International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research

National Seminar on Sustainability in Focus: Innovations for Greener Tomorrow: A Multidisciplinary Approach (NSSFIGTMA – 2025) – Dated 23rd December 2025
Organized by Department of Chemistry, Babu Jagjivan Ram Government College (Autonomous) Accredited by NAAC with B++ Grade, Hyderabad-29, Telangana, India
Sponsored by Telangana Council of Higher Education (TGCHE), Hyderabad
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

# Environmental Chemistry: Occurrence, Transformation, and Fate of Chemicals in Natural Systems

**Dr. M. Mallesh[1], Dr. G. Satyanarayana Goud[2], Dr. N. Venu Gopal[3]**

[1,2,3]Department of Chemistry, MVS Government College(A), Mahbubnagar, Telangana

**Abstract**
Environmental chemistry is an interdisciplinary science that investigates the occurrence, movement, and transformation of chemical substances across natural matrices air, water, soil, and living organisms. This review examines core sub-disciplines, major contaminant classes (including persistent organic pollutants, heavy metals, pesticides, and microplastics), pollution sources, chemical fate and transformation pathways, and the resulting impacts on biodiversity and human health. Key analytical methods are also reviewed alongside future research directions for sustainable environmental management.

**Keywords**: Environmental chemistry, Soil chemistry, Industrial emissions, Biodiversity, Persistent organic pollutants, Emerging contaminants

## 1. Introduction

Over the past century, industrialization, intensive agriculture, and rapid urbanization have introduced an unprecedented diversity of chemical substances into the environment. These range from heavy metals discharged by mining operations and synthetic pesticides leaching from farmland to microplastic fragments in ocean sediments and pharmaceutical residues in drinking water and human systems. [1].
The field draws from analytical chemistry, toxicology, ecology, geology, and atmospheric science to construct a comprehensive picture of chemical behavior in the real world. It serves both as a diagnostic tool for identifying contamination problems and as a foundation for developing remediation strategies and regulatory frameworks [2]. This review consolidates current knowledge across the major sub-disciplines of environmental chemistry, evaluating dominant contaminant classes, pollution sources, transformation pathways, and health and ecological impacts.

## 2. Key Classes of Environmental Contaminants

Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) including PCBs, PAHs, and DDT resist degradation, accumulate in fatty tissue, and biomagnify through food webs. The Stockholm Convention (2001) established a global

International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research
National Seminar on Sustainability in Focus: Innovations for Greener Tomorrow: A Multidisciplinary Approach (NSSFIGTMA – 2025) – Dated 23rd December 2025
Organized by Department of Chemistry, Babu Jagjivan Ram Government College (Autonomous) Accredited by NAAC with B++ Grade, Hyderabad-29, Telangana, India
Sponsored by Telangana Council of Higher Education (TGCHE), Hyderabad
E-ISSN: 2582-2160 ● Website: www.ijfmr.com ● Email: editor@ijfmr.com

framework for their elimination, yet legacy POPs persist in environmental matrices decades after their use was banned [8]. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS), dubbed 'forever chemicals,' now contaminate drinking water, soil, and human blood globally and are linked to thyroid dysfunction, immune suppression, and cancer [9].

Mercury occupies a uniquely dangerous position in the heavy metal group. Inorganic mercury released into aquatic systems can be methylated by bacteria to form methylmercury a potent neurotoxin that bioaccumulates with extreme efficiency in large predatory fish such as tuna, posing direct dietary risks, particularly to pregnant women and children [10]. Neonicotinoid pesticides, meanwhile, have been implicated in the global decline of pollinator populations, triggering significant regulatory responses [11]. Microplastics defined as particles under 5 mm are now detected across all environmental compartments, including drinking water and human lung tissue. Beyond physical ingestion effects, they act as vectors for adsorbed hydrophobic pollutants and can leach toxic plasticizers [12].

**Table 1: Major Classes of Environmental Contaminants**

| Contaminant Class | Primary Sources | Environmental Fate | Key Health/Ecological Concern |
|---|---|---|---|
| Persistent Organic Pollutants | Industry, pesticides, combustion | Bioaccumulation, long-range transport | Endocrine disruption, carcinogenicity |
| Heavy Metals | Mining, smelting, industrial discharge | Soil & sediment accumulation | Neurotoxicity, organ damage |
| Pesticides | Agricultural application | Soil leaching, surface runoff | Ecotoxicity, groundwater contamination |
| Microplastics | Plastic degradation, wastewater | Ocean & sediment accumulation | Wildlife ingestion, human exposure |
| Pharmaceuticals & PPCPs | Wastewater effluent | Aquatic persistence | Endocrine disruption, AMR |
| Nitrates & Phosphates | Agricultural runoff, sewage | Eutrophication | Hypoxia, biodiversity loss |

## 3. Sources of Environmental Pollution

Industrial activity releases sulfur dioxide (SO2), nitrogen oxides (NOx), fine particulate matter, heavy metal aerosols, and chlorinated organic compounds into air, water, and soil. Despite advances in industrial ecology, legacy pollution from decades of unregulated discharge remains an enormous remediation challenge in many regions [13]. Agricultural systems contribute excess nitrogen and phosphorus from synthetic fertilizers, pesticide residues, and manure from animal operations rich in antibiotics and hormones all of which reach waterways through runoff and leaching [14].

Urban environments generate complex pollutant mixtures through stormwater runoff, which carries hydrocarbons, heavy metals, and pathogens directly to receiving water bodies with minimal treatment.

Municipal wastewater treatment systems, though effective for conventional pollutants, generally fail to remove trace organic contaminants such as pharmaceuticals, which consequently enter aquatic ecosystems and contribute to the spread of antimicrobial resistance genes in environmental microbial communities [15].

## 4. Chemical Fate and Transformation Pathways

Once a chemical enters the environment, its distribution among air, water, soil, and biota is governed by physicochemical properties particularly vapor pressure, water solubility, and the octanol-water partition coefficient (Kow). Fugacity models provide a standard framework for predicting contaminant distribution across environmental compartments and are routinely applied in risk assessment [16].

Chemicals undergo abiotic transformation through hydrolysis, photolysis, and oxidation-reduction reactions. However, transformation does not always equate to detoxification some photolytic products are more toxic than their parent compounds [17]. Microbial communities are the primary agents of organic contaminant degradation in soil and water. Through bioremediation, this capacity is harnessed intentionally to treat contaminated sites. Under anaerobic conditions, reductive dechlorination can either detoxify chlorinated compounds or generate more toxic intermediates, depending on the microbial community and environmental conditions [18].

## 5. Impacts on Biodiversity and Human Health

Endocrine-disrupting chemicals interfere with hormonal signaling across vertebrates and invertebrates. The feminization of fish populations in rivers receiving estrogenic wastewater effluents is one of the most well-documented real-world demonstrations of endocrine disruption in wildlife [19]. Biomagnification of POPs and methylmercury through marine food webs results in body burdens millions of times higher than ambient water concentrations at apex predators, causing reproductive impairment, immunosuppression, and developmental abnormalities [20].

For human health, PFAS contamination of drinking water is linked to elevated risks of kidney and testicular cancer, thyroid dysfunction, and impaired vaccine response in children [9]. Ambient air pollution primarily PM2.5 is responsible for an estimated 7 million premature deaths annually, according to the World Health Organization [21]. Food chain contamination through mercury in fish, cadmium in rice, and pesticide residues in produce further establishes the direct pathway from environmental pollution to human dietary exposure [22].

## 6. Analytical Methods in Environmental Monitoring

The development and refinement of analytical methods is inseparable from the advancement of environmental chemistry as a discipline. Table 2 summarizes the principal analytical techniques employed in contemporary environmental monitoring.

**Table 2: Key Analytical Techniques in Environmental Chemistry**

| Technique | Primary Application | Advantages |
|---|---|---|
| GC-MS | POPs, VOCs, pesticides | High sensitivity, broad applicability |
| LC-MS/MS | Pharmaceuticals, pesticides | Suitable for non-volatile compounds |
| ICP-MS | Trace metals | Multi-element, very high sensitivity |
| HRMS (Orbitrap/Q-TOF) | Non-target screening | Identifies unknown contaminants |
| Ion Chromatography | Nitrate, phosphate, anions | Rapid and selective |
| Passive Samplers | Time-integrated monitoring | Low cost, field-deployable |

## 8. Future Directions

Current risk assessment frameworks are largely built around single-substance evaluation and are poorly equipped to address the reality of chemical mixtures, in which hundreds of compounds interact synergistically or antagonistically [23]. Developing robust mixture toxicity methods is a high research priority. Climate change adds further complexity by accelerating the volatilization of semi-volatile contaminants, altering precipitation patterns, and changing hydrological pathways necessitating integrated Earth system modeling approaches [24].

Green chemistry, which incorporates environmental fate and toxicity considerations into the molecular design of new substances, offers a proactive alternative to the traditional identify-and-remediate model [25]. Environmental chemists have a vital role in informing this process. The democratization of monitoring through low-cost sensor networks and citizen science platforms also holds significant potential for expanding spatial and temporal data coverage, enabling more responsive environmental management.

## 9. Conclusion

Environmental chemistry provides the scientific foundation upon which environmental protection is built. The chemical landscape of the modern environment is extraordinarily complex shaped by industrial emissions, agricultural practices, urban waste, and the long-range transport of persistent substances. Yet the field has achieved remarkable progress in analytical capabilities, mechanistic understanding of contaminant fate, and the translation of knowledge into regulation. Sustained investment in emerging contaminant research, mixture toxicology, climate-chemistry interactions, and green chemistry innovation, combined with effective communication to policymakers and the public, is essential for safeguarding the natural systems upon which all life depends.

## References

1. S. E. Manahan, Environmental Chemistry, 9th ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2009.
2. R. P. Schwarzenbach et al., "Contaminants in the aquatic environment," Chimia, vol. 65, no. 6, pp. 369–376, 2011.

3. J. L. Gomez-Ariza et al., "Use of mass spectrometry for metal-protein characterization," Anal. Chim. Acta, vol. 524, pp. 15–22, 2004.
4. E. L. Schymanski et al., "Non-target screening with high-resolution mass spectrometry," Anal. Bioanal. Chem., vol. 407, no. 21, pp. 6237–6255, 2015.
5. D. M. Anderson, P. M. Glibert, and J. M. Burkholder, "Harmful algal blooms and eutrophication," Estuaries, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 704–726, 2002.
6. F. Wania and D. Mackay, "Tracking the distribution of persistent organic pollutants," Environ. Sci. Technol., vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 390A–396A, 1996.
7. B. J. Alloway, Heavy Metals in Soils, 3rd ed. New York: Springer, 2013.
8. United Nations Environment Programme, "Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants," UNEP, Geneva, 2001.
9. A. M. Calafat et al., "Polyfluoroalkyl chemicals in the U.S. population," Environ. Health Perspect., vol. 115, no. 11, pp. 1596–1602, 2007.
10. F. M. M. Morel, A. M. L. Kraepiel, and M. Amyot, "The chemical cycle and bioaccumulation of mercury," Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst., vol. 29, pp. 543–566, 1998.
11. D. Goulson, "An overview of the environmental risks posed by neonicotinoid insecticides," J. Appl. Ecol., vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 977–987, 2013.
12. A. A. Koelmans et al., "Microplastics in freshwaters and drinking water," Water Res., vol. 155, pp. 410–422, 2019.
13. T. E. Graedel and R. Lifset, "Industrial ecology's first decade," in Taking Stock of Industrial Ecology. New York: Springer, 2016, pp. 3–20.
14. D. Pimentel et al., "Environmental and economic costs of pesticide use," BioScience, vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 750–760, 1992.
15. A. Pruden et al., "Antibiotic resistance genes as emerging contaminants," Environ. Sci. Technol., vol. 40, no. 23, pp. 7445–7450, 2006.
16. D. Mackay and S. Paterson, "Calculating fugacity," Environ. Sci. Technol., vol. 15, no. 9, pp. 1006–1014, 1981.
17. N. L. Wolfe, W. C. Zepp, and D. F. Paris, "Hydrolysis and photolysis of carbaryl and related compounds," Water Res., vol. 12, no. 8, pp. 565–571, 1978.
18. T. M. Vogel, C. S. Criddle, and P. L. McCarty, "Transformations of halogenated aliphatic compounds," Environ. Sci. Technol., vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 722–736, 1987.
19. C. R. Tyler, S. Jobling, and J. P. Sumpter, "Endocrine disruption in wildlife: a critical review," Crit. Rev. Toxicol., vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 319–361, 1998.
20. J. W. Farrington, "Biogeochemical processes governing exposure to organic pollutants," Environ. Health Perspect., vol. 90, pp. 75–84, 1991.
21. World Health Organization, Ambient Air Pollution: A Global Assessment. Geneva: WHO, 2016.
22. P. O. Darnerud et al., "Polybrominated diphenyl ethers: occurrence and toxicology," Environ. Health Perspect., vol. 109, suppl. 1, pp. 49–68, 2001.

23. A. Kortenkamp, T. Backhaus, and M. Faust, "State of the Art Report on Mixture Toxicity," European Commission, Brussels, 2009.
24. A. Schiedek et al., "Interactions between climate change and contaminants," Mar. Pollut. Bull., vol. 54, no. 12, pp. 1845–1856, 2007.
25. P. T. Anastas and J. C. Warner, Green Chemistry: Theory and Practice. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998.