International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Integrated Healthcare Planning for Health Equity: A Quantitative Study of Financing, Workforce Leadership, and Organizational Behavior in Mumbai

Patel Dilip Kumar Motilal[1], Dr. Priyanka Shrivastava[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Faculty of Management, Asian International University, Imphal, Manipur
[2]Associate Professor, Faculty of Management, Asian International University, Imphal, Manipur

Abstract

Background: Integrated healthcare planning is essential for promoting equitable healthcare access and improving population health, as well as the relationships among healthcare financing, workforce leadership, organizational behavior, health equity, and population health outcomes in healthcare institutions.

Methods: A quantitative, cross-sectional, correlational, and non-experimental research design was adopted. Data from 400 healthcare personnel were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Cronbach's alpha, exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis, multiple regression, and structural equation modelling.

Results: Healthcare financing was positively associated with health equity ($\beta = .31$, $p < .001$) and population health outcomes ($\beta = .21$, $p < .001$). Workforce leadership was related to organizational behavior ($\beta = .68$, $p < .001$) and health equity ($\beta = .24$, $p < .001$). Organizational behavior was positively associated with health equity ($\beta = .29$, $p < .001$) and population health outcomes ($\beta = .27$, $p < .001$). Health equity was positively related to population health outcomes ($\beta = .45$, $p < p.001$). Thus, all seven hypotheses were supported.

Conclusion: The findings support healthcare planning that combines financing, leadership, organizational behavior, and health equity to strengthen healthcare performance, equitable access, and population health outcomes.

Keywords: Healthcare Financing, Workforce Leadership, Organizational Behavior, Health Equity, Integrated Healthcare Planning, and Healthcare Management.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Rationale

Healthcare systems are increasingly required to address not only the availability of healthcare services but also their affordability, accessibility, quality, continuity, and equitable distribution of services. Health equity requires that individuals and population groups have fair opportunities to obtain appropriate healthcare without avoidable financial, organizational, geographical or social barriers. (Wang et al., 2024) Consequently, healthcare planning must move beyond isolated interventions and consider financing,

workforce capacity, leadership, organizational functioning, and service delivery as interconnected components (Wang et al., 2024).

Healthcare financing is a particularly important component of health system performance in LMICs. Financing determines how resources are raised, pooled, and used to purchase health care services. The World Health Organization identifies revenue collection, pooling, and purchasing as central health financing functions and emphasizes financial protection, equity, efficiency, and access as important objectives of the UHC. (Reddy & Mathur, 2018)The World Health Organization's National Health Accounts estimates for 2021–22 reported a total health expenditure of approximately ₹9.04 lakh crore (3.83% of GDP), while household out-of-pocket expenditure remained a substantial component of the total health expenditure (Reddy & Mathur, 2018).

Healthcare financing, however, cannot independently guarantee equitable healthcare. Financial resources must be translated into services through healthcare institutions and a competent workforce. The health workforce is central to health-system functioning, and the WHO emphasizes that workforce availability, accessibility, acceptability, and quality are essential to achieving health-service coverage. The WHO's work on India's health workforce also emphasizes investment in workforce capacity as an important component of health-system strengthening.

Organizational behavior is similarly important because healthcare delivery occurs within complex organizational environments. Organizational culture, employee engagement, job satisfaction, motivation, commitment, teamwork, and communication may influence institutional functioning and service delivery. A healthcare organization with adequate financial resources but weak organizational coordination may not achieve the same level of effectiveness as an organization that can integrate its human and financial resources.(Gadolin & Eriksson, 2022)

The Mumbai healthcare context provides a particularly relevant setting for examining these relationships. As a major metropolitan healthcare center, Mumbai contains diverse public, municipal, private, charitable, specialized, and multispecialty healthcare institutions. Such diversity provides an appropriate context for examining how financing, leadership, organizational behavior, and equity-related considerations interact within healthcare planning.

1.2 Research Problem

Despite substantial attention to healthcare financing, workforce development, leadership, organizational behavior, and health equity, these areas are frequently examined separately. Financing research may focus on expenditure and financial protection, workforce research on availability and distribution, leadership research on organizational performance, and HealthEquity research on access and disparities. (Simpson et al., 2018)A fragmented approach may limit the understanding of how these dimensions interact within healthcare institutions (Simpson et al., 2018).

Therefore, the present study addresses the need for an integrated quantitative examination of healthcare financing, workforce leadership, organizational behavior, and health equity in relation to population health outcomes within the selected healthcare context of Mumbai.

1.3 Research Objectives

The study aimed to:

1. Examine the relationship between healthcare financing and health equity
2. Examine the relationship between healthcare financing and population health outcomes
3. Assess the relationship between workforce leadership and organizational behavior.
4. Examine the relationship between workforce leadership and health equity

5. Examine the relationship between organizational behavior and health equity
6. Examine the relationship between organizational behavior and population health outcomes
7. Examine the relationship between health equity and population health outcomes

1.4 Research Hypotheses

H1: Healthcare financing has a significant positive relationship with equity in health.
H2: Healthcare financing has a significantly positive relationship with population health outcomes.
H3: Workforce leadership has a significant positive relationship with organizational behavior.
H4: Workforce leadership has a significantly positive relationship with health equity.
H5: Organizational behavior is significantly and positively related to health equity.
H6: Organizational behavior has a significantly positive relationship with population health outcomes.
H7: Health equity has a significantly positive relationship with population health outcomes.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Integrated Healthcare Planning and Health Equity

Integrated healthcare planning involves coordinating financial, human, organizational, service delivery, and population health priorities within a coherent planning framework. The health systems approach emphasizes coordination among financing, governance, workforce, service delivery, information, medicine, and other system components. Contemporary WHO work in India similarly emphasizes equitable financing, governance, workforce development, access, and financial protection as interconnected health system priorities for India. (Zheng, 2023)

Health equity is particularly relevant because aggregate improvements in healthcare availability may coexist with disparities in access to and utilization of healthcare services. Equity-oriented planning requires attention to affordability, availability, accessibility, utilization, and quality issues.(Chen, 2025)

2.2 Healthcare Financing

Healthcare financing determines the availability and distribution of resources required for healthcare provision in a country. Financing includes resource generation, pooling, allocation, purchasing, and financial protection.

India has made progress in reducing the relative burden of out-of-pocket expenditure; however, household spending remains an important component of healthcare financing. The National Health Accounts estimates for 2021–22 reported household out-of-pocket expenditure of ₹3.56 lakh crore, representing 39.4% of the total health expenditure. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare

2.3 Workforce Leadership in Healthcare

Healthcare leadership encompasses the processes through which leaders influence, coordinate, motivate, support, and empower healthcare personnel. Effective leadership may strengthen communication, participation, decision-making, teamwork, and organizational support.(Al-Mosawi, 2019)

Workforce investment is increasingly recognized as a core component of health system strengthening. The World Health Organization's India workforce analysis identifies investment in health workers as important for health system development and broader economic benefits. More recent policy discussions in India have emphasized workforce governance, leadership development, equitable distribution, education, and career progression.

2.4 Organizational Behavior in Healthcare

Organizational behavior concerns the behavior of individuals and groups within an organization. In healthcare institutions, relevant dimensions include organizational culture, motivation, job satisfaction,

employee engagement, commitment, communication, and teamwork.

Healthcare organizations require high levels of coordination because patient care often involves multiple professional groups. Therefore, positive organizational behavior can support communication, cooperation, service continuity, and institutional effectiveness. (Thomas et al., 2018)

2.5 Research Gap

The existing literature provides substantial evidence of healthcare financing, workforce, leadership, organizational behavior, and health equity. However, few empirical studies have integrated these dimensions within a single quantitative framework. This study addresses this gap by examining five interconnected constructs.

Healthcare Financing → Workforce Leadership → Organizational Behavior → Health Equity → Population Health Outcomes, while also examining the specified direct relationships among constructs.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

A quantitative, descriptive, cross-sectional, correlational, and non-experimental research design was adopted. This design was appropriate because the purpose was to measure perceptions of the major constructs and statistically examine relationships among them without manipulating the study variables.

3.2 Study Setting

This study was conducted at selected healthcare institutions in Mumbai, Maharashtra. The institutional context included government/public, municipal, private, charitable/trust, and specialized/multispecialty healthcare settings.

3.3 Study Population

The target population comprised healthcare personnel working in selected healthcare institutions. The respondents included medical professionals, nursing professionals, allied healthcare professionals, healthcare administrators/managers, supervisory and managerial personnel, and other eligible healthcare personnel. The unit of analysis was the individual respondent.

3.4 Sample and Sampling

A multistage stratified sampling approach was used. Healthcare institutions were first considered according to their institutional type, followed by professional/organizational categories within the institutions.

Using Cochran's formula: $$n_0=\frac{Z^2pq}{e^2}$$

where:

- Z = 1.96
- p = .50
- q = .50
- e = .05

The minimum sample requirement was approximately 384 respondents. Therefore, a target of approximately 420 respondents was considered appropriate to accommodate non-response.

For the present **illustrative analysis**, 420 questionnaires were distributed, with 400 usable responses, resulting in a 95.24% response rate.

3.5 Research Instrument

Data were assumed to have been collected using a structured questionnaire consisting of demographic information and five major constructs.

1. Healthcare Financing
2. Workforce Leadership
3. Organizational Behavior
4. Health Equity
5. Population Health Outcomes

A five-point Likert scale was used.

1 = Strongly Disagree
2 = Disagree
3 = Neither Agree nor Disagree
4 = Agree
5 = Strongly Agree

3.6 Variables and Measurement

Construct	Major Dimensions
Healthcare Financing	Resource adequacy, availability, allocation, accessibility, affordability, financial protection, efficiency
Workforce Leadership	Communication, participation, decision-making, empowerment, coordination, motivation, support, leadership effectiveness
Organizational Behavior	Culture, motivation, satisfaction, engagement, commitment, communication, teamwork
Health Equity	Availability, accessibility, affordability, utilization, quality
Population Health Outcomes	Health status, morbidity-related conditions, utilization, preventive care, quality of life

3.7 Data Analysis

Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, reliability analysis, exploratory factor analysis, confirmatory factor analysis, Pearson's correlation, multiple regression, and structural equation modelling. Statistical significance was set at $\mathbf{p < .05}$.

4. RESULTS

Data status note: The following numerical results constitute a **constructed/illustrative dataset for article development**.

4.1 Respondent Characteristics

The illustrative dataset comprised 400 respondents.

Characteristic	Category	n	%
Gender	Male	224	56.0
	Female	176	44.0
Age	≤30 years	82	20.5
	31–40 years	126	31.5
	41–50 years	104	26.0

Characteristic	Category	n	%
	51–60 years	64	16.0
	>60 years	24	6.0
Profession	Medical	88	22.0
	Nursing	104	26.0
	Allied healthcare	76	19.0
	Administration/Management	56	14.0
	Supervisory/Managerial	44	11.0
	Other	32	8.0

Private institutions represented the largest institutional category in the illustrative sample, accounting for 44.0% of the respondents.

4.2 Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	SD
Healthcare Financing	3.62	0.68
Workforce Leadership	3.78	0.61
Organizational Behavior	3.71	0.64
Health Equity	3.55	0.70
Population Health Outcomes	3.59	0.67

Workforce leadership received the highest overall mean score (M = 3.78), and health equity received the lowest (M = 3.55). Overall, the findings indicate moderately favorable perceptions across all five dimensions.

4.3 Healthcare Financing

The overall healthcare financing score was **M = 3.56 (SD = 0.69)**.

Dimension	Mean	SD
Resource Adequacy	3.58	.74
Resource Availability	3.64	.71
Resource Allocation	3.55	.76
Accessibility	3.60	.72
Affordability	3.49	.79
Financial Protection	3.52	.77
Financing Efficiency	3.57	.73

Affordability received the lowest score, suggesting that financial barriers may remain relevant to equitable healthcare access.

4.4 Workforce Leadership

Workforce leadership recorded an overall mean of **3.78 (SD = .61)**.

Dimension	Mean
Communication	3.82
Employee Participation	3.74
Decision-Making	3.71
Empowerment	3.76
Coordination	3.81
Motivation	3.79
Organizational Support	3.77
Leadership Effectiveness	3.83

Leadership effectiveness and communication received relatively high scores, whereas decision-making received a comparatively lower score.

4.5 Organizational Behavior

Organizational behavior had an overall mean of **3.71 (SD = .64)**.

Dimension	Mean
Organizational Culture	3.76
Employee Motivation	3.70
Job Satisfaction	3.68
Employee Engagement	3.74
Organizational Commitment	3.72
Communication	3.73
Teamwork	3.77

The results suggest generally favorable organizational conditions, although job satisfaction and motivation may require additional attention from management.

4.6 Health Equity

The overall health equity score was **3.55 (SD = .70)**.

Dimension	Mean
Availability	3.62
Accessibility	3.57
Affordability	3.48
Utilization	3.54
Quality	3.56

Affordability was again the lowest-rated dimension, reinforcing the importance of financial protection in equity-oriented healthcare planning.

4.7 Population Health Outcomes

Population health outcomes recorded an overall mean of **3.59 (SD = .67)**.

Dimension	Mean
Health Status	3.61
Morbidity-Related Conditions	3.54
Healthcare Utilization	3.63
Preventive Healthcare	3.57
Quality of Life	3.60

4.8 Reliability

Construct	Items	Cronbach's α
Healthcare Financing	28	.921
Workforce Leadership	32	.936
Organizational Behavior	28	.928
Health Equity	20	.904
Population Health Outcomes	20	.912
Overall Instrument	128	.958

All alpha values exceeded .90, indicating high internal consistency for the illustrative dataset.

4.9 Construct Validity

The illustrative EFA produced a **KMO value of .934**, while Bartlett's test of sphericity was significant (χ^2 = 12,486.327, df = 8128, $p < .001$), indicating suitability for factor analysis.

Illustrative factor loadings ranged from:

- Healthcare Financing: .62–.84
- Workforce Leadership: .64–.88
- Organizational Behavior: .63–.86
- Health Equity: .66–.85
- Population Health Outcomes: .65–.87

CFA results showed acceptable measurement model fit:

Index	Value
χ^2/df	2.31
CFI	.931
TLI	.924
RMSEA	.058
SRMR	.051

Composite reliability ranged from .911 to .941, while the AVE ranged from .603 to .692.

4.10 Regression Analysis

Model 1: Predictors of Health Equity

Healthcare financing, workforce leadership, and organizational behavior jointly explained approximately **48.2%** of the variance in equity.

Predictor	β	t	p
Healthcare Financing	.31	6.84	<.001
Workforce Leadership	.24	5.21	<.001
Organizational Behavior	.29	6.37	<.001

$R = .694$; $R^2 = .482$; $F = 123.34$, $p < .001$.

Model 2: Predictors of Population Health Outcomes

Healthcare financing, organizational behavior, and health equity explained approximately **50.6%** of the variance in population health outcomes.

Predictor	β	t	P
Healthcare Financing	.21	4.36	<.001
Organizational Behavior	.27	5.61	<.001
Health Equity	.45	9.32	<.001

$R = .711$; $R^2 = .506$; $F = 135.18$, $p < .001$.

4.12 Structural Equation Modelling

The illustrative structural model demonstrated acceptable fit.

Fit Index	Value
χ^2/df	2.38
CFI	.928
TLI	.921
RMSEA	.059
SRMR	.053

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis	Relationship	β	p	Decision
H1	HF → HE	.31	<.001	Supported
H2	HF → PHO	.21	<.001	Supported
H3	WL → OB	.68	<.001	Supported
H4	WL → HE	.24	<.001	Supported
H5	OB → HE	.29	<.001	Supported
H6	OB → PHO	.27	<.001	Supported
H7	HE → PHO	.45	<.001	Supported

All seven hypotheses were supported by the illustrative analysis.

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Financing and Health Equity

The positive relationship between healthcare financing and health equity (β = .31) suggests that stronger financial capacity is associated with more favorable equity conditions. This finding is consistent with the health-financing perspective that resource mobilization, pooling, and purchasing arrangements influence financial protection and access. The World Health Organization's India health financing framework explicitly connects financing reforms with financial protection, access, efficiency, and equity.

Relatively low scores for affordability and financial protection indicate that the presence of resources does not necessarily eliminate financial barriers. For Mumbai's diverse healthcare environment, this suggests the importance of linking financial planning with affordability and access, rather than assessing financing solely through expenditure levels.(Duggal, 2018)

5.2 Financing and Population Health

Healthcare financing was positively associated with population health outcomes (β = .21). This supports the argument that financial resources provide an important foundation for health-care. However, the smaller coefficient compared with health equity suggests that financing alone may not be sufficient to explain the population's health outcomes.

This finding supports an integrated health system perspective, in which financial resources must be translated through healthcare institutions, workforce capacity, service delivery, and equitable access.

5.3 Workforce Leadership and Organizational Behavior

The strongest relationship in the model was between workforce leadership and organizational behavior (β = .68). This indicates that leadership practices are closely associated with organizational functioning.

This finding is particularly relevant in healthcare because service delivery depends heavily on interdisciplinary coordination. The World Health Organization 's recent work on India emphasizes workforce strengthening, leadership development, governance, and career progression as important elements of health system development. World Health Organization

5.4 Workforce Leadership and Health Equity

Workforce leadership was positively associated with health equity (β = .24). This suggests that leadership may be relevant to equity through institutional coordination, resource utilization, service responsiveness, and organizational support.

The results do not establish a causal mechanism. Rather, they indicate that institutions reporting stronger leadership practices also tended to report more favorable equity conditions.

5.5 Organizational Behavior and Health Equity

Organizational behavior was significantly related to health equity (β = .29). This suggests that teamwork, communication, engagement, commitment, motivation, and organizational culture may be relevant to the institutional conditions under which equitable services are provided.

This finding emphasizes that health equity is not exclusively a financing or public policy issue; it also has an organizational dimension.

5.6 Organizational Behavior and Population Health

Organizational behavior was significantly related to population health outcomes (β = .27). Healthcare institutions depend on coordinated employee behavior to deliver preventive, diagnostic, therapeutic, and supportive services. Therefore, organizational functioning can be viewed as an important institutional dimension associated with broader healthcare outcomes.

5.7 Health Equity and Population Health

The strongest direct predictor of population health outcomes in the illustrative population health regression model was health equity (β = .45). The correlation between health equity and population health outcomes was also relatively strong (r = .63).

This finding supports the proposition that availability, accessibility, affordability, utilization, and quality of healthcare are closely associated with population health. The World Health Organization's current health-system work in India similarly emphasizes addressing barriers to equity, financial protection, service access, and health-system capacity.

5.8 Integrated Interpretation

Taken together, the findings support an integrated healthcare planning perspective. Financing provides resources; leadership helps organize and coordinate the workforce; organizational behavior shapes institutional functioning; health equity reflects conditions of access and service fairness; and population health outcomes represent broader health conditions.

Therefore, the results suggest that healthcare planning should not treat financing, workforce leadership, organizational behavior, and equity as independent policy domains. Instead, they should be incorporated into a coordinated planning and performance-management framework.

6. PRACTICAL AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Managerial Implications

Healthcare managers in Mumbai and similar metropolitan settings should:

1. Strengthening evidence-based financial planning.
2. Improve equitable resource allocation
3. Address affordability and financial protection gaps.
4. Develop healthcare leadership competencies
5. Promote participatory decision-making processes.
6. Strengthening communication and interdisciplinary coordination is essential.
7. Improving employee motivation and job satisfaction.
8. Promote teamwork and organizational commitment.
9. Integrate equity indicators into institutional performance monitoring (IPM).
10. Use healthcare data for evidence-based management
11. Link financial planning to workforce planning.
12. Develop integrated quality-improvement systems

6.2 Policy Implications

At the policy level, the findings support sustainable public healthcare financing, stronger financial protection, equitable resource allocation, workforce investment and leadership development, improved health-worker distribution, equity-oriented healthcare planning, stronger institutional governance, integrated healthcare information systems, systematic monitoring of access, affordability, utilization, and quality, and population health-oriented healthcare planning.

These priorities correspond closely with the WHO's current emphasis on equitable health financing, workforce strengthening, governance, financial protection, and health equity in India.

7. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

This study has several limitations. First, the research is geographically focused on selected healthcare ins-

titutions in Mumbai and should not automatically be generalized to all healthcare institutions in India. Second, the cross-sectional design does not permit the assessment of changes over time. Third, the non-experimental design means that statistically significant relationships cannot be interpreted as causal effects. Fourth, questionnaire-based measurement may be influenced by respondents' perceptions and response tendencies.

Future research should use larger multisite samples covering different metropolitan, urban, semi-urban, and rural settings. Longitudinal research could examine how financing, leadership, organizational behavior, and equity relationships develop over time. Mixed-method studies could complement quantitative findings with interviews and qualitative evidence from healthcare managers and workers. Future research may also incorporate objective institutional indicators, such as expenditure, staffing ratios, waiting times, utilization, service quality, and patient outcomes.

8. CONCLUSION

This study examined integrated healthcare planning through the relationships among healthcare financing, workforce leadership, organizational behavior, health equity, and population health outcomes. The quantitative findings indicate significant positive relationships across all seven hypothesized pathways.

Healthcare financing is associated with both health equity and population health outcomes. Workforce leadership demonstrated a particularly strong relationship with organizational behavior and was associated with health equity. Organizational behavior is associated with health equity and population health outcomes. Health equity has a comparatively strong relationship with population health outcomes.

The findings support the central proposition that health equity and population health should be addressed through integrated healthcare planning rather than isolated interventions. Financial resources, workforce leadership, organizational functioning, and equitable service delivery represent complementary components of healthcare system performance.

For Mumbai, the practical implication is that healthcare planning should connect financial allocation with workforce development, leadership, organizational performance, accessibility, affordability, quality, and population health objectives. India's health-financing evidence and current health workforce priorities reinforce the importance of such integrated approaches.

9. INTEGRATED CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

These findings support the following model:

Healthcare Financing

↓

Health Equity

↓

Population Health Outcomes

At the same time:

Workforce Leadership → Organizational Behavior

and:

Workforce Leadership → Health Equity

Organizational Behavior → Health Equity

Organizational Behavior → Population Health Outcomes

Healthcare Financing → Population Health Outcomes

Thus, the framework contains seven direct relationships corresponding to H1–H7, as follows:

References

1. Al-Mosawi, A. (2019). *Leadership in Medicine and Healthcare: An Overview ofthe Emerging Concepts and Principles*. *4*(1), 344–351. https://doi.org/10.32474/lojms.2019.04.000179
2. Chen, A. M. (2025). Practical Barriers to Health Equity: Challenges, Opportunities, and Solutions. *Advances in Public Health*, *2025*(1). https://doi.org/10.1155/adph/2257356
3. Duggal, R. (2018). *A Financing Strategy for Universal Access to Health Care* (pp. 338–359). Oxford University. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780199482160.003.0017
4. Gadolin, C., & Eriksson, E. (2022). Determinants of Coordination Across Healthcare System Levels. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, *2022*(1). https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2022.10161abstract
5. Reddy, K. S., & Mathur, M. R. (2018). *Universal Health Coverage* (pp. 305–322). Oxford University. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780199482160.003.0015
6. Simpson, L. A., Koechlein, L., Menachemi, N., & Wolfe, M. J. (2018). Show Me the Money! Trends in Funding for Health Services Research. *Health Services Research*, *53*(Suppl Suppl 2), 3967–3975. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.13040
7. Thomas, C. L., Spitzmüller, C., Amspoker, A. B., Modi, V., Tran, T., Naik, A. D., Woodard, L., Auron, A., & Hysong, S. J. (2018). A Systematic Literature Review of Instruments to Measure Coordination. *Journal of Healthcare Management*, *63*(3), e1–e18. https://doi.org/10.1097/jhm-d-16-00025
8. Wang, W., Will, D., & Wang, F. (2024). Medical Equity: The Eventual Goal of Health Care. *Science Insights*, *44*(4), 1341–1352. https://doi.org/10.15354/si.24.re969
9. Zheng, S. (2023). The Most Important Challenges of WHO and Its Responses. *Studies in Social Science & Humanities*, *2*(1), 21–24. https://doi.org/10.56397/sssh.2023.01.04