International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

Eco-Precarity and the Subaltern in Amitav Ghosh's The Hungry Tide

Mathan M

M.A., M.Phil., SET., NET., Assistant Professor of English, Hajee Karutha Rowther Howdia College(Autonomous), Uthamapalayam, Theni Dt., Tamil Nadu-625533.

Abstract

This paper examines the intersection of ecological vulnerability and postcolonial marginalization in Amitav Ghosh's The Hungry Tide. Set in the volatile Sundarbans of the Bengal delta, the novel highlights the tension between Western conservationist paradigms and the survival of indigenous populations. By employing the theoretical frameworks of postcolonial Eco criticism and subaltern studies, this paper argues that Ghosh portrays the Sundarbans not merely as an exotic backdrop, but as an active agent of "eco-precarity." This precarity disproportionately affects the subaltern characters—specifically the local fisherfolk and refugees—who face systemic violence from both a hostile environment and a neo-colonial state apparatus that prioritizes the conservation of megafauna over human life. Ultimately, the paper demonstrates how Ghosh challenges dominant epistemologies, advocating for a synthesis of indigenous ecological knowledge and modern science.

Keywords: Climate Vulnerability, Eco-Precarity, Indigenous Knowledge, Postcolonial Eco criticism, Subaltern Studies

Introduction

In contemporary postcolonial literature, the environment is increasingly recognized not just as a setting, but as a site of political, social, and economic contestation. Amitav Ghosh's The Hungry Tide is a seminal text in this regard, offering a profound exploration of the Sundarbans—a sprawling mangrove forest located at the confluence of the Ganges, Brahmaputra, and Meghna rivers. The novel weaves together the lives of three distinct characters: Piya Roy, an American cetologist of Indian descent; Kanai Dutt, an urbane translator from New Delhi; and Fokir, an impoverished, illiterate local fisherman.

Through these intersecting lives, Ghosh navigates the complex terrain of "eco-precarity"—the condition of living in constant vulnerability to ecological disaster—and its devastating impact on the "subaltern," a term popularized by Antonio Gramsci and later refined by postcolonial theorist Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak to describe those marginalized and silenced by hegemonic power structures. This paper argues that The Hungry Tide exposes the inherent violence of Western-centric ecological conservation (often termed eco-fascism) which, when imposed upon the Global South, criminalizes and displaces subaltern populations. By analyzing the historical trauma of the Morichjãpi massacre, the epistemic divide between Western science and local lore, and the daily survival of the tide country's inhabitants, this research illustrates how Ghosh redefines the discourse on climate vulnerability and human rights.

Postcolonial Eco criticism and Eco-Precarity

To analyze Ghosh's work, one must bridge post colonialism and Eco criticism. Traditionally, Eco criticism was a heavily Euro-American discipline focused on wilderness preservation and romanticized nature. However, theorists like Graham Huggan and Helen Tiffin have argued for a "postcolonial Eco criticism" that addresses how imperialism and environmental exploitation are inexorably linked.

Rob Nixon's concept of "slow violence" is highly applicable here. Nixon defines slow violence as "a violence that occurs gradually and out of sight, a violence of delayed destruction that is dispersed across time and space" (Nixon 2). In the Sundarbans, eco-precarity is maintained by the slow violence of rising sea levels, changing tides, and state neglect, punctuated by the fast violence of cyclones and tiger attacks. The residents of the tide country live in a perpetual state of environmental precariousness, exacerbated by their socioeconomic invisibility.

Subalternity and Epistemic Violence

Gayatri Spivak's seminal essay, Can the Subaltern Speak?, questions whether marginalized individuals can ever articulate their own oppression without being filtered through the language and frameworks of the elite. In The Hungry Tide, Fokir represents the ultimate subaltern. He is unlettered, deeply embedded in the local ecosystem, and largely mute in the face of Kanai's urban intellect and Piya's scientific instruments. The novel interrogates whether the subaltern's localized, indigenous knowledge of the environment is afforded any epistemological weight in a world dominated by Western scientific discourse

The Sundarbans as a Landscape of Eco-Precarity

The geography of the Sundarbans is inherently unstable. Ghosh describes the tide country as a liminal space where the boundaries between land and water are constantly negotiated. "There are no borders here to divide fresh water from salt, river from sea," Ghosh writes, highlighting an environment defined by erasure and fluidity (Ghosh 7).

This physical instability mirrors the socio-political instability of its inhabitants. The people of the Sundarbans are constantly at the mercy of the elements. Cyclones can wipe out entire islands overnight, and the tides dictate the rhythm of life and death. This is eco-precarity in its purest form: the environment is not a benign provider but a hostile force that demands constant vigilance.

However, Ghosh is careful not to fall into the trap of environmental determinism. The precarity of the islanders is not solely the fault of nature; it is heavily compounded by anthropogenic factors. The lack of infrastructure, the absence of medical facilities, and the systemic poverty enforced by mainland political indifference transform natural hazards into human disasters. The environment is weaponized by the state's neglect, leaving the subaltern to face the wrath of the tides alone.

The Subaltern Condition: Fokir and the Islanders

Fokir serves as the emotional and ecological center of the novel. As a subaltern figure, his survival depends on an intimate, almost symbiotic relationship with the environment. Unlike Piya, who views the rivers through the lens of a GPS monitor and data sheets, or Kanai, who reads the landscape through historical texts, Fokir reads the water itself. He knows the migration patterns of the Orcaella (Irrawaddy dolphins) not through scientific study, but through generational knowledge and daily observation.

Yet, Fokir's knowledge is unrecognized by the hegemonic structures of power. When Piya first employs local fishermen to help her find the dolphins, their state-sanctioned methods are crude and destructive. It

is only when Piya abandons the official state apparatus and relies on Fokir that she finds the dolphins. This highlights a crucial postcolonial critique: elite, top-down approaches to environmentalism often fail because they ignore subaltern epistemology.

Furthermore, Fokir's subalternity is marked by his ultimate sacrifice. His death during the climax of the novel—shielding Piya from the cyclone with his own body—can be read as the ultimate silencing of the subaltern. His body literally absorbs the ecological violence of the storm so that the Western scientist might survive. While Piya goes on to use her institutional power to establish a conservation project in his name, Fokir himself is erased, his knowledge absorbed and translated by the elite.

Elite Conservation vs. Subaltern Survival: The Morichjãpi Massacre

The most acute manifestation of eco-precarity intersecting with state violence in the novel is the recounting of the Morichjãpi massacre of 1979. This historical event serves as the dark underbelly of India's environmental conservation efforts, specifically "Project Tiger."

In the late 1970s, thousands of Dalit (untouchable) refugees from Bangladesh settled on the island of Morichjãpi in the Sundarbans. The Indian government, claiming the island was a protected tiger reserve, ordered their eviction. When the refugees refused to leave, the state initiated a brutal blockade, denying them food and water, ultimately culminating in a police massacre that left hundreds, if not thousands, dead.

Through the diary of Kanai's uncle, Nirmal, Ghosh brings this suppressed history to the forefront. Kusum, Fokir's mother, articulates the tragic absurdity of the subaltern condition when confronted with elite conservation:

"The worst part was not the hunger or the thirst. It was to sit here, helpless, and listen to the policemen making their announcements, hearing them say that our lives, our existence, was worth less than dirt or dust. 'This island has to be saved for its trees, it has to be saved for its animals, it is a part of a reserve forest, it belongs to a project to save the tiger, which is beautiful.' Who are these people, I wondered, who love animals so much that they are willing to kill us for them?" (Ghosh 261-262).

This passage strikes at the heart of neo-colonial environmentalism. Ghosh illustrates how the state uses the rhetoric of ecological preservation to legitimize the ethnic cleansing of marginalized humans. The tiger, a symbol of global conservation funded by Western NGOs, becomes a tool of state terror against the subaltern. The subaltern is thus placed at the very bottom of the ecological hierarchy, deemed more expendable than the flora and fauna of the mangrove forest.

The Epistemological Divide: Myth, Science, and Coexistence

Ghosh does not merely present a binary between Western science and indigenous knowledge; rather, he explores the friction and potential synthesis between the two. The cultural landscape of the Sundarbans is dominated by the myth of Bon Bibi, the protective goddess of the forest, and Dokkhin Rai, the demon-king who takes the form of a tiger.

For the islanders, the Bon Bibi myth is not mere superstition; it is a vital survival mechanism and an ecological code of conduct. The myth dictates that humans must only take what they strictly need from the forest and must not succumb to greed. It establishes a boundary of respect between humans and nature. When a tiger wanders into a village and kills livestock and humans, the villagers trap it and set it on fire. Piya is horrified by this act, viewing it as the barbaric murder of an endangered species. Kanai, however, forces her to recognize her privilege. He points out that her outrage is a luxury of those who do not live in

constant fear of being eaten. Piya's Western conservationist ethos is focused purely on the animal, blinded to the eco-precarity of the humans who share its habitat.

By the end of the novel, a subtle synthesis is achieved. Piya returns to the Sundarbans, not to impose a sterile, Western conservation model, but to work with the locals, integrating Fokir's navigational routes into her scientific data. She names her conservation project after him and ensures it is run in conjunction with the local cooperative. While this conclusion offers a hopeful vision of collaborative ecology, it remains haunted by the fact that the subaltern (Fokir) had to die to bridge this epistemic divide.

Conclusion

Amitav Ghosh's The Hungry Tide is a masterclass in postcolonial ecocriticism. It forces the reader to confront the uncomfortable reality that environmentalism, when divorced from human rights and social justice, can easily devolve into an oppressive force. The Sundarbans is portrayed as a landscape of extreme eco-precarity, where the natural volatility of the tide country is weaponized by state neglect.

Through the character of Fokir and the historical trauma of Morichjãpi, Ghosh gives voice to the subaltern, challenging global narratives that prioritize the preservation of exotic wilderness over the survival of indigenous populations. The novel argues that true ecological harmony cannot be achieved through top-down, elite conservation mandates (Project Tiger), nor by romanticizing indigenous poverty. Instead, it demands a radical empathy—an epistemology that values local, subaltern knowledge on par with Western scientific inquiry.

In an era of accelerating climate change, where the poorest populations of the Global South face the brunt of ecological disaster, The Hungry Tide remains a vital warning. It reminds us that any approach to saving the planet must equally prioritize the marginalized people who inhabit its most vulnerable edges.

Works Cited

1. Anand, Divya. Words on Water: Nature and Agency in Amitav Ghosh's The Hungry Tide. Concentric: Literary and Cultural Studies, vol. 34, no. 1, 2008, pp. 21-44.
2. Ghosh, Amitav. The Hungry Tide. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, 2004.
3. Guha, Ramachandra. Environmentalism: A Global History. Longman, 2000.
4. Huggan, Graham, and Helen Tiffin. Postcolonial Ecocriticism: Literature, Animals, Environment. Routledge, 2010.
5. Mallick, Ross. Refugee Resettlement in Forest Reserves: West Bengal Policy Reversal and the Marichjhapi Massacre. The Journal of Asian Studies, vol. 58, no. 1, 1999, pp. 104-125.
6. Mukherjee, Upamanyu Pablo. Postcolonial Environments: Nature, Culture and the Contemporary Indian Novel in English. Palgrave Macmillan, 2010.
7. Nixon, Rob. Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor. Harvard University Press, 2011.
8. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. Can the Subaltern Speak? Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, Macmillan, 1988, pp. 271-313.