International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

# The Infinity-One-Zero Model (Ioz-G) For Groundwater: A Coupled Differential-Equation Framework for Groundwater Depletion and Quality Degradation in India

**Dr. Durai Arulneyam**

Professor and Head, Department of Civil Engineering, Thangavelu Engineering College, OMR Road, Karapakkam, Chennai 600097, Tamil Nadu, India

**ABSTRACT**

This paper proposes the Infinity-One-Zero Model for Groundwater (IOZ-G), a three-anchor framework describing the trajectory of good-quality groundwater in India from pre-industrial abundance to projected terminal depletion and contamination. The model uses three symbolic anchors: infinity (∞), representing effective abundance relative to demand; one (1), representing a normalized present reference state; and zero (0), representing a projected limiting condition in which naturally available good-quality groundwater becomes unavailable. The paper contextualizes this trajectory through two documented pressures: seawater intrusion in coastal aquifers associated with over-extraction, and groundwater pollution from landfill leachate and industrial waste disposal, with the Pallikaranai–Perungudi marshland complex in Chennai as a representative case. To extend the conceptual model mathematically, the paper introduces a coupled quantity–quality formulation in which effective good-quality groundwater is represented as the product of normalized freshwater quantity and a groundwater quality index. Differential equations describe recharge, extraction, salinity intrusion, pollutant loading, natural attenuation and remediation. The formulation is conceptual and uncalibrated; it does not provide a numerical forecast or establish a date for terminal depletion. Its contribution is to provide a compact symbolic structure and a testable mathematical framework for future empirical investigation.

**Keywords:** groundwater depletion; groundwater pollution; seawater intrusion; landfill leachate; industrial waste; Pallikaranai; coupled differential equations; symbolic model

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Groundwater is a major source of drinking water and irrigation in India. Its availability and quality are affected by multiple pressures, including excessive abstraction, changing recharge conditions, saline-water intrusion and contamination from land-based sources. Two distinct but interacting pressures are central to this paper. First, over-extraction in coastal areas can lower freshwater hydraulic heads and encourage saline water to move into freshwater aquifers, making water unsuitable for intended uses. Second, contaminants from landfills, industrial waste disposal and untreated effluent can migrate through soil and degrade groundwater quality even where water remains physically present.

The Central Ground Water Board has documented seawater intrusion in coastal areas of Maharashtra, Karnataka, Gujarat, Goa, Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Puducherry, Andhra Pradesh, Odisha and West Bengal, with over-extraction identified as an important contributing factor. Studies of the east coast have also reported elevated salinity indicators in groundwater samples, with Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu among the affected states. The scale and distribution of the problem vary by aquifer and location.

Groundwater contamination from waste disposal is also documented. In Chennai, the Pallikaranai marshland and adjoining Perungudi dumpyard have received municipal waste for decades. Leachate generated as water percolates through decomposing waste can migrate into underlying soil and groundwater. Published studies and news reports have described poor groundwater quality in nearby areas and reported elevated concentrations of selected chemical constituents and metals. Such evidence requires careful site-specific interpretation, but it illustrates the potential consequences of inadequate waste containment and leachate management.

This paper formalizes the broad trajectory through the Infinity-One-Zero Model for Groundwater (IOZ-G):

$$\infty \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 0$$

Here, ∞ denotes effective abundance relative to demand, 1 denotes a normalized present reference condition, and 0 denotes a projected limiting condition for good-quality groundwater. The model is symbolic and conceptual. It does not forecast a date of terminal depletion, a rate of decline, or contaminant concentrations.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.1 Seawater Intrusion in Coastal India

Seawater intrusion occurs when the hydraulic balance between freshwater and seawater in a coastal aquifer is disturbed. Intensive pumping can lower freshwater heads and promote the inland movement of saline water. The Central Ground Water Board identifies over-extraction as a major factor, alongside tidal influence, aquifer properties and climatic variability. Monitoring and regional studies report elevated electrical conductivity and other salinity indicators in parts of the Tamil Nadu and Puducherry coast and elsewhere along eastern India. These findings demonstrate that the extent of intrusion is spatially variable and depends on local hydrogeological conditions.

### 2.2 Groundwater Pollution from Landfills and Industrial Waste

Landfill leachate is generated when water percolates through waste and mobilizes dissolved and suspended constituents. Where waste is disposed of in unlined or poorly engineered sites, leachate may enter the subsurface and affect groundwater. Research in India has documented groundwater-quality impacts around municipal waste disposal sites, including elevated concentrations of selected metals, major ions and other indicators. Reports concerning the Ghazipur landfill in Delhi and the Bandhwari landfill in Haryana further illustrate concerns about leachate and inadequate containment.

Industrial hazardous waste is another potential source of groundwater contamination. Improper storage, burial or disposal can allow contaminants to migrate through soil and reach aquifers. The nature and extent of contamination depend on the waste composition, site geology, containment measures and groundwater flow. Nationally comprehensive data on unauthorized industrial waste burial remain limited, making site-specific investigation important.

### 2.3 The Pallikaranai–Perungudi Case

The Pallikaranai marshland in south Chennai is an ecologically important wetland and a Ramsar site. Parts of the marshland and adjoining areas have been affected by municipal solid-waste disposal at the Perungudi dumpyard. Published accounts describe long-term waste accumulation and impacts on nearby communities. Studies have reported groundwater-quality deterioration in areas surrounding the dumpyard, including elevated values of selected parameters such as sulphate, phosphate, iron and electrical conductivity. Other reports have described elevated ammoniacal nitrogen and metals in selected samples.

The case is relevant to IOZ-G because it illustrates a distinction between the physical presence of groundwater and its suitability for use. A well may continue to yield water, while salinity or contamination renders that water unsuitable for drinking without appropriate treatment. The Pallikaranai–Perungudi example is illustrative rather than a nationally representative measurement.

### 2.4 Gap Addressed by IOZ-G

Existing research documents groundwater depletion, seawater intrusion and contamination through empirical studies, monitoring programmes and policy reports. IOZ-G does not replace those methods. It offers a compact notation for expressing the combined conceptual trajectory of quantity decline and quality degradation through three anchors: effective abundance, a normalized present state and a projected limiting state. The mathematical extension developed below provides a possible structure for later empirical testing.

## 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 3.1 Model Type

IOZ-G is proposed as a symbolic, qualitative model with a conceptual mathematical extension. The three-anchor model itself is definitional: it identifies reference states and the broad direction of change. The coupled differential equations are a proposed representation of mechanisms; they are not estimated from a groundwater dataset in this paper. No numerical simulation, parameter calibration or statistical inference is claimed.

### 3.2 Scope and Exclusions

The model concerns naturally occurring groundwater that is suitable for its intended use, with particular emphasis on drinking-water quality. It distinguishes natural good-quality groundwater from engineered substitutes such as desalinated water and treated wastewater. It does not estimate depletion dates, contaminant concentrations or region-specific trajectories. The national framing is conceptual and should not be interpreted as asserting that all Indian aquifers follow an identical path.

### 3.3 Three-Anchor Notation

*$G(t)$ = effective availability of good-quality groundwater at time $t$*

*$G(t) \to \infty$ for the conceptual pre-industrial abundance condition*

*$G(t_0) = 1$ at the selected present reference time $t_0$*

*$\lim_{t \to T} G(t) = 0$ as a projected limiting condition*

The symbol $\infty$ means effectively abundant relative to demand, not an infinite physical volume. The value 1 is a normalization convention. The value 0 is a projected limit, not an observed national condition. The present reference is denoted by $t_0$ to avoid using $t = 0$ simultaneously as both a calendar date and a time coordinate.

## 4. THE INFINITY-ONE-ZERO MODEL FOR GROUNDWATER (IOZ-G)

### 4.1 Core State Function

*$G(t) \rightarrow \infty$ (conceptual historical abundance)*
*$G(t_0) = 1$ (normalized present reference)*
*$\lim[t \rightarrow T]\ G(t) = 0$ (projected terminal limit)*

The three anchors describe a conceptual trajectory rather than a calibrated time series. The historical anchor represents a period in which good-quality groundwater was generally abundant relative to demand in many settings. The present anchor provides a normalized reference for a condition affected by both quantity and quality pressures. The terminal anchor expresses the possibility that good-quality groundwater may become unavailable in a given system if depletion and contamination are not controlled.

### 4.2 The Three Anchors

A1 – Effective abundance: The infinity anchor represents good-quality groundwater that was effectively abundant relative to demand in the conceptual historical reference period. It is not a claim that groundwater was literally unlimited or that every region had abundant water.

A2 – Present normalized state: $G(t_0) = 1$ establishes a reference value. The condition may involve declining quantity through extraction and salinity intrusion, and declining quality through leachate, industrial contamination and other pollutant sources.

A3 – Projected zero: The zero anchor represents a limiting condition in which naturally available groundwater of the required quality is no longer available for the specified use. It is a projection within the conceptual model, not an observed nationwide endpoint.

### 4.3 The Dual Pathway

Pathway 1 – Quantity: Excessive abstraction can lower groundwater levels and, in coastal aquifers, contribute to saline-water intrusion. Water may remain in the aquifer but become unsuitable because of salinity.

Pathway 2 – Quality: Leachate and industrial contaminants can enter aquifers and degrade water quality. Water may remain physically present but become unsuitable because of chemical or biological contamination.

The pathways can converge on a common practical outcome: loss of groundwater that meets the relevant quality requirements. Their relative importance differs among locations and must be established through monitoring.

### 4.4 What the Model Asserts and Leaves Open

Model assertions: (i) effective abundance is used as a conceptual historical anchor; (ii) the present is normalized to unity; (iii) quantity depletion and quality degradation are distinct but potentially interacting pressures; and (iv) zero is a projected limiting condition.

Open questions: the time horizon T; the rate and shape of change; regional differences; the contribution of recharge, climate and land use; the relative roles of salinity and contamination; and the extent to which treatment, desalination and demand management can alter the trajectory.

### 4.5 One-Line Summary

*IOZ-G: $\infty \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 0$*

Effective historical abundance → normalized present condition → projected limiting condition for good-quality groundwater.

## 5. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION: COUPLED DEPLETION–DEGRADATION MODEL

### 5.1 Mathematical Interpretation

To express the interaction between groundwater quantity and quality, define effective good-quality groundwater availability as:

$G(t) = Q(t) \times W(t)$

where Q(t) is normalized freshwater quantity, and W(t) is a dimensionless groundwater-quality index between 0 and 1. In this simplified representation, higher values of both Q and W correspond to greater effective availability. The product is a conceptual index; its operational definition would need to be specified and calibrated for any particular aquifer or study region.

### 5.2 Quantity Depletion Equation

$dQ/dt = R(t) - E(t) - k_s S(t) Q(t)$

R(t) represents recharge, E(t) represents extraction, S(t) is a salinity or seawater-intrusion index, and $k_s$ is a coefficient representing the effect of salinity intrusion on the usable freshwater quantity index. The terms must be expressed in compatible units or normalized consistently. This equation is a proposed conceptual balance, not a calibrated groundwater-flow equation.

### 5.3 Seawater-Intrusion Equation

$dS/dt = \alpha \max[E(t) - R(t), 0] - \rho_s S(t)$

Here α is a response coefficient and $\rho_s$ represents a simplified recovery or relaxation coefficient. The max function represents the assumption that the pumping-related pressure term is activated when extraction exceeds recharge. Actual seawater intrusion depends on hydraulic gradients, aquifer geometry, density effects, boundary conditions and other processes; this expression is therefore a reduced-order conceptual representation.

### 5.4 Pollution-Loading Equation

$dP/dt = L(t) + I(t) - \rho_p P(t)$

P(t) is a normalized pollution index; L(t) represents landfill-leachate loading; I(t) represents industrial-waste or industrial-effluent loading; and $\rho_p$ represents natural attenuation or removal. The index aggregates multiple pollutants for conceptual purposes. A real application would generally require pollutant-specific concentrations and transport or reaction models.

### 5.5 Groundwater-Quality Equation

$dW/dt = -\beta P(t) W(t) + M(t)[1 - W(t)]$

β is a pollution-sensitivity coefficient, and M(t) is a remediation or restoration term. The first term represents quality deterioration associated with pollution; the second represents recovery toward the upper bound under remediation. The equation assumes a normalized quality index and should be constrained or reformulated if measured data require different dynamics.

### 5.6 Combined IOZ-G Differential Equation

Since G(t) = Q(t)W(t), differentiation using the product rule gives:

$dG/dt = W(dQ/dt) + Q(dW/dt)$

Substituting the proposed quantity and quality equations:

$dG/dt = W[R - E - k_s SQ] + Q[-\beta PW + M(1 - W)]$

This expression is the central coupled equation of the mathematical extension. It shows how recharge and remediation can support effective availability, while extraction, salinity intrusion and pollution can reduce it. The sign and magnitude of the net change depend on the time-varying inputs and parameter values.

### 5.7 Simplified Exponential Special Case

For an illustrative special case, suppose effective groundwater availability declines at a constant proportional rate λ, with no compensating recharge or remediation:

$dG/dt = -\lambda G$

$G(t) = G(t_0)\ exp[-\lambda(t - t_0)]$

For λ greater than zero, this solution approaches zero asymptotically but does not reach zero at a finite time. This special case illustrates a declining trajectory; it is not a forecast for India and should not be confused with the more general coupled formulation.

### 5.8 Mathematical Assumptions and Requirements for Calibration

The proposed equations require consistent normalization and dimensional definitions before quantitative use. In particular, Q, W, S and P should be defined from measurable indicators; the units of R and E must be compatible; and coefficients must be estimated from suitable time-series or spatial datasets. The model should be tested against groundwater-level observations, abstraction estimates, electrical conductivity or chloride measurements, and pollutant-specific water-quality data. Sensitivity analysis and comparison with established hydrogeological models would be needed before making predictive claims.

## 6. DISCUSSION AND LIMITATIONS

### 6.1 Contribution of IOZ-G

IOZ-G provides a compact conceptual notation for a dual trajectory: declining quantity and declining quality. Its central distinction is that the environmental concern is not simply a reduction in the volume of water, but a reduction in the volume of water that remains suitable for the intended use. The coupled mathematical extension makes this distinction explicit by representing effective availability as the interaction of quantity and quality.

### 6.2 Limitations

L1 – No empirical calibration: The equations are proposed and have not been calibrated against a national or regional dataset in this paper.

L2 – No predictive power at present: Without parameter estimation, validation and suitable boundary conditions, the model cannot predict the date T or the rate of decline.

L3 – Regional aggregation: India contains diverse aquifers and hydroclimatic settings. A national aggregate cannot represent local variation without regional parameterization.

L4 – Simplified pollution representation: A single pollution index cannot capture the different mobility, persistence and toxicity of individual contaminants.

L5 – Engineered substitutes: Desalination and water treatment may maintain drinking-water supply even when natural groundwater quality deteriorates. These supplies are outside the natural-groundwater index unless explicitly incorporated.

L6 – Historical assumption: The infinity anchor is a conceptual statement of relative abundance, not a demonstrated national measurement. The choice of 1900 as a historical dividing point is a modeling convention and requires empirical justification if retained as a precise inflection date.

### 6.3 Policy Implications

The model suggests that quantity and quality pressures should be addressed together. Regulation of abstraction and protection of recharge areas are important for reducing depletion and saline intrusion. Lined waste-disposal facilities, leachate collection and treatment, industrial-waste controls, and

groundwater monitoring are important for reducing contamination. Addressing only one pathway may leave the other active. The equations also indicate that remediation and recharge can alter the trajectory, so a zero endpoint is not inevitable where effective interventions are implemented.

## 7. CONCLUSION

The Infinity-One-Zero Model for Groundwater (IOZ-G) offers a three-anchor symbolic framework for describing the conceptual trajectory of good-quality groundwater from effective abundance to a normalized present condition and a projected limiting state. Its dual-pathway interpretation connects quantity depletion, including seawater intrusion, with quality degradation caused by landfill leachate and industrial contamination. The proposed coupled differential equations extend the framework by representing effective good-quality groundwater as the product of normalized quantity and quality indices. The formulation is conceptual and requires operational definitions, data-based parameter estimation and validation before it can be used for prediction. Its present contribution is structural: it provides a concise way to express the interaction of depletion and degradation and establishes a basis for future empirical research.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Central Ground Water Board. (2026). Groundwater contamination in coastal areas (Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 4075). Government of India, Ministry of Jal Shakti. https://sansad.in/getFile/annex/270/AU4075_8e0NYk.pdf
2. Mohan, S., Nair, V. V., & Joseph, C. P. (2017). Impact of leachate from open-dumping of municipal solid waste on groundwater quality. International Journal of Earth Sciences and Engineering, 10(5), 938–944.
3. Neeraja, S. (2009, December 9). Pallikarani marsh turns dumpyard. The New Indian Express. https://www.newindianexpress.com/cities/chennai/2009/dec/09/pallikaranai-marsh-turns-dumpyard-111291.html
4. Sarkar, B., & Islam, A. (2025). Characterizing seawater intrusion and groundwater vulnerability to salinization along the east coast of India. Science of the Total Environment. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2025.178341
5. Shanmugam, M., Lakshmanan, I., Aravind, S., Sameer, N. M., Vignesh, R., & Kumar, P. V. (2017). Contamination of groundwater quality due to municipal solid waste disposal – A GIS-based study in Perungudi dump yard. International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology, 4(5), 952–964.
6. The Hindu. (2025, April 17). Perungudi dumpyard makes lives of Chennai residents miserable. https://www.thehindu.com/news/cities/chennai/chennai-corporation-waste-issues-perungudi-dumpyard-where-pollution-piles-up-for-residents/article69457676.ece