effective decentralisation. Local institutions require clear authority, predictable finance, suitable staffing and administrative capacity. Fiscal autonomy influences the scope for local priority-setting, while meaningful participation requires accessible information and evidence that public views affect decisions. Representation provisions should be accompanied by safeguards that enable marginalised groups to participate substantively. Finally, policy evaluation should consider service reliability, accessibility, equity and citizen experience, rather than focusing only on expenditure or completed activities.

8. Recommendations

- Clarify the responsibilities of each level of government and align local duties with staff, funding and decision-making powers.
- Improve local revenue administration and ensure that intergovernmental transfers are timely, transparent and predictable.
- Provide regular training in budgeting, procurement, project planning, digital tools, environmental sustainability and citizen engagement.
- Publish meeting agendas, budgets, decisions, procurement information and project status in accessible formats.
- Strengthen social audits, public hearings, grievance redressal and follow-up on audit findings.

- Ensure that women and socially disadvantaged groups can participate meaningfully in local decision-making.
- Maintain assisted and offline channels alongside digital services and protect residents' personal data.
- Evaluate programmes using indicators of timeliness, service quality, equity, public satisfaction and sustainability.

9. Conclusion

Public policy and local governance are mutually reinforcing elements of democratic government. Policy establishes objectives and responsibilities, while local institutions adapt programmes to local conditions, deliver services and communicate implementation experience. India's 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments provided an important foundation for rural and urban local government, but effective decentralisation depends on the practical transfer of powers and resources. Fiscal constraints, limited capacity and uneven participation continue to affect policy outcomes. Stronger local finance, professional support, transparent administration, inclusive participation and outcome-based evaluation can help make public policy more responsive, equitable and accountable. Local bodies should be recognised not only as implementing agencies but also as democratic institutions that identify problems, shape priorities and contribute to policy learning.

References

1. Dye, T. R. (2013). Understanding public policy (14th ed.). Pearson.
2. Government of India. (1992a). The Constitution (Seventy-third Amendment) Act, 1992. <https://legislative.gov.in/>
3. Government of India. (1992b). The Constitution (Seventy-fourth Amendment) Act, 1992. <https://legislative.gov.in/>
4. Government of India, Ministry of Panchayati Raj. (2025). Annual report 2024–25. <https://panchayat.gov.in/en/document/annual-report-2024-25/>
5. Government of India, Second Administrative Reforms Commission. (2007). Local governance: An inspiring journey into the future (Sixth Report). Department of Administrative Reforms and Public Grievances. <https://darpg.gov.in/>
6. Lasswell, H. D. (1951). The policy orientation. In D. Lerner & H. D. Lasswell (Eds.), The policy sciences: Recent developments in scope and method (pp. 3–15). Stanford University Press.
7. Ostrom, E. (1990). Governing the commons: The evolution of institutions for collective action. Cambridge University Press.
8. Reserve Bank of India. (2024, November 13). Report on municipal finances: Own sources of revenue generation in municipal corporations: Opportunities and challenges. https://www.rbi.org.in/Scripts/BS_PressReleaseDisplay.aspx?prid=59093
9. Sen, A. (1999). Development as freedom. Alfred A. Knopf.
10. United Nations Development Programme. (2024, February 26). Institutionalising SDG localisation in multi-level governance settings: Lessons from India. <https://www.undp.org/publications/dfs-institutionalising-sdg-localization-multi-level-governance-settings-lessons-india>
11. World Bank. (1992). Governance and development. World Bank. <https://documents.worldbank.org/>